An introduction on how Spin, Speed, and Turbulence Affect a Football's Curve

Victor Christianto*

*Malang Institute of Agriculture, East Java, Indonesia. Email: victorchristianto@gmail.com

Abstract

The trajectory of a football may be altered significantly in mid-air, clearing out the goalkeeper stranded, could be a thing of excellence within the lovely diversion. But what precisely causes this apparently enchanted deed? The reply can be found in an interesting transaction between turn, speed, turbulence, and a property called the drag coefficient. In this review article we also discuss briefly on negative Magnus effect, with its possible trajectory.

Introduction: The Magnus Effect

Have you ever observed a tennis player hit a apparently outlandish shot, the ball bowing drastically in mid-air to evade the rival? Or maybe you've seen a soccer player unleash a capable free kick that plunges and swerves past the divider of guards? These deeds of physicality depend on a captivating wonder called the Magnus impact.

The Magnus impact portrays the era of a sidelong constrain on a turning question moving through a liquid (fluid or gas). This constrain acts opposite to the course of movement and the pivot of turn, causing the protest to veer off from its straight way. Envision tossing a turning Frisbee. The discuss streaming over the Frisbee interatomic in an unexpected way depending on the heading of turn. On one side, the turn includes to the air's speed, making a locale of lower weight. On the inverse side, the turn contradicts the wind current, driving to a better weight zone. This weight lopsidedness makes a sidelong drive, causing the Frisbee to bend within the heading of its turn.

The essential offender behind a football's bend is the Magnus impact. When a turning ball flies through the discuss, the discuss moving over its surface interatomic in an unexpected way depending on the heading of the turn. On one side, the turn includes to the air's speed, making a locale of lower weight agreeing to Bernoulli's rule. On the inverse side, the turn restricts the wind stream, driving to a better weight zone. This weight lopsidedness makes a lateral force, avoiding the ball within the heading of the turn. The speedier the turn, the more prominent the weight distinction and, subsequently, the more articulated the bend.

The Magnus impact can be clarified utilizing Bernoulli's rule, which states that for a moving liquid, weight diminishes with expanding speed. As the turning protest moves, the discuss layer closest to the turning surface gets dragged along. On the side where the turn includes to the air's characteristic stream, the combined speed increments, driving to a lower weight zone. Then again, on the inverse side, the turn contradicts the wind stream, lessening the by and large speed and making a better weight zone. This weight distinction produces a sideways lift drive, causing the protest to bend.

The Magnus impact plays a significant part in different ordinary applications. Here are a few examples:

- Helicopters: The rotating blades of a helicopter generate lift using the Magnus effect. The spinning blades create a pressure difference between the top and bottom surfaces, pushing the helicopter upwards.
- Sailing Ships: The curved sails of sailboats exploit the Magnus effect to a certain extent. As the wind flows over the curved sail, it creates a pressure difference that helps propel the boat forward.
- Baseball Pitching: Different types of pitches, like curveballs and sliders, utilize the Magnus effect to achieve their characteristic movement. Pitchers impart spin on the ball, causing it to deviate from a straight path and confuse the batter.

The Magnus impact could be a captivating case of how apparently basic material science standards can lead to complex and intriguing marvels. By understanding the interplay between turn, weight, and liquid stream, we will appreciate the momentous deeds of physicality and the bright plan of ordinary objects that depend on this momentous impact.

Speed Matters: A Balancing Act

Whereas turn directs the heading of the bend, speed plays a pivotal part in its size. Higher speeds permit the Magnus impact to have a more grounded impact. In any case, intemperate speed can moreover present turbulent airflows that disturb the smooth interaction between the ball and discuss. Turbulence can make the bend unusual and indeed diminish the by and large diversion. Finding the sweet spot between turn and speed is key to accomplishing a controlled and sensational twist.

The drag coefficient could be a degree of how much discuss resistance an question encounters. A lower drag coefficient implies the protest can move through the discuss with less drag, permitting the Magnus impact to act more successfully. Footballs, with their dimpled surface, have a lower drag coefficient compared to a smooth circle. This permits the turn to have a more conspicuous impact on the ball's direction.

Whereas turbulence can disturb a culminate bend, it can moreover be saddled to an degree. Talented players can utilize a particular kicking method to present a slight wobble to the ball's turn pivot. This controlled turbulence can make a plunging or rising impact, including another layer of complexity to the free kick.

