

Notes on Critical Zeros of an L-function

Gorou Kaku *

Abstract

This is the summary report of "Trace Formula in Noncommutative Geometry and the Zeros of the Riemman Zeta Function" by A.Connes.

We set the following notation.

K a global field

K_ν a local field, completion of K at the place ν of K

\mathbb{A}_K the adèle ring of K

C_K the idele class group $K^*\backslash\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A}_K)$

\hat{C}_K the dual group of C_K .

0.

We will summarize the spectral interpretation of critical zeros of $L(\chi, s)$ associated χ of C_K by Alain Connes. Let h be a test function. The Weil explicit formula says

$$\sum_{\nu} \int_{K_{\nu}^*} \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u = \hat{h}(0) + \hat{h}(1) - \sum_{L(\chi, \rho)=0} \hat{h}(\chi, \rho).$$

Suppose that there exists a representation U of C_K and that

$$\mathrm{tr} U(h) = \sum_{\nu} \int_{K_{\nu}^*} \frac{h(\mu^{-1})}{|1-\mu|} d^*\mu$$

is satisfied. We see that

$$\mathrm{tr} U(h) = \hat{h}(0) + \hat{h}(1) - \sum_{L(\chi, \rho)=0} \hat{h}(\chi, \rho)$$

holds. We can say that critical zeros of $L(\chi, s)$ appear as the spectra of the operator U . It is just *the spectral interpretation of critical zeros of $L(\chi, s)$* .

Let

$$X = K^* \backslash \mathbb{A}_K.$$

The left regular representation U of C_K on $L^2_\delta(X)$ which is a weighted L^2 space can be used to accomplish our task. Namely, it holds that

$$\mathrm{tr}U(h) = \hat{h}(0) + \hat{h}(1) - \sum_{\substack{L(\chi, \rho) = 0 \\ \mathrm{Re} \rho = 1/2}} \hat{h}(\chi, \rho) + \infty \cdot h(1).$$

However we will not try to treat the representation $(U, L^2_\delta(X))$ directly. Instead of the representation $(U, L^2_\delta(X))$, we will think of the operator $Q_\Lambda U$ where U is the left regular representation of C_K on $L^2(X)$. Because, firstly there is a possibility of using some results to compute $\mathrm{tr} Q_\Lambda U$, secondly we can eliminate the parameter δ of L^2_δ .

We try to compute $\mathrm{tr}(Q_\Lambda U(h))$. This has the relationship to the validity of the Riemann Hypothesis. Suppose that we can compute as follows;

$$\mathrm{tr}(Q_\Lambda U(h)) = 2\log'(\Lambda)h(1) + \sum_v \int_{K_v^*}' \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u + o(1) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

where $2\log'(\Lambda) = \int_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| \leq [\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda]} d^*\lambda$. It gives $\mathrm{tr}U(h)$ independently of δ since the cut-off Q_Λ can be performed directly on $L^2(X)$. Thus we obtain a δ -independent trace formula:

$$\hat{h}(0) + \hat{h}(1) - \sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) = 0 \\ \mathrm{Re} \rho = 1/2}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) + \infty \cdot h(1) = 2\log'(\Lambda)h(1) + \sum_v \int_{K_v^*}' \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u + o(1) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

The left side is spectral and the right side is geometrical. From the Weil explicit formula, we have seen that

$$\sum_v \int_{K_v^*}' \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u = \hat{h}(0) + \hat{h}(1) - \sum_{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) = 0} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho).$$

Therefore, one obtains that

$$\sum_{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) = 0} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) = \sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) = 0 \\ \mathrm{Re} \rho = 1/2}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho).$$

It means the validity of the Riemann Hypothesis. Conversely, the validity of the Riemann Hypothesis implies that

$$\mathrm{tr}(Q_\Lambda U(h)) = 2\log'(\Lambda)h(1) + \sum_v \int_{K_v^*}' \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u + o(1) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lastly we will mention trace formulae. The trace formula which is given by a zeta function:

$$\underbrace{\dots}_{\text{Zero points}} = \underbrace{\dots}_{\text{Geometrical side}}$$

is a prototype. Selberg's trace formula is that

$$\underbrace{\dots}_{\text{Eigenvalues of Laplacian}} = \underbrace{\dots}_{\text{Geometrical side}} .$$

There exists an operator M such that it is commutative with the Laplacian of H . The operator is the integral operator which has $k(z, w)$ as an integral kernel

$$M(f)(z) = \int_H k(z, w) f(w) d\mu(w) .$$

The Selberg's trace formula gives the explicit formula of Selberg's zeta function.

The trace formula given by Connes is the same type as Selberg's. It is that

$$\underbrace{\dots}_{\text{Characters}} = \underbrace{\dots}_{\text{Geometrical side}} .$$

Here $U(h): C_c^\infty(X) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(X)$

$$(U(h)\xi)(x) = \int_{C_K} h(g)(U(g)\xi)(x) d^*g .$$

The operator $U(h)$ is the integral operator which has $k_h(x, y)$ as an integral kernel

$$(U(h)\xi)(x) = \int_{C_K} k_h(x, y) \xi(y) d^*y .$$

1. Zeta-Functions and L-Functions

We try to characterize L-functions from the view of the representation theory.

Definition 1.1. (Bruhat-Schwartz space) Denote the Bruhat-Schwartz space on the adèles \mathbb{A}_K by $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)$. It is the products $\prod f_\nu$ over each place ν of K ; for each infinite place ∞ each f_∞ is the usual Schwartz function on \mathbb{R}^n , for each finite place ν each f_ν is a Schwartz function on a local field K_ν and $f_\nu = \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{O}_\nu}$ for all but finite many ν . Here, $\mathbf{1}_A: G \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ for $A \subseteq G$

$$\mathbf{1}_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \cdots & x \in A \\ 0 & \cdots & x \notin A \end{cases} .$$

[An example]

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{Q}) = \prod_{p \leq \infty} f_p = f_\infty \times \prod_{p < \infty} f_p ,$$

where $f_\infty \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, $f_p \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ and $f_p = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ for all but finite many p .

We will begin with the local case. Denote the set of the irreducible representations of K_ν^* by $\text{Irr}(K_\nu^*)$. Let (π_ν, V_{π_ν}) be an irreducible representation of K_ν^* . Put

$$\pi_\nu(f)v = \int_{K_\nu^*} f(g)\pi_\nu(g)v d^*g , \quad f \in \mathcal{S}(K_\nu).$$

Suppose that $\text{tr}\pi_\nu(f)$ can be defined, namely $\pi_\nu(f)$ is a trace class operator. So we may think that there exists a character $\text{tr}\pi_\nu$ of K_ν^* , and

$$\text{tr}\pi_\nu(f) = \int_{K_\nu^*} f(g)\text{tr}\pi_\nu(g) d^*g .$$

Denote the character $\text{tr}\pi_\nu$ by $\chi_{0,\nu}$. Put

$$\chi_\nu(g) = \chi_{0,\nu}(g)|g|^s \quad s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

χ_ν is a quasi character of K_ν^* .

Definition 1.2. (Local zeta-functions) For an arbitrary $f_\nu \in \mathcal{S}(K_\nu)$, let

$$\Delta_{\chi_{0,\nu}^s}(f_\nu) = \langle f_\nu, \Delta_{\chi_{0,\nu}^s} \rangle = \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_{0,\nu}(g) |g|^s d^*g.$$

This integral converges absolutely at $\text{Re}(s) > 0$.

Let

$$\Delta'_{\chi_{0,\nu}^s}(f_\nu) = \langle f_\nu, \Delta'_{\chi_{0,\nu}^s} \rangle = \int_{K_\nu^*} (f_\nu(x) - f_\nu(\nu^{-1}x)) \chi_{0,\nu}(g) |g|^s d^*g.$$

It is a holomorphic function of s at $\text{Re}(s) > 0$.

The global case is as follows.

Definition 1.3. (Global zeta-functions) Put $\chi_0 = \prod_\nu \chi_{0,\nu}$. For an arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)$, let

$$\Delta_{\chi_0^s}(f) = \langle f, \Delta_{\chi_0^s} \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{A}_K^*} f(x) \chi_0(x) |x|^s d^*x.$$

This integral converges absolutely at $\text{Re}(s) > 1$.

Let

$$\Delta'_{\chi_0^s} = \prod_\nu \Delta'_{\chi_{0,\nu}^s}.$$

By construction $\Delta'_{\chi_0^s}(f)$ makes sense whenever $\text{Re}(s) > 0$.

Lemma 1.1. Put $\chi = \prod_\nu \chi_\nu$ where $\chi_\nu(g) = \chi_{0,\nu}(g) |g|^s$ $s \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, the following integral converges absolutely

$$\Delta_\chi(f) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_K^*} f(x) \chi(x) d^*x = \int_{\mathbb{A}_K^*} f(x) \chi_0(x) |x|^s d^*x \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K),$$

and $\Delta_\chi(f) = L(\chi_0, s) \Delta'_\chi(f)$. Here $\Delta'_\chi(f)$ is a holomorphic function of s at $\text{Re}(s) > 0$.

Proof. For $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, $f(x) \chi(x) = f(x) \chi_0(x) |x|^s$ is integrable. So $\int_{\mathbb{A}_K^*} f(x) \chi(x) d^*x$ converges absolutely at $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. We can compute as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta'_{\chi_\nu}(f_\nu) &= \int_{K_\nu^*} (f_\nu(g) - f_\nu(\nu^{-1}g)) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g \\ &= \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g - \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(\nu^{-1}g) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g \\ &= \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g - \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_\nu(\nu g) d^*g \\ &= \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g - \chi_\nu(\nu) \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g \\ &= (1 - \chi_\nu(\nu)) \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g \\ &= (1 - \chi_{0,\nu}(\nu) |\nu|^s) \int_{K_\nu^*} f_\nu(g) \chi_\nu(g) d^*g. \end{aligned}$$

It holds that

$$\Delta_{\chi_\nu}(f_\nu) = (1 - \chi_{0,\nu}(\nu) |\nu|^s)^{-1} \Delta'_{\chi_\nu}(f_\nu).$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_v \Delta_{\chi_v}(f_v) = L(\chi_0, s) \Delta'_{\chi}(f).$$

Here, the left term equals $\Delta_{\chi}(f)$. By construction $\Delta'_{\chi}(f)$ makes sense whenever $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$.

□

2. $L^2(X)$ and $L^2(C_K)$

Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)$. We will think of the sum $\sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx)$. It converges absolutely and gives a function on X . Since $r \cdot 0 = 0$, $\sum_{r \in K^*} f(r \cdot 0) = \sum_{r \in K^*} f(0)$. If $f(0) \neq 0$, $\sum_{r \in K^*} f(0) = \infty$. So we require $f(0) = 0$. Moreover, consider $\sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) = \sum_{r \in K} f(rx)$ ($f(0) = 0$), the sum approximate $\int_K f(rx) dr$. Since $dx = |x| d^*x$, $dax = |ax| d^*ax = |ax| d^*x = |a| dx$. Thus we can compute as follows;

$$\int_K f(rx) dr = \int_K f(r) |x|^{-1} dr = |x|^{-1} \int_K f(r) dr.$$

When $|x| \rightarrow 0$, $|x|^{-1} \int_K f(r) dr$ doesn't make sense unless $\int_K f(r) dr = 0$. Since $K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_K$, we also require $\int_{\mathbb{A}_K} f(x) dx = 0$.

