

# Short proofs of the Fermat theorem and trancendental character of $e$ and $\pi$ .

Johan Noldus<sup>1</sup>

January 23, 2025

<sup>1</sup>Johan.Noldus@gmail.com

## 0.1 Proofs.

We first show that  $e, \pi$  are transcendental numbers over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ; suppose that  $z \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and  $p(x)$  is the minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $p(z) = 0$ . Then, by means of the algebraic completeness of  $\mathbb{C}$ , we can write

$$p(x) = a(x - z)(x - \alpha_2) \dots (x - \alpha_n)$$

and an easy argument shows that  $p$  is minimal for all  $\alpha_j$ . Indeed, suppose that there exists a  $q$  of degree less than  $n$  for  $\alpha_2$ , then Euclidean division gives that

$$p = sq + r$$

with the degree of  $r$  strictly less than the degree of  $q$ . Therefore,  $r(\alpha_2) = 0$  and therefore  $r = 0$  by minimality of  $q$  for  $\alpha_2$ . Hence,  $p = sq$  which contradicts the minimality of  $p$  regarding  $z$  in case  $s$  is of nontrivial degree. Hence, the conclusion;  $\pi$  is defined as the first nontrivial zero of  $\sin(x)$  and all zero's are given by  $m\pi$  where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore  $p(x) | \sin(x)$  and therefore  $p(x) = (x - \pi)(x - k_2\pi) \dots (x - k_n\pi)$ . Therefore, the existence of  $\pi$  should entail a series of integer numbers  $1, k_2, \dots, k_n$ . There is no logical ground for this; hence  $p(x)$  does not exist and  $\pi$  is transcendental. A similar comment applies to  $\ln(x) - 1$  and the minimal polynomial  $q(x)$  for  $e$ . This should contain complex conjugated pairs  $a, \bar{a}$  of numbers with a nontrivial imaginary part; there is again no reason for this to be so and hence  $e$  must be transcendental.

We prove the extended Fermat theorem which asserts that for  $n > 2$  it holds that  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  has no solutions in  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Proof:  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  is equivalent to

$$1 + \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^n = \left(\frac{z}{y}\right)^n$$

supposing that  $x < y$ . The Taylor expansion of

$$(1 + q)^{\frac{1}{n}} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1(n-1)(2n-1) \dots ((k-1)n-1)}{n^k k!} q^k$$

implies that

$$\frac{z}{y} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{1(n-1)(2n-1) \dots ((k-1)n-1)}{n^k k!} \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{nk}.$$

Therefore, demanding  $a = \frac{z}{y} = \frac{p}{q}$  with  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$  to be rational implies that

$$\frac{p^{nk}(n-1)(2n-1) \dots ((k-1)n-1)}{q^{nk} n^k k!}$$

must contain at most a finite fixed number of prime factors of limited power in the denominator uniformly over  $k$ . This can only be when the nominator

$(n-1)(2n-1)\dots((k-1)n-1)$  almost cancels the denominator  $k!$  up to a finite power of a finite number of prime factors for any  $k$ . It is clear so that  $n$  cannot be an odd integer  $2m+1$  since otherwise the nominator would be of the kind  $(k-1)!m^{k-1}$  so that a factor of  $\frac{1}{q^{(2m+1)^k k(2m+1)^k}}$  survives which cannot be put on one denominator by choice of  $p$ . For the case  $n = 2m$  with  $m > 1$ , one obtains gaps in the denominator between  $1 \dots 2(m-1), 2m, 4m-2, \dots$  so that each time have a gap with  $m-1$  odd numbers implying we have  $(k-1)(m-1)$  odd "gap" numbers. So, we have to prove that there exists an infinite number of  $k$  such that  $p^{2mk}$  is not a product of numbers of the form  $l2m-1$  or equivalently that there exists an infinite number of primes which are not as such. They simply are of the form  $p_1 \dots p_s + 1$  where  $p_j$  is the  $j$ 'th prime number. Therefore, only  $m = 1$  or equivalently  $n = 2$  is allowed for. QED

## 0.2 Acknowledgements.

The author wishes to pay his due regards to some scientific minds who pointed out those problems to him and he is grateful for one particular suggestion in the first part of the two proofs.