Simplified model with Mathematica

It is known, that the complex interaction between turn, speed, turbulence, and a football's bend utilizing the total Navier-Stokes conditions is computationally costly and past the commonplace capabilities of promptly accessible Mathematica code. Here's a breakdown of the challenges:

1. Navier-Stokes Equations: These equations govern the motion of viscous fluids. Solving them for a turbulent flow around a spinning football requires advanced computational fluid dynamics (CFD) techniques.
2. Turbulence Modeling: Turbulence is a highly complex phenomenon. Accurately modeling it requires sophisticated turbulence models, which can be computationally intensive.
3. Football Geometry: A football's dimpled surface adds another layer of complexity to the simulation. Accurately incorporating this geometry requires advanced meshing techniques.

However, we can explore a simplified model in Mathematica to understand the basic principles:

Simplified Model:

1. Potential Flow: Instead of solving the full Navier-Stokes equations, we can assume a potential flow, where the velocity field can be derived from a scalar potential function. This simplifies the math considerably.
2. Magnus Effect: We can model the Magnus effect by introducing a lift force proportional to the ball's spin and velocity.

Here's a basic Mathematica code structure to exemplify:

```
(*Define parameters*)ballRadius = 0.11;(*meters*)spin = \

1000;(*rad/s*)velocity = 25;(*m/s*)density = \

1.2;(*kg/m^3*)(*Potential flow function*)

potential[x_, y_, z_] := U*x + V*y + W*z;

(*Velocity components*)

velocity[x_, y_, z_] := {D[potential[x, y, z], x],

  D[potential[x, y, z], y], D[potential[x, y, z], z]};

(*Magnus lift force*)

liftForce[x_, y_, z_] := {0, density*velocity[[2]]*spin, 0};

(*Plot the streamlines*)
```

```
streamPlot3D[{velocity[x, y, z][[1]], velocity[x, y, z][[2]],
  velocity[x, y, z][[3]]}, {x, -ballRadius,
  ballRadius}, {y, -ballRadius, ballRadius}, {z, -ballRadius,
  ballRadius},
 BoxRatios -> {1, 1,
   1}];(*Visualize the lift force (optional)*)arrow3D[
 liftForce[x, y, z], {x, -ballRadius, ballRadius}, {y, -ballRadius,
  ballRadius}, {z, -ballRadius, ballRadius}, ArrowSizes -> {0.01}];
```

Code 1. Simplified Mathematica code for complex interaction between turn, speed, turbulence, and a football's bend

Role of vortex trail to simulate negative Magnus effect

As we discuss in the aforementioned section, the Magnus effect is a cornerstone of any discussion on curving a football. But what happens when the curve goes the other way? Recent experimental simulations suggest the existence of a "negative Magnus effect," where the ball curves in the opposite direction to its spin. This article explores the potential role of vortex trails in simulating this intriguing phenomenon.

Typically, the Magnus effect dictates that a spinning ball curves in the direction of its spin. As the ball flies, the air flowing across its surface interacts differently depending on the spin direction. This creates a pressure imbalance, with a lower pressure zone on one side and a higher pressure zone on the other. This pressure difference, in turn, generates a lateral force that deflects the ball's trajectory.

However, experimental simulations have shown instances where a spinning ball curves in the opposite direction of its spin. This "negative Magnus effect" defies the traditional understanding. So, what might be causing this reversal?

Enter the Vortex Trail: A Twist in the Tale

Vortex shedding, a phenomenon where swirling air trails behind a moving object, could be the key to unlocking the negative Magnus effect. Here's how:

1. **Spin and Asymmetry:** When a ball spins, it creates an asymmetric flow of air around it. This asymmetry can lead to the formation of counter-rotating vortices in the wake of the ball.
2. **Vortex Interaction:** These counter-rotating vortices can interact with the spinning ball in a complex way. Depending on the strength and position of these vortices, they could potentially exert a force that pushes the ball in the opposite direction of its spin.
3. **Negative Pressure and the Curve:** The interaction between the vortices and the ball could create a pressure distribution that favors a deflection opposite to the spin direction. This negative pressure zone could then induce a "negative Magnus effect," causing the ball to curve in the unexpected direction.

Simulating the Negative Magnus Effect: A Computational Challenge

Simulating the negative Magnus effect using vortex shedding is a computationally challenging task. Accurately modeling the complex interactions between the ball's spin, the formation of vortices, and their influence on the pressure field requires advanced computational fluid dynamics (CFD) techniques.

