

DE BROGLIE HYPOTHESIS AND THE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION: GROSS ERRORS IN MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, FORMAL LOGIC, AND DIALECTICS

Temur Z. Kalanov

Home of Physical Problems, Yozuvchilar (Pisatelskaya) 6a,
100128 Tashkent, Uzbekistan

tzk_uz@yahoo.com, t.z.kalanov@mail.ru, t.z.kalanov@rambler.ru

Abstract. The irrefutable proof of the incorrectness of the de Broglie hypothesis (postulate) and the Schrödinger equation (postulate) is proposed. The correct methodological basis for the proof is the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics. The unity of formal logic and rational dialectics is the only correct criterion of truth. The proof leads to the following irrefutable conclusion: the de Broglie hypothesis (idea, postulate) and the Schrödinger equation (idea, postulate) are gross errors in mathematics, physics, formal logic and dialectics. This conclusion is based on the following statements: (1) from the point of view of Euler's formula and the Maclaurin series, the definition of the wave function has neither physical nor mathematical meaning; (2) the substitution of the quantities of energy and momentum, which characterize a quantum (microscopic) particle, into the relationship that describes a macroscopic radiation wave is a gross formal-logical error, because a quantum particle and macroscopic radiation are not identical material objects; (3) in the dialectical and formal-logical points of view, a free classical particle is not identical to a free quantum particle: these particles are non-identical material objects. Therefore, the substitution of the quantities of energy and momentum, characterizing a quantum (microscopic) particle, into the classical relationship that describes the energy and momentum of a classical particle is a gross formal-logical error; (4) in the point of view of formal logic, the concepts of “corpuscular aspect” and “wave aspect” are contradictory (mutually exclusive) concepts. (The concepts of “particle” and “wave” are defined by different essential features of material objects). Therefore, the de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger wave equation represent a violation of the formal-logical law of lack (absence) of contradiction. This means that the concept of corpuscular-wave dualism is erroneous; (5) The de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger equation represent a gross dialectical error, because the dimensionless wave function contradicts to the dialectical concept of the measure of a material object (i.e. the dimensionless wave function does not have the qualitative determinacy of a material object, does not characterize the properties of a material object). Therefore, the de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger equation are meaningless relationships.

Keywords: general physics, foundations of theoretical physics, foundations of quantum mechanics, quantum mechanics, mathematical physics, matter waves, foundations of mathematics, formal logic, philosophy of science.

PACS: 01.55.+b, 03.65.Ta, 03.65.-w, 03.65.Ge, 03.75.-b, 89.90.+n, 01.70.+w

Introduction

As is known, “the Schrödinger equation is a core of quantum mechanics. The Schrödinger equation is a linear partial differential equation that gives the evolution over time of a wave function (the form of the probability waves), the quantum-mechanical characterization of an isolated physical system. The equation was postulated by Erwin Schrödinger based on a postulate of Louis de Broglie that all matter has an associated matter wave. De Broglie won

the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1929, after the wave-like behavior of matter was first experimentally demonstrated in 1927. Schrödinger won the Nobel Prize in Physics in 1933” (Wikipedia).

But, in my opinion, the Nobel Prizes are not proof of the correctness of the postulates (ideas) of de Broglie and Schrödinger, because the postulates (ideas) were not questioned within the framework of the correct methodological basis: the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics (see, for example, works [1-20]). Until now, scientists have not understood the fundamental importance of the correct methodological basis for the creation of correct theories.

The purpose of this work is to propose an analysis of the postulates (ideas) of de Broglie and Schrödinger within the framework of the correct methodological basis of science: the unity of formal logic and rational (materialistic) dialectics. The unity of formal logic and rational dialectics is the only correct criterion of truth.

1. Standard mathematical basis for an analysis of the postulates

Euler formula and Maclaurin series as a mathematical basis for an analysis of the postulates are the following relationships:

$$e^{\alpha+i\beta} = e^{\alpha} (\cos \beta + i \sin \beta),$$

$$e^{\alpha-i\beta} = e^{\alpha} (\cos \beta - i \sin \beta),$$

$$e^{2\pi i} = 1, \quad e^{\alpha+i\beta} = e^{\alpha+i\beta+2\pi i} = e^{\alpha+i\beta},$$

where

$$\cos \beta = 1 - \frac{1}{2!} \beta^2 + \frac{1}{4!} \beta^4 - \frac{1}{6!} \beta^6 + \dots,$$

$$\sin \beta = \beta - \frac{1}{3!} \beta^3 + \frac{1}{5!} \beta^5 - \frac{1}{7!} \beta^7 + \dots,$$

α and β are real numbers, $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit.

2. Plausible reasoning that leads to the Schrödinger equation [17-20]

(a) Based on the well-known expression for the classical wave function of radiation, de Broglie proposed an analogy between the classical wave function of radiation and the wave function of an atomic (quantum) particle. The basis for this analogy was the fact that elastic scattering of a beam of atomic particles leads to a diffraction pattern. De Broglie's hypothesis (idea, postulate) is as follows.

