

Zeros of a sigma-additive set complex function. The case of the Fourier Transform

Marcello Colozzo

Abstract

A non-trivial interpretation of Fourier integral theorem in the framework of measure spaces.

Let $f \in L^1(-\infty, +\infty)$ have constant sign and no zeros. By the Fourier integral theorem:

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \hat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

We define:

$$\mu : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow (0, +\infty) \quad (2)$$

$$\mu(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t |f(\tau)| d\tau > 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\Sigma := \{A = [t_0, t] \mid t_0, t_1 \in \mathbb{R}\} \quad (3)$$

Σ is manifestly a σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} . (2) defines a countably additive and positive set function on Σ

$$\mu : \Sigma \longrightarrow (0, +\infty) \quad (4)$$

$$\mu : A \longrightarrow \mu(A) = \int_A f(t) dt, \quad \forall A \in \Sigma$$

and is complete on Σ [1]. So $(\mathbb{R}, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a measurement space. The second of (1) becomes:

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega t} d\mu \quad (5)$$

We define:

$$\nu_\omega(A) := \int_A e^{-i\omega t} d\mu, \quad \forall A \in \Sigma, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{R} \quad (6)$$

which is broken down into a real part and an imaginary part:

$$\nu_\omega(A) = \underbrace{\operatorname{Re} \nu_\omega(A)}_{\xi_\omega(A)} + i \underbrace{\operatorname{Im} \nu_\omega(A)}_{\eta_\omega(A)} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\xi_\omega(A) = \int_A \cos(\omega t) d\mu, \quad \eta_\omega(A) = \int_A [-\sin(\omega t)] d\mu, \quad \forall A \in \Sigma \quad (8)$$

are countably additive set functions.

Lemma 1 *The set functions $\xi_\omega(A), \eta_\omega(A)$ are absolutely continuous with respect to μ .*

Proof.

$$\mu(A) \equiv 0 \iff f(t) \equiv 0 \implies (\xi_\omega(A) \equiv 0 \iff \mu(A) \equiv 0)$$

Likewise for $\eta_\omega(A)$. ■

Lemma 2

$$\frac{d\xi_\omega}{d\mu} = \cos(\omega t), \quad \frac{d\eta_\omega}{d\mu} = \sin(\omega t) \quad (9)$$

where $\frac{d}{d\mu}$ is the Radon-Nikodym derivation operator.

Proof. The statement follows immediately from the Radon-Nikodym theorem [1]. ■

Definition 3 The $\nu_\omega(A)$ defined by (6) is called **set complex function**.

From this it follows that the function:

$$\rho_\omega(t) = e^{-i\omega t} \quad (10)$$

is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of the set function $\nu_\omega(A)$ with respect to the measure μ :

$$\frac{d\nu_\omega}{d\mu} = \rho_\omega(t) \quad (11)$$

Notation 4 $\nu_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ is the Fourier transform of $f(t)$.

From the absolute continuity of $\nu_\omega(A)$ with respect to μ , it follows that $\nu_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ can vanish only with respect to ω :

$$\exists \omega_0 \in \mathbb{R} \mid \nu_{\omega_0}(\mathbb{R}) = 0$$

We will therefore say that ω_0 is a zero of $\nu_\omega(\mathbb{R})$. It follows

Lemma 5 If $f(t)$ has definite parity $\nu_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ is devoid of zeros.

For example for the Gaussian

$$f(t) = e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\alpha}} (\alpha > 0) \quad (12)$$

we have $\nu_\omega(\mathbb{R}) = e^{-\frac{\alpha\omega^2}{2}}$ which is devoid of zeros.

Notation 6 If $f(t)$ has parity (+1), $\nu_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ has zero imaginary part. If $f(t)$ has parity (-1), $\nu_\omega(\mathbb{R})$ has zero real part. In both cases, the function $\hat{f}(\omega)$ preserves parity. Furthermore

$$\left| \hat{f}(\omega) \right| \text{ has definite parity} \Leftrightarrow f(t) \text{ has definite parity}$$

The introduction of a parameter α in f (eq. (12)) suggests extending the previous arguments to a real function of the two real variables (α, t) defined on the strip

$$S = [a, b] \times (-\infty, +\infty) \quad (13)$$

for a given interval $[a, b]$ of \mathbb{R} , limited or unlimited. We keep the previous hypothesis, i.e. $f(\alpha, t)$ of class $L^1(-\infty, +\infty)$ with respect to t and of constant sign. Fourier's integral theorem returns the function of the complex variable $\alpha + i\omega$

$$\hat{f}(\alpha + i\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\alpha, t) e^{-i\omega t} dt$$

which for a given $f(\alpha, t)$ can be holomorphic on the strip (13).

The function

$$\mu_\alpha(t) := \int_{-\infty}^t |f(\alpha, t')| dt', \quad \forall \alpha \in [a, b] \quad (14)$$

defines a one-parameter measure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\alpha : \Sigma &\longrightarrow (0, +\infty) \\ \mu_\alpha : A &\longrightarrow \mu_\alpha(A) = \int_A f(\alpha, t) dt, \quad \forall A \in \Sigma \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The generalization of the previous definition follows

$$\nu_{\alpha, \omega}(A) := \int_A e^{-i\omega t} d\mu_\alpha, \quad \forall A \in \Sigma, \quad (\alpha, \omega) \in S \quad (16)$$

If $\hat{f}(\alpha + i\omega)$ is holomorphic on S , any accumulation points of the set of zeros of $\nu_{\alpha, \omega}(\mathbb{R})$ belong to ∂S .

References

- [1] Kantorovic L., Akilov G. *Analisi funzionale*. Editori Riuniti