Definition 2.1.

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0 = \{ f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K) \mid f(0) = 0, \int_{\mathbb{A}_K} f(x) dx = 0 \}.$$

There exists an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K) \xrightarrow{L} \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

\mathbb{C} is a trivial C_K module such that $T(a)\lambda = \lambda$ for $a \in C_K$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. $\mathbb{C}(1)$ is Tate twist such that $T(a)\lambda = |a| \lambda$ for $a \in C_K$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Considering $\text{Ker} L = \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$, we will understand that \mathbb{C} corresponds to $f(0)$ and $\mathbb{C}(1)$ comes from $\int_{\mathbb{A}_K} f(j^{-1}x) dx = |j| \int_{\mathbb{A}_K} f(x) dx$.

Definition 2.2. Let $L^2(X, dx)_0$ be the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$ for the norm given by

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) \right|^2 dx.$$

Similarly, let $L^2(X, dx)$ be the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)$ for the above norm.

We obtain an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow L^2(X)_0 \rightarrow L^2(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1) \rightarrow 0.$$

For $f(x) \in L^2(X, dx)_0$, let $(Tf)(a)$ be the restriction of $f(x)$ to C_K :

$$(Tf)(a) = |a|^{1/2} \sum_{r \in K^*} f(ra) \quad \forall a \in C_K.$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$, then the series

$$(Tf)(a) = |a|^{1/2} \sum_{r \in K^*} f(ra) \quad \forall a \in C_K$$

converges absolutely and one has

$$\forall n \exists c | (Tf)(a) | \leq c e^{-n|\log|a||}$$

and $(T\hat{f})(a^{-1}) = (Tf)(a)$.

Proof. The Poisson summation formula reads, for any $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)$,

$$|x| \sum_{r \in K} f(rx) = \sum_{r \in K} \hat{f}(rx^{-1}),$$

where $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_K} f(g) \alpha(g\xi) dg$ for a basic character α of the additive group \mathbb{A}_K . We obtain

$$|x| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) = \sum_{r \in K^*} \hat{f}(rx^{-1}) + (-|x|f(0) + \hat{f}(0)).$$

Here $\hat{f}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_K} f(g) dg$. If $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$ then $|x| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) = \sum_{r \in K^*} \hat{f}(rx^{-1})$. One obtains

$$|x^{-1}|^{1/2} \sum_{r \in K^*} \hat{f}(rx^{-1}) = |x|^{1/2} \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx).$$

By this formula, it is enough to estimate $(Tf)(a)$ for $|a| \rightarrow \infty$. $(Tf)(a)$ decays faster than any power of $|a|$ for $|a| \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, for any n , there exists a constant c and

$$| (Tf)(a) | \leq c |a|^{-n}$$

is satisfied. □

Let $L^2(C_K, d^*x)$ be the Hilbert space using the norm:

$$\|\xi\|^2 = \int_{C_K} |\xi(a)|^2 d^*a.$$

Proposition 2.1.

$$(Tf)(a) \in L^2(C_K).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(x) \in L^2(X)_0$. Then

$$\int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) \right|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Since $dx = |x| d^*x$,

$$\int_{C_K} |(Tf)(a)|^2 d^*a = \int_{C_K} |a| \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(ra) \right|^2 \frac{da}{|a|} = \int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(ra) \right|^2 da < \infty. \quad \square$$

Thus we can also obtain the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow L^2(X)_0 \xrightarrow{T} L^2(C_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{H} \cong L^2(C_K)/\text{Im}(T)$. Let U be a left regular representation of C_K on $L^2(X, dx)$ and V be a left regular representation of C_K on $L^2(C_K, d^*x)$. Set

$$(U(g)f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x) \quad \forall g \in C_K, x \in \mathbb{A}_K.$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} T(U(g)f)(a) &= \text{the restriction of } f(g^{-1}x) \\ &= |g|^{1/2} (V(g)Tf)(a) \quad \forall a, g \in C_K. \end{aligned}$$

From this equation, it is that $|g|^{-1/2} T(U(g)f)(a) = V(g)(Tf)(a)$.

Proposition 2.2.

$\text{Im}(T)$ is an invariant subspace for V .

Proof. Suppose that $f \in L^2(X)_0$. For $(Tf)(a)$,

$$V(g)(Tf)(a) = |a|^{1/2} |g|^{-1/2} \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rg^{-1}a).$$

If $|g|^{-1/2} f(g^{-1}x) \in L^2(X)_0$ then $(T|g|^{-1/2} f(g^{-1}x))(a) = |a|^{1/2} |g|^{-1/2} \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rg^{-1}a)$. Thus, $V(\text{Im}(T)) \subseteq \text{Im}(T)$ namely $\text{Im}(T)$ is an invariant subspace for V .

Fix $g_0 \in C_K$ and put $f_{g_0^{-1}}(x) = f(g_0^{-1}x)$. We can compute as follows;

$$\int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rg_0^{-1}gx) \right|^2 dx = |g|^{-1} \int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rg_0^{-1}x) \right|^2 dx = |g_0| |g|^{-1} \int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) \right|^2 dx.$$

Since $f \in L^2(X)_0$ and $g \in C_K$, we can say that $|g_0| |g|^{-1} \int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) \right|^2 dx < \infty$ for almost all g_0 . Therefore $f_{g_0^{-1}}(gx) \in L^2(X)_0$ for almost all g_0 . Especially $f_{g^{-1}}(gx) \in L^2(X)_0$ for an arbitrary $g \in C_K$. Now

$$\int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f_{g_0^{-1}}(r; gx) \right|^2 dx = \int_{C_K} |g|^{-1} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f_{g_0^{-1}}(r; x) \right|^2 dx = \int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} |g|^{-1/2} f_{g_0^{-1}}(r; x) \right|^2 dx.$$

Here $\sum_{r \in K^*} f_{g_0^{-1}}(r; x) = \sum_{r \in K^*} f(r g_0^{-1} x)$. When $g_0 = g$ then $\int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f_{g_0^{-1}}(r; gx) \right|^2 dx < \infty$, so $\int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} |g|^{-1/2} f_{g_0^{-1}}(r; x) \right|^2 dx < \infty$. It means that $|g|^{-1/2} f(g^{-1}x) \in L^2(X)_0$. □

Because C_K is abelian locally compact, its regular representation $(V, L^2(C_K))$ does not contain any finite dimensional subrepresentation. This fact is an obstacle to our attempt computing the trace of U . So we will replace $L^2(C_K)$ by $L^2_\delta(C_K)$ using the polynomial weight $(\log^2|a|)^{\delta/2}$, i.e. the norm $\|\xi\|_\delta^2 = \int_{C_K} |\xi(a)|^2 (1 + \log^2|a|)^{\delta/2} d^*a$.

Definition 2.3. Let each Hilbert space $L^2_\delta(X)_0$ and $L^2_\delta(X)$ ($\delta > 1$) be the completion of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$ and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)$ respectively with the square norm

$$\|f\|_\delta^2 = \int_{C_K} \left| \sum_{r \in K^*} f(rx) \right|^2 (1 + (\log|x|)^2)^{\delta/2} dx.$$

The Hilbert space $L^2_\delta(C_K)$ is obtained from the space of functions with the square norm

$$\|\xi\|_\delta^2 = \int_{C_K} |\xi(a)|^2 (1 + (\log|a|)^2)^{\delta/2} d^*a$$

where we normalize the Haar measure of the multiplicative group C_K

$$\int_{|g| \in [1, \Lambda]} d^*g \sim \log \Lambda \quad \Lambda \rightarrow +\infty.$$

These spaces are weighted L^2 spaces. The followings are basically.

Polynomials are dense in the L^2_δ .

So,

the orthogonal polynomials in L^2_δ are a complete orthogonal set in L^2_δ .

Therefore we can decompose each L^2_δ space in the direct sum of finite dimensional subspaces.

Proposition 2.3.

- (a) The representation $(V, L^2_\delta(C_K))$ isn't unitary.
- (b) $\|V(a)\|_\delta = O((\log|a|)^{\delta/2}) \quad |a| \rightarrow \infty.$
- (c) $\|V(a)\|_\delta = O((\log|a|)^{\delta/2}) \quad |a| \rightarrow 0.$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a) \quad \|V(a)\xi\|_\delta^2 &= \int_{C_K} |\xi(a^{-1}g)|^2 (1 + (\log|g|)^2)^{\delta/2} d^*g \\
 &= \int_{C_K} |\xi(a^{-1}g)|^2 (1 + (\log|aa^{-1}g|)^2)^{\delta/2} d^*g \\
 &= \int_{C_K} |\xi(g)|^2 (1 + (\log|ag|)^2)^{\delta/2} d^*g.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus it does't always hold that $\|V(a)\xi\|_\delta^2 = \|\xi\|_\delta^2$.

(b) (c) Let $\rho(u) = (1 + u^2)^{\delta/2}$. It is satisfied that

$$\frac{\rho(\log xy)}{\rho(\log x)} = \frac{\rho(\log x + \log y)}{\rho(\log x)} \leq c \cdot \rho(\log y), \quad c = 2^{\delta/2}.$$

We compute as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|V(a)\xi\|_\delta^2 &= \int_{C_K} |\xi(a^{-1}g)|^2 \rho(\log|g|) d^*g \\
 &= \int_{C_K} |\xi(g)|^2 \rho(\log|ag|) d^*g \\
 &\leq c \cdot \int_{C_K} |\xi(g)|^2 \rho(\log|a|) \rho(\log|g|) d^*g = c \cdot \rho(\log|a|) \int_{C_K} |\xi(g)|^2 \rho(\log|g|) d^*g.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|V(a)\|_\delta^2 \leq c \cdot (1 + (\log|a|)^2)^{\delta/2}.$$

Then

$$(\|V(a)\|_\delta^2)^{2/\delta} \leq (c \cdot (1 + (\log|a|)^2)^{\delta/2})^{2/\delta}.$$

We can say that

$$\|V(a)\|_\delta^{4/\delta} \leq c^{2/\delta} \cdot (1 + (\log|a|)^2) \leq c^{4/\delta} \cdot (1 + (\log|a|)^2).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\|V(a)\|_\delta^{4/\delta}}{(\log|a|)^2} \leq c^{4/\delta} \cdot \frac{1 + (\log|a|)^2}{(\log|a|)^2}.$$

It turns out that

$$\frac{\|V(a)\|_\delta^{4/\delta}}{(\log|a|)^2} \leq c^{4/\delta} \quad |a| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\|V(a)\|_\delta^{4/\delta}}{(\log|a|)^2} \leq c^{4/\delta} \quad |a| \rightarrow 0.$$

We can show that

$$\frac{\|V(a)\|_\delta^{4/\delta}}{(\log|a|)^2} = \left(\frac{\|V(a)\|_\delta}{|(\log|a|)^{\delta/2}|} \right)^{4/\delta}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\|V(a)\|_\delta}{|(\log|a|)^{\delta/2}|} \leq c \quad |a| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\|V(a)\|_\delta}{|(\log|a|)^{\delta/2}|} \leq c \quad |a| \rightarrow 0.$$

