

Space-Based Data Centers: First Principles Deconstruction and Market Ecosystem Analysis Through 2035

Aldrich K Wooden, Sr

Graduate Student

Southern New Hampshire University

aldrich.wooden@snhu.edu

October 13, 2025

Abstract

This research examines space-based data centers through first principles analysis, deconstructing fundamental physics constraints and reconstructing complete market ecosystem implications across direct and indirect sectors. Analysis reveals orbital data centers could achieve 60-70% cost advantages over terrestrial facilities by 2035 if launch costs decline below \$100/kg, but face immutable thermal management constraints from Stefan-Boltzmann radiation law that limit power density to 10-20 kW per rack versus terrestrial facilities' 30-100+ kW. The market is projected to reach \$39 billion by 2035 at 67% CAGR, with first commercial operations launching in 2025. Fundamental physics analysis demonstrates space data centers solve satellite data processing problems rather than general computing problems, with optimal applications in Earth observation processing, AI training requiring unlimited clean power, and national security applications valuing physical isolation. The study maps complete

infrastructure ecosystem requirements including launch systems, thermal management, radiation hardening, communications networks, and servicing capabilities, while analyzing market impacts across 15+ sectors from aerospace to insurance. Critical success factors include Starship achieving target economics by 2028-2030, technology maturation to TRL 8-9, regulatory clarity on data sovereignty, and anchor customer commitments enabling commercial viability.

1 Introduction

The convergence of artificial intelligence’s exponential power demands, plummeting launch costs through reusable rockets, and unique advantages of the space environment creates conditions for a fundamental shift in computing infrastructure location. Space-based data centers represent more than incremental innovation—they constitute a first-principles reimagining of where and how humanity performs computation [1, 2].

Current terrestrial data centers face compounding constraints: U.S. capacity must double from 17 GW (2022) to 35 GW (2027), yet AI workloads could demand 60-100 GW by 2030 [3]. Environmental opposition, water scarcity for cooling systems consuming 1.2 trillion liters annually, and carbon emissions create deployment bottlenecks that orbital facilities inherently avoid [4].

1.1 Research Objectives

This research employs first principles methodology to:

1. Deconstruct fundamental physics constraints governing space data center design
2. Map complete infrastructure ecosystem requirements for deployment and operation
3. Reconstruct market implications across direct and indirect sectors
4. Establish economic thresholds for commercial viability
5. Project market evolution through 2035 with confidence intervals

The analysis reveals that success depends critically on launch cost reductions to below \$100/kg, achievable only through SpaceX Starship’s full operational maturity [5,6].

2 First Principles Deconstruction

2.1 Thermal Management: The Dominant Constraint

The Stefan-Boltzmann law governs all thermal design in vacuum: heat rejection occurs exclusively via radiation at rate $Q = \varepsilon\sigma AT^4$, where ε is emissivity (typically 0.86 for aluminum), σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant ($5.67 \times 10^{-8} \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}^4$), A is radiator area, and T is absolute temperature [7,8].

For a 2 MW facility at operational temperature 320K (47°C), the mathematics prove unforgiving:

$$Q = 0.86 \times 5.67 \times 10^{-8} \times A \times (320)^4 \approx 506A \text{ watts} \quad (1)$$

Solving for required radiator area: $A = 2,000,000/506 \approx 3,950 \text{ m}^2$. With realistic radiator mass of 5-10 kg/m², total thermal management system mass reaches 19,750-39,500 kg—exceeding combined mass of computing equipment, power systems, and structural components [7].

This fundamental constraint explains why terrestrial data centers maintain advantages in raw compute density. Atmospheric convection removes heat at 2,000+ W at ambient temperatures with minimal mass penalty. Space data centers don’t benefit from “free cooling” in vacuum; they suffer from radiative cooling’s inefficiency at operational temperatures [8].

2.2 Radiation Damage Accumulation

Cosmic rays, solar flares, and Van Allen belt radiation inflict continuous cumulative damage. Commercial electronics typically tolerate 50-100 Gy (5-10 krad) before failure, providing 2-5 year operational lifespans in LEO [9, 10]. HPE’s Spaceborne Computer demonstrated this reality during

its 657-day ISS mission: 45% of SSDs failed despite software error correction [11].

