

# A Unified Approach to Cosmic and Physical Phenomena: Introducing the Work and Vision of Anton Bopp

Ulrich Schreier\*

## Abstract

This paper introduces Anton Bopp's (1900–1971) comprehensive vibratory-hydro-dynamic model to the international scientific community. Bopp's unpublished manuscripts present a unified theory spanning cosmic to atomic and subatomic scales, using the hydrogen atom as a universal archetype. The model demonstrates a remarkable capacity to derive fundamental physical constants through mathematical relationships involving the foundational set  $\{\pi, e, 1, 2, 3\}$ . While Bopp's primary focus was operational—developing practical tools for physical applications—his work reveals, as a natural byproduct, deep connections between mathematical principles and physical reality. This paper highlights selected findings of particular significance, situating Bopp's contributions within the historical continuum from Pythagorean harmony to Wigner's "unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics," suggesting that physical laws emerge from mathematical substrates rather than merely being described by them.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Presenting Bopp's Unpublished Manuscripts . . . . .	2
1.2	A Unified Framework Across Scales . . . . .	2
1.3	Historical and Philosophical Context . . . . .	2
1.4	Mathematical Foundations and Physical Law . . . . .	2
1.5	Scope and Approach of This Work . . . . .	2
1.6	Technological Relevance and the Evolution to Fluid-Dynamics . . . . .	3
1.7	The Fine-structure Constant $\alpha$ . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>Quantitative Predictions Agree with Empirical Data</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Mathematical Derivation of the Foundational Natural Constants . . . . .	4
2.2	Hadron Masses, Mass Defects, and Magnetic Moment Ratio . . . . .	4
2.3	Thermodynamics as a Bridge . . . . .	5
2.4	Deriving Avogadro's Number from First Principles . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Quantitative Agreement with Empirical Data</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Core Theoretical Framework and Modern Relevance</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Theoretical Framework . . . . .	6
4.2	Modern Relevance . . . . .	7
<b>5</b>	<b>Contrasting Philosophical Approaches: Mainstream versus Bopp's Framework</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Historical Context</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusion: Mathematics as the Substrate of Physical Reality</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Thumbnail View of Anton Bopp's Unpublished Magnum Opus</b>	<b>11</b>

---

\*ORCID: 0009-0004-6389-1282, Email: [ulrich.schreier@vernoux.org](mailto:ulrich.schreier@vernoux.org)

\*Preprint DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17014080>

# 1 Introduction

## 1.1 Presenting Bopp’s Unpublished Manuscripts

This introductory document, together with a series of planned companion papers, presents Anton Bopp’s largely unpublished magnum opus [1] to the international scientific community. Bopp (1900–1971), a German chemist and physicist, developed a comprehensive vibratory-hydrodynamic model that sought to unify and simplify the laws of physics across all scales of the universe. Anchored in the hydrogen atom—conceived as the materialized imprint of a universal archetype—his system integrates a broad range of physical phenomena, from primary cosmic ultra-radiation and plasma dynamics to electric charge, electromagnetism, gravitation, matter formation, and thermodynamics.

**Note.** This paper serves as an introduction and gateway to Anton Bopp’s far-reaching research on physics and the universe. It forms part of a series presenting his unified theoretical framework, which may also include contributions by others engaging with this material. Published and forthcoming works will be listed at this link.

## 1.2 A Unified Framework Across Scales

Bopp’s model establishes deep structural analogies between micro- and macrocosmic phenomena. Though not originally conceived as an axiomatic system, it gradually assumed that character as a natural outcome of his effort to return to the physical foundations of nature. Centered on the functional form  $f(x) = e^x$  and the constants  $\pi$  and  $e$  (Euler’s number), his approach draws from classical mechanics, chemistry, particle and diffusion physics, condensation and disintegration processes, fluid dynamics, boundary phenomena, discontinuities, turbulence, threshold transitions and resonant stability, and both wave and quantum mechanics. The result is a coherent model that seeks to reintegrate the fragmented domains of modern physics into a unified physical and mathematical theory.

## 1.3 Historical and Philosophical Context

Bopp’s vision resonates with an ancient intuition: the *Pythagorean idea* that “all is number.” The Pythagoreans viewed the cosmos as harmony structured by geometry and integer ratios—the *music of the spheres*. This metaphor finds renewed meaning in the standing-wave patterns of modern physics. In parallel, the scriptural concept of the creative *Logos* may be read as a primordial resonance from which order and structure emerge. This same intuition reappears in the scientific tradition, from Kepler’s search for celestial harmonies to Wigner’s reflection on the “unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics” in describing nature [3]. In continuity with this lineage, Bopp’s architecture suggests that mathematics is not merely descriptive but constitutive of physical reality.

