On the Role of Measurement Events in Non-Equilibrium Quantum State Formation

César Roberto Arellanes González
Independent Researcher

January 2026

Abstract

Measurement in quantum mechanics is commonly treated as a passive observational process that reveals properties of an underlying physical system. However, both theoretical analysis and experimental practice suggest that measurement interactions are physical events that irreversibly alter system states and their subsequent evolution. This work advances the hypothesis that measurement events function as generative interactions that actively produce realized states, rather than merely disclosing pre-existing conditions.

Focusing on non-equilibrium dynamics, we examine the role of discrete interaction events in the transition from potential configurations to actualized states. Measurement interactions are characterized as localized, irreversible processes that introduce stable correlations and constrain future system evolution. From this perspective, state realization is associated with event-based commitments rather than continuous revelation, aligning naturally with thermodynamic irreversibility and entropy production.

Preliminary conceptual analysis indicates that this generative role of measurement events is consistent with established formulations of quantum theory, including unitary evolution prior to measurement and the statistical structure of observed outcomes. The proposed viewpoint does not modify existing formalism or introduce new dynamical laws, but instead reframes the ontological role of measurement within standard theory.

Analogous event-driven state transitions appear across a range of non-equilibrium systems, including thermodynamic processes, information processing, chemical reactions, and distributed computational protocols. These analogies are noted to highlight structural commonalities in state actualization, rather than to assert cross-domain physical equivalence.

The present work introduces this hypothesis as a foundational perspective on the role of discrete interaction events in state formation. Broader structural implications and potential extensions of this framework are reserved for future investigation.

Keywords: measurement theory, non-equilibrium dynamics, quantum observation, state formation, irreversibility

1   Introduction

Quantum mechanics assigns a central but conceptually ambiguous role to measurement. While the formalism specifies unitary evolution for isolated systems and statistical rules for observed outcomes, the physical status of measurement interactions themselves remains a subject of ongoing discussion. In standard presentations, measurement is often treated operationally as an external intervention that reveals properties of a system, rather than as a dynamical process with intrinsic physical significance.

At the same time, practical measurement procedures are unavoidably physical. They involve interactions between systems, amplification across many degrees of freedom, and the production of stable outcomes that persist in time. These features distinguish measurement interactions from idealized, reversible dynamics and align them more closely with non-equilibrium processes characterized by irreversibility and entropy production. This contrast motivates renewed examination of the role measurement events play in the formation of observable states.

A range of approaches have addressed aspects of this issue. Decoherence theory explains the suppression of interference between certain system states through environmental coupling, while leaving open questions concerning the emergence of definite outcomes. Interpretational frameworks differ in how they account for state actualization, often by supplementing the formalism with additional postulates or ontological commitments. Despite these efforts, the precise physical status of measurement events continues to resist a unified and widely accepted characterization.

In parallel, non-equilibrium physics emphasizes the importance of discrete events in driving system evolution. Many physical processes of interest, such as phase transitions, chemical reactions, and dissipative interactions, proceed through localized, irreversible transitions that constrain subsequent dynamics. These transitions are not passive observations of underlying states, but active processes that shape the space of future possibilities. From this perspective, the distinction between continuous evolution and event-based state change becomes central.

This work advances the view that measurement interactions should be understood in this latter sense: as discrete, generative physical events that actively produce realized states. Rather than treating measurement as a process that merely uncovers pre-existing properties, we propose that measurement events function as state-forming interactions in which potential configurations transition into committed outcomes. This framing emphasizes the physicality of measurement without altering the mathematical structure of quantum theory.

The analysis presented here is intentionally conservative. No new dynamical laws are introduced, and no modifications to unitary evolution or statistical prediction are proposed. Instead, the focus is on clarifying the ontological role of measurement within established theory, particularly in relation to irreversibility and non-equilibrium behavior. By treating measurement events as localized interactions that generate stable correlations and constrain future evolution, the emergence of definite outcomes can be discussed without invoking additional formal mechanisms.

While analogous event-driven transitions appear across a variety of non-equilibrium systems, the present work remains focused on quantum measurement as its primary domain. References to other systems are intended only to highlight structural similarities in state formation, not to assert physical equivalence across domains. Broader implications of this perspective, including potential connections to spacetime structure and dynamical constraints, are beyond the scope of the present paper and are reserved for future investigation.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 examines measurement interactions as physical processes within standard quantum theory. Section 3 discusses the role of discrete events and irreversibility in non-equilibrium state formation. Section 4 considers structural analogies with other event-based systems, with careful attention to scope. Section 5 summarizes the proposed perspective and outlines directions for subsequent

work.