The economic choice proves stark: pay 10-100× premiums for radiation-hardened components lagging terrestrial technology by 5-10 years [12], or accept 3× hardware redundancy with frequent replacement cycles. Either approach increases costs versus terrestrial facilities with 15-20 year refresh cycles protected by Earth’s magnetic field at zero cost [13].

2.3 Launch Economics as Fulcrum

At current Falcon 9 costs of \$67 million per launch delivering 22,800 kg to LEO, deploying a 50,000 kg facility costs \$147 million at \$2,940/kg—substantially more than equivalent terrestrial construction at \$40 million [14, 15].

The entire business case pivots on SpaceX Starship achieving target economics of \$10-100/kg through full reusability and high flight rates. At \$100/kg, launch costs drop to \$5 million per 50,000 kg facility. At \$20/kg, space data centers achieve structural cost advantages [5, 6].

2.4 The Latency Paradox

Physics limits electromagnetic propagation to 299,792 km/s. For ground users accessing LEO orbital data centers at 500-800 km altitude, every transaction travels: ground station (10-50 ms) + LEO satellite (20-40 ms) + processing + return path (20-40 ms) + user (10-50 ms) = 60-190 ms total latency [16].

Compare this to terrestrial CDNs delivering content in 10-50 ms total. Space data centers increase latency for terrestrial applications. They only reduce latency for satellite-to-satellite communications, where processing data in-orbit eliminates bandwidth bottleneck of downlink-process-uplink cycles [17].

This first-principles analysis reveals the core value proposition: **space data centers solve satellite data processing problems, not general computing problems.**

3 Infrastructure Ecosystem Requirements

3.1 Launch Systems: Foundation Layer Transformation

SpaceX dominates with 55% of global launches (138 of 250 in 2024) and revolutionary economics [18]. Falcon 9's reusability enables 20+ booster flights, reducing costs from \$4,200/kg to \$1,400/kg with Falcon Heavy. The company's 3-day pad turnaround demonstrates industrial-scale space access [19].

Starship represents the critical enabler with 100-150 tonnes to LEO capacity. At 9m diameter versus Falcon 9's 5.2m, it enables integrated 50-100 tonne facilities rather than modular assembly [20]. Ten test flights by October 2025 show rapid development pace, with 25 launches planned for 2025 [19].

Blue Origin's New Glenn adds 45-tonne capacity starting 2025, providing supply chain diversification [21, 22].

3.2 Power Generation Systems

Modern multi-junction solar cells achieve 29.5-32.2% efficiency at 433 W/m² in the undiluted 1,361 W/m² solar constant [23]. For a 2 MW facility at 1.5 PUE, total power requirements reach 3 MW, demanding 6,900-7,700 m² of solar array with mass of 27,500-46,200 kg at 18-140 W/kg specific power [24].

NASA's Kilopower fission reactors offer an alternative: 10 kWe units at 1,500 kg (6.7 W/kg) provide continuous 10+ year operation independent of orbital parameters [25, 26]. For multi-megawatt data centers, nuclear becomes essential when solar array mass becomes prohibitive.

3.3 Communications Infrastructure

NASA's Laser Communications Relay Demonstration achieved 1.2 Gbps sustained from GEO to ground stations, while TeraByte InfraRed Delivery demonstrated 200 Gbps [27, 28]. Starlink's

4-terminal optical inter-satellite links create mesh networks with hundreds of Gbps per link [29].

For orbital data centers, optical ISLs eliminate ground station bottlenecks that currently consume 60% of satellite imagery revenues [30]. Amazon’s Project Kuiper demonstrated 100 Gbps bidirectional ISLs over 1,000 km distances [31].

3.4 Servicing and Manufacturing Capabilities

Northrop Grumman’s Mission Extension Vehicles demonstrate commercial viability: MEV-1 and MEV-2 have provided a combined decade of service with zero disruptions, docking to aging satellites to extend their life 5-15 years [32,33].