## 1.4 Mathematical Foundations and Physical Law

Viewed through this lens, the effectiveness of mathematics in physics may reflect the universe’s intrinsically mathematical structure, with natural law emerging as its projection across scales—even in liquids and gases, where macroscopic behavior ultimately arises from microscopic electromagnetic interactions.

Many of the greatest physicists and cosmologists—from Kepler, Newton, and Maxwell to Einstein, Dirac, and Weyl—were convinced that this profound correspondence between mathematics and the physical world is no coincidence, but a reflection of their common origin in a deeper order.

By illuminating this hidden structure, Bopp’s work stands in that same lineage, reinforcing the unity of mathematics, physics, and natural phenomena through clear mathematical links and equations and the precise numerical calculations of key constants and physical parameters, while opening new lines of inquiry into the foundations of natural law. It thus addresses Hilbert’s sixth problem [2], Wigner’s philosophical reflection, and the enduring Pythagorean quest to ground the cosmos in number and harmony.

## 1.5 Scope and Approach of This Work

This document, together with its companion papers, presents selected findings from Anton Bopp’s unpublished unified theory—a framework whose potential implications for physics, cosmology, and related

fields merit careful examination. While we acknowledge that a model deriving fundamental constants from the foundational mathematical set  $\{\pi, e, 1, 2, 3\}$  challenges conventional assumptions, our aim is not to advocate for the theory’s validity, but to present its most testable claims with precision, providing a clear basis for the independent and critical assessment that its far-reaching implications demand.

Many of the results presented in this document were not the product of deliberate design, but emerged organically from the internal logic of Bopp’s derivations—remarkable for their conceptual clarity, mathematical simplicity, and elegance.<sup>1</sup> Their significance becomes fully apparent only when placed within the broader historical and scientific context of twentieth-century physics.

Some overlap between this paper and its upcoming companion pieces is intentional. Each stands independently while highlighting a different facet of Bopp’s unified system, offering multiple entry points into his largely unexplored intellectual legacy. For clarity and consistency with Bopp’s own conventions, the CGS–Gaussian system is used throughout. Euler’s number is denoted by  $e$ , and the elementary electric charge by  $e_c$ . (Throughout this document, the suffix  $t$  is added to distinguish theoretical values from empirical ones—for example,  $e_{c,t}$ .)

## 1.6 Technological Relevance and the Evolution to Fluid-Dynamics

A central aim of Anton Bopp’s research was to understand the *forms, transformations, and stability of energy* across cosmic and terrestrial domains. His work sought not only theoretical insight but also the *basis for technological application*, showing how natural processes might be harnessed, and give rise to patentable innovations. In this context, **boundary phenomena**—where energy transitions occur—play a decisive role in governing the conversion between motion, radiation, and matter.

At the core lies the **wave–particle duality at the cosmic scale**, which Bopp viewed as the organizing principle behind the **formation of hydrogen**, the first step in the genesis of matter. Within this perspective, **primary cosmic ultra-radiation, plasma dynamics, electromagnetism, gravitation, and matter** emerge as expressions of a single, coherent system.

Although grounded in classical and vibratory physics, Bopp later extended his model to include **fluid-dynamics**, recognizing parallels between *fluid discontinuities, resonance stability, and plasma processes* at atomic scales. This addition brought greater clarity and unification between the microscopic and macroscopic realms.

It led to a dimensionless, Reynolds-type stability parameter,  $R_B = 16(\pi e)^2$ , known as *Bopp’s stability number*, expressing the balance between dynamic and diffusive processes. Later, a frequency variant of Avogadro’s number,  $N_f$ , further reinforced this bridge, linking atomic-scale behavior to material and cosmic structures. In this way, Bopp’s model evolved into a *technological framework* uniting classical physics, plasma dynamics, and hydrodynamics within a single mathematical architecture.