2 Measurement as a Physical Interaction

In standard formulations of quantum mechanics, measurement is introduced operationally through a set of postulates that connect the mathematical formalism to experimental outcomes [1]. The quantum state evolves unitarily according to the Schrödinger equation when isolated, while measurement is associated with a non-unitary update rule that assigns probabilities to observed results. Although this prescription is sufficient for practical prediction, it leaves open questions regarding the physical character of the measurement interaction itself.

From an experimental standpoint, measurement is not an abstract operation but a concrete physical process [2]. A measurement involves an interaction between a system and a measuring apparatus, followed by amplification and registration of an outcome. These steps necessarily engage many degrees of freedom and couple the system to its environment. As a result, measurement interactions differ qualitatively from idealized, reversible dynamics typically associated with isolated quantum systems.

This distinction is reflected in the thermodynamic properties of measurement. Real measurement processes involve dissipation, entropy production, and energy exchange. The amplification required to produce a stable, readable outcome necessarily entails irreversibility, as information about the system becomes distributed across environmental degrees of freedom. These features place measurement interactions squarely within the domain of non-equilibrium physics, rather than treating them as exceptional or purely formal interventions.

Decoherence theory has clarified how environmental interactions suppress interference between certain system states by rapidly entangling the system with its surroundings [3, 4]. This framework explains the emergence of effectively classical probability distributions for measurement outcomes without invoking explicit collapse mechanisms. However, decoherence alone does not eliminate the role of discrete interaction events, nor does it remove the need to account for the physical transition from superposed descriptions to definite outcomes observed in practice.

Within this context, it is natural to treat measurement as a particular class of interaction distinguished not by its mathematical representation,

but by its physical consequences. Measurement interactions are those that produce stable correlations between a system and macroscopic degrees of freedom, thereby constraining subsequent evolution. Once such correlations are established and amplified, they cannot be reversed without additional physical intervention.

Importantly, this characterization does not require modification of the quantum formalism. Unitary evolution remains valid for closed systems, and probabilistic outcome statistics remain unchanged. The proposed perspective instead emphasizes that measurement interactions should be understood as physical processes with specific thermodynamic and dynamical properties, rather than as abstract observations external to the system under study.

By framing measurement as a physical interaction embedded within non-equilibrium dynamics, the distinction between continuous evolution and discrete state realization becomes clearer. Measurement events are not merely moments at which information is revealed, but interactions in which system-environment coupling produces persistent outcomes. This framing provides a natural foundation for examining how potential configurations transition into realized states without invoking additional postulates beyond those already implicit in experimental practice.

3 Discrete Events and Irreversibility in Non-Equilibrium State Formation

Non-equilibrium systems are distinguished from idealized equilibrium models by the presence of irreversible processes that drive state change [5]. In such systems, evolution is not fully characterized by continuous, time-reversible dynamics, but instead proceeds through localized transitions that introduce constraints on future behavior. These transitions often take the form of discrete events, such as collisions, emissions, reactions, or dissipative interactions, that irreversibly alter the system's accessible state space.

A defining feature of these event-based transitions is their thermodynamic character. Irreversibility arises when interactions couple a system to many degrees of freedom, dispersing energy and correlations in a manner that cannot be practically undone. As a consequence, certain outcomes become stabilized while alternative configurations are suppressed. The system's subsequent evolution is then conditioned on the occurrence of these events,

rather than remaining symmetric with respect to all prior possibilities.

In this context, state formation is not merely the result of continuous evolution under fixed dynamical laws, but is shaped by the accumulation of discrete interaction events. Each event marks a transition from a range of possible configurations to a more restricted set of realized conditions. The importance of such transitions is well established across non-equilibrium physics, where macroscopic behavior frequently depends on the history of irreversible interactions rather than on instantaneous state variables alone.

Quantum measurement interactions naturally fit within this broader class of non-equilibrium events. As discussed in Section 2, measurement involves system–apparatus coupling, amplification, and environmental interaction, all of which contribute to irreversible change. From this perspective, measurement can be viewed as an event in which a system transitions from a description involving multiple potential configurations to one in which a specific outcome is stabilized through physical interaction.

This event-based framing does not conflict with unitary evolution at the level of isolated systems. Rather, it highlights the role of interactions that effectively terminate interference between alternatives by embedding information about the outcome into many degrees of freedom. The discreteness of such events lies not in the underlying equations of motion, but in the physical thresholds associated with amplification, dissipation, and environmental coupling.

Importantly, the emphasis on discrete events does not imply that state formation occurs instantaneously or without intermediate dynamics. Measurement interactions may unfold over finite timescales and involve complex internal processes. What distinguishes them is not temporal sharpness, but the production of irreversible consequences that constrain future evolution. Once such consequences are established, the system's subsequent behavior reflects the occurrence of the event rather than the full range of prior possibilities.

By situating measurement within the general framework of non-equilibrium event-driven transitions, the emergence of realized states can be understood as a physical process rather than a purely formal update. This perspective reframes state actualization as an outcome of interaction history, aligning quantum measurement with well-understood principles of irreversibility while remaining consistent with the standard formalism.