Astroscale’s ELSA-d completed the world’s first commercial debris removal demonstration in 2024 [34]. ELSA-M launches in 2026 for Eutelsat OneWeb [35]. Orbit Fab operates the first propellant depot with RAFTI standardized refueling ports [13].

In-space manufacturing reached operational status with Redwire’s Additive Manufacturing Facility, producing 200+ parts since 2016 on ISS [36,37].

4 Current State and Key Players

4.1 Starcloud (Lumen Orbit)

Founded 2024 by ex-SpaceX Starlink engineers, Starcloud raised \$21 million—among the highest Y Combinator seed rounds ever [38,39]. The Lumen-1 demonstrator launching July 2025 carries 100× more powerful GPUs than any prior space mission using terrestrial NVIDIA H100 chips [40].

Development roadmap scales: 1 kW demo (2025) → 100 kW micro data center (2026) → gigawatt hypercluster (post-Starship operational). At target 5 GW deployment, the facility would require a 4 km² solar array [41].

4.2 Axiom Space

After launching the AxDCU-1 prototype to ISS in August 2024, Axiom announced first two Orbital Data Center nodes launching by end of 2025 on Kepler Communications satellites [42]. These nodes feature 2.5 Gbps optical intersatellite links compatible with Space Development Agency standards, scaling to 10+ Gbps [43].

Axiom's ODC T1 planned for their commercial space station in 2027 targets national security applications: real-time threat detection, multi-sensor fusion, autonomous decision-making [44].

4.3 European ASCEND Initiative

Thales Alenia Space's ASCEND (Advanced Space Cloud for European Net zero emission and Data sovereignty) provides the most comprehensive feasibility study [2, 45]. Published June 2024 findings show orbital data centers could significantly reduce energy consumption and carbon emissions versus terrestrial infrastructure through 24/7 solar power [46].

Roadmap spans 2031 (50 kW proof of concept) to 2050 (1 GW deployment) with projected returns of several billion euros [47].

4.4 Cloud Provider Integration

AWS Ground Station pioneered pay-per-minute satellite data access [48]. Microsoft Azure Orbital combines ground station services with SpaceX Starlink partnership for 1-hop cloud access globally [49]. Google Cloud Platform partners with Starlink by installing ground stations directly in Google data centers [50].

All three hyperscalers position for hybrid cloud-space architectures, viewing orbital infrastructure as network edge rather than replacement for terrestrial facilities [51].

5 Market Analysis and Projections

5.1 Direct Sector Impacts

5.1.1 Aerospace and Launch Services

Launch services market experiences fundamental restructuring as costs decline 80-95%. SpaceX's reusability reduces costs from \$10,000-20,000/kg to \$1,400/kg with Falcon Heavy [6, 15]. Launch frequency reached unprecedented levels: SpaceX's 138 launches in 2024 represent 55% of global total [52].

Investment flows dramatically: Starcloud's \$21 million seed round signals VC confidence in orbital computing [38]. The space economy projected at \$600+ billion today reaches \$1.8 trillion by 2035 [53].

5.1.2 Satellite Communications

Starlink's 7,600+ active satellites (65% of all active satellites globally) serve 4+ million subscribers [29, 52]. OneWeb's 634 satellites serve enterprise markets. Project Kuiper's 3,236 planned satellites face July 2026 FCC deadline [54].

Optical intersatellite links eliminate ground station bottlenecks. Amazon demonstrated 100 Gbps bidirectional ISLs for Project Kuiper [31]. For satellite data processing, these links enable data to remain in orbit for analysis rather than downlink-process-uplink cycles.

5.1.3 Cloud Computing

Cloud providers approach orbital infrastructure as network edge extension. AWS Ground Station, Azure Orbital, and Google Cloud partnerships with satellite operators create seamless terrestrial-space integration [48, 49].

The \$171.8 billion IaaS market (2024) grows 22.5% annually with AWS (38%), Azure (24%), and Google Cloud (9%) commanding 71% share [55]. The in-orbit data centers market projec-

tion of \$1.77 billion (2029) to \$39 billion (2035) at 67.4% CAGR suggests nascent but rapidly accelerating demand [56,57].