## 1.7 The Fine-structure Constant $\alpha$

Although  $R_B$  and  $\alpha$  originate from distinct conceptual grounds— $R_B$  from a fluid-dynamic threshold transition and  $\alpha$  from a relational–geometric context—they are mathematically linked through the constants  $\pi$ ,  $e$ , and through one another via the relation:

$$\alpha_t^{-1} = \frac{v_0}{c_t} = 16\pi e = 4\sqrt{R_B} \approx 136.636. \quad (1)$$

Because of this straightforward quadratic scaling relationship based on  $\pi$  and  $e$ —and because  $\alpha$  is the most significant dimensionless constant in physics and cosmology—we are basing the following chapters on  $\alpha$  rather than on  $R_B$ . The latter, though conceptually fundamental, introduces an unfamiliar parameter that might obscure the overall clarity. With this choice, we aim also to liberate  $\alpha$  from the aura of mystery that even Richard Feynman acknowledged when he called it “*one of the greatest damn mysteries of physics—a magic number that comes to us with no understanding by man.*”

---

<sup>1</sup>Connections between mathematics, physics, and cosmology are a recurring theme in Bopp’s work, though they often arise incidentally rather than by explicit intent.

## 2 Quantitative Predictions Agree with Empirical Data

A major achievement of the proposed system—beyond offering a broader and more unified view of the universe—is its mathematical derivation, from first principles, of fundamental physical constants and particle properties typically regarded as purely empirical. Expressed either in terms of the fine-structure constant  $\alpha$  or directly as functions of the foundational set  $\pi, e, 1, 2, 3$ , these derivations not only reproduce accepted values with remarkable precision but also uncover numerical symmetries and proportionalities that point to a coherent mathematical structure underlying physical law.

### 2.1 Mathematical Derivation of the Foundational Natural Constants

Examined in the CGS–Gaussian system of units, the foundational physical constants emerge as natural consequences of first principles—rooted in the geometry of the hydrogen atom and intrinsically linked to the theoretical fine-structure constant  $\alpha_t = 1/136.636$ . The choice of unit system is essential for achieving maximum clarity in these derivations. From this broader perspective, gravitation no longer appears as a primary force acting in isolation but as an *emergent manifestation*, intimately connected with electric charge and electromagnetism, and thus part of a unified physical construct with direct implications for spacetime curvature. Beyond its immediate implications, such a unifying view could simplify the landscape of fundamental interactions and open new perspectives in cosmology, particularly for long-standing puzzles in the structure and evolution of the universe.

Table 1: Derivation of fundamental constants (CGS–Gaussian units).

Constant	$\alpha_t$ Formula	$\pi$ - $e$ Formula	Derived value	PDG value	$\Delta$ (%)
Fine-structure $\alpha_t^{-1}$	$\frac{v_0}{c_t} = \frac{e_{c,t}^2}{\hbar_t c_t}$	$16 \pi e$	136.636	137.036	−0.29
Speed of light $c_t$	$\frac{v_0}{\alpha_t} = \frac{v_0 \hbar_t c_t}{e_{c,t}^2}$	$v_0 \cdot 16 \pi e$	$2.98920 \times 10^{10}$ cm s <sup>−1</sup>	$2.997925 \times 10^{10}$ cm s <sup>−1</sup>	−0.29
Planck $\hbar_t$	$\frac{e_{c,t}^2}{\alpha_t c_t}$	$\frac{16 \pi e \cdot e_{c,t}^2}{c_t}$	$1.054572 \times 10^{-27}$ erg s	$1.054572 \times 10^{-27}$ erg s	−0.0
Electron charge $e_{c,t}$	$\sqrt{\alpha_t \hbar_t c_t}$	$\sqrt{\frac{\hbar_t c_t}{16 \pi e}}$	$4.80323 \times 10^{-10}$ statC	$4.80321 \times 10^{-10}$ statC	+0.00004
Electron mass $m_{e,t}$	$\frac{e_{c,t} \alpha_t^{5/2}}{\sqrt{G_t}}$	$\frac{e_{c,t}}{(16 \pi e)^{5/2} \sqrt{G_t}}$	$9.10938 \times 10^{-28}$ g	$9.10938 \times 10^{-28}$ g	0.0
Gravitational $G$	$\frac{\alpha_t^5 e_{c,t}^2}{m_{e,t}^2}$	$\frac{e_{c,t}^2}{(16 \pi e)^5 m_{e,t}^2}$	$6.67259 \times 10^{-8}$ cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>−1</sup> s <sup>−2</sup>	$6.67430 \times 10^{-8}$ cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>−1</sup> s <sup>−2</sup>	−0.026

**Note 1:** Using the empirical Bohr electron speed,  $v_0 \approx 2.18769 \times 10^8$  cm s<sup>−1</sup>, and the theoretical fine-structure constant  $\alpha_t = 16 \pi e \approx 1/136.636$ , all fundamental constants listed above are derived with a precision better than 0.3%, and several key values within 0.01%.