As is known, if a classical plane radiation wave propagates along the scale x , then the classical radiation wave function can be represented in complex form:

$$\Psi(x, t) = A e^{-i(\omega t - kx)},$$

(where $\Psi(x, t)$ is the classical wave function of radiation, $[\Psi(x, t)] = \text{no dimension}$; x and t are the coordinate and time, respectively, $[x] = \text{meter}$, $[t] = \text{second}$; A is the amplitude of the wave (a dimensionless constant); $\omega = 2\pi\nu$ is the circular (cyclic) frequency

of the radiation wave, $[\omega] = \frac{\text{radian}}{\text{second}}$; $\nu = \frac{1}{T}$ is the frequency, $[\nu] = \text{hertz}$, ($\text{Hz} = \text{s}^{-1}$), T

is the period, $[T] = \text{second}$; $k = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda}$, k is the wave number, λ is the wavelength of the

radiation, $[\lambda] = \text{meter}$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$ is the imaginary unit.

An essential feature of the classical wave function of radiation is that the right side of the relationship characterizes a material object, i.e. contains denominate quantities (concrete, named quantities), while the left side of the relationship (i.e. $\Psi(x, t)$) does not characterize a material object (i.e. is not a denominate quantities (concrete, named quantities)).

In the de Broglie heuristic point of view, the hypothesis (idea, postulate) is that a free material quantum particle characterized by energy E and momentum p and moving along the scale x can be described by the following de Broglie wave function:

$$\Psi(x, t) = A e^{-(i/\hbar)(Et - px)}$$

where

$h = 2\pi\hbar = 6,625 \cdot 10^{-34}$ *joule · second* is Planck's constant;

$$J = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-2}; \quad [\hbar] = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}; \quad E = \hbar\omega, \quad p = \hbar k, \quad p = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\lambda},$$

$$\lambda = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{p} = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{mv}, \quad \left[\frac{\hbar^2}{m} \right] = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^4 \cdot \text{s}^{-2}, \quad [E] = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-2}, \quad [m] = \text{kg},$$

$$[p] = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}; \quad \Psi^* \Psi = A e^{(i/\hbar)(Et - px)} \cdot A e^{-(i/\hbar)(Et - px)} = A^2.$$

De Broglie's hypothesis (idea, postulate) means that the classical wave function of radiation can turn into the wave function of a quantum particle.

An essential feature of de Broglie's hypothesis (idea, postulate) is the following:

(a) the starting point of the de Broglie wave function is the well-known classical wave function of radiation;

(b) the frequency of the radiation (i.e., $\omega_{(radiation)}$) is identical to the frequency of the quantum

particle (i.e., $\frac{E_{(particle)}}{\hbar} = \omega_{(particle)}$);

(c) the right side of the de Broglie function contains dimensional quantities $E = \hbar\omega$, $p = \hbar k$,

$p = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{\lambda}$, $\lambda = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{p} = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{mv}$ which characterize the material quantum particle; the left side

of the function is a dimensionless quantity that does not characterize the material object.

(d) According to Schrödinger's idea (postulate), one can differentiate the de Broglie wave function $\Psi(x, t) = A e^{-(i/\hbar)(Et - px)}$ and obtain the following meaningless expressions:

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{i}{\hbar} E \Psi, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} = \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \right)^2 p^2 \Psi;$$

$$E = \frac{1}{\Psi} i \hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}, \quad p^2 = -\frac{1}{\Psi} \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}$$

where $E = \hbar\omega$, $p = \hbar k$.

These relationships are meaningless because the wave function has no dimension:

$$\left[\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right] = \frac{\text{no dimension}}{\text{second}} = \text{nonsense},$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} \right] = \frac{\text{no dimension}}{\text{meter}^2} = \text{nonsense}^2.$$

In the heuristic point of view of Schrödinger, these expressions can lead to the differential equation of Schrödinger if one uses classical mechanics. Really, in classical mechanics, the energy E and momentum p of a free material particle are connected by the relationship

$$E = \frac{p^2}{2m}, \quad p = mv.$$

Then, in accordance with Schrödinger's idea (postulate), the substitution of meaningless quantum expressions

$$E = \frac{1}{\Psi} i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}, \quad p^2 = -\frac{1}{\Psi} \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}$$

into the classical formula $E = \frac{p^2}{2m}$ leads to the following meaningless equation:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}.$$

(where $\left[\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right] = \frac{\text{no dimension}}{\text{second}} = \text{nonsense},$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} \right] = \frac{\text{no dimension}}{\text{meter}^2} = \text{nonsense}^2)$$

which is a mixture of quantum and classical quantities characterizing a material particle. This equation is a special case of the Schrödinger wave equation.

In classical mechanics, in the case of a material particle moving in a force field characterized by potential energy V the relation between energy E and momentum p is the following:

$$E - V = \frac{p^2}{2m}, \quad [(E - V)] = \text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-2}.$$

In this case, the particular (special) form of the Schrödinger wave equation is the following:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} + V\Psi = i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}$$

This equation is also a mixture of quantum and classical quantities.