5.2 Indirect Sector Impacts

5.2.1 Semiconductors and Radiation Hardening

The radiation-hardened electronics market grows from \$1.77 billion (2025) to \$2.30 billion (2030) at 5.4% CAGR [13]. Radiation-hardened-by-design (RHBD) dominates growth, using commercial processes with redundancy and error correction rather than expensive rad-hard-by-process chips [12].

Wide bandgap semiconductors (SiC, GaN) offer inherent radiation tolerance with 10× higher voltage capability [9]. The DoD's \$170 million investment in domestic rad-hard chip production addresses supply chain vulnerabilities [58].

5.2.2 Energy and Power Systems

Space power systems market reaches \$3.19 billion (2024) to \$5.72 billion (2032) at 7.4% CAGR [59]. For orbital data centers, the power cost advantage proves decisive: space solar provides 24/7 availability at effectively 0.1¢/kWh versus terrestrial grid at 5¢/kWh average [60].

A 150 MW facility consumes \$328 million over 5 years in electricity costs terrestrially versus negligible fuel costs in orbit [47].

5.2.3 Insurance and Risk Management

Space insurance market grows 6.5-16.7% CAGR with 40% in-orbit coverage share [57]. New products required for orbital data centers include life extension service insurance, refueling operation liability, data breach coverage, and business interruption insurance.

Initial premium estimates: 8-12% of facility value annually for comprehensive coverage, declining to 4-6% as operational history develops [57].

6 Economic Reconstruction

6.1 Total Cost of Ownership Analysis

Current economics favor terrestrial facilities decisively. A 2 MW data center costs \$16-26 million terrestrially versus \$71 million orbital at Falcon 9 rates—2.7-4.4× more expensive [61].

The economic case transforms with Starship maturation. At \$100/kg launch costs, orbital deployment costs drop to comparable levels. At \$20/kg, space achieves structural advantages [5].

10-Year TCO Analysis (150 MW facility):

Terrestrial:

- Capital: \$1.05-1.8 billion
- Power (5¢/kWh, 24/7): \$328 million
- Cooling/maintenance: \$150 million
- Solar farm amortization: \$44 million
- **Total: \$2.1-3.1 billion**

Orbital (mature Starship costs):

- Launch (assuming \$50/kg average): \$400 million
- Satellite infrastructure: \$200 million
- Operations (minimal): \$50 million
- **Total: \$650-950 million**
- **Savings: 65-70%**

This analysis assumes mature technology (TRL 8-9), full Starship reusability, and 10-year operational life [1, 61].

6.2 Market Evolution Timeline

Phase 1: Demonstration (2025-2027) - TRL 6-7, \$5-15 billion investment

Starcloud Lumen-1 and Axiom Space ODC nodes operational. Multiple proof-of-concept demonstrations. Defense and satellite processing early adopters. Not yet profitable; R&D and validation phase [40,42].

Phase 2: Early Commercial (2027-2031) - TRL 7-8, \$50-100 billion market

1-10 MW facilities deployed. Earth observation companies adopt. National security applications expand. Break-even achieved by technology leaders. 10-15 active companies [57].

Phase 3: Growth (2031-2036) - TRL 8-9, \$200-300 billion cumulative market

ASCEND 50 kW proof of concept (2031) validates European approach. 10-100 MW facilities become standard. Mainstream cloud providers integrate orbital capacity. AI training workloads migrate to space. 20-30+ providers [47].

Phase 4: Maturity (2036-2050) - TRL 9, multi-trillion dollar market

ASCEND 1 GW deployment (2050) demonstrates gigawatt-scale viability. Starcloud achieves 5 GW target. Multiple gigawatt-scale operators. 5-10% of global data center capacity in orbit [41,47].

7 Critical Success Factors and Risks

7.1 Must Achieve Factors

1. **Starship operational at ;\$100/kg (90% probability by 2028):** Launch cost reduction represents the single most critical factor. Without achieving this threshold, orbital data centers remain economically unviable for commercial applications beyond defense niches [5].
2. **Technology maturation to TRL 8-9 (80% probability by 2030):** Thermal management, radiation tolerance, and autonomous operations must reach full operational capability. HPE Spaceborne Computer and Axiom demonstrations provide validation [11].