□

C_K is abelian, so its irreducible unitary representation is also a character. We use $\tilde{\chi}_0$ to denote a character of C_K since a character of $C_K = \chi_0|\cdot|^\rho$ ($\rho \in i\mathbb{R}$) where χ_0 be a character of $C_{K,1}$ which is the maximal compact subgroup: $\{g \in C_K \mid |g|=1\}$. C_K is locally compact, so it isn't always that $\hat{C}_K = \{\tilde{\chi}_0\}$. We will consider that

$$(V(g)\xi)(x) = c(g)\xi(x) \quad \forall g \in C_K$$

for $\xi(x) \in L^2_\delta(C_K)$. It holds that $c|_{C_{K,1}} = \chi_0$. We have seen that V isn't unitary, so when $c|_{C_{K,1}} = \chi_0$ then $c = \chi_0|\cdot|^\rho$ ($\rho \in \mathbb{C}$). Here

$$|g|^{\operatorname{Re}(\rho)} \leq \|V(g)\|_\delta, \quad g \in C_K.$$

Consider

$$\lim_{|g| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|g|^\alpha}{\log|g|} = \infty \quad (\alpha > 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|g| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|g|^\alpha}{\log|g|} = \infty \quad (\alpha < 0).$$

Because $|g|^{\operatorname{Re}(\rho)} \leq \|V(g)\|_\delta$, $g \in C_K$; if $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > 0$ or $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) < 0$ then each of them conflicts with the proposition 2.3. (b) and (c). Therefore, it is that $\rho \in i\mathbb{R}$. Namely,

$$c = \chi_0|\cdot|^\rho \quad \rho \in i\mathbb{R}.$$

There exists $\tilde{\chi}_0 \in \hat{C}_K$ such that $\tilde{\chi}_0(g) = c(g)$.

Let

$$L^2_{\delta, \tilde{\chi}_0} = \{\xi(x) \in L^2_\delta(C_K) \mid \xi(g^{-1}x) = \tilde{\chi}_0(g)\xi(x) \quad \forall x \in C_K \quad \forall g \in C_K\}$$

and let

$$L^2_{\delta, \chi_0} = \{\xi(x) \in L^2_\delta(C_K) \mid \xi(a^{-1}x) = \chi_0(a)\xi(x) \quad \forall x \in C_K \quad \forall a \in C_{K,1}\}.$$

We see that

$$L^2_{\delta, \chi_0}(C_K) = \bigoplus_{\tilde{\chi}_0} L^2_{\delta, \tilde{\chi}_0}$$

since $\hat{C}_K(\chi_0) = \{\pi \in \hat{C}_K \mid \pi|_{C_{K,1}} = \chi_0\} = \{\chi_0|\cdot|^\rho \mid \rho \in i\mathbb{R}\}$.

3. Discrete Spectra and Imaginary Parts of Zeros of the L function

We have a following decomposition:

$$C_K \cong C_{K,1} \times N.$$

Here $C_{K,1}$ is the maximal compact subgroup: $\{g \in C_K \mid |g| = 1\}$ and $N = \{|g| \mid g \in C_K\} = \mathbb{R}_{>0}^*$. Since $C_{K,1}$ is abelian and compact, its irreducible unitary representation is a character. Let χ_0 be a character of $C_{K,1}$. We may say that $\hat{C}_{K,1} = \{\chi_0\}$.

We will think of the left regular representation $(V, L^2_\delta(C_K))$ of C_K . $C_{K,1}$ acts by the restriction of V to $C_{K,1}$ and it is unitary. Recall $\|V(a)\xi\|_\delta^2 = \|\xi\|_\delta^2 \quad \forall a \in C_{K,1}$. For $\xi(x) \in L^2_\delta(C_K)$, we will consider that

$$(V(a)\xi)(x) = c(a)\xi(x) \quad \forall a \in C_{K,1}.$$

Since the left regular representation of $C_{K,1}$ is unitary, there exists $\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{K,1}$ such that $\chi_0(a) = c(a)$. Thus, fix $\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{K,1}$ and put

$$L^2_{\delta, \chi_0} = \{\xi(x) \in L^2_\delta(C_K) \mid \xi(a^{-1}x) = \chi_0(a)\xi(x) \quad \forall x \in C_K \quad \forall a \in C_{K,1}\}.$$

When $V_{\chi_0} = V|_{L^2_{\delta, \chi_0}}$ then $(V_{\chi_0}, L^2_{\delta, \chi_0})$ gives a finite dimensional subrepresentation. It turns out that

$$L^2_\delta(C_K) = \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{K,1}} L^2_{\delta, \chi_0}$$

since $\hat{C}_{K,1} = \{\chi_0\}$.

The dual space $(L^2_\delta(C_K))^*$ of $L^2_\delta(C_K)$ can be identified with $L^2_{-\delta}(C_K)$. It is also decomposed in the direct sum of the subspaces,

$$L^2_{-\delta, \chi_0} = \{\eta(x) \in L^2_{-\delta}(C_K) \mid \eta(ax) = \chi_0(a)\eta(x) \quad \forall x \in C_K \quad \forall a \in C_{K,1}\}.$$

Here, we use the transposed of V

$$(V^t(a)\eta)(x) = \eta(ax); \quad \eta(x) \in (L^2_\delta(C_K))^*.$$

The pairing between $L^2_\delta(C_K)$ and its dual $(L^2_\delta(C_K))^* = L^2_{-\delta}(C_K)$ is given by

$$\langle f, \eta \rangle = \int_{C_K} f(x)\eta(x)d^*x.$$

We can obtain the following exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow L^2_\delta(X)_0 \xrightarrow{T} L^2_\delta(C_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$\text{Im}(T)^0 = \{\eta \in (L^2_\delta(C_K))^* \mid \langle Tf, \eta \rangle = 0 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0\}.$$

It holds that

$$\eta(x) \in \text{Im}(T)^0 \iff \int_{C_K} Tf(a)\eta(a)d^*a = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0.$$

Proposition 3.1. Fix an extension $\tilde{\chi}_0$ of χ_0 as being equal to 1 on N . For any $\eta(g) \in L^2_{-\delta, \chi_0}$, we can write it as

$$\eta(g) = \tilde{\chi}_0(g)\Psi(|g|) \text{ where } \int_{C_K} |\Psi(|g|)|^2 (1 + (\log|g|)^2)^{-\delta/2} d^*g < \infty.$$

$\Psi(|g|)$ is a tempered distribution on $\mathbb{R}^*_{>0}$. Let

$$\hat{\Psi}(t) = \int_{C_K} \Psi(a)|a|^t d^*a.$$

Then $\hat{\Psi}(t)$ has compact support.

Proof. We have seen that an extension $\tilde{\chi}_0$ of χ_0 as a character of C_K has the form $\tilde{\chi}_0 = \chi_0|\cdot|^\rho$ ($\rho \in i\mathbb{R}$). Then

$$\tilde{\chi}_0(g) = \chi_0(a)|g|^\rho \quad g \in C_K,$$

where $a = g/|g| \in C_{K,1}$. Fix an extension $\tilde{\chi}_0$ as being equal to 1 on N , then

$$\tilde{\chi}_0(|g|) = \chi_0(1)|g|^\rho = 1.$$

Thus $\rho = 0$. We can consider that it has the form $\tilde{\chi}_0 = \chi_0|\cdot|^0$.

For $g \in C_K$, put $g = a \cdot a^{-1}g$. Since $a^{-1}g \in N$, it turns out that $|g| = a^{-1}g$. Then,

$$\eta(g) = \chi_0(a) \cdot \chi_0(a^{-1}) \cdot \eta(g) = \chi_0(a) \cdot \eta(a^{-1}g) = \chi_0(a) \cdot \eta(|g|).$$

Now, $\tilde{\chi}_0(g) = \chi_0(a)|g|^0 = \chi_0(a)$. Thus we can write it as

$$\eta(g) = \tilde{\chi}_0(g)\Psi(|g|)$$

Since $\int_{C_K} |\Psi(|g|)|^2 (1 + (\log|g|)^2)^{-\delta/2} d^*g < \infty$, we can say that $\Psi(|g|)$ is a tempered distribution.

Denote h 's Fourier transform by \hat{h} (or $\mathcal{F}(h)$):

$$\mathcal{F}(h)(\chi, z) = \int_{C_K} h(\mu)\chi(\mu)|\mu|^z d^* \mu.$$

Let $\tilde{h}(x) = h(x^{-1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (V^\tau(h)\eta)(x) &= \int_{C_K} h(a)\eta(ax)d^* a \\ &= \int_{C_K} h(yx^{-1})\eta(y)d^* y = \int_{C_K} \tilde{h}(xy^{-1})\eta(y)d^* y = (\tilde{h} * \eta)(x). \end{aligned}$$

One has

Lemma 3.1. There exists an approximate unit $f_n \in \mathcal{S}(C_K)$, such that \hat{f}_n has compact support, $\|V(f_n)\|_\delta \leq C \forall n$, and

$$V(f_n) \rightarrow 1 \text{ strongly in } L^2_\delta(C_K).$$

From this lemma, we can say that for any $\xi(x) \in L^2_\delta(C_K)$

$$(V(f)\xi)(x) = \xi(x)$$

for some f such that \hat{f} has compact support. Consider its dual case, then we can say that for any $\eta(g) \in L^2_{-\delta, \chi_0}$

$$(V^\tau(h)\eta)(g) = \eta(g)$$

for some h such that \hat{h} has compact support.

We have

$$\mathcal{F}((\tilde{h} * \eta)) = \mathcal{F}(V^\tau(h)\eta) = \mathcal{F}(\eta).$$

Here $\mathcal{F}((\tilde{h} * \eta)) = \mathcal{F}(\tilde{h}) \cdot \mathcal{F}(\eta)$. Since \hat{h} has compact support, $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{h})$ also has compact support. Therefore $\mathcal{F}((\tilde{h} * \eta))$ has compact support. It means that $\mathcal{F}(\eta)$ has compact support from the above equation. We may identify $\eta(g)$ with $\Psi(|g|)$, so we can say that $\hat{\Psi}(t)$ has compact support. □

Fix an extension $\tilde{\chi}_0$ of χ_0 as being equal to 1 on N . For any $\eta(g) \in L^2_{-\delta, \chi_0}$, write it as

$$\eta(g) = \tilde{\chi}_0(g)\Psi(|g|) \text{ where } \int_{C_K} |\Psi(|g|)|^2 (1 + (\log|g|)^2)^{-\delta/2} d^* g < \infty.$$

Put the ‘‘Fourier expansion’’ of $\Psi(|g|)$;

$$\Psi(|g|) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\Psi}(t)|g|^{it} dt \text{ where } \hat{\Psi}(t) = \int_{C_K} \Psi(a)|a|^{it} d^* a.$$

Thus,

$$\eta(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}_0(g)|g|^{it} \hat{\Psi}(t) dt.$$

Since $\hat{\Psi}(t)$ has compact support, we can compute as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(g) \in \text{Im}(T)^0 &\iff \langle Tf, \eta \rangle = \int_{C_K} Tf(a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} \hat{\Psi}(t) dt d^*a \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{C_K} Tf(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} \hat{\Psi}(t) d^*a dt = 0, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. For $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, and any character $\tilde{\chi}_0$ of C_K ,

$$\int_{C_K} (Tf)(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^*a = c L(\tilde{\chi}_0, s) \Delta'_{\tilde{\chi}_0, s}(f), \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0.$$

where the non zero constant c depends upon the normalization of the Haar measure d^*a on C_K .