3. Objections

(1) The mathematical and physical essence of the wave function

$$\Psi(x, t) = A e^{-(i/\hbar)(Et - px)}$$

can be understood if one uses the Euler formula and the Maclaurin series. Really, the application of the Euler formula and the Maclaurin series leads to the following expressions:

$$e^{-i\beta} = e^{-(i/\hbar)(Et - px)}, \quad \beta = \frac{Et - px}{\hbar},$$

$$(\beta)^q = \left(\frac{Et - px}{\hbar} \right)^q, \quad q = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

If $q > 1$, then the relationship $(\beta)^q = \left(\frac{Et - px}{\hbar} \right)^q$ has no physical meaning because the degrees of energy E and momentum p have no physical meaning. In this case, the function $\Psi(x, t) = A e^{-(i/\hbar)(Et - px)}$ represents a gross physical error.

Also, the expressions

$$\cos \beta = \cos \left(\frac{Et - px}{\hbar} \right), \quad \sin \beta = \sin \left(\frac{Et - px}{\hbar} \right)$$

represent mathematical nonsense.

2) Substitution of quantum expressions

$$E = \frac{1}{\Psi} i\hbar \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t}, \quad p^2 = -\frac{1}{\Psi} \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2}$$

where

$$\left[\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right] = \frac{\text{no dimension}}{\text{second}} = \text{nonsense},$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial x^2} \right] = \frac{\text{no dimension}}{\text{meter}^2} = \text{nonsense}^2$$

into the classical formula $E = \frac{p^2}{2m}$ means (in accordance with the Schrödinger idea (postulate))

that a free classical particle, characterized by energy $E = \frac{p^2}{2m}$ and momentum $p = mv$, is

identical to a quantum particle, characterized by energy $E = \hbar\omega$ and momentum $p = \hbar k$, i.e. a material particle is both a quantum particle and a classical particle. In other words, the

Schrödinger idea (postulate) means the following logical identity relations: $\frac{p^2}{2m} = \hbar\omega$,

$$mv = \hbar k.$$

But these identities are a violation of the formal-logical law of lack (absence) of contradiction.

According to the law of lack (absence) of contradiction, $\frac{p^2}{2m} \neq \hbar\omega$, $mv \neq \hbar k$ because a

particle cannot be both a classical particle and a quantum particle in given consideration (reasoning). Really, the concepts “classical particle” and “quantum particle” are defined by different essential features of particles. According to formal logic, the definition of a material particle and a mathematical equation must contain only one essential feature: either “classical object” or “quantum object”. Consequently, Schrödinger's idea (postulate) is a gross formal-logical error.

(3) Substitution of the quantities of energy $E = \hbar\omega$ and momentum $p = \hbar k$, characterizing a quantum (microscopic) particle, into the relationship that describes a macroscopic radiation wave is a gross formal-logical error of de Broglie, because a quantum particle and macroscopic radiation are not identical material objects. Really, the concepts “atomic particle” and “macroscopic radiation” are defined by different essential features of material objects. In accordance with formal logic, the definition of a material object must contain only one essential feature: either “macroscopic object” or “microscopic object”. Consequently, de Broglie's idea (postulate) is a gross formal-logical error.

(4) In the point of view of formal logic, the concepts “corpuscular aspect” and “wave aspect” are contradictory (mutually exclusive) concepts. (That is, the relations between these concepts is a relations of disagreement). The concepts “particle” and “wave” are defined by different essential features of material objects. According to formal logic, the definition of a material object must contain only one essential feature: either “particle” or “wave”. Therefore, the de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger wave equation are a violation of the formal-logical law of lack (absence) of contradiction. This means that the concept of corpuscular-wave dualism is erroneous. Consequently, the ideas (postulates) of de Broglie and Schrödinger are gross formal-logical errors.

(5) The well-known classical wave function of radiation – the starting point of de Broglie’s hypothesis (idea, postulate) – is the gross dialectical error, because the dimensionless wave function of radiation contradicts to the dialectical concept of the measure of a material object (i.e. the dimensionless wave function of radiation does not have the qualitative determinacy of a material object). Therefore, the wave function of radiation has no physical meaning.

(6) The de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger equation are gross dialectical errors, because the dimensionless wave function contradicts to the dialectical concept of the measure of a material object (i.e., the dimensionless wave function does not have the qualitative determinacy of a material object). Therefore, the de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger equation have no physical meaning.

(7) Dimensionless quantities have no physical meaning because they do not characterize the properties of material objects. In other words, dimensionless quantities do not have qualitative determinacy and do not belong to material objects. Therefore, the concept of a dimensionless quantity contradicts to the dialectical concept of measure: measure is a category denoting the unity of qualitative determinacy and quantitative determinacy of a material object. Consequently, dimensionless quantities are meaningless quantities in science.