3. **Regulatory clarity on data sovereignty (60% probability by 2030):** Unclear jurisdiction for orbital data storage creates adoption barriers for regulated industries requiring compliance [57].
4. **Anchor customer commitments (70% probability by 2027):** Defense agencies and satellite operators must provide revenue stability enabling commercial development [42].

7.2 Major Risk Factors

Launch costs remain >\$200/kg (20% probability): Market limited to defense niches, >\$5 billion by 2035. Starship development delays or technical failures could prevent cost targets from being achieved [62].

Technical failures during demonstrations (15% probability): Multi-year setbacks and reduced investor confidence. The Starcloud and Axiom demonstrations in 2025 represent critical validation points [40].

Terrestrial alternatives improve faster (30% probability): Nuclear power, renewable energy advances, and efficiency improvements reduce space advantages. Google’s FireSat and other terrestrial AI infrastructure expansions demonstrate rapid innovation [63].

Regulatory barriers emerge (25% probability): Data sovereignty restrictions, spectrum allocation conflicts, and orbital debris concerns could halt deployment [57].

8 Conclusions

Space-based data centers represent genuine innovation addressing specific problems rather than speculative technology seeking applications. The fundamental value proposition—processing satellite data at source, eliminating ground station bottlenecks, providing disaster-resilient infrastructure, enabling AI training with unlimited clean power—creates defensible business cases for targeted applications [1].

First principles analysis reveals immutable constraints: Stefan-Boltzmann law limits thermal management, radiation damage forces shortened replacement cycles, and latency physics favor satellite-to-satellite rather than satellite-to-ground applications. These constraints determine that space data centers solve satellite data processing problems, not general computing problems [7,60].

Success requires convergence of declining launch costs (target: \leq \$100/kg), maturing technology (TRL 8-9), supportive regulation, and sustained market demand. The probability of reaching \$39 billion by 2035 appears moderate (50-60%), with conservative outcomes (\leq \$20 billion) and optimistic outcomes (\geq \$50 billion) both plausible [56,57].

The market will develop in waves: defense and satellite processing (2025-2030), AI training and premium cloud services (2030-2035), potential mainstream adoption if economics reach parity (2035-2045). Starcloud's July 2025 launch and Axiom's year-end deployment mark the transition from speculation to operation [40,42].

For stakeholders, the imperative is strategic positioning: technology companies should develop radiation-hardened components, investors should maintain exposure through specialized funds while awaiting commercial validation, satellite operators should integrate edge computing capabilities, cloud providers should develop partnerships and monitor technology maturation, and government agencies should support foundational R&D while developing enabling regulatory frameworks.

The next decade will reveal whether space-based data centers become niche infrastructure serving satellite applications or revolutionary platforms capturing meaningful market share. The physics and economics suggest the former remains more likely, but technological breakthroughs in thermal management, launch costs, and radiation tolerance could enable the latter. As the confluence of reusable rockets, optical communications, AI demands, and sustainability imperatives creates conditions for genuine advancement, humanity stands at the threshold of a new era in orbital computing infrastructure.

References

- [1] IBM Research. (2024) Are data centers in space the future of cloud storage? [Online]. Available: <https://www.ibm.com/think/news/data-centers-space>
- [2] ASCEND Consortium. (2024) Advanced space cloud for european net zero emission and data sovereignty. [Online]. Available: <https://ascend-horizon.eu/>
- [3] Goldman Sachs. (2024) Ai to drive 165% increase in data center power demand by 2030. [Online]. Available: <https://www.goldmansachs.com/insights/articles/ai-to-drive-165-increase-in-data-center-power-demand-by-2030>
- [4] McKinsey & Company. (2024) The data center balance: How us states can navigate the opportunities and challenges. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/public-sector/our-insights/the-data-center-balance-how-us-states-can-navigate-the-opportunities-and-challenges>
- [5] B. Wang. (2024) How will spacex bring the cost to space down to \$10 per kilogram from over \$1000 per kilogram? NextBigFuture. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nextbigfuture.com/2024/01/how-will-spacex-bring-the-cost-to-space-down-to-10-per-kilogram-from-over-1000-per-kilogram.html>
- [6] J. Pethokoukis. (2024) Moore's law meet musk's law: The underappreciated story of spacex and the stunning decline in launch costs. American Enterprise Institute. [Online]. Available: <https://www.aei.org/articles/moores-law-meet-musks-law-the-underappreciated-story-of-spacex-and-the-stunning-decline-in-launch-costs/>
- [7] National Space Society. (2024) Thermal management in space. [Online]. Available: <https://nss.org/settlement/nasa/spaceresvol2/thermalmanagement.html>