Proof. Consider a fundamental domain D for the action of K^* on \mathbb{A}_K^* . Then $\mathbb{A}_K^* = D \cup r_1 D \cup r_2 D \cup \dots$. We may identify D with C_K . It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_K} (Tf)(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^*a &= \int_{C_K} \sum_{r \in K^*} f(ra) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s d^*a \\ &= \sum_{r \in K^*} \int_{C_K} f(ra) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s d^*a, \end{aligned}$$

we can consider that $\tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s$ is a quasi-character of C_K then

$$\tilde{\chi}_0(ra) |ra|^s = \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s \quad a \in C_K \quad r \in K^*,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{r \in K^*} \int_{rC_K} f(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s d^*a \\ &= c \int_{\mathbb{A}_K^*} f(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s d^*a. \end{aligned}$$

From the lemma 1.1, for $\text{Re}(s) > 1$

$$c \int_{\mathbb{A}_K^*} f(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s d^*a = c L(\tilde{\chi}_0, s) \Delta'_{\tilde{\chi}_0, s}(f).$$

Thus

$$\int_{C_K} (Tf)(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^*a = c L(\tilde{\chi}_0, s) \Delta'_{\tilde{\chi}_0, s}(f).$$

It is said that

$$\Pi_{\nu} \Delta_{\tilde{\chi}_0, \nu}^s(f_{\nu}) = \int_{\mathbb{A}_K^*} f(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^s d^*a$$

at $\text{Re}(s) > 1$. Since the left term makes sense whenever $\text{Re}(s) > 0$, the equation:

$$\int_{C_K} (Tf)(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^*a = c L(\tilde{\chi}_0, s) \Delta'_{\tilde{\chi}_0, s}(f) \text{ holds for } \text{Re}(s) > 0.$$

□

Theorem 3.1. Suppose $\eta(g) \in L^2_{-\delta, \chi_0}$. Fix an extension $\tilde{\chi}_0$ of χ_0 as being equal to 1 on N .

$$\eta(g) \in \text{Im}(T)^0 \iff L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\hat{\Psi}(t) = 0; t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof. To any function $b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^*_+)$, we can assign a test function $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$ such that

$$\Delta'_{\tilde{\chi}_0^s}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^*_+} b(x)|x|^s d^*x, \text{Re}(s) > 0.$$

From the lemma 3.2,

$$\int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a)\tilde{\chi}_0|a|^t d^*a = L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\Delta'_{\tilde{\chi}_0^{1/2+it}}(f).$$

Thus it turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a)\tilde{\chi}_0(a)|a|^t \hat{\Psi}(t) d^*a dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\Delta'_{\tilde{\chi}_0^{1/2+it}}(f)\hat{\Psi}(t) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*_+} L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\hat{\Psi}(t)b(x)|x|^{1/2+it} d^*x dt \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary $b \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^*_+)$. Then it holds that

$$\eta(g) \in \text{Im}(T)^0 \iff \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^*_+} L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\hat{\Psi}(t)b(x)|x|^{1/2+it} d^*x dt = 0$$

for an arbitrary b . Therefore

$$\eta(g) \in \text{Im}(T)^0 \iff L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\hat{\Psi}(t) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 3.3. Suppose that $L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\hat{\Psi}(t) = 0$. We get that $\hat{\Psi}(t)$, as a distribution, is a finite linear combination of the distributions:

$$\delta_t^{(k)}; t \text{ satisfies } L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it) = 0, k < \text{order of the zero and } k < \frac{\delta-1}{2}.$$

proof. Let

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a)\tilde{\chi}_0(a)|a|^t \hat{\Psi}(t) d^*a dt = \langle \hat{\Psi}(t), \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a)\tilde{\chi}_0(a)|a|^t d^*a \rangle,$$

and we shall consider that $\hat{\Psi}(t)$ is a distribution. Suppose that $L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it)\hat{\Psi}(t) = 0$. If $\hat{\Psi}(t) \neq 0$ then $L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it) = 0$. We may say that $\hat{\Psi}(t)$ is a distribution supported on $\{t \mid L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it) = 0\}$ consisting of a single point. Therefore there are coefficients c_k such that

$$\hat{\Psi}(t) = \sum c_k \delta_t^{(k)}.$$

□

Corollary 3.1. Suppose that $\eta(g) \in L^2_{-\delta, \chi_0}$ and $\eta(g) \in \text{Im}(T)^0$. Then $\eta(g)$ is a finite linear combination of functions of the form,

$$\eta_{t,k}(g) = \tilde{\chi}_0(g) |g|^{it} (\log |g|)^k$$

where t satisfies $L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + it) = 0$ and $k < \text{order of the zero}$. Moreover

$$\eta_{t,k}(g) \in \text{Im}(T)^0.$$

Proof. From the above lemma

$$\langle \hat{\Psi}(t), \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \rangle = \langle \sum c_k \delta_t^{(k)}, \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \rangle.$$

We can compute as follows;

$$\langle \delta_t^{(k)}, \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \rangle = (-1)^k \langle \delta_t, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\right)^k \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta_t, \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\right)^k \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \rangle &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}\right)^k \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \Big|_{\rho=t} \\ &= \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} (\log |a|)^k d^*a \\ &= (i)^k \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} (\log |a|)^k d^*a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\langle \delta_t^{(k)}, \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \rangle = (-i)^k \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} (\log |a|)^k d^*a.$$

It turns out that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} \hat{\Psi}(t) dt d^*a &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} \hat{\Psi}(t) d^*a dt \\ &= \langle \hat{\Psi}(t), \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{ip} d^*a \rangle \\ &= \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \sum (-i)^k c_k \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} (\log |a|)^k d^*a, \end{aligned}$$

so we see that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} \hat{\Psi}(t) dt = \sum (-i)^k c_k \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{it} (\log |a|)^k.$$

Here, $\eta(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\chi}_0(g) |g|^{it} \hat{\Psi}(t) dt$.

Let $L(\tilde{\chi}_0, s) = 0$ and let $k < \text{order of the zero}$. From the lemma 3.2,

$$\int_{C_K} (\text{Tf})(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^*a = c L(\tilde{\chi}_0, s) \mathcal{A}'_{\tilde{\chi}_0, s}(f).$$

Thus we can say that

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^k \int_{C_K} (\text{Tf})(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^*a = 0.$$

Here

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right)^k \int_{C_K} (\text{Tf})(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} d^*a = \int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} (\log |a|)^k d^*a.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{C_K} \text{Tf}(a) \tilde{\chi}_0(a) |a|^{s-1/2} (\log|a|)^k d^*a = 0.$$

It means that $\tilde{\chi}_0(g) |g|^{s-1/2} (\log|g|)^k \in \text{Im}(T)^0$. In our case $s = 1/2 + it$.

□

Let $\mathcal{H} \cong L^2_\delta(C_K)/\text{Im}(T)$. We shall think of the left regular representation W of C_K on $\mathcal{H}: (W, \mathcal{H})$ where one deduces W from V . We will consider its dual $\mathcal{H}^*: (W^\tau, \mathcal{H}^*)$ where one deduces W^τ from V^τ . Here

$$\mathcal{H}^* \cong (L^2_\delta(C_K)/\text{Im}(T))^* \cong \text{Im}(T)^0.$$

One decomposes \mathcal{H}^* in the direct sum of the subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}^* = \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{K,1}} \mathcal{H}^*_{\chi_0}; \quad \mathcal{H}^*_{\chi_0} = \{\xi \mid \xi(ag) = \chi_0(a)\xi(g) \quad \forall a \in C_{K,1}\},$$

where $\mathcal{H}^*_{\chi_0} \subseteq L^2_{-\delta, \chi_0}$. We see that the functions of the form $\eta_{t,k}(g)$ consists of a basis of \mathcal{H}^* . Here

$$\begin{aligned} (W^\tau(g)\eta_{t,k})(x) &= \tilde{\chi}_0(gx) |gx|^{it} (\log|gx|)^k \\ &= \tilde{\chi}_0(g) |g|^{it} \tilde{\chi}_0(x) |x|^{it} (\log|g| + \log|x|)^k \quad \forall g, x \in C_K. \end{aligned}$$

It turns out that

$$(W^\tau(g)\eta_{t,k})(x) = \sum_{n=0}^k n C_k \eta_{t,n}(g) \cdot \eta_{t,k-n}(x).$$

Thus W^τ isn't semi simple in general. Now, we see that

$$(W^\tau(g)\eta_{t,k})(x) = \sum_{n=0}^k n C_k g^{it} (\log g)^n \cdot \eta_{t,k-n}(x) \quad \forall g \in N.$$

Let $W^\tau_{\chi_0} = W^\tau|_{\mathcal{H}^*_{\chi_0}}$ and $e^\dagger = g (g \in N)$. We will write the action of N on $\mathcal{H}^*_{\chi_0}$ as

$$W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^\dagger): \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}^*_{\chi_0}.$$

The following things

- (a) $W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^0) = 1,$
- (b) $W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^{t+s}) = W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^\dagger) W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^s)$

are satisfied. Thus $W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^\dagger)$ is a semi-group. From the theory of semi-group, we can say that

$$W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^\dagger) = e^{\dagger D^\tau_{\chi_0}}$$

where

$$D^\tau_{\chi_0} \xi = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^t) \xi - W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^0) \xi}{t} = \left. \frac{dW^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^t) \xi}{dt} \right|_{t=0}.$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d(W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^t) \eta_{t,k})(x)}{dt} &= \sum_{n=0}^k {}_n C_k \{ (e^{t \cdot it})' \cdot t^n + e^{t \cdot it} \cdot (t^n)' \} \cdot \eta_{t,k-n}(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^k {}_n C_k \{ it e^{t \cdot it} \cdot t^n + e^{t \cdot it} \cdot n t^{n-1} \} \cdot \eta_{t,k-n}(x). \end{aligned}$$

First,

$$\left. \frac{{}_0 C_k e^{t \cdot it} \cdot \eta_{t,k}(x)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = {}_0 C_k it e^{t \cdot it} \cdot \eta_{t,k}(x) \Big|_{t=0} = it \cdot \eta_{t,k}(x).$$

Secondly,

$$\left. \frac{{}_1 C_k e^{t \cdot it} t \cdot \eta_{t,k-1}(x)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = {}_1 C_k \{ it e^{t \cdot it} \cdot t + e^{t \cdot it} \cdot t^0 \} \cdot \eta_{t,k-1}(x) \Big|_{t=0}.$$

We shall think that $t^0 = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} t^a$. Then $\{ it e^{t \cdot it} \cdot t + e^{t \cdot it} \cdot t^0 \} \Big|_{t=0} = 0$. So

$$\left. \frac{{}_1 C_k e^{t \cdot it} t \cdot \eta_{t,k-1}(x)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

Finally, when $n > 1$

$$\left. \frac{{}_n C_k e^{t \cdot it} t^n \cdot \eta_{t,k-n}(x)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = {}_n C_k \{ it e^{t \cdot it} \cdot t^n + e^{t \cdot it} \cdot n t^{n-1} \} \cdot \eta_{t,k-n}(x) \Big|_{t=0} = 0.$$

So we see that

$$\left. \frac{d(W^\tau_{\chi_0}(e^t) \eta_{t,k})(x)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = it \cdot \eta_{t,k}(x).$$

Thus

$$D^\tau_{\chi_0} \eta_{t,k}(x) = it \cdot \eta_{t,k}(x).$$

The operator $D^\tau_{\chi_0}$ has discrete spectra. We may say that the discrete spectrum is given by the element $\eta_{t,k}(x)$ of $\mathcal{H}^*_{\chi_0}$.