4. Discussion

Thus, the de Broglie hypothesis, the Schrödinger wave equation, and the concept of wave-particle duality represent gross errors in mathematics, physics, formal logic, and dialectics. The existence of gross errors in mathematics and physics was first proven in my works (for example, [21-135]). The following question arises: Why did famous scientists make mistakes and continue to make mistakes? In my opinion, errors in science arise for the following reasons:

- (a) haste and immaturity of scientists in studying new phenomena;
- (b) the lack (absence) of the correct methodological basis and the criterion of truth in generally accepted science;
- (c) the unwillingness (disinclination, reluctance) of scientists to study the correct methodological basis and the criterion of truth: the unity of formal logic and rational dialectics;
- (d) the unwillingness (disinclination, reluctance) of scientists to question standard theories
- (e) the unwillingness (disinclination, reluctance) of scientists to think independently;
- (f) scientists worship the scientific authorities;
- (g) lack of tendency (yearning) to know the truth.

These reasons explain the modern crisis in science. Removing errors from modern science leads to the abolition of science.

Errors in science are an inevitable consequence of the inductive path of cognition of the world. The inductive path does not lead to cognition of the Absolute Truth. The Absolute Truth is not granted to Humanity. Relative (partial) truths are a consequence of the Absolute Truth. The meaning of errors in science is as follows: (a) errors contain fragments of relative (partial) truths. This statement is a consequence of the existence of variety of objects and phenomena in the world; (b) errors as fantasies are a means of expansion of the consciousness of scientists. Expanded consciousness is the basis for technological (material) progress of humanity. (The atomic bomb would never have been created by scientists and technologists if scientists had worked within the correct methodological framework). The limit of technological progress is the self-extermination of humanity and the transformation of humanity into another form of beings in accordance with the dialectical law of negation of negation: “birth → development → destruction → new birth ...”.

Conclusion

Thus, the correct methodological analysis of the de Broglie hypothesis (postulate) and the Schrödinger equation (postulate) leads to the following irrefutable conclusion: the de Broglie hypothesis (postulate) and the Schrödinger equation (postulate) are gross errors in mathematics, physics, formal logic, and dialectics. This conclusion is based on the following statements:

- (1) from the point of view of Euler's formula and the Maclaurin series, the definition of the wave function has neither physical nor mathematical meaning;
- (2) the substitution of the quantities of energy and momentum, which characterize a quantum (microscopic) particle, into the relationship that describes a macroscopic radiation wave is a gross formal-logical error, because a quantum particle and macroscopic radiation are not identical material objects;
- (3) in the dialectical and formal-logical points of view, a free classical particle is not identical to a free quantum particle: these particles are non-identical material objects. Substitution of the quantities of energy and momentum, characterizing a quantum (microscopic) particle, into the classical relation that describes the energy and momentum of a classical particle is a gross formal-logical error;
- (4) in the point of view of formal logic, the concepts “corpuscular aspect” and “wave aspect” are contradictory (mutually exclusive) concepts. (The concepts “particle” and “wave” are defined by different essential features of material objects). Therefore, the de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger wave equation represent a violation of the formal-logical law of lack (absence) of contradiction. This means that the concept of corpuscular-wave dualism is erroneous;
- (5) the de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger equation represent the gross dialectical error, because the dimensionless wave function contradicts to the dialectical concept of the measure of a material object (i.e. the dimensionless wave function does not have the qualitative determinacy of a material object, does not characterize the properties of a material object).

Therefore, the de Broglie wave function and the Schrödinger equation are meaningless relationships.