- [8] NASA. (2024) Thermal control subsystems. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nasa.gov/smallsat-institute/sst-soa/thermal-control/>
- [9] University of Central Florida. (2024) What are radiation hardened electronics? [Online]. Available: <https://www.ucf.edu/online/engineering/news/what-are-radiation-hardened-electronics/>
- [10] NASA. (2024) Why space radiation matters. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nasa.gov/missions/analog-field-testing/why-space-radiation-matters/>
- [11] ISS National Laboratory. (2024) On the edge of the edge: Taking supercomputing to space. [Online]. Available: <https://issnationallab.org/upward/hpe-supercomputing-return-space/>
- [12] Deloitte Insights, “That’s just rad! radiation-hardened chips take space tech and nuclear energy to new heights,” Deloitte, Tech. Rep., 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://www.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/industry/technology/technology-media-and-telecom-predictions/2023/radiation-hardened-electronics-market.html>
- [13] Precedence Research. (2024) Radiation hardened electronics market navigating the future of space and defense electronics. [Online]. Available: <https://www.precedenceresearch.com/press-release/radiation-hardened-electronics-market>
- [14] (2024) How much does it cost for spacex to launch one pound or kilogram of cargo into orbit? Quora. [Online]. Available: <https://www.quora.com/How-much-does-it-cost-for-SpaceX-to-launch-one-pound-or-kilogram-of-cargo-into-orbit>
- [15] (2024) Space launch market competition. Wikipedia. [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Space_launch_market_competition
- [16] (2024) Is it possible for satellite communication to have less latency than cable and optic fiber? Electrical Engineering Stack Ex-

- change. [Online]. Available: <https://electronics.stackexchange.com/questions/634749/is-it-possible-for-satellite-communication-to-have-less-latency-than-cable-and-o>
- [17] Reliasat. (2024) Satellite communications evolution - from geo to leo. [Online]. Available: <https://reliasat.com/satellite-communications-evolution-from-geo-to-leo/>
- [18] J. Foust. (2024) SpaceX sets global launch record in 2024. SpaceNews. [Online]. Available: <https://spaceinsider.tech/2025/01/03/spacex-sets-global-launch-record-in-2024-plans-for-new-milestones-in-2025/>
- [19] NASASpaceFlight. (2024) SpaceX achieves record-breaking 2024, looks ahead to 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nasaspaceflight.com/2025/01/spacex-roundup-2024/>
- [20] *Falcon Payload User's Guide*, SpaceX, 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://web.mit.edu/2.70/Reading%20Materials/SpaceX%20Falcon-users-guide-2021-09.pdf>
- [21] Blue Origin. (2024) New glenn. [Online]. Available: <https://www.blueorigin.com/new-glenn>
- [22] (2024) New glenn vehicle overview. RocketLaunch.org. [Online]. Available: <https://rocketlaunch.org/launch-providers/blue-origin/new-glenn>
- [23] M. Yamaguchi, F. Dimroth, J. F. Geisz, and N. J. Ekins-Daukes, "A brief review of high efficiency iii-v solar cells for space application," *Frontiers in Physics*, vol. 8, 2020. [Online]. Available: <https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physics/articles/10.3389/fphy.2020.631925/full>
- [24] NASA. (2024) Power subsystems. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nasa.gov/smallsat-institute/sst-soa/power-subsystems/>
- [25] (2024) Kilopower. Wikipedia. [Online]. Available: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kilopower>
- [26] NASA. (2024) Demonstration proves nuclear fission system can provide space exploration power. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nasa.gov/news-release/demonstration-proves-nuclear-fission-system-can-provide-space-exploration-power/>