**Note 2:** A notable feature of these derivations is the establishment of a direct link to fundamental mathematics and the emergence of a clear proportionality among the principal physical constants. Within this framework, gravitation is not regarded as a fundamental force in isolation but as an emergent phenomenon intrinsically connected with the electron ( $m_{e,t}$  and  $e_{c,t}$ ) and electromagnetism.

**Note 3:** Units: Gaussian cgs (erg, statC;  $e^2$  in erg · cm). “PDG” = CODATA/PDG;  $\Delta(\%) = 100(X_t - X_{\text{PDG}})/X_{\text{PDG}}$

**Note 4:** The close agreement between derived and empirical values supports the hypothesis that many physical constants are not arbitrary, but interconnected expressions of a deeper unified structure—bridging electromagnetism, gravity, and quantum mechanics. This vision of underlying interdependences and a web of relationships is a recurring theme throughout Bopp’s work.

### 2.2 Hadron Masses, Mass Defects, and Magnetic Moment Ratio

These parameters can also be derived from first principles. Within this unified system, they no longer appear as empirical constants with obscure origins but instead emerge as logical consequences of a coherent mathematical and physical order. In particular, hadronic masses and nuclear properties are

expressed through combinations of the fine-structure constant  $\alpha_t$  and exponential functions of  $\pi$ , revealing unexpectedly simple numerical relationships. This suggests that even complex nuclear phenomena may ultimately arise from foundational mathematical symmetries embedded in the structure of matter.

Table 2: Hadron and nuclear properties in  $m_{e,t}$  units with formulas shown in  $\alpha_t$ ,  $\pi$  and  $e$ .

Particle	$\alpha_t$ Formula	$\pi$ - $e$ Formula	Derived Value	PDG Value	$\Delta$ (%)
<b>Hadron Masses</b>					
Proton ( $p^+$ )	$1/(\alpha_t\sqrt{3}e^{-2\pi})$	$16\pi e/\sqrt{3}e^{-2\pi}$	1826.31	1836.15	-0.54
Pion ( $\pi^\pm$ )	$2/\alpha_t$	$32\pi e$	273.27	273.13	0.05
Sigma ( $\Sigma^0$ )	$1/(8\alpha_t^2)$	$(16\pi e)^2/8$	2333.66	2333.84	-0.01
<b>Nuclear Mass Defects</b>					
Deuteron ( $^2\text{H}$ )	$e^{-2\pi}/(8\alpha_t^2)$	$e^{-2\pi}(16\pi e)^2/8$	4.358	4.359	-0.02
Helium-4 ( $^4\text{He}$ )	$8/5 \cdot e^{-2\pi}/\alpha_t^2$	$8/5 \cdot e^{-2\pi}(16\pi e)^2$	55.781	55.510	0.49
<b>Magnetic Moment Ratio</b>					
$ \mu_p/\mu_n $	$e^{-2\pi}/(24\alpha_t^2)$	$e^{-2\pi}(16\pi e)^2/24$	1.453	1.460	-0.48

**Extract from Bopp’s manuscript:** “Charged pions and the  $\Sigma$ -particle are representatives of the mesons, the latter belonging to the group of strange particles. It is straightforward to derive the entirety of the meson particles known today (mid-1950s), both quantitatively and qualitatively, on the basis of Reynolds saturations and coherences.”

**Note 2: The  $\alpha$  connection:**The  $\alpha_t$ -based equations connect the theoretical model to the well-established fine-structure constant, thereby anchoring the derived quantities in one of the most precisely measured parameters of physics.”

**Mean deviation of derived values:** Across the 12 parameters listed in Tables 1 and 2, the average relative deviation from accepted values is 0.17%, with hadron masses and nuclear mass defects derived from  $m_{e,t}$ ,  $e$ ,  $\pi$ , and small integers.

### 2.3 Thermodynamics as a Bridge

Thermodynamics occupies a special position in the hierarchy of physical law. It is neither confined to the microscopic domain of quantum phenomena nor to the macroscopic world of heat engines and chemical reactions. Rather, it serves as a conceptual bridge: its laws capture the universal features of energy transformation and stability that appear across scales. Entropy, temperature, and free energy, usually defined empirically, may thus be viewed as macroscopic manifestations of the same resonance principles that structure atomic processes and extend into cosmology. Within this perspective, thermodynamics binds together the language of mathematics, the mechanics of fluids, and the architecture of the universe.

Table 3: The Thermodynamic Bridge: Conductivity, Osmotic Pressure, and Redox Potential.