Theorem 3.2. $\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{K,1}$, $\delta > 1$. Then D_{χ_0} has discrete spectra, $\text{sp}D_{\chi_0} \subset i\mathbb{R}$ is the set of imaginary parts of zeros of the L function with Grössencharakter $\tilde{\chi}_0$ which have real part equal to $1/2$;

$$\rho \in \text{sp}D_{\chi_0} \iff L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2 + \rho) = 0 \text{ and } \rho \in i\mathbb{R}, \text{ where } \tilde{\chi}_0 \text{ is the unique extension of } \chi_0 \text{ to } C_K \text{ which is equal to 1 on } N.$$

Moreover the multiplicity of ρ in $\text{sp}D_{\chi_0}$ is equal to the largest integer of $k < \frac{\delta-1}{2}$, $k < \text{multiplicity of } 1/2 + \rho \text{ as a zero of } L$.

Now, let h be a test function on C_K and set

$$W(h) = \int_{C_K} h(g)W(g) d^*g.$$

Denote h 's Fourier transform by \hat{h} :

$$\hat{h}(\chi, z) = \int_{C_K} h(\mu)\chi(\mu)|\mu|^z d^*\mu.$$

We can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (W^\tau(g)\eta_{t,k})(x), \eta_{t,k}(x) \rangle &= \langle \sum_{n=0}^k n C_k \eta_{t,n}(g) \cdot \eta_{t,k-n}(x), \eta_{t,k}(x) \rangle \\ &= \eta_{t,0}(g) = \tilde{\chi}_0(g)|g|^{it}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\text{tr}W^\tau(h) = \sum_{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2+it)=0} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, it).$$

Here, $\text{tr}W = \text{tr}W^\tau$.

Corollary 3.2. For any Schwartz function $h \in \mathcal{S}(C_K)$ the operator $\int_{C_K} h(g)W(g) d^*g$ in \mathcal{H} is of trace class, and its trace is given by

$$\text{tr}W(h) = \sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2+\rho)=0 \\ \rho \in i\mathbb{R}}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho)$$

where the multiplicity is counted as in the theorem 3.2 and where Fourier transform \hat{h} of h is defined by $\hat{h}(\chi, z) = \int_{C_K} h(\mu)\chi(\mu)|\mu|^z d^*\mu$.

We can obtain the following exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow L^2_\delta(X)_0 \rightarrow L^2_\delta(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow L^2_\delta(X)_0 \xrightarrow{\text{T}} L^2_\delta(C_K) \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0.$$

We will compute $\text{tr}U(h)$ for $(U, L^2_\delta(X))$ from spectral side. From the above first sequence, considering *Lefschetz formula*, we will see that

$$A = \text{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(X)_0} - \text{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(X)} + \text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1)}.$$

From the second sequence, we will obtain

$$A' = \text{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(X)_0} - \text{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(C_K)} + \text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Therefore, it is satisfied that

$$\text{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(X)} = \text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1)} - \text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathcal{H}} + \text{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(C_K)} + A' - A.$$

We try to compute $\text{tr}U(h)$ spectrally. Here,

$$U(h) = \int_{C_K} h(g)U(g) d^*g.$$

The first term $\text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}(1)}$ gives

$$\hat{h}(0) + \hat{h}(1)$$

since

$$\text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathbb{C}} = \int_{C_K} h(g)1|g|^0 d^*g = \hat{h}(1, 0) = \hat{h}(0)$$

and

$$\text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathbb{C}(1)} = \int_{C_K} h(g)1|g|^1 d^*g = \hat{h}(1, 1) = \hat{h}(1).$$

Consider that $\text{T}(U(g)\xi)(a) = |g|^{1/2}(V(g)\text{T}\xi)(a)$ then it turns out that $\text{T}U(g)\text{T}^{-1} = |g|^{1/2}V(g)$. We will see that $(U, L^2_\delta(C_K))$ coincides with $(|\cdot|^{1/2}V, L^2_\delta(C_K))$. Thus

$$U|_{L^2_\delta(C_K)} \text{ is } (|\cdot|^{1/2}V, L^2_\delta(C_K)) \text{ and } U|_{\mathcal{H}} \text{ is } (|\cdot|^{1/2}V, \text{Im}(\text{T})^0).$$

So, from the corollary 3.2, we will understand that the second term gives

$$\sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho)=0 \\ \text{Re } \rho = 1/2}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho).$$

Finally, the term $\text{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(C_K)} + A' - A$ gives $\infty \cdot h(1)$. Here

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr}U(h)|_{L^2_\delta(C_K)} &= \int_{C_K} h(g)|g|^{1/2} \tilde{\chi}_0(g)d^*g + \int_{C_K} h(g)|g|^{1/2} \tilde{\chi}'_0(g)d^*g + \dots \\
&= \int_{C_K} h(g)|g|^{1/2} \sum_{\chi_0 \in \tilde{C}_{K,1}} \tilde{\chi}_0(g)d^*g;
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\chi_0 \in \tilde{C}_{K,1}} \tilde{\chi}_0(g) &= \begin{cases} |C_{K,1}| & g=1 \\ 0 & g \neq 1 \end{cases}, \\
&= \infty \cdot h(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{tr}U(h) = \hat{h}(0) + \hat{h}(1) - \sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) = 0 \\ \operatorname{Re} \rho = 1/2}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) + \infty \cdot h(1).$$

4. $\text{tr}U$ and Riemann Hypothesis

We try to compute $\text{tr}U$ geometrically. Let us start with the computation of the distribution theoretic trace of the operator $U: C^\infty(M) \rightarrow C^\infty(M)$,

$$(U\xi)(x) = \xi(\varphi(x)).$$

Let $k(x, y)$ be the Schwartz distribution on $M \times M$ such that

$$(U\xi)(x) = \int_M k(x, y)\xi(y) dy.$$

One gets $k(x, y) = \delta(y - \varphi(x))$ where δ is the Dirac distribution. Then

$$\text{tr}U = \int_M k(x, x) dx.$$

Here $k(x, x) = \delta(x - \varphi(x))$. Put $g(x) = x - \varphi(x)$. It is known that

$$\delta(g(x)) = \sum_i \frac{\delta(x - x_i)}{|g'(x_i)|}$$

where sum extends over all roots x_i of $g(x)$, and that

$$\int_M \xi(x)\delta(g(x))dx = \sum_i \frac{\xi(x_i)}{|g'(x_i)|}.$$

Therefore, one can compute the trace as a finite sum $\sum_{x, \varphi(x)=x}$ and get

$$\text{tr}U = \sum_{x, \varphi(x)=x} \frac{1}{|1 - \varphi'(x)|}.$$

Let

$$\pi: \mathbb{A}_K \rightarrow X, \quad c: \mathbb{A}_K^* \rightarrow C_K.$$

Put

$$\pi(\tilde{x}) = x, \quad x \in X \quad \text{and} \quad c(j) = \lambda, \quad j \in \mathbb{A}_K^*.$$

Consider

$$f: X \times C_K \rightarrow X, \quad f(x, \lambda) = \lambda x.$$

It corresponds to the above φ . Let $Z = \text{Graph}(f) = \{(x, \lambda, f(x, \lambda))\}$. It corresponds to the above $k(x, y)$. The diagonal map is

$$\theta: X \times C_K \rightarrow X \times C_K \times X, \quad \theta(x, \lambda) = (x, \lambda, x).$$

We see that $Z \cap (X \times C_K \times X)$ corresponds to $k(x, x)$. Here $\theta^{-1}(Z)$ consists of the pair $(x, \lambda) \in X \times C_K$ such that $x \in X, x = \lambda x$. There exist $r, q \in K^*$ such that $x = r \tilde{x}$ and $\lambda = qj$. Thus $r \tilde{x} = qj \cdot r \tilde{x}$. Let $\tilde{j} = qj$. We obtain

$$\tilde{j} \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}.$$

Recall

$$\mathbb{A}_K = \prod'_{\nu < \infty} K_\nu \times \prod_{\nu = \infty} K_\nu = \prod'_\nu K_\nu$$

where $\prod'_{\nu < \infty} K_\nu = \{(x_\nu) \in \prod_{\nu < \infty} K_\nu \mid x_\nu \in \mathcal{O}_\nu \text{ for almost all } \nu\}$. The equality $\tilde{j} \tilde{x} = \tilde{x}$ means that $\tilde{j}_\nu \tilde{x}_\nu = \tilde{x}_\nu$. If $\tilde{x}_\nu \neq 0$ for all ν , it follows that $\tilde{j}_\nu = 1$ for all ν and $\tilde{j} = 1$. So the projection of $\theta^{-1}(Z) \cap (C_K - \{1\})$ on X is the union of the hyperplanes

$$\cup H_\nu; \quad H_\nu = \pi(\tilde{H}_\nu), \quad \tilde{H}_\nu = \{x \in \mathbb{A}_K \mid x_\nu = 0\}.$$

Each \tilde{H}_ν is closed in \mathbb{A}_K and is invariant under multiplication by elements of K^* . Thus each H_ν is a closed subset of X . Namely the fixed points of X under f come from the union on the hyperplanes. Let x be a generic point of H_ν ;

$$x \in H_\nu, \text{ where } x_\mu = 0 \text{ iff } \mu = \nu.$$

Then H_ν is the closure of the orbit of x , where the orbit of x is $\{gx \mid g \in C_K\}$. Denote the orbit of such point x by γ_x and its isotropy group $\{g \in C_K \mid gx = x\}$ by I_x . It turns out

$$\text{tr}U(h) = \sum_{\gamma_x} \sum_{\lambda} \# \gamma_x \frac{h(\lambda)}{|1 - \lambda|}$$

where $\# \gamma_x$ is the length of the γ_x , λ varies in I_x and h is a test function on C_K which vanishes at 1. We have seen that the fixed points of X come from the union on the hyperplanes. Although $H_\nu \neq \gamma_x$, we can justify the above computation. It means that not every point of the hyperplane contributes to the computation of $\text{tr}U(h)$.