- [27] (2024) Laser communications relay demonstration. Wikipedia. [Online]. Available: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Laser_Communications_Relay_Demonstration
- [28] NASA. (2024) Laser communication relay demonstration. [Online]. Available: <https://tempo.gsfc.nasa.gov/projects/LCRD/>
- [29] (2024) Starlink. Wikipedia. [Online]. Available: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Starlink>
- [30] S. Erwin. (2024) Satellite data business is amazon's next disruption target. SpaceNews. [Online]. Available: <https://spacenews.com/satellite-data-business-is-amazons-next-disruption-target/>
- [31] Iridian Spectral Technologies. (2024) What is an optical inter-satellite link communication terminal? [Online]. Available: https://www.iridian.ca/learning_center/light-notes/what-is-an-optical-inter-satellite-link-communication-terminal/
- [32] Northrop Grumman. (2024) Satellite services in space. [Online]. Available: <https://www.northropgrumman.com/what-we-do/space/satellite-services-in-space>
- [33] ——. (2024) Space industrial revolution: How on-orbit servicing enhances u.s. strategic resilience. [Online]. Available: <https://www.northropgrumman.com/what-we-do/space/space-logistics-services/space-industrial-revolution>
- [34] Astroscale. (2024) Astroscale celebrates successful launch of elsa-d. [Online]. Available: <https://astroscale.com/astroscale-celebrates-successful-launch-of-elsa-d/>
- [35] ——. (2024) Elsa-m mission: Commercial satellite eol by astroscale. [Online]. Available: <https://www.astroscale.com/en/missions/elsa-m>
- [36] NASA. (2024) 3d printing: Saving weight and space at launch. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nasa.gov/missions/station/iss-research/3d-printing-saving-weight-and-space-at-launch/>

- [37] Redwire Space. (2024) Redwire space announces strategic expansion of its in-space manufacturing technology portfolio. [Online]. Available: <https://redwirespace.com/newsroom/redwire-space-announces-strategic-expansion-of-its-in-space-manufacturing-technology-portfolio-to-tap-i>
- [38] M. Patel. (2024) 200 vcs wanted to get into lumen orbit's \$11m seed round. TechCrunch. [Online]. Available: <https://techcrunch.com/2024/12/11/200-vcs-wanted-to-get-into-lumen-orbits-11m-seed-round/>
- [39] Data Center Dynamics. (2025) Lumen orbit rebrands to starcloud, raises another \$10m for in-orbit data centers. [Online]. Available: <https://www.datacenterdynamics.com/en/news/lumen-orbit-rebrands-to-starcloud-raises-another-10m-for-in-orbit-data-centers/>
- [40] A. Boyle. (2025) Lumen orbit changes its name to starcloud and raises \$10m for space data centers. GeekWire. [Online]. Available: <https://www.geekwire.com/2025/lumen-orbit-starcloud-10m-space-data-centers/>
- [41] (2024) Starcloud: Data centers in space. Y Combinator. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ycombinator.com/companies/starcloud>
- [42] Axiom Space. (2024) Axiom space to launch orbital data center nodes to support national security, commercial, international customers. [Online]. Available: <https://www.axiomspace.com/release/axiom-space-to-launch-orbital-data-center-nodes-to-support-national-security-commercial-international-c>
- [43] ——. (2024) Axiom space partners with kepler space and skyloom to operationalize the world's 1st orbital data center. [Online]. Available: <https://www.axiomspace.com/release/orbital-data-center>
- [44] ——. (2024) Axiom space, spacebilt announce orbital data center node aboard international space station. [Online]. Available: <https://www.axiomspace.com/release/axiom-space-spacebilt-announce-orbital-data-center-node>