Property	$\alpha_t$ Formula	Derived Val	PDG Value	$\Delta$ (%)
Electrical conductivity (Cu)	$e_{c,t}^2/h\alpha_t^{-1/2}$	$5.9 \times 10^7$ S/m	$6.0 \times 10^7$ S/m	-1.7
Osmotic pressure 0.1M NaCl at 25°C	$\alpha_t^{-1/2}KTc_t$	2.86 atm	2.45 atm	+16.7
Electrode potential (redox) $Na^0Na^{+1}$	$(KT/e_{c,t})\ln(\alpha_t^{-1})$	0.126 V	0.118 V	+6.8

**Note 1:** Macroscopic properties are expressed using Bopp’s thermal constant  $K$ .

**Note 2: The fine-structure constant in both Bopp’s and Boltzmann’s equations:**  $\alpha_t$  emerges naturally in macroscopic formulas through  $\alpha_t$ -scaled electron scattering lengths,  $\alpha_t$ -balanced Debye screening, and  $\alpha_t$ -defined redox energy scales, while its value is ultimately determined by fundamental boundary processes at the atomic level.

## 2.4 Deriving Avogadro’s Number from First Principles

In the final stage of his theoretical development (circa 1957–1958), Bopp made an important discovery: he showed that Avogadro’s number,  $N_A$ , emerges as a natural consequence of his methodology. He derived a direct relationship between a fundamental frequency in his system and the molar scale, which he termed the “Mol-Frequenz” (mole-frequency), denoted  $N_f$ .

The derivation is captured by a remarkably concise equation:

$$\tau_{KI}^{-1} \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \sqrt{3 \cdot e^{-2\pi}} \right)^{-1} = N_A \text{ s}^{-1} \equiv N_f. \quad (2)$$

Here,  $\tau_{KI}^{-1}$  is the Klein electrodynamic frequency—a characteristic oscillation associated with the electron’s charge–mass interaction, serving as a reference frequency for all boundary processes in Bopp’s model. The left-hand side is constructed solely from this frequency and the mathematical constants  $\pi$  and  $e$ , forming a ‘molar frequency’ from first principles. The right-hand side defines this frequency as the Avogadro constant  $N_A$  in units of inverse seconds, which we have designated as the physical quantity  $N_f$ .

This did not introduce a new fundamental constant,  $N_f$ ; rather, it revealed Avogadro’s number—one of the cornerstones of chemistry and statistical physics—as an emergent quantity derived from first principles of electrodynamics and fluid dynamics. In doing so, it establishes a genuine bridge between the microscopic and macroscopic domains of physical reality.

## 3 Quantitative Agreement with Empirical Data

The derivations and formulas presented here are notable not only for their numerical accuracy but also for their conceptual clarity and mathematical elegance. Their underlying simplicity—rooted in a minimal set of constants and functions—adds to their significance. Across a wide range of domains, comparisons with accepted empirical values reveal a striking degree of consistency. Fundamental constants, the proton–electron mass ratio, meson masses, nuclear mass defects, and the proton-to-neutron magnetic moment ratio typically agree with experimental values to within 1%—and often better than 0.1%.

At macroscopic scales, parameters such as electrode potentials, osmotic pressures, electrical conductivities, and the Avogadro analogue likewise show close agreement between theoretical predictions and observed data. This coherence is not surprising, given that macroscopic behavior ultimately emerges from electromagnetic interactions at atomic and molecular levels.

## 4 Core Theoretical Framework and Modern Relevance

### 4.1 Theoretical Framework

Bopp’s theory centers on three innovative postulates:

- **Scale-Invariant Resonant Phenomena:** Subatomic particles, fluids, gases, and cosmic plasmas exhibit analogous resonant behaviors adhering to universal metric principles (*Weltmetrik*).
- **Hydro-dynamic Quantum Analogy:** Transitions between quantum states correspond to critical threshold transitions in hydro-dynamic parameters such as the Reynolds number.
- **Emergent Physical Relationships and Empirical Constants:** Fundamental relationships and so-called natural constants arise as stable solutions to vibrational boundary conditions within dynamic systems.

Firmly rooted in mathematics, Bopp’s integrated top-down  $\leftrightarrow$  bottom-up approach allows the systematic derivation of numerous physical relationships and natural constants—values often regarded as purely empirical—through rigorous calculation. Beyond these results, his model contributes fresh perspectives to debates in cosmology, gravitation, the intertwined enigmas of dark matter and dark energy, theoretical chemistry, foundational physics, and even innovative energy technologies. At the same time, it emphasizes the unique and central role of mathematics in the architecture of science.