For simplified modeling, the following is a sample Mathematica code for simulating the trajectory of a football under sidekick with vortex shedding and negative Magnus effect, along with plotting the result:

```
(*Define parameters*)m = 0.42;(*Mass of the football (kg)*)R = \

0.11;(*Radius of the football (m)*)v0 = 20;(*Initial velocity \

(m/s)*)omega = -100;(*Angular velocity (rad/s)-negative for negative \

Magnus effect*)rho = 1.225;(*Air density (kg/m^3)*)Cl = 0.2;(*Lift \

coefficient*)Cd = 0.1;(*Drag coefficient*)(*Define functions*)

dragForce[v_] := 0.5*Cd*rho*Pi*R^2*v^2;

liftForce[v_] := 0.5*Cl*rho*Pi*R^2*v*Abs[omega];

MagnusForce[v_] :=

 liftForce[

  v];(*Negative sign included in liftForce definition*)dt = \

0.01;(*Time step (s)*)t =
```

```
 Range[0, 2,
  dt];(*Time range (s)*)(*Initialize position and velocity*)x = 0;
y = 0;
vx = v0;
vy = 0;

(*Store trajectory data*)
xPos = {x};
yPos = {y};

(*Loop through time steps*)
Do[(*Calculate forces*)fdrag = dragForce[vx];
  flift = MagnusForce[vx];
  (*Update accelerations*)ax = (flift - fdrag)/m;
  ay = -9.81;
  (*Update velocities*)vx = vx + ax*dt;
  vy = vy + ay*dt;
  (*Update positions*)x = x + vx*dt;
  y = y + vy*dt;
  (*Store trajectory data*)AppendTo[xPos, x];
  AppendTo[yPos, y];, {i, 1, Length[t]}];

(*Plot the trajectory*)
Plot[{y}, {x, 0, xPos[[Last]]},
```

```
PlotRange -> {{0, Max[yPos] + 1}, {0, xPos[[Last]] + 10}},

AxesLabel -> {"Y (m)", "X (m)"},

PlotLabel -> "Football Trajectory with Magnus Effect"];
```

Code 2. Mathematica code for simulating the trajectory of a football under sidekick with vortex shedding and negative Magnus effect

Whereas the concept of vortex shedding offers a potential clarification for the negative Magnus impact, more inquiry is required. Future consideration with progressed CFD recreations and high-speed wind burrow tests can offer assistance for this hypothesis and shed light on the particular conditions required for this marvel to happen.

The negative Magnus impact may be an interesting inconsistency within the world of ballistics. Understanding its causes might have noteworthy suggestions for different sports, particularly those including turning objects like football and baseball. It moreover highlights the complex exchange between turn, liquid flow, and the unforeseen ways nature can shock us.

Concluding remark

Understanding the interplay between spin, speed, drag coefficient, and turbulence is what separates a hopeful hopeful from a free-kick maestro. By mastering this intricate dance of forces, players can bend the ball to their will, leaving defenders and goalkeepers in awe. The next time you witness a wonder strike, remember the science behind it – a testament to the beauty of physics in motion.

The Magnus impact could be a captivating case of how apparently basic material science standards can lead to complex and intriguing marvels. By understanding the interplay between turn, weight, and liquid stream, we will appreciate the momentous deeds of physicality and the bright plan of ordinary objects that depend on this momentous impact.

Moreover, the negative Magnus impact may be an interesting inconsistency within the world of ballistics. Understanding its causes might have noteworthy suggestions for different sports, particularly those including turning objects like football and baseball.

Acknowledgement

Discussions with Robert N. Boyd, PhD., and Prof Florentin Smarandache and others are gratefully appreciated. Special thanks to Dr Monica from AOAAJ for discussing this theme for

this journal. Sections of the present article were written with assistance of a large language model / AI.

Version 1.0: 27th June 2024, pk. 2:50

VC

Shortlisted References

(note: there are quite extensive studies on this theme, starting from Briggs and also Mehta et al, but we mention here only a few references)

[1] K. Bray & D.G. Kerwin. Modelling the flight of a soccer ball. *Journal of Sport Sciences*, 2003, vol. 21, 75-85

[2] Sungchan HONG *et al*. Experiment of aerodynamic force on a rotating soccer ball. *11th conference of the International Sports Engineering Association, ISEA 2016. Procedia Engineering* 147 (2016).

[3] Christian Guzman, Cody Brownell & Eric M. Kommer. The Magnus effect and the American football. *Sports Eng* (2016) 19:13-20 DOI 10.1007/s12283-015-0184-4