Type of the Paper: Article

On the Impossibility of Existence of the Equation of energy graviton E=hν in Quantum Theories of Gravity

Constantin SANDU[1]*

[1] Romanian Research and Development Institute for Gas Turbines-COMOTI
* Correspondence: constantin.sandu@comoti.ro; Tel.: +40723147322

Abstract

In two earlier studies, we demonstrated that due to the enormous accelerations arising during the perpendicular reflection of a photon by a mirror, the photon's energy distribution behaves as a quadrupole, thereby generating a graviton (or gravitational wavelet) at the same frequency and direction as the reflected photon. The results shown that the energy E_g of graviton is $E_g=\chi^*\nu^3$ (where χ^* in an universal constant) and not $E_g=k\nu^1$ as it is hypothesized today in the quantum theories of gravity. For simplicity, in the early studies only the contribution of the quadrupole component Q_{xx} at the reflection of a photon by a mirror was previously considered. Here, we extend the analysis to include all quadrupole components associated with perpendicular photon reflection in the case of a resonant cavity. By applying the standard Einstein quadrupole radiation formula, we demonstrate again in a more accurate manner that the energy of the emitted graviton scales as ν^3, revealing a direct coupling between electromagnetism and gravitation. This finding challenges the long-standing but unverified assumption that graviton energy depends linearly on frequency (ν^1). Our results establish that quantum theories of gravity must instead incorporate cubic frequency dependence. The proposed framework provides a new bridge between general relativity and quantum approaches, suggesting that confined electromagnetic radiation can act as a direct source of high-frequency gravitational wavelets.

Keywords: gravitation, general relativity, quantum gravity, gravitational wavelets, Nordström–Einstein paradox, quadrupole radiation, graviton generation, resonant cavity.

1. Introduction

At present, it is assumed that only the most violent events in Universe as neutron stars in-spiral evolution or head-on collision can generate gravitational waves. [1, 2]

In this study, we propose a novel theoretical framework to estimate the gravitational radiation generated by a photon confined within a planar cavity formed by two perfectly reflecting mirrors. In this approach, the photon is represented as an effective point-like mass $m = h \cdot \nu / c^2$ (where h-Plank's constant, ν-frequency, c-speed of light) and its center-of-energy moving along the cavity of axial dimension equal to wavelength, λ.

From the point of view of acceleration, the photon (light wave) reflection is the most violent event in the Universe because the light speed changes from +c to -c in an extremely short period of time $T = 1/\nu$. Starting from the General Theory of Relativity - quadrupole radiation, we demonstrate that this extreme acceleration must lead to generation of a significant gravitational power which depends on the frequency to the power of 4 (ν^4). Simultaneously, it is demonstrated that the energy of the radiated graviton $E_{g,}$ which is emitted in a time $t = T = 1/\nu$, depends on the frequency at the power of 3 (ν^3), which challenges the present hypothesis in quantum gravity theories that this energy would depend on the power of 1 of its frequency ($E'_g=h\nu^1$). The principal conclusions are that the quantum gravity theories should reconsider the present accepted Planck-type equation of energy $E'_g = h\nu^1$, dependence (which is not theoretically or experimentally proven), and that reflection of the high frequency/quantity of electromagnetic waves in resonant cavities should be researched for experimentally prove that gravitational radiation can be generated in this way.

2. Materials and Methods

The adopted theoretical frame:

The foundation of this work is the General Theory of Relativity. [3]

No post-Einstein theory is used because the GTR is considered sufficient. On the other hand, the post-Einstein theories cannot be used in this paper because they start from the assumption that the energy of a graviton depends on ν^1, and by now, this hypothesis has not yet been theoretically or experimentally demonstrated.

The photon's energy $E_f = h\nu$ is represented by its effective mass $m = h\nu/ c^2$ (where h is Planck's constant and ν the photon frequency).

The photon motion in the resonance cavity is described by the simple expression:

$$x(t) = (\lambda / 2) \cdot (1 - \cos (\omega t)) \qquad (1)$$

where λ is the associated wavelength of the photon, which is equal to the distance between the mirrors, $\omega = \pi / T$, and $T = \lambda / c$.

The considered ansatz offers a smooth classical equivalent of the cavity oscillation mode of the center of energy that preserves the correct periodicity and symmetry of the standing-wave while providing sufficiently regular derivatives (up to third order) required for use in the quadrupole radiation formalism as formulated in General Relativity. [4]:

$$P_g = (G / 5 c^5) \cdot \langle \Sigma(d^3Q_{ij}/dt^3)^2 \rangle \qquad (2)$$

, where Q_{ij} is the quadrupole moment.

Using this model enables a trustworthy estimate of the gravitational radiation produced by the axial oscillating effective mass of a photon.