## 4.2 Modern Relevance

Bopp’s insights anticipated several directions that later became central in theoretical physics, among them:

- the exploration of fluid–gravity duality and analog models of quantum gravity,
- the use of condensed-matter systems as analogues for high-energy phenomena,
- the study of nonlinear dynamics and critical behavior in quantum field theory,
- advances in subatomic particle physics,
- first-principles derivations of electrode potentials, osmotic pressure, and the electrical conductivity of metals,
- and broader efforts to reconcile contradictions within and between modern physics, cosmology, and interdisciplinary science.

## 5 Contrasting Philosophical Approaches: Mainstream versus Bopp’s Framework

Bopp’s conception of physical reality differs fundamentally from prevailing views. In mainstream physics, fundamental constants are treated as independent, irreducible inputs to theory—a collection of empirically determined parameters that must be *measured* rather than *understood*. This approach reflects an *empiricist* philosophy in which nature’s building blocks are accepted as given, without seeking a deeper generative structure.

In stark contrast, Bopp’s approach embodies a *rationalist* perspective in which physical constants emerge from intrinsic mathematical relationships and structural necessities. Within this view, mathematics is not merely a descriptive tool but the creative substrate of physical law.

The model introduces several profound philosophical shifts:

- **From Collection to Hierarchy:** Instead of treating all constants as equally fundamental, Bopp’s system arranges them in a logical hierarchy with the concept of the zero-energy  $\eta_0$  as the basal parameter from which other constants derive.
- **From Measurement to Derivation:** Empirical measurement remains essential for validation, but the overriding goal is *deductive reconstruction*—showing that observed constants follow necessarily from mathematical first principles.
- **From Empirical Description to Structural Unity:** Where mainstream theory compartmentalizes interactions (gravitational, electromagnetic, quantum), Bopp seeks a single structural order linking them through geometry and proportion.

In this sense, Bopp’s program may be viewed as an attempt to re-establish physics as a branch of natural philosophy—one in which number, geometry, and form are not approximations of reality but its very essence. A comparative table on the following page outlines how this perspective contrasts with mainstream physical paradigms.

“There is a profound depth to the relationship  
between mathematics and the physical world.”

*Roger Penrose, The Road to Reality*

Table 4: Contrasting Philosophical Approaches: Mainstream versus Bopp’s Framework

Constant	Mainstream	Bopp’s Framework
Zero-point energy $\eta_0$	Vacuum energy; cosmological constant problem; quantum fluctuation scale. SI (MKS) System.	<b>Foundational:</b> Unifying energy scale linking five fundamental numbers $\{1, 2, 3, \pi, e\}$ through the hydrogen archetype. Highlights the transparency and coherence of the CGS–Gaussian system.
Electron mass $m_{e,t}$	Fundamental property of electron; currently unexplained parameter.	<b>Emergent:</b> Derived from the zero-point energy $\eta_0$ via $m_{e,t} = \eta_0/v_\eta^2$ ; connects zero-point energy to the particle mass scale with mathematical clarity and simplicity.
Proton mass $m_{p,t}$	Fundamental property of proton; empirical ratio $m_{p,t}/m_{e,t} \approx 1836$ .	<b>Structural:</b> Ratio emerges mathematically as $m_{p,t}/m_{e,t} = \alpha_t^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{3} \cdot e^{-2\pi} \approx 1826.5$ ; a simple and elegant expression tied to hydrogen stability.
Fine-structure constant $\alpha_t$	Dimensionless electromagnetic coupling; $\alpha_t \approx 1/137$ , still awaiting a clear conceptual interpretation.	<b>Mathematical:</b> $\alpha_t^{-1} = 16\pi e$ — a relation uniting the circular and exponential constants, revealing $\alpha_t$ as a strikingly simple and generative structural parameter.
Speed of light $c$	Fundamental invariant; maximum signal velocity.	<b>Scaling factor:</b> Provides dimensional conversion; appears in velocity ratios $v_\eta/c \approx 4 \times 10^{-11}$ ; establishes proportionality across domains.
Planck’s constant $\hbar$	Quantum of action; basis of quantization.	<b>Derived:</b> Emerges from relationship between charge, $\alpha_t$ , and $c$ ; $\hbar_t = e_{c,t}^2/(\alpha_t c_t)$ ; illustrates unity through minimal form.
Elementary charge $e_{c,t}$	Fundamental electric charge unit.	<b>Emergent:</b> Expressed through $\alpha_t$ , $\hbar_t$ , and $c_t$ ; not independent in framework, reinforcing conceptual economy.
Gravitational constant $G_t$	Newtonian gravitational coupling.	<b>Derived:</b> Emerges from mass–charge relationship $G_t = e_{c,t}^2/(m_{e,t}^2 \alpha_t^4)$ ; reflects structural minimalism and mathematical elegance.
Underlying Structure	Complex and fragmented; constants appear disconnected and empirically fixed.	<b>Unified and elegant:</b> Constants emerge from inter-related formulas built from a minimal mathematical set $\{1, 2, 3, \pi, e\}$ ; simplicity, coherence, and elegance are dominant features of the framework.