Here

$$I_x = K_\nu^*$$

by the map $\lambda \in K_\nu^* \rightarrow (1, \dots, 1, \lambda, 1, \dots)$. Then C_K/I_x is compact. There exists a natural bijection between the orbit of x and C_K/I_x . Thus $\#\gamma_x = \int_{C_K/I_x} d^*\lambda$. We shall normalize the Haar measures to be $\int_{C_K/I_x} d^*\lambda = 1$. This is insured by normalizing the Haar measure of the multiplicative group C_K

$$\int_{|g| \in [1, \Lambda]} d^*g \sim \log \Lambda \quad \Lambda \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We shall identify H_ν with ν . We can write down the above sum with

$$\sum_\nu \int_{K_\nu^*} \frac{h(\mu)}{|1-\mu|} d^*\mu.$$

We used

$$(U(\lambda)\xi)(x) = \xi(\lambda^{-1}x).$$

If $x = \lambda x$ then $x = \lambda^{-1}x$. Therefore, this amounts to replace the test function $h(\mu)$ by $h(\mu^{-1})$. It holds that

$$\text{tr}U(h) = \sum_\nu \int_{K_\nu^*} \frac{h(\mu^{-1})}{|1-\mu|} d^*\mu.$$

We will compute $\text{tr}U(h)$ using Fourier transformations. For the simpler situation, we shall only consider a finite set S of places of K . Let S be a finite set of places of K containing all infinite places. The S -units of K is given by

$$\mathcal{O}_S^* = \{q \in K^* \mid |q_\nu| = 1 \ \nu \notin S\}.$$

$\mathcal{O}_S^* \setminus J_S^1$ is compact where

$$J_S = \prod_{\nu \in S} K_\nu^*$$

and

$$J_S^1 = \{j \in J_S \mid |j| = 1\}.$$

Let $C_S = \mathcal{O}_S^* \setminus J_S$ and $X_S = \mathcal{O}_S^* \setminus A_S$ where

$$A_S = \prod_{\nu \in S} K_\nu.$$

We normalize the Haar measure of the multiplicative group C_S by

$$\int_{|g| \in [1, \Lambda]} d^* g \sim \log \Lambda \quad \Lambda \rightarrow +\infty.$$

We will think of $L^2(X_S)$ which is obtained by a completion of $\mathcal{S}(A_S)$ with the norm

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_{C_S} \left| \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} f(qx) \right|^2 |x| d^* x.$$

We define $U(\lambda)$ $\lambda \in C_S$ by

$$(U(\lambda)\xi)(x) = \xi(\lambda^{-1}x) \quad \forall x \in A_S.$$

We will think of the sum $\sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} f(qx)$, $f \in \mathcal{S}(A_S)$ and let T be an operator acting on functions on A_S :

$$\begin{aligned} T(\sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} f(qx)) &= \int_{C_S} k(x, y) \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} f(qy) d^* y \\ &= \int_D k(x, y) \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} f(qy) d^* y \\ &= \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} \int_D k(x, q^{-1}y) f(y) d^* y. \end{aligned}$$

Here D is a fundamental domain for the action of \mathcal{O}_S^* on J_S . Then we see that the trace of its action on $L^2(X_S)$ is given by

$$\text{tr} T = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} \int_D k(x, q^{-1}x) dx$$

since $k(qx, qy) = k(x, y)$ $q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*$,

$$= \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} \int_D k(qx, x) dx.$$

For a given smooth compactly supported function h on C_S , let

$$U(h) = \int_{C_S} h(g) U(g) d^* g$$

as an operator acting on $L^2(X_S)$. For any $h \in \mathcal{S}(C_S)$ having compact support, there exists a smooth compactly supported function f on J_S such that

$$\sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} f(qg) = h(g) \quad \forall g \in C_S.$$

$J_S = D \cup q_1 D \cup q_2 D \cup \dots$. Since the integral which is performed on C_S is equivalent to that on the fundamental domain D , so it holds that $U(f) = U(h)$. Let $T = U(f) = U(h)$ as an operator acting on $L^2(X_S)$. The Schwartz kernel of T is

$$k(x, y) = \int_{C_S} h(\lambda^{-1}) \delta(y - \lambda x) d^* \lambda.$$

On the other hand, let P_Λ be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace,

$$P_\Lambda = \{ f \in L^2(X_S) \mid f(x) = 0, \forall x, |x| > \Lambda \}.$$

P_Λ is the multiplication operator by the function

$$\rho_\Lambda = \begin{cases} \rho_\Lambda(x) = 1 & \dots |x| \leq \Lambda \\ \rho_\Lambda(x) = 0 & \dots |x| > \Lambda \end{cases}.$$

Put $\hat{P}_\Lambda = \mathcal{F}^{-1} P_\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{*1}$ where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform which depends upon the basic character α . Define the operator \mathcal{R} by $\mathcal{R}f(x) = f(-x)$ then $\mathcal{F}^{-1} = \mathcal{R}\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}\mathcal{R}$. Here

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_S} \rho_\Lambda(\xi) \int_{A_S} f(x) \alpha(x\xi) dx \alpha(\xi \cdot -\eta) d\xi &= \int_{A_S} f(x) \int_{A_S} \rho_\Lambda(\xi) \alpha(x\xi) \alpha(\xi \cdot -\eta) d\xi dx \\ &= \int_{A_S} f(x) \int_{A_S} \rho_\Lambda(\xi) \alpha(\xi(x-\eta)) d\xi dx \\ &= \int_{A_S} f(x) \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(x-\eta) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We see that \hat{P}_Λ is the operator acting on $f \in L^2(X_S)$ like

$$(\hat{P}_\Lambda f)(x) = \int_{A_S} \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(y-x) f(y) dy.$$

Let

$$R_\Lambda = \hat{P}_\Lambda P_\Lambda \quad \Lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Denote the Schwartz kernel of R_Λ by $r_\Lambda(x, y)$. We see that

$$(R_\Lambda f)(x) = \int_{A_S} \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(y-x) \rho_\Lambda(y) f(y) dy.$$

So

$$r_\Lambda(x, y) = \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(y-x) \rho_\Lambda(y) = \rho_\Lambda(y) \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(y-x).$$

Moreover, for $R_\Lambda T = R_\Lambda U(h)$,

$$\begin{aligned} ((R_\Lambda U(h)) f)(x) &= \int_{A_S} r_\Lambda(x, z) \int_{A_S} k(z, y) f(y) dy dz \\ &= \int_{A_S} \int_{A_S} r_\Lambda(x, z) k(z, y) dz f(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Its kernel will be $\int_{A_S} r_\Lambda(x, z) k(z, y) dz$.

In practice, it is more convenient to define by means of transpose. The Schwartz kernel of the transpose R_Λ^τ is

$$r_\Lambda^\tau(x, y) = \rho_\Lambda(x) \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(x-y).$$

*1 $\hat{P}_\Lambda = \mathcal{F} P_\Lambda \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ in the original paper. However it must give a coherent explanation to define $\hat{P}_\Lambda = \mathcal{F}^{-1} P_\Lambda \mathcal{F}$.

Here, $\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(x - y) = \int_{A_S} \rho_\Lambda(z) \alpha(z(x - y)) dz = \int_{z \in A_S, |z| \leq \Lambda} \alpha(z(x - y)) dz$. Thus

$$\rho_\Lambda(x) \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(x - y) = \begin{cases} \int_{z \in A_S, |z| \leq \Lambda} \alpha(z(x - y)) dz & \cdots |x| \leq \Lambda \\ 0 & \cdots |x| > \Lambda \end{cases}.$$

Moreover, $\langle U(h)\varphi, \Psi \rangle = \int_{A_S} \int_{A_S} k(x, y) \varphi(y) dy \Psi(x) dx = \int_{A_S} \varphi(y) \int_{A_S} k(x, y) \Psi(x) dx dy$. So, $\int_{A_S} k(x, y) \Psi(x) dx = \int_{A_S} k^\tau(x, y) \Psi(y) dy$ since $\langle U(h)\varphi, \Psi \rangle = \langle \varphi, U^\tau(h)\Psi \rangle$. It means that

$$k^\tau(x, y) = k(y, x).$$

Now,

$$((U(h)R_\Lambda) f)(\varphi) =_{\text{def}} f((R_\Lambda^\tau U^\tau(h))\varphi).$$

Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the left term} &= \langle (U(h)R_\Lambda) f, \varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{A_S} \int_{A_S} \int_{A_S} k(x, z) r_\Lambda(z, y) dz f(y) dy \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{the right term} &= \langle f(x), (R_\Lambda^\tau U^\tau(h))\varphi \rangle \\ &= \int_{A_S} f(x) \int_{A_S} \int_{A_S} r_\Lambda^\tau(x, z) k^\tau(z, y) dz \varphi(y) dy dx \\ &= \int_{A_S} \int_{A_S} \int_{A_S} r_\Lambda^\tau(x, z) k^\tau(z, y) dz f(x) dx \varphi(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see that the Schwartz kernel of $U(h)R_\Lambda$ will be

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_S} r_\Lambda^\tau(x, z) k^\tau(z, y) dz &= \int_{A_S} k^\tau(z, y) r_\Lambda^\tau(x, z) dz \\ &= \int_{A_S} k(y, z) r_\Lambda^\tau(x, z) dz \\ &= \int_{A_S} k(y, z) \rho_\Lambda(x) \mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(x - z) dz. \end{aligned}$$

For any $q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*$, put

$$I_q = \int_{x \in D} \int_{A_S} r_\Lambda^\tau(x, z) k^\tau(z, qx) dz dx$$

then

$$\text{tr}(R_\Lambda U(h)) = \text{tr}(U(h)R_\Lambda) = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} I_q.$$

We shall evaluate the I_q . Let $z = x + a$. Put

$$k^\tau(z, qx) = k(qx, x + a)$$

and

$$r_{\Lambda^\tau}(x, z) = \rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)((x - (x + a)) = \rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a).$$

Then

$$\int_{\text{As}} r_{\Lambda^\tau}(x, z)k_{\Lambda^\tau}(z, qx)dz = \int_{\text{As}} k(qx, x + a)\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)da.$$

Now, let $(k(qx, x + 2a)*\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a))(t - a)$ be

$$\int_{\text{As}} k(qx, x + (t - a) + 2a)\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)da.$$

The Fourier transform of $(k(qx, x + 2a)*\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a))(t - a)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}((k(qx, x + 2a)*\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a))(t - a))(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\text{As}} \int_{\text{As}} k(qx, x + (t - a) + 2a)\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)da \alpha(t\xi)dt \\ &= \int_{\text{As}} k(qx, x + (t - a) + 2a)\alpha((t - a)\xi)dt \int_{\text{As}} \rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)\alpha(a\xi)da \\ &= \int_{\text{As}} k(qx, x + a' + 2a)\alpha(a'\xi)da' \int_{\text{As}} \rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)\alpha(a\xi)da. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a = 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}((k(qx, x + 2a)*\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a))(t - a))(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\text{As}} k(qx, x + a')\alpha(a'\xi)da' \int_{\text{As}} \rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)\alpha(a\xi)da. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\int_{\text{As}} k(qx, x + a')\alpha(a'\xi)da'$ is the Fourier transform in a of $k(qx, x + a)$:

$$\sigma(x, \xi) = \int_{\text{CS}} f(\lambda^{-1})\left(\int_{\text{As}} \delta(x + a - \lambda qx)\alpha(a\xi)da\right)d^*\lambda.$$