Method justification for a single photon reflection

Although the quadrupole radiation formula is typically applied to continuous distributions of matter or periodic systems, we extend its use to a singular energy-momentum event - the reflection of a photon - to demonstrate that even such an isolated event can produce gravitational wavelet packets (interpreted as gravitons).

It must be underlined that because the reflection of a photon by a mirror generates the maximum possible accelerations in the Universe due to the inversion of its speed from c to -c in a very short time $T=1/\nu$, although the effective mass of a photon is very low, the high value of acceleration during its reflection leads to higher values of graviton energy than it is accepted today.

Sim*plifications and conditions*

The two mirrors of are perfectly rigid and reflective and perpendicular on photon's axial trajectory and the space-time is flat inside and outside the interaction zone, and the reflection occurs in a very short time interval $T = 1/\nu$ on each mirror.

3. Results

Looking at Fig. 1, it can be observed that at $t = 0$: $x(0) = 0$ (the photon is on the mirror 1) and at $t = T$: $x(T) = \lambda$ (the photon is on the mirror 2). So, in the time T, the photon travels a distance λ. Average speed is $\lambda / T = c$, where $T = \lambda / c = 1 / \nu$. Starting from equation (1), we can see that:

$$x(T) = (\lambda / 2)(1 - \cos(\omega T)) = \lambda,$$

$$1 - \cos(\omega T) = 2,$$

$$\cos(\omega T) = -1.$$

$$\omega T = \pi, \text{ and}$$

$$\omega = \pi / T = \pi\nu \text{ because } T = 1/\nu.$$



Fig.1-The reflection of a photon between 2 mirrors

Although this ansatz does not represent the literal quantum path of the photon, it captures the essential features of the cavity mode, allowing precise evaluation of the gravitational power radiated per reflection.

The quadrupole expression:

For a point-like effective mass at position $r = (x, 0, 0)$, use the traceless (reduced) quadrupole tensor (Eq.2) leads to:

$$Q_{ij} = m \cdot (x_i x_j - (1/3)\,\delta_{ij} r^2)$$

where $r^2 = x^2$, δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Demonstration steps:

Step 1: Quadrupole components for 1D motion:

Position: $r = (x(t), 0, 0)$ so $r^2 = x(t)^2$.

Compute diagonal components from the definition:

$$Q_{xx} = m \cdot (x^2 - (1/3)\, r^2) = m \cdot (x^2 - (1/3)\, x^2) = (2/3)\, m \cdot x^2 \quad (3)$$

$$Q_{yy} = m \cdot (0 - (1/3)\, x^2) = -(1/3)\, m \cdot x^2 \quad (4)$$

$$Q_{zz} = m \cdot (0 - (1/3)\, x^2) = -(1/3)\, m \cdot x^2 \quad (5)$$

Off-diagonal components $Q_{xy} = Q_{xz} = Q_{yz} = 0$ (because $y = z = 0$).

Step 2 -Tracelessness proof:

Trace: $Q_{xx} + Q_{yy} + Q_{zz} = (2/3\, m\, x^2) + (-1/3\, m\, x^2) + (-1/3\, m\, x^2) = 0$.

Therefore, Q_{ij} is traceless by construction.

Step 3 - Time derivatives needed in the expression of the gravitational radiation P_g:

Compute d^3/dt^3 of $x^2(t)$:

According to (1),

$$x(t) = (\lambda/2)(1 - \cos \omega\, t)$$

Expand $x^2(t)$:

$$x^2(t) = (\lambda^2 / 4) \cdot (1 - 2\cdot\cos(\omega t) + \cos^2(\omega t))$$

Because $\cos^2(\omega t) = (1 + \cos(2\omega t)) / 2$,

$$x^2(t) = (\lambda^2 / 4) \cdot [\, (3/2) - 2\cdot\cos(\omega t) + (1/2)\cdot\cos(2\omega t)\,]$$

$$= (\lambda^2 / 8) \cdot (3 - 4 \cos \omega\, t + \cos 2\omega\, t).$$

The first derivative of x^2:

$$d/dt\, (x^2) = (\lambda^2 / 8) \cdot [0 - 4\cdot(-\omega\cdot\sin(\omega t)) + (-2\omega\cdot\sin(2\omega t))] = (\lambda^2 / 8) \cdot [4\omega\cdot\sin(\omega t) - 2\omega\cdot\sin(2\omega t)]$$

The second derivative of x^2:

$$d^2/dt^2(x^2) = (\lambda^2/8)\cdot[4\omega\cdot(\omega\cdot\cos(\omega t)) - 2\omega\cdot(2\omega\cdot\cos(2\omega t))] = (\lambda^2 / 8) \cdot [4\omega^2\cdot\cos(\omega t) - 4\omega^2\cdot\cos(2\omega t)]$$

The third derivative of x^2:

$d^3dt^3(x^2)=(\lambda^2/8)\cdot[4\omega^2\cdot(-\omega\cdot\sin(\omega t)) - 4\omega^2\cdot(-2\omega\cdot\sin(2\omega t))] = (\lambda^2 / 8) \cdot [-4\omega^3\cdot\sin(\omega t) + 8\omega^3\cdot\sin(2\omega t)] = (\lambda^2 \omega^3 / 2) \cdot (-\sin \omega t + 2 \sin 2\omega t)$.