References

- [1] Louis de Broglie. “The reinterpretation of wave mechanics”. *Foundations of Physics*, Vol.1, No. 1, (1970), pp. 5–15. (Bibcode:1970FoPh....1....5D. doi:10.1007/BF00708650. S2CID 122931010).
- [2] Louis de Broglie. “On the Theory of Quanta” (PDF). (English translation by A.F. Kracklauer, (2004). ed. Retrieved 25 February 2023).
- [3] Erwin Schrödinger. “Collected Papers on Wave Mechanics” (3rd ed.). American Mathematical Society, (1982). ISBN 978-0-8218-3524-1.
- [4] D.J. Griffiths. “Introduction to Quantum Mechanics”, (2nd ed.), (2004). Prentice Hall. ISBN 978-0-13-111892-8.
- [5] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu, F. Laloë. “Quantum Mechanics”. (Translated by Hemley, Susan Reid; Ostrowsky, Nicole; Ostrowsky, Dan). John Wiley & Sons, (2005). ISBN 0-471-16433-X.
- [6] A. Peres. “Quantum Theory: Concepts and Methods”. Kluwer, (1993). ISBN 0-7923-2549-4. OCLC 28854083.
- [8] R.G. Lerner, G.L. Trigg. “Encyclopedia of Physics”, (2nd ed.). VHC publishers. (1991). ISBN 0-89573-752-3.
- [9] M. Jammer. “Philosophy of Quantum Mechanics: The interpretations of quantum mechanics in historical perspective”. Wiley-Interscience, (1974). ISBN 9780471439585.
- [10] R. Karam. “Schrödinger's original struggles with a complex wave function”. *American Journal of Physics*, Vol. 88, Vol. 6, (2020). 433–438. (Bibcode:2020AmJPh..88..433K. doi:10.1119/10.0000852). ISSN 0002-9505. S2CID 219513834.
- [11] R. Omnès. “The Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics”. Princeton University Press, (1994). ISBN 978-0-691-03669-4. OCLC 439453957.
- [12] M. Bitbol. “Schrödinger's Philosophy of Quantum Mechanics”. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, (1996). ISBN 978-94-009-1772-9. OCLC 851376153.
- [13] E. MacKinnon. “De Broglie's thesis: a critical retrospective”. *Am. J. Phys.*, Vol. 44, (1976), pp. 1047–1055.
- [14] J.M. Espinosa. “Physical properties of de Broglie's phase waves”. *Am. J. Phys.*, Vol. 50, No.4, (1982), pp. 357–362. Bibcode:1982AmJPh..50..357E. doi:10.1119/1.12844.
- [15] L.I. Schiff. “Quantum mechanics. International series in pure and applied physics”, (3. ed., 24. print ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill, (1987). ISBN 978-0-07-085643-1.
- [16] M. Jammer. “The conceptual development of quantum mechanics. The history of modern physics”. (2nd ed.). Los Angeles (Calif.): Thomas publishers, (1989). ISBN 978-0-88318-617-6.
- [17] R.P. Feynman, R.B. Leighton, M. Sands, “The Feynman lectures on physics”, Vol. III. Addison-Wesley, (1965).
- [18] V.G. Levich, Yu.A. Vdovin, V.A. Myamlin. “Course of Theoretical Physics”, Vol. II, Moscow, “Nauka”, (1971).
- [19] I.V. Saveliev. “Course of General Physics”, Vol/ III, Moscow, “Nauka”, (1979).
- [20] E.H. Wichmann, “Quantum Physics. Berkeley Physics Course”, Vol. IV, McGRAW-HILL Book Company, (1967).
- [21] T.Z. Kalanov, “On the statistics of the photon gas”. *Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, Vol. 316, No. 1 (1991), p. 100.
- [22] T.Z. Kalanov, “On the statistics of the electron gas”. *Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR*, Vol. 316, No. 6 (1991), p. 1386.
- [23] T.Z. Kalanov, “The correct theoretical analysis of the Michelson-Morley experiments”. *Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan*, No. 11-12 (1995), p. 22.

- [24] T.Z. Kalanov, "Proof of non-correctness of the Lorentz transformation". Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, No. 1-2 (1996), p. 32.
- [25] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the theory of relative motion". Reports of the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Uzbekistan, No. 12 (1997), p. 15.
- [26] T.Z. Kalanov, "Correct quantum-statistical description of ideal systems within the framework of master equation". Proc. XXVth ICPIG, Nagoya, Japan. Ed. By Toshio Goto / Japan: Nagoya Univ., Vol. 3 (2001), p. 235.
- [27] T.Z. Kalanov, "On logical errors lying in the base of special theory of relativity". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 46, No. 2 (2001), p. 99.
- [28] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the main errors underlying statistical physics". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 47, No. 2 (2002), p. 164.
- [29] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the essence of time". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 47, No. 2 (2002), p. 164.
- [30] T.Z. Kalanov, "On a new basis of quantum theory". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 47, No. 2 (2002), p. 164.
- [31] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the problem of the correspondence principle". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 48, No. 2 (2003), p. 153.
- [32] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the essence of space". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 48, No. 2 (2003), p. 154.
- [33] T.Z. Kalanov, "The theory of relativity: An error of the transformation of coordinates". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 48, No. 2 (2003), p. 155.
- [34] T.Z. Kalanov, "On logical errors underlying the special theory of relativity". Journal of Theoretics (USA). Vol. 6-1 (2004).
- [35] T.Z. Kalanov, "The correct theoretical analysis of the foundations of quantum mechanics". Journal of Ultra Scientists of Physical Sciences (International Journal of Physical Sciences, India), Vol. 16, No. 2 (2004), pp. 191-198.
- [36] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the correct theoretical analysis of the foundations of quantum mechanics". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 50, No. 2 (2005), p. 65.
- [37] T.Z. Kalanov, "On a new theory of physical vacuum". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 50, No. 2 (2005).
- [38] T.Z. Kalanov, "On a new theory of the black hole". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 62.
- [39] T.Z. Kalanov, "The problem of the SETI: A methodological error in cosmology and astrophysics". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 60-61.
- [40] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the hypothesis of Universe's "system block"". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 61.
- [41] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the correct formulation of the first law of thermodynamics". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 60.
- [42] T.Z. Kalanov, "The second law of thermodynamics: Mathematical error". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 60.
- [43] T.Z. Kalanov, "Bose's method: A logical error". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 61.
- [44] T.Z. Kalanov, "Dirac's theory of physical vacuum: Continuation of Bose's logical errors". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 61.
- [45] T.Z. Kalanov, "Bose-Einstein statistics and Fermi-Dirac statistics: A logical error". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 61.
- [46] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the correct analysis of Maxwell distribution". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 51, No. 2 (2006), p. 61-62.
- [47] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the correct analysis of the foundations of the special theory of relativity". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 52, No. 2 (2007), p. 120.
- [48] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the correct analysis of the foundations of theoretical physics". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 52, No. 2 (2007), p. 120.