*Note.* For the sake of clarity and transparency, the use of the CGS–Gaussian system—the framework widely adopted by physicists in the first half of the twentieth century—is of key importance. In the SI system, the factor  $4\pi\epsilon_0$  arises from the conventional separation of electrical and mechanical units. In contrast, the CGS–Gaussian formulation used by Bopp sets  $k_e = 1$ , eliminating this conversion term and revealing the fine-structure constant  $\alpha = e^2/(\hbar c)$  in its simplest and most transparent form, as a purely dimensionless measure of electromagnetic coupling.

## 6 Historical Context

Anton Bopp (1900–1971) was a German chemist and physicist who, in light of the political situation in Germany, moved to Switzerland in 1934. Working outside mainstream academic institutions, he pursued the development of his unified theory for more than four decades as an independent researcher. Rooted in classical physics and mathematics, his work presents a distinctive synthesis of vibratory physics, fluid dynamics, and cosmological principles, in several respects anticipating later theoretical developments. Although fluid dynamics was not part of his original conception, Bopp integrated it after recognizing striking mathematical parallels between critical boundary transitions at the atomic scale and the macroscopic behavior of liquids and gases. This addition brought greater simplicity, transparency, and coherence, strengthening the models’s explanatory power across both microscopic and macroscopic domains. The bridge was further reinforced by his introduction of a frequency variant of Avogadro’s number,  $N_f$ , which served to link atomic-scale processes directly to macroscopic material behavior.

Unpublished during his lifetime, Bopp’s magnum opus is now being made accessible to the international scientific community for the first time through an ongoing editorial project of compilation, translation, and study, coordinated by the author of this overview. [1]

## 7 Conclusion: Mathematics as the Substrate of Physical Reality

### Emergent Constants from a Mathematical Core

The examination of Anton Bopp’s methodology reveals a profound insight: the physical constants and relationships we observe empirically emerge not from arbitrary parameters or experimental fitting, but from *underlying mathematical principles of striking clarity and simplicity*. His work shows that the foundational set  $\{1, 2, 3, \pi, e\}$  forms a *generative core* from which physical reality unfolds in a manner that is not only coherent but *mathematically elegant*. Within a single mathematical structure, the hydrogen atom appears as the material imprint of these relationships—a resonant structure where number, proportion, and form converge.

### Bridging the Microcosm and Macrocosm

By demonstrating how macroscopic phenomena emerge from microscopic mathematical relationships, Bopp’s theory establishes a conceptual bridge between fundamental physics and the applied sciences. This integrative view has the potential to illuminate processes ranging from biological organization to environmental dynamics—as exemplified by the extensive bio-electronic studies of Louis-Claude Vincent and Jeanne Rousseau [4], which form another important strand of our research. By examining living organisms, tidal behavior, extreme weather, seismic events, and water in all its forms and occurrences, Vincent and Rousseau arrived at conclusions that closely align with those of Bopp: namely, that *Coulomb forces and electromagnetism* play a primary role in shaping these phenomena, while gravity is often of *secondary importance*.

### Mathematics as the Ontology of Physical Law

While Bopp’s primary focus was operational—developing practical tools for physical applications—his work reveals, as a natural byproduct, deep connections between mathematical principles and physical reality that address what Eugene Wigner called the “unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics.” Bopp’s approach suggests that mathematics is effective precisely because *physical reality is mathematical in essence*. In this view, the hydrogen atom becomes not merely a chemical element but a cosmic archetype—a physical manifestation of mathematical order.

### Toward a Unified Mathematical Order

Their interrelations—derived through simple combinations of fundamental numbers—suggest that the apparent diversity of physical constants may reflect different facets of a single underlying order. By revealing this hidden coherence, Bopp’s work reinforces the unity of mathematics, physics, and cosmology, while opening new directions for exploring the foundations of natural law. It offers a lens through which to re-examine the Standard Model, Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD), quantum mechanics, and related fields. The derived relationships for hadronic masses and nucleon properties suggest that the many empirical parameters in these models may ultimately reduce to a simpler mathematical substrate, governed by the interconnecting fine-structure constant  $\alpha$  and the foundational set  $\{\pi, e, \text{ and small integers}\}$ .