4 Structural Analogies in Event-Based State Formation

Event-driven transitions that constrain future system evolution are not unique to quantum measurement. Similar structural features appear across a range of non-equilibrium systems in which discrete interactions play a central role in state formation. While the physical mechanisms differ across domains, the underlying pattern, localized events producing irreversible consequences that restrict subsequent dynamics, recurs in a variety of contexts.

In thermodynamic systems, for example, dissipative interactions such as inelastic collisions, phase transitions, or radiative emissions mark irreversible changes that alter macroscopic behavior. Chemical reactions proceed through activation events that transform reactants into products, establishing new stability conditions that cannot be reversed without further energetic input. In each case, state transitions are not continuous extrapolations of prior configurations, but are mediated by events that commit the system to a particular outcome.

Information-processing systems exhibit analogous features. Logical operations implemented in physical hardware involve irreversible steps associated with energy dissipation and entropy production, as emphasized in studies of the thermodynamic cost of computation. Similarly, in distributed computational systems, consensus protocols rely on discrete decision events that fix shared state across components, constraining future operations based on the outcome of those events.

These examples are not invoked to assert physical equivalence between quantum measurement and other non-equilibrium processes, nor to suggest a universal mechanism operating identically across domains. Rather, they serve to highlight a shared structural role played by discrete interaction events in transforming potential configurations into realized states. In each case, state formation depends on interactions that introduce irreversibility and establish persistent consequences.

Within quantum theory, measurement interactions occupy a comparable structural position. They are events in which system–environment coupling produces outcomes that constrain subsequent evolution, aligning measurement with a broader class of non-equilibrium state-forming processes. Recognizing this alignment does not require modification of quantum dynamics, but instead situates measurement within a general physical context in which

irreversible events play a central role.

The purpose of these analogies is therefore limited and methodological. By identifying common structural features of event-based state formation, the generative role of measurement interactions can be discussed without invoking domain-specific assumptions beyond those already established in non-equilibrium physics. Any deeper unification or extension of this perspective lies beyond the scope of the present work and is deferred to future investigation.

5 Discussion and Outlook

The analysis presented in this work advances a focused conceptual perspective on the role of measurement within quantum theory. By treating measurement interactions as physical, non-equilibrium events, measurement is framed not as a passive observational act but as an interaction that actively participates in state formation. This framing emphasizes the irreversibility and event-based character of measurement without altering the mathematical structure of quantum mechanics or introducing new dynamical principles.

A central aim of this perspective is clarification rather than modification. Unitary evolution, probabilistic outcome statistics, and standard experimental predictions remain intact. The contribution lies in highlighting the physical features that distinguish measurement interactions from idealized reversible dynamics, particularly their role in producing stable outcomes that constrain subsequent evolution. Within this view, state realization is associated with discrete interaction events embedded in non-equilibrium processes, rather than with continuous revelation of pre-existing properties.

It is important to stress the limits of the present work. No attempt has been made to resolve interpretational debates concerning wavefunction collapse, to introduce hidden variables, or to propose alternative dynamical laws. Likewise, the discussion does not assert a universal mechanism operating identically across different physical domains. References to thermodynamic, informational, or computational systems are intended solely to illustrate structural analogies in event-based state formation, not to establish physical equivalence or unification.

The event-centered framing offered here is compatible with existing approaches such as decoherence, while remaining agnostic about questions that lie beyond its scope. Decoherence provides a detailed account of how environ-

mental interactions suppress interference between alternatives; the present perspective complements this by emphasizing the physical significance of the interaction events through which irreversible consequences arise. Together, these views underscore the role of interaction history in shaping observable outcomes.

Several directions for future investigation follow naturally from this work. A more detailed analysis of the conditions under which measurement interactions produce stable, effectively irreversible outcomes would further clarify the boundary between reversible dynamics and state realization. Additionally, exploring how event-based state formation operates in specific experimental contexts may help refine the relationship between measurement interactions and non-equilibrium processes more generally.

More broadly, the perspective developed here suggests that discrete interaction events play a foundational role in the emergence of realized states within physical theories. While the present work remains intentionally limited in scope, this viewpoint may offer a useful organizing principle for examining state formation in other settings. Such extensions, including any implications for spacetime structure or dynamical constraints, are deferred to future work.

References

[1] J. von Neumann, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics* (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1955).

[2] P. Busch, P. J. Lahti, and P. Mittelstaedt, *The Quantum Theory of Measurement*, 2nd ed. (Springer, Berlin, 1996).

[3] W. H. Zurek, Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical, Rev. Mod. Phys. **75**, 715 (2003).

[4] M. Schlosshauer, *Decoherence and the Quantum-to-Classical Transition* (Springer, Berlin, 2007).

[5] R. Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001).