- [49] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the hypothesis of control of the Universe". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 52, No. 2 (2007), p. 121.
- [50] T.Z. Kalanov, "Theoretical model of God: The key to correct exploration of the Universe". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 52, No. 2 (2007), p. 121.
- [51] T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the special theory of relativity". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 26D, No. 1 (2007), pp. 1-15.
- [52] T.Z. Kalanov, "The correct theoretical analysis of the foundations of classical thermodynamics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 26D, No. 2 (2007), pp. 109-118.
- [53] T.Z. Kalanov, "The correct theoretical analysis of the foundations of classical thermodynamics". Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 2, No. 1 (2009), pp. 12-17.
- [54] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the Boltzmann distribution". Galilean Electrodynamics, Vol. 21, Special Issues 1 (2010), p. 2.
- [55] T.Z. Kalanov, "The correct theory of photon gas". Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 2, No. 2 (2009), pp. 1-10.
- [56] T.Z. Kalanov, "On a new analysis of the problem of Planck constant". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc. (April Meeting), Vol. 54, No.4 (2009).
- [57] T.Z. Kalanov, "Theoretical model of God: proof of existence". Indian Journal of Science and Technology, Vol. 2, No. 3 (2009), pp. 80-88.
- [58] T.Z. Kalanov, "The theoretical model of God: Proof of the existence and the uniqueness of God". Scientific GOD Journal, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2010), pp. 85-97.
- [59] T.Z. Kalanov, "The modern analysis of the problem of multisectioning an angle". Prespacetime Journal, Vol. 1, No. 3 (2010), pp. 468-474.
- [60] T.Z. Kalanov, "The critical analysis of the foundations of theoretical physics. Crisis in theoretical physics: The problem of scientific truth". LAP Lambert Academic Publishing. ISBN 978-3-8433-6367-9, Paperback (2010).
- [61] T.Z. Kalanov, "Analysis of the problem of relation between geometry and natural sciences". Prespacetime Journal, Vol. 2, No. 1 (2011), pp. 75-87.
- [62] T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the foundations of differential and integral calculus". MCMS (Ada Lovelace Publications), (2011), pp. 34-40.
- [63] T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of Bose–Einstein and Fermi–Dirac statistics". Elixir (Statistics), No. 45 (2012), pp. 7657-7659.
- [64] T.Z. Kalanov, "The Boltzmann distribution: a logical error". Elixir (Adv. Pow.), No. 49 (2012), pp. 9935-9936.
- [65] T.Z. Kalanov, "The correct analysis of theory of photon gas". Elixir (Nuclear & Radiation Physics), No. 50 (2012), pp. 10197-10205.
- [66] T.Z. Kalanov, "The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Basic Research Journal of Education Research and Review, (ISSN 2315-6872, <http://www.basicresearchjournals.org>), Vol. 2, No. 4 (2013), pp. 59-65.
- [67] T.Z. Kalanov, "The logical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Asian Journal of Mathematics and Physics, (ISSN 2308-3131, <http://scienceasia.asia>), Vol. 2013 (2013), pp. 1-12.
- [68] T.Z. Kalanov, "The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 32 (Math & Stat), No. 1 (2013), pp. 1-12.
- [69] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". International Journal of Scientific Knowledge. Computing and Information Technology, Vol. 3, No. 2 (2013), pp. 25-30.
- [70] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". International Journal of Multidisciplinary Academic Research, Vol. 1, No. 3 (2013).
- [71] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Journal of Computer and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 4, No. 4 (2013), pp. 202-321.

- [72] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Journal of Research in Electrical and Electronics Engineering (ISTP-JREEE), (ISSN: 2321-2667), Vol. 2, No. 5 (2013), pp. 1-5.
- [73] T.Z. Kalanov, "The critical analysis of the Pythagorean theorem and of the problem of irrational numbers". Global Journal of Advanced Research on Classical and Modern Geometries, (ISSN: 2284-5569), Vol. 2, No. 2 (2013), pp. 59-68.
- [74] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Research Desk, (ISSN: 2319-7315), Vol. 2, No. 3 (2013), pp. 249-259.
- [75] T.Z. Kalanov, "The foundations of vector calculus: The logical error in mathematics and theoretical physics". Unique Journal of Educational Research, Vol. 1, No. 4 (2013), pp. 054-059.
- [76] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the logical analysis of the foundations of vector calculus". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, (ISSN: 0975-7139), Vol. 5, No. 2 (2013), pp. 227-234.
- [77] T.Z. Kalanov, "Critical analysis of the mathematical formalism of theoretical physics. II. Foundations of vector calculus". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 32 E (Math & Stat), No. 2 (2013), pp.121-130.
- [78] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Journal of Physics & Astronomy, (www.mehtapress.com), Vol. 3, No. 1 (2014).
- [79] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Research, (IJIFR, www.ijifr.com), Vol. 1, No. 6 (2014), pp. 6-27.
- [80] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". International Journal of Science Inventions Today, (IJSIT, www.ijst.com), Vol. 3, No. 2 (2014), pp. 119-147.
- [81] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal, Vol. 3, No. 2 (2014), pp. 26-39.
- [82] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the system analysis of the foundations of trigonometry". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences, Vol. 33E (Math & Stat), No. 1 (2014), pp. 1-27.
- [83] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative number". International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Research (IJIFR, www.ijifr.com), Vol. 2, No. 4 (2014), pp. 1132-1143.
- [84] T.Z. Kalanov. "Where are the logical errors in the theory of Big Bang?". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc., (April Meeting), Vol. 60, No. 4 (2015).
- [85] T.Z. Kalanov. "Where are the logical errors in the theory of Big Bang?". Scientific GOD Journal, Vol. 5, No. 5 (2014), p. 432-433.
- [86] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative numbers". International Journal of Current Research in Science and Technology, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2015), pp. 1-12.
- [87] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of the theory of negative numbers". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 7, No. 1 (2015), pp. 3-12.
- [88] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal-logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 7, No. 1 (2015), pp. 1-2.
- [89] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal-logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc., (April Meeting), (2016).
- [90] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". Mathematics and Statistics (CRESCO, <http://crescopublications.org>), Vol. 2, No. 1 (2016), pp. 2-14.
- [91] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". International Journal for Research in Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 2, No. 2 (2016), pp. 15-33.
- [92] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical analysis of the foundations of pure mathematics". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 8, No. 1 (2016), pp. 1-14 (Article Number: MSOA-2-005).