Square of the third time derivative:

$$(d^3/dt^3 (x^2))^2 = (\lambda^2\cdot\omega^3 / 2)^2 \cdot [-\sin(\omega\cdot t) + 2\cdot\sin(2\cdot\omega\cdot t)]^2$$

, where we have:

$$(\lambda^2\cdot\omega^3 / 2)^2 = \lambda^4\cdot\omega^6 / 4 \quad (6)$$

$$[-\sin(\omega\cdot t) + 2\cdot\sin(2\cdot\omega\cdot t)]^2 = \sin^2(\omega\cdot t) - 4\cdot\sin(\omega\cdot t)\cdot\sin(2\cdot\omega\cdot t) + 4\cdot\sin^2(2\cdot\omega\cdot t) = f(t) \quad (7)$$

, where f(t) is a notation.

The time average of f(t):

$$\langle f(t)\rangle = (1/T)\int_0^T f(t)\, dt \quad (8)$$

Applying the average equation for each term of f(t), we have:

$$\langle \sin^2(\omega\cdot t)\rangle = 1/2 \quad (9)$$

$$\langle \sin(\omega\cdot t)\cdot\sin(2\cdot\omega\cdot t)\rangle = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$\langle \sin^2(2\cdot\omega\cdot t)\rangle = 1/2 \quad (11)$$

From (7), (8), (9), (10), (11), the value of $\langle f(t)\rangle$ results:

$$\langle f(t)\rangle = 1/2 - 4\cdot 0 + 4\cdot 1/2 = 5/2 \quad (12)$$

From (6) and (12), the average of $(d^3/dt^3 (x^2))^2$ results:

$$\langle (d^3/dt^3 (x^2))^2\rangle = (\lambda^4\cdot\omega^6 / 4)\cdot(5/2) = (5/8)\cdot(\lambda^4\cdot\omega^6) \quad (13)$$

Step 4 - Sum of squared third-derivatives of Q_{ij}

From (3), (4), (5), and (13), the sum of squared third-derivatives results:

$\Sigma(d^3Q_{ij}/dt^3)^2=((2/3)^2+(-1/3)^2+(-1/3)^2)\cdot m^2\cdot (d^3(x^2)/dt^3)^2 =$
$=((4/9)+(1/9)+(1/9))\cdot m^2\cdot(d^3(x^2)/dt^3)^2=(6/9)\cdot m^2(d^3(x^2)/dt^3)^2 = (2/3) \cdot m^2 \cdot (d^3(x^2)/dt^3)^2$.

Step 5 - The time-averaged sum of squared third-derivatives Q_{ij}

$\langle\Sigma(d^3Q_{ij}/dt^3)^2\rangle=(2/3)\cdot m^2\cdot\langle(d^3(x^2)/dt^3)^2\rangle=(2/3)\cdot m^2\cdot(5/8)\cdot\lambda^4\omega^6 = (5/12)\cdot m^2\cdot\lambda^4\,\omega^6$.

Step 6 - Quadrupole power P_g (final symbolic formula)
Insert into Einstein's quadrupole formula:

$$P_g = (G / 5\,c^5)\cdot\langle\,\Sigma\{i,j\}\,(d^3Q_{ij}/dt^3)^2\,\rangle$$
$$= (G / 5\,c^5)\cdot(5/12)\cdot m^2\cdot\lambda^4\,\omega^6=(G / c^5)\cdot(1/12)\cdot m^2\cdot\lambda^4\omega^6.$$

Now, substitute the expressions of m and λ, ω in terms of photon frequency ν:

$m = h\cdot\nu / c^2$,
$\lambda = c / \nu$,
$\omega = \pi\cdot\nu$.

Compute $m^2\cdot\lambda^4\cdot\omega^6$:

$$m^2\cdot\lambda^4\cdot\omega^6=(h^2\,\nu^2 / c^4)\cdot(c^4 / \nu^4)\cdot(\pi^6\,\nu^6) = h^2\cdot\pi^6\cdot\nu^4.$$

Therefore, the power simplifies to the compact form:

$$P_g = (G\cdot h^2\cdot\pi^6 / (12\cdot c^5))\cdot\nu^4 \qquad (14)$$

So, the robust frequency scaling of the radiated gravitational power is $P_g \sim \nu^{4,}$ and the explicit pre-factor is:

$$G\cdot h^2\cdot\pi^6 / (12\cdot c^5) \qquad (15)$$

Due to its definition, this pre-factor can be considered a universal constant.