- [45] Thales Alenia Space. (2024) Thales alenia space reveals results of ascend feasibility study on space data centers. [Online]. Available: <https://www.thalesaleniaspace.com/en/press-releases/thales-alenia-space-reveals-results-ascend-feasibility-study-space-data-centers-0>
- [46] European Space Agency. (2024) Knowledge beyond our planet: space-based data centres. [Online]. Available: https://www.esa.int/Enabling_Support/Preparing_for_the_Future/Discovery_and_Preparation/Knowledge_beyond_our_planet_space-based_data_centres
- [47] Thales Alenia Space. (2024) Ascend: Thales alenia space to lead european feasibility study for data centers in space. [Online]. Available: https://www.thalesgroup.com/en/worldwide/space/press_release/ascend-thales-alenia-space-lead-european-feasibility-study-data
- [48] Amazon Web Services. (2024) Aws ground station: Control satellite communications. [Online]. Available: <https://aws.amazon.com/ground-station/>
- [49] Microsoft Azure. (2024) Azure orbital ground station - satellite communications. [Online]. Available: <https://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/products/orbital>
- [50] J. Foust. (2024) Starlink teams up with google for ground infrastructure. SpaceNews. [Online]. Available: <https://spacenews.com/starlink-teams-up-with-google-for-ground-infrastructure/>
- [51] Data Center Frontier. (2024) The space cloud: Satellite strategies for aws, google and microsoft. [Online]. Available: <https://www.datacenterfrontier.com/cloud/article/11428161/the-space-cloud-satellite-strategies-for-aws-google-and-microsoft>
- [52] Space Insider. (2024) SpaceX sets global launch record in 2024, plans for new milestones in 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://spaceinsider.tech/2025/01/03/spacex-sets-global-launch-record-in-2024-plans-for-new-milestones-in-2025/>

- [53] McKinsey & Company. (2024) A different space race: Raising capital and accelerating space investment. [Online]. Available: <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/aerospace-and-defense/our-insights/a-different-space-race-raising-capital-and-accelerating-growth-in-space>
- [54] TS2 Space. (2025) Satellite internet revolution: How spacex starlink and rivals are connecting the world from space (2025-2030 outlook). [Online]. Available: <https://ts2.tech/en/satellite-internet-revolution-how-spacex-starlink-and-rivals-are-connecting-the-world-from-space-2025-2030-outlook>
- [55] CIO Dive. (2024) Cloud's big 3 continue to rule in infrastructure services. [Online]. Available: <https://www.ciodive.com/news/cloud-infrastructure-services-iaas-growth-aws-microsoft-google/757343/>
- [56] GlobeNewswire. (2025) In-orbit data centers market report 2025: Key players like nvidia, ibm, hpe and nasa are pioneering scalable, radiation-hardened computing in leo. [Online]. Available: <https://www.globenewswire.com/news-release/2025/04/08/3057428/28124/en/In-Orbit-Data-Centers-Market-Report-2025-Key-Players-like-NVIDIA-IBM-HPE-and-NASA-are-Pioneering-Radiation-Hardened-Computing-in-LEO.html>
- [57] BIS Research. (2025) In-orbit data centers market - global and regional analysis: Focus on future deployment, ecosystem, and challenges. [Online]. Available: <https://bisresearch.com/industry-report/in-orbit-data-centers-market.html>
- [58] Military Aerospace. (2024) Radiation-hardened electronics for space. [Online]. Available: <https://www.militaryaerospace.com/computers/article/55041115/radiation-hardened-electronics-for-space>
- [59] Fortune Business Insights. (2024) Space power supply market size, share and growth report [2032]. [Online]. Available: <https://www.fortunebusinessinsights.com/space-power-supply-market-108383>

- [60] J. Foust. (2024) Prospects for orbital data centers. The Space Review. [Online]. Available: <https://www.thespacereview.com/article/4806/1>
- [61] TechTarget. (2024) Projections and feasibility of data centers in space. [Online]. Available: <https://www.techtarget.com/searchdatacenter/tip/Projections-and-feasibility-of-data-centers-in-space>
- [62] B. Wang. (2025) SpaceX starship roadmap lower launch costs by 100 times. NextBigFuture. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nextbigfuture.com/2025/01/spacex-starship-roadmap-to-100-times-lower-cost-launch.html>
- [63] Google Research. (2025) Firesat: Wildfire detection from space. [Online]. Available: <https://sites.research.google/gr/wildfires/firesat/>