- [93] T.Z. Kalanov. "Critical Analysis of the Foundations of Pure Mathematics". *Philosophy of Mathematics Education Journal*, ISSN 1465-2978 (Online). Editor: Paul Ernest), No. 30 (October 2016).
- [94] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the law of the external photoelectric effect". *Journal of Review in Sciences (Scientific Research Gate)*, Vol. 1, No. 1 (2016), pp. 1–9.
- [95] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the law of the external photoelectric effect". *International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Research (IJIFR)*, Vol. 4, No. 1 (2016), pp. 4801-4811.
- [96] T.Z. Kalanov. "Man vs. computer: Difference of the essences. The problem of the scientific creation". *Journal of Review in Sciences (Scientific Research Gate)*, Vol. 1, No. 1 (2016), pp. 10-34.
- [97] T.Z. Kalanov. "Man vs. computer: Difference of the essences, The problem of the scientific creation". *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology (IJSRCSEIT, ISSN: 2456-3307)*, Vol. 1, No. 2 (2016), pp. 120-14.
- [98] T.Z. Kalanov. "Man vs. computer: Difference of the essences. The problem of the scientific creation". *International Journal of Contemporary Research and Review* (<http://ijcrr.in/index.php/ijcrr>), Vol. 7, No. 12 (2016).
- [99] T.Z. Kalanov. "Man vs. computer: Difference of the essences. The problem of the scientific creation". *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Education and Research (ISSN: 2455-4588)*, Vol. 1, No. 9, (2016), pp. 15-31.
- [100] T.Z. Kalanov. "Man versus computer: Difference of the essences, The problem of the scientific creation". *BRAIN: Broad Research in Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience*, (ISSN: 2067-3957), Vol. 8, No. 2 (2017), pp. 151-178.
- [101] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the law of the external photoelectric Effect". *Bulletin of the Amer. Phys. Soc.*, (April Meeting), (2017).
- [102] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the formal–logical analysis of the foundations of mathematics applied to problems in physics". *Asian Journal of Fuzzy and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 5, No. 2 (2017), pp. 48-49.
- [103] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". *International journal of Chemistry, Mathematics and Physics (IJCMP, AI Publications, www.aipublications.com)*, Vol. 1, No. 1 (2017), pp. 27-47.
- [104] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". *Advances in Physics Theories and Applications*, Vol. 64, (2017), pp. 27-46.
- [105] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". *International Journal of Advanced Research in Physical Science*. Vol. 4, No. 6 (2017), pp. 1-22.
- [106] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". *International educational scientific research journal*. Vol. 3, No. 6 (2017), pp. 56-73.
- [107] T.Z. Kalanov. "The formal-logical analysis of the foundation of set theory". *Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 36E, No. 2 (2017), pp. 329 -343.
- [108] T.Z. Kalanov. *The critical analysis of the foundations of mathematics. Mathematics: The Art of Scientific Delusion*. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing (2017-12-05). ISBN-10: 620208099X.
- [109] T.Z. Kalanov. "On the correct formulation of the starting point of classical mechanics". *Physics & Astronomy (International Journal)*. Vol. 2, No. 2 (2018), pp. 79-92.
- [110] T.Z. Kalanov. "On new foundations of theory of atom". *International Journal of Advanced Research in Physical Science (IJARPS)*, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2018), pp. 1-9.
- [111] T.Z. Kalanov. "The formal-logical analysis of the foundation of set theory". *Scientific Review*, Vol. 4, No. 6 (2018), pp. 53-63.
- [112] T.Z. Kalanov, "The Doctrine of Reality: A New Paradigm of Science" *Journal of Metaphysics and Connected Consciousness*. November 18, 2018.
- [113] T.Z. Kalanov, "The Doctrine of Reality: A New Paradigm of Science". *Global Scientific Journal*, Vol. 6, No. 12 (2018), pp. 59-71.