Step 7-Energy radiated per reflection:
A single reflection (from one mirror) spans time $T = 1 / \nu$ (by model assumption). The energy radiated during one reflection, which is taken as the graviton energy, is:

$$E_g\text{ (per reflection)} = P_g\cdot T = P_g / \nu = [G\cdot h^2\cdot\pi^6 / (12\cdot c^5)]\cdot\nu^3 \qquad (16)$$

Step 8 - Comparison to a single graviton (quantum) at the photon's frequency
The graviton energy E_g found in this study is a quantum with the same frequency as the photon ($\nu_g \approx \nu$) is extremely small in comparison with the currently used hypothetical value $E'_g = h\cdot\nu$ because of h^2 and c^5, which appear in Eq. 16. This can be considered a major finding because there is no theoretical or experimental evidence to support the equation $E'_g = h\cdot\nu$.

IV. DISCUSSION

The present analysis demonstrates that the reflection of a single photon in a resonant cavity necessarily produces high-frequency gravitational radiation. By representing the photon as an effective oscillating point-like mass, the quadrupole formalism of general relativity could be consistently applied. The resulting expression, $P_g \sim \nu^4$, highlights a robust frequency scaling, while the radiated graviton energy per reflection, $E_g \sim \nu^3$, deviates fundamentally from the widely assumed but unproven relation $E'_g = h\nu$.

The dependence $E_g \sim \nu^3$ fact that the energy of the radiated graviton must depend on the power of 3 of its frequency was demonstrated with approximation in some other early papers. [5, 6]

This distinction between the graviton energy dependence on ν^3 and ν^1 is critical. It suggests that graviton emission is not simply an electromagnetic analogue but is governed by higher-order couplings between energy, frequency, and space-time curvature. The predicted ν^3 scaling opens a new pathway to connect general relativity with Quantum Gravity without introducing speculative post-Einsteinian assumptions.

Moreover, equation (14) emphasizes that gravitational effects could become experimentally relevant at high frequencies (hard UV, X-ray), and multilayer reflective surfaces, motivating future efforts to develop high-reflectivity multilayer surfaces working well at these frequencies.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that photon reflection within a resonant cavity provides a well-defined theoretical mechanism for graviton (or gravitational wavelet) emission. The rigorous quadrupole analysis yields two main results:

(a) - the gravitational radiation power scales as ν^4, and (b) - the graviton energy scales as ν^3, rather than ν^1. This outcome challenges the conventional assumption of linear graviton–frequency dependence and supports the idea that quantum gravity theories should incorporate cubic frequency scaling.

The proposed framework offers a bridge between general relativity and quantum approaches by demonstrating a direct and testable coupling between confined electromagnetic fields and gravitational radiation.

These findings establish a foundation for experimental programs aiming to detect the high-frequency gravitational wavelets generated through high-frequency/energy electromagnetic waves reflection and encourage the reformulation of quantum gravity theories by considering the graviton energy E_g dependence on its frequency at the power of 3 (ν^3).

Information related to this paper:

Originality: This represents original research supported by the Romanian Research and Development Institute for Gas Turbines-COMOTI, Bucharest, Romania.

Funding: This research was funded only by the Romanian Research and Development Institute for Gas Turbines-COMOTI, Bucharest, Romania.

Author Contributions: The theory was developed by the author Constantin Sanduor

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability Statement: All the available data are included in this paper. No other additional data was created.

REFERENCE

1. Lasky P.D., Gravitational Waves from Neutron Stars: A Review, Publication of the Astronomical Society of Australia (PASA), Volume 32, 2025, DOI: 10.1017/pasa.2015.35.

2. Friedman, J.L., Stergioulas, N., Astrophysical Implications of Neutron Star Inspiral and Coalescence, International Journal of Modern Physics (IJMPD), volume 29, 2020, DOI: 10.1142/SO218271820410151.

3. A. Einstein, The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity (translated from 'Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitatstheorie', Annalen der Physik, 49, 1916), The Principle of Relativity, Dover Publications Inc., ISBN 0-486-60081-5;

4. C.W. Misner, K.S. Thorne, J.A. Wheeler, Gravitation, W. H. Freeman and Company (1973), chapter 36.

5. Sandu, C., Brasoveanu, D., On the Theoretical Possibility to Generate Gravitational Waves Using Electromagnetic Waves, ISSN 2347–3487, Volume 13 Number 2I, Journal of Advances in Physics;

6. Sandu, C., Advances in Demonstration of the 'Theorem of Gravitational Wave Generation through Photon Reflection' and Technological Applications, 7th International Conference on Applied Physics, Simulation and Computing (APSAC 2025), 20-23 June, Salerno, Italy;