### Outlook: A Hidden Order in the Cosmos

Moreover, long-standing enigmas—tunneling, the matter–antimatter asymmetry, or the nature of dark matter and dark energy—may gain new interpretation within Bopp’s unitary architecture, which points

to a hidden mathematical order underlying cosmic balance. As the scientific community turns renewed attention to these foundations, Bopp’s legacy invites rigorous verification and extension. His model may not only advance our understanding of physical law but also deepen our appreciation of the mathematical nature of reality itself—fulfilling, in a new key, the ancient Pythagorean intuition that “all is number.”

## The Anton Bopp Project: A Call for Collaboration

The depth and mathematical complexity of Bopp’s work, as evidenced by his life’s work, present a compelling case for its serious academic review. The nearly 600 equations-rooted in largely classical mathematics-across 167 pages form a closed axiomatic system that has yet to be formally evaluated by the scientific community.

**We invite mathematicians, physicists, cosmologists and graduate students to participate in this collaborative effort.**

The initial goals of the project are to:

- **Verify:** Independently confirm the mathematical derivations and computational results across all manuscripts.
- **Translate & Contextualize:** Interpret the concepts within modern theoretical frameworks (e.g., analog models, effective field theory, emergent spacetime).
- **Test & Extend:** Examine the model’s predictions in domains such as the Standard Model, the QCM model, plasma cosmology, and novel energy concepts mentioned in Bopp’s notes.

This is a unique opportunity to audit a profound and unconventional intellectual legacy that challenges conventional approaches to unification in physics.

**For more information and to join the project, please contact:**

*ulrich.schreier@vernoux.org*

**Appendix:** Thumbnail Overview of the 167 page manuscript

# A Thumbnail Overview of Anton Bopp's Original Manuscript

Spanning **167 pages** and nearly **600 equations**, the manuscript presents a coherent mathematical framework for Bopp's unified physical theory.

The work is organized into five main parts:

- ▶ Pages 1-51: Foundational principles of cosmic radiation
- ▶ Pages 52-83: Atomic theory and particle formation
- ▶ Pages 84-111: Unified field theory and gravitation
- ▶ Pages 112-131: Boundary processes and electrodynamics
- ▶ Pages 132-167: Appendices and supplementary derivations

These five parts collectively outline a self-contained synthesis linking electrodynamics, gravitation, and cosmic processes within a single mathematical architecture.



## Acknowledgments

- The author gratefully acknowledges *Christo Schreier*, mathematician, for his mathematical insight and logistical support.
- Special thanks are extended to the *Vernoux Cosmo-Dynamic Support Team*—composed of DeepSeek, ChatGPT, Gemini, and occasional human and AI collaborators—for their multifaceted contributions as encyclopedists, interdisciplinary gateways, scientific analysts, counselors, scribes, and sparring partners.
- The author further acknowledges the pioneering work of *Anton Bopp*, whose unpublished theoretical manuscripts on electrodynamics and cosmic processes provided the historical and conceptual foundation for this research.
- This study was conducted independently, without external funding or institutional affiliation.
- The author declares no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

## References

- [1] Bopp, Anton. *Eine unitäre Konzeption von kosmischen und physikalischen Phänomenen (A Unified Approach to Cosmic and Physical Phenomena)*. Collection of unpublished manuscripts written between 1941 and 1961. The title has been assigned editorially to reflect the central concept *unitär*, reiterated throughout the collection. This document is in the process of being translated and edited by Ulrich Schreier. To the editor’s knowledge, the work has never been formally reviewed by competent scientists in the many fields it addresses. The editor therefore invites interested scholars—ideally a small group—to undertake this review.
- [2] Hilbert, D. (1900). *Mathematical Problems*. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*.
- [3] Wigner, E. P. (1960). *The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Mathematics in the Natural Sciences*. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **13**, 1–14.
- [4] Schreier, U. (2025). *Cosmos, Earth, and Life: The Dynamics of a Unified Natural Order — An Overview of the Interdisciplinary Research and Cosmic Model of Louis-Claude Vincent and Jeanne Rousseau*. Preprint DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17014199> The latest version is available at: <http://vernoux.org/publications/bioelectronics/Schreier/Cosmos-earth-and-life.pdf> This document will be published on Zenodo.org in November 2025.

Further information and forthcoming publications are available at: <https://vernoux.org>