- [114] T.Z. Kalanov, "The Doctrine of Reality: A New Paradigm of Science". Scientific Review, Vol. 5, No. 1 (2019), pp. 24-32.
- [115] T.Z. Kalanov, "The Doctrine of Reality: A New Paradigm of Science". Scientific GOD Journal, Vol. 10, No. 1 (2019), pp. 1-15.
- [116] T.Z. Kalanov, "Hubble Law, Doppler Effect and the Model of "Hot" Universe: Errors in Cosmology". Open Access Journal of Physics (USA), Vol. 3, No. 2 (2019), pp. 1-16.
- [117] T.Z. Kalanov, "Hubble Law, Doppler Effect and the Model of "Hot" Universe: Errors in Cosmology". Indian Journal of Physics and Application, Vol. 4 No. 1 (2019).
- [118] T.Z. Kalanov, "Vector Calculus and Maxwell's Equations: Logic Errors in Mathematics and Electrodynamics". Open Access Journal of Physics, Vol. 3, No. 4, (2019), pp. 9-26.
- [119] T.Z. Kalanov, "Vector Calculus and Maxwell's Equations: Logic Errors in Mathematics and Electrodynamics". Sumerianz Journal of Scientific Research, Vol. 2, No. 11, (2019), pp. 133-149. (ISSN(e): 2617-6955, ISSN(p): 2617-765X. Website: <https://www.sumerianz.com>).
- [120] T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of work: Unsolved Problem in Classical Mechanics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section D –Physics), Vol. 39D (Physics), No. 1, (2020), pp. 137-148.
- [121] T.Z. Kalanov, "Definition of work: Unsolved Problem in Classical Mechanics". Open Access Journal of Physics, Vol. 4, No. 1, (2020), pp. 29-39. (ISSN 2637-5826).
- [122] T.Z. Kalanov, "The doctrine of reality: A new paradigm of science". Global Scientific Journal (GSJ, www.globalscientificjournal.com), Vol. 6, No. 12, (2018). (Online: ISSN 2320-186).
- [123] T.Z. Kalanov, "Formal-logical analysis of the starting point of mathematical logic". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol.13, No. 1, (2021), pp. 01-14.
- [124] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the problem of axiomatization of geometry". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol.13, No.2, (2021), pp. 151-166.
- [125] T.Z. Kalanov, "On the problem of axiomatization of geometry". Chemistry Biology and Physical Sciences Academic, 3(1), (2021), pp. 8–25.
- [126] T.Z. Kalanov, "On fundamental errors in trigonometry". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics), Vol. 41E, No.1, (2022), pp. 16-33.
- [127] T.Z. Kalanov, "Theory of complex numbers: gross error in mathematics and physics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Sciences (Section - E - Mathematics & Statistics), Vol. 41E, No.1, (2022), pp. 61-68.
- [128] T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. Sect. E Math. Stat. 42E(2), pp. 109–121 (2023). (e-ISSN:2320-3226, Print ISSN:0970-6577. DOI 10.48165/bpas.2023.42E.2.2).
- [129] T.Z. Kalanov, "Classical Wave Equation: A Gross Error in Mathematics and Physics". Bulletin of Pure and Applied Science (Physics), Vol.42D No.2, July-December 2023 P. 98-107 Print version ISSN 0970 6569. Online version ISSN 2320 3218. DOI: 10.48165/bpas.2023.42D.2.7.
- [130] T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Space Science Journal (ISSN: 2997-6170 | DOI: [10.33140/SSJ](https://doi.org/10.33140/SSJ)), Vol. 1, No.1 (2024), pp. 01-12 (Opast Publishing Group. <https://bit.ly/3wLcFQo>).
- [131] T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Aryabhatta Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, Vol. 16, No. 1, (2024), pp. 1-18.
- [132] T.Z. Kalanov, "Differential Calculus: a gross error in mathematics". Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics (ISSN-2752-8081. (Pulsus Group), Vol. 8, No. 2, (March 2024), pp. 01-09.
- [133] T.Z. Kalanov, "Classical wave equation: A gross error in mathematics and physics". J Pure & Appl Math, Vol. 8, No. 2, (2024); pp. 01-06. (Pulsus Group, Published: 31-Jul-2024, DOI: 10.37532/2752-8081.24.8(4).01-06).
- [134] T.Z. Kalanov, "Foundations of Differential Calculus: Does the Theory Satisfy the Criterion of Truth?". J Math Techniques Comput Math, Vol.3, No.10, (2024); pp. 01-14.

[135] T.Z. Kalanov, “The Korteweg–de Vries equation: A gross error in physics”. Aryabhata Journal of Mathematics & Informatics, (July-Dec., 2024), Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 205-210. (DOI No.: 10.5958/2394-9309.2024.00030.3. ISSN (Print) : 0975-7139. ISSN (Online) : 2394-9309).