On the other hand, $\int_{\text{As}} \rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)\alpha(a\xi)da$ is the Fourier transform in a of $\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a)$:

$$\sigma_\Lambda(x, \xi) = \rho_\Lambda(x)\rho_\Lambda(\xi)$$

since $\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_\Lambda)(a)$. Therefore

$$\mathcal{F}((k(qx, x + 2a)*\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a))(t - a))(\xi) = \sigma(x, \xi)\sigma_\Lambda(x, \xi).$$

Think of its Fourier inverse transform:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}((k(qx, x + 2a)*\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a))(t - a))(\xi))(t) \\ &= \int_{\text{As}} \sigma(x, \xi)\sigma_\Lambda(x, \xi)\alpha(-\xi t)d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}((k(qx, x + 2a)*\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a))(t - a))(\xi))(t) \\ &= \int_{A_S} k(qx, x + (t - a) + 2a)\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a) da, \end{aligned}$$

when $t = 0$ then

$$\int_{A_S} k(qx, x + a)\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda)(-a) da = \int_{A_S} \sigma(x, \xi)\sigma_\Lambda(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Thus

$$\int_{A_S} r_{\Lambda^\tau}(x, z)k_{\Lambda^\tau}(z, qx) dz = \int_{A_S} \sigma(x, \xi)\sigma_\Lambda(x, \xi) d\xi.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \in D} \int_{A_S} r_{\Lambda^\tau}(x, z)k_{\Lambda^\tau}(z, qx) dz dx &= \int_{x \in D} \int_{A_S} \sigma(x, \xi)\sigma_\Lambda(x, \xi) d\xi dx \\ &= \int_{x \in D, |x| \leq \Lambda, |\xi| \leq \Lambda} \sigma(x, \xi) dx d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} I_q = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{O}_S^*} \int_{x \in D, |x| \leq \Lambda, |\xi| \leq \Lambda} \sigma(x, \xi) dx d\xi.$$

From this formula, we can obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $h \in \mathcal{S}(C_S)$ have compact support. Then when $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, one has

$$\text{tr}(R_\Lambda U(h)) = 2 \log'(\Lambda) h(1) + \sum_{v \in S} \int'_{K_v^*} \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1 - u|} d^* u + o(1)$$

where $2 \log'(\Lambda) = \int_{\lambda \in C_S, |\lambda| \in [\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda]} d^* \lambda$, each K_v^* is embedded in C_S by the map $u \rightarrow (1, 1, \dots, u, \dots, 1)$ and \int' means the principal value.

Let Q_Λ be the orthogonal projection on the subspace of $L^2(X_S)$ spanned by the $f(x) \in \mathcal{S}(A_S)$ such that $f(x)$ and $(\mathcal{F}f)(x)$ vanish for $|x| > \Lambda$. Here,

$$\text{Im}(P_\Lambda) = \{ f \in L^2(X_S) \mid f(x) = 0, \forall x, |x| > \Lambda \}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\text{Im}(\hat{P}_\Lambda) = \{ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathcal{F}f) \in L^2(X_S) \mid (\mathcal{F}f)(\xi) = 0, \forall \xi, |\xi| > \Lambda \}.$$

Put

$$B_\Lambda = \text{Im}(P_\Lambda) \cap \text{Im}(\hat{P}_\Lambda).$$

Here \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ are commutative on B_Λ . We will say that \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ are commutative if we can obtain B_Λ . We see that Q_Λ becomes the orthogonal projection on the subspace B_Λ of $L^2(X_S)$. Let $f \in L^2(X_S)$. It yields that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_\Lambda(\xi)\mathcal{F}(\rho_\Lambda(x)f(x))(\xi))(x) \in \text{Im}(\hat{P}_\Lambda P_\Lambda)$. Its Fourier transform vanishes for $|\xi| > \Lambda$, but it itself doesn't always vanish for $|x| > \Lambda$. So $B_\Lambda \subseteq \text{Im}(\hat{P}_\Lambda P_\Lambda)$. It yields that $\rho_\Lambda(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\rho_\Lambda(\xi)\mathcal{F}(f(x))(\xi))(x) \in \text{Im}(P_\Lambda \hat{P}_\Lambda)$. It itself vanishes for $|x| > \Lambda$, but its Fourier transform doesn't always vanish for $|\xi| > \Lambda$. So $B_\Lambda \subseteq \text{Im}(P_\Lambda \hat{P}_\Lambda)$. Since $B_\Lambda \subseteq \text{Im}(\hat{P}_\Lambda P_\Lambda)$, we can replace R_Λ of the Theorem 4.1 by Q_Λ . Suppose that \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ are commutative, then we can show the following.

Corollary. Let Q_Λ be the orthogonal projection on the subspace of $L^2(X_S)$ spanned by the $f \in \mathcal{S}(A_S)$, which vanish as well as Fourier transform for $|x| > \Lambda$. Let $h \in \mathcal{S}(C_S)$ have compact support. Then when $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, one has

$$\text{tr}(Q_\Lambda U(h)) = 2\log'(\Lambda)h(1) + \sum_{v \in \bar{S}} \int_{K_v^*}' \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u + o(1).$$

We can get from this corollary an S -independent global formulation:

$$\text{tr}(Q_\Lambda U(h)) = 2\log'(\Lambda)h(1) + \sum_v \int_{K_v^*}' \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u + o(1) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

where $h \in \mathcal{S}(C_K)$ has compact support.

Let Q_Λ be the orthogonal projection on the subspace of $L^2(X)$ spanned by the $f \in \mathcal{S}(A_K)$, which vanish as well as Fourier transform for $|x| > \Lambda$. Let $h \in \mathcal{S}(C_K)$ have compact support. Let S_Λ be the orthogonal projection on the subspace of $L^2(C_K)$:

$$S_\Lambda = \{ \xi \in L^2(C_K) \mid \xi(x) = 0, \forall x, |x| \notin [\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda] \}.$$

Let $B_{\Lambda,0}$ be the subspace of $L^2(X)_0$ spanned by the $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$, which vanish as well as Fourier transform for $|x| > \Lambda$ and let $Q_{\Lambda,0}$ be the orthogonal projection on $B_{\Lambda,0}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{A}_K)_0$ be such that $f(x)$ and $(\mathcal{F}f)(x)$ vanish for $|x| > \Lambda$. Then $Tf(x)$ vanishes for $|x| > \Lambda$. From Lemma 2.1, $Tf(x) = T\mathcal{F}f(x^{-1})$. So $Tf(x)$ vanishes for $|x| < \Lambda^{-1}$. This shows that $T(B_{\Lambda,0}) \subseteq S_{\Lambda}$. Analogously let $R_{\Lambda,0}$ be the orthogonal projection, and we will think of $f \in \text{Im}(R_{\Lambda,0})$. It doesn't always hold that $f(x)$ vanishes for $|x| > \Lambda$, so we can't always say that $Tf(x)$ vanishes for $|x| > \Lambda$. Thus we can't always state that $T(\text{Im}(R_{\Lambda,0})) \subseteq S_{\Lambda}$. It must be instructive to understand the difference between Q_{Λ} and R_{Λ} .

Put $Q'_{\Lambda,0} = T Q_{\Lambda,0} T^{-1}$. It holds that $Q'_{\Lambda,0} \leq S_{\Lambda}$. Then the following distribution on C_K of positive type is given

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}(f) = \text{tr}((S_{\Lambda} - Q'_{\Lambda,0})V(f)).$$

Here

$$\Delta_{\Lambda}(f*f^*) \geq 0 \quad f^*(x) = \bar{f}(x^{-1}), x \in C_K.$$

Let $h \in \mathcal{S}(C_K)$ have compact support. Set $f(x) = |x|^{-1/2}h(x^{-1})$. Since $TU(a) = |a|^{1/2}V(a)T$,

$$\begin{aligned} TU(h) &= \int_{C_K} h(g)TU(g)d^*g = \int_{C_K} h(g)|g|^{1/2}V(g)Td^*g \\ &= \int_{C_K} f(g^{-1})V(g)Td^*g = V(\tilde{f})T \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}(g) = f(g^{-1})$. Then

$$U(h)T^{-1} = T^{-1}V(\tilde{f}).$$

It holds that

$$Q'_{\Lambda,0}V(\tilde{f}) = T Q_{\Lambda,0} T^{-1}V(\tilde{f}) = T Q_{\Lambda,0} U(h)T^{-1}.$$

We see that

$$\text{tr}(Q'_{\Lambda,0}V(\tilde{f})) = \text{tr}(T Q_{\Lambda,0} U(h)T^{-1}) = \text{tr}(Q_{\Lambda}U(h)).$$

Let

$$s_{\Lambda} = \begin{cases} s_{\Lambda}(x) = 1 & \dots |x| \in [\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda] \\ s_{\Lambda}(x) = 0 & \dots |x| \notin [\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda] \end{cases} .$$

We can write the Schwartz kernel of $S_\Lambda V(\tilde{f})$ as

$$s_\Lambda(x) k(x, y) = s_\Lambda(x) \int_{C_K} \tilde{f}(\lambda^{-1}) \delta(y - \lambda x) d^* \lambda.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(S_\Lambda V(\tilde{f})) &= \int_{C_K} s_\Lambda(x) k(x, x) dx = \int_{C_K} s_\Lambda(x) \int_{C_K} \tilde{f}(\lambda^{-1}) \delta(x - \lambda x) d^* \lambda dx \\ &= \int_{|x| \in [\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda]} \frac{f(1)}{|x|} dx = \int_{|x| \in [\Lambda^{-1}, \Lambda]} f(1) d^* x = 2h(1) \cdot \log'(\Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we can show that

$$\text{tr}(Q_\Lambda U(h)) = 2\log'(\Lambda)h(1) + \sum_v \int_{K_v^*} \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^* u + o(1) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty$$

then $\Delta_\infty (\Delta_\Lambda \Lambda \rightarrow \infty)$ becomes the Weil distribution. Since Δ_Λ is a positive type, Δ_∞ is also a positive type. It means that the Weil distribution is positive, so the Riemann Hypothesis is valid.

We will think of the space

$$\mathcal{H}_\Lambda \cong \text{Im}(S_\Lambda)/\text{T}(B_{\Lambda,0}).$$

Then

$$\text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda} = \text{tr}((S_\Lambda - Q'_{\Lambda,0})| \cdot |^{1/2} V(h)) = \text{tr}((S_\Lambda - Q'_{\Lambda,0})V(\tilde{f}))$$

and $\text{tr}U(h)|_{\mathcal{H}_\Lambda}$ gives $\sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho)=0 \\ \text{Re } \rho = 1/2}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho)$. When $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, $\text{Im}(S_\Lambda)/\text{T}(B_{\Lambda,0})$ is identified with $L^2(C_K)/\text{T}(L^2(X)_0)$. Therefore

$$\text{tr}((S_\Lambda - Q'_{\Lambda,0})V(\tilde{f})) = \sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho)=0 \\ \text{Re } \rho = 1/2}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Suppose that the Riemann Hypothesis is valid. Then, from the Weil explicit formula, it holds that

$$\sum_{\substack{L(\tilde{\chi}_0, 1/2+\rho)=0 \\ \rho \in i\mathbb{R}}} \hat{h}(\tilde{\chi}_0, \rho) - \hat{h}(0) - \hat{h}(1) = - \sum_v \int_{K_v^*} \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^* u.$$

We may say that

$$\text{tr}((S_\Lambda - Q'_{\Lambda,0})V(\tilde{f})) = - \sum_v \int_{K_v^*} \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^* u \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

It yields that

$$\mathrm{tr}(Q_\Lambda U(h)) = 2\log'(\Lambda)h(1) + \sum_v \int_{K_v^*}' \frac{h(u^{-1})}{|1-u|} d^*u + o(1) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, if \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ are commutative then the Riemann Hypothesis is valid.

5. Riemann Hypothesis and Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions

We have been supposing that B_Λ sufficiently well behaves. However we have some difficulties which one has to overcome.

Let ν be a finite place and let's think of K_ν . We will restrict ourselves to \mathbb{Q}_p . The Fourier transform of a function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \{x\omega\}_p} dx$$

where $\{\cdot\}_p$ is the fractional part of a p -adic number

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=-n}^{\infty} a_i p^i \right\}_p = \sum_{i=-n}^{-1} a_i p^i.$$

We will think of the function space $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ of compactly supported, locally constant functions. Let $B_{\leq p^n}(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p \mid |x-a|_p \leq p^n\}$ and let $B_{\leq p^n}(0) = B_{\leq p^n}$.

Lemma 5.1. If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, $x \neq 0$ then

$$\int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f(x^{-1}y) dy = |x|_p \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} f(y) dy.$$

Proposition 5.1. Denote the characteristic function of $B_{\leq p^n}$ by ξ_{p^n} . Then

$$\hat{\xi}_{p^n}(\omega) = p^n \xi_{p^{-n}}(\omega).$$

Proof.

$$\hat{\xi}_{p^n}(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} e^{-2\pi i \{x\omega\}_p} \xi_{p^n}(x) dx$$

from the lemma 5.1

$$= |\omega|_p^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} e^{-2\pi i \{x\}_p} \xi_{p^n}(\omega^{-1}x) dx;$$

when $|\omega^{-1}x|_p \leq p^n$ then $|x|_p \leq p^n |\omega|_p$,

$$= |\omega|_p^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{Q}_p} e^{-2\pi i \{x\}_p} \xi_{p^n|\omega|_p}(x) dx = |\omega|_p^{-1} \int_{|x|_p \leq p^n |\omega|_p} e^{-2\pi i \{x\}_p} dx.$$

Let m be the integer such that $p^m = p^n |\omega|_p$. If $|\omega|_p \leq p^{-n}$ then $m \leq 0$, so

$$\int_{|x|_p \leq p^m} e^{-2\pi i \{x\}_p} dx = p^m.$$

If $m > 0$ then there exists an y with $|y|_p \leq p^m$ such that $e^{2\pi i \{y\}_p} \neq 1$. $B_{\leq p^m} = B_{\leq p^m}(y)$ since $y \in B_{\leq p^m}$. Thus

$$\int_{|x|_p \leq p^m} e^{-2\pi i \{x\}_p} dx = \int_{|x|_p \leq p^m} e^{-2\pi i \{y+x\}_p} dx = e^{-2\pi i \{y\}_p} \int_{|x|_p \leq p^m} e^{-2\pi i \{x\}_p} dx.$$

So

$$\int_{|x|_p \leq p^m} e^{-2\pi i \{x\}_p} dx = 0.$$

We see that

$$\text{if } |\omega|_p \leq p^{-n} \text{ then } \hat{\xi}_{p^n}(\omega) = |\omega|_p^{-1} p^n = p^n$$

and that

$$\text{if } |\omega|_p > p^{-n} \text{ then } \hat{\xi}_{p^n}(\omega) = 0.$$

□

Suppose that f is supported on $B_{\leq p^m}$ and constant on the cosets of $B_{\leq p^{-n}}$. We can choose a finite set of $\{a_k\} \subseteq B_{\leq p^m}$ such that

$$B_{\leq p^m} = \coprod_{k=0}^l (a_k + B_{\leq p^{-n}})$$

where f is equal to zero outside $B_{\leq p^m}$ and f is constant on each set $B_{\leq p^{-n}}(a_k)$. Then f has the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^l c_k \xi_{p^{-n}}(x - a_k).$$

Since the Fourier transform of the characteristic function ξ_{p^n} is $\hat{\xi}_{p^n}(\omega) = p^n \xi_{p^{-n}}(\omega)$

and it yields that $\hat{f}(x - a)(\omega) = e^{-2\pi i \{a\omega\}_p} \hat{f}(\omega)$,

$$\hat{f}(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^l c_k e^{-2\pi i \{a_k x\}_p} p^{-n} & \dots \quad |x|_p \leq p^n \\ 0 & \dots \quad |x|_p > p^n \end{cases}.$$

Let $m \geq 0$. There exists a non-zero function f supported on $B_{\leq p^m}$ and constant on the cosets of $B_{\leq p^{-m}}$. Then it turns out that f is a function on \mathbb{Q}_p which vanishes as well as its Fourier transform for $|x|_p > p^m$. On the other hand, if $m < 0$ then such a function is identically zero because $m < -m$. We see that B_Λ for \mathbb{Q}_p makes sense for large Λ . Especially, we will think of a function

$$\eta_\chi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^l \chi(a_k) \xi_{p^{-m}}(x - a_k),$$

where χ is a character of \mathbb{Q}_p^* . If $m (\geq 0)$ is sufficiently large, we may consider $\eta_\chi(x)$ as the function which vanishes as well as its Fourier transform for $|x|_p > p^m$ and agrees with χ on $B_{\leq p^m}$.

When ν is an Archimedean place there exists no non-zero function on K_ν , e.g. \mathbb{R} , which vanishes as well as its Fourier transform for $|x| > \Lambda$. Namely B_Λ for \mathbb{R} makes no sense. The work of Landau, Pollak and Slepian allows to overcome this difficulty. The results are as follows.

Given any $T > 0$ and any $\Omega > 0$, we can find a countably infinite set of real functions $\psi_0(t), \psi_1(t), \psi_2(t), \dots$ and a set of real positive numbers

$$\lambda_0 > \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \dots$$

with the following properties:

- i. The $\psi_i(t)$ are bandlimited, i.e. its Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}(\psi_i)(\omega)$ vanishes for $|\omega| > \Omega$, orthogonal on the real line and complete in $B = \{f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid (\mathcal{F}f)(\omega) = 0, \forall \omega, |\omega| > \Omega\}$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_i(t) \psi_j(t) dt = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ 1 & i = j \end{cases} \quad i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

- ii. In the interval $-T/2 \leq t \leq T/2$, the ψ_i are orthogonal and complete in $L^2_{T/2}$:

$$\int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \psi_i(t) \psi_j(t) dt = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \lambda_i & i = j \end{cases} \quad i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Here $L^2_{T/2}$ is the class of all complex valued function $f(t)$ defined for $-T/2 \leq t \leq T/2$ and integrable in absolute square in the interval $(-T/2, T/2)$.

- iii. For all values of t , real or complex,

$$\lambda_i \psi_i(t) = \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} \frac{\sin(\Omega(t-s))}{\pi(t-s)} \psi_i(s) ds \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Both the ψ 's and the λ 's are functions of $c = \Omega T/2$. In order to make this dependence explicit, we write

$$\lambda_i = \lambda_i(c), \quad \psi_i(t) = \psi_i(c, t), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

For any $f(t) \in B$, we can write, from i.,

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(t)$$

where

$$a_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \psi_n(t) dt.$$

Since $\int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(t) \psi_i(t) dt = \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} a_i \psi_i(t) \psi_i(t) dt = \lambda_i a_i$,

$$a_n = 1/\lambda_n \int_{-T/2}^{T/2} f(t) \psi_n(t) dt.$$

This means that we can write $f(t)$ from values of $f(t)$ in the interval $(-T/2, T/2)$.

Fix $\Omega = \Lambda$ for a given Λ . For any $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we can obtain

$$\rho_{\Lambda} f(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \dots |t| \leq \Lambda \\ 0 & \dots |t| > \Lambda \end{cases}.$$

Denote the function $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)$ in the interval $(-T/2, T/2)$ by $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$. We may say that $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2} \in L^2_{T/2}$, thus we can write

$$\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(c, t) \quad c = \Omega T/2, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This description $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(c, t)$ is valid only for $|t| \leq T/2$. The right term, if it converges, gives a function over the whole real line, so $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$ is extended to a function over the whole real line. Namely, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(c, t)$ describes the function over a whole real line which fits $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$ in the interval $(-T/2, T/2)$. We also denote it by $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$. Since the $\psi(c, t)$'s are bandlimited, if $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$ is a bandlimited function then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(t) \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$ converges and give a bandlimited function $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$. So we will see that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi_n(t) \quad t \in \mathbb{R}$ does not converge in general. However it must give a formal description of $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$. Even if it is formal, we might say that $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2}$ is bandlimited actually.

Now, we will see that it does not always hold that $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2} = \rho_{\Lambda} f(t)$. However, when $T \rightarrow \infty$ then $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2} = \rho_{\Lambda} f(t)$. Thus we could say that $\rho_{\Lambda} f(t)_{T/2} = \rho_{\Lambda} f(t)$ for sufficient large T . Thus we can admit B_{Λ} formally and it will sufficiently well behave.

Even if \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ do not commute exactly, we may be allowed to consider that \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ are commutative actually.

We will think of the case $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $S = \{\infty, p_1, \dots, p_d\}$ be a finite set of places of K containing all infinite places.

We will think of the left regular representation $(U, L^2(X_S))$ of $C_{S,1}$ which is the subgroup: $\{g \in C_S \mid |g| = 1\}$. We see that U isn't always unitary since $L^2(X_S)$ is based on the additive measure $dx = |x|d^*x$. However, if U is restricted to $C_{S,1}$ then

$$dg^{-1}x = |g^{-1}x|d^*g^{-1}x = |x|d^*x = dx,$$

so the restriction of U to $C_{S,1}$ is unitary. For $\xi(x) \in L^2(X_S)$, we will consider that

$$(U(a)\xi)(x) = c(a)\xi(x) \quad \forall a \in C_{S,1}.$$

Since the left regular representation of $C_{S,1}$ is unitary, there exists $\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{S,1}$ such that $\chi_0(a) = c(a)$. Fix $\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{S,1}$ and put

$$L^2_{\chi_0} = \left\{ \xi \in L^2(X_S) \mid \xi(a^{-1}x) = \chi_0(a)\xi(x) \quad \forall x \in X_S, a \in C_{S,1} \right\}.$$

One decomposes $L^2(X_S)$ into the direct sum of subspaces:

$$L^2(X_S) = \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{S,1}} L^2_{\chi_0}.$$

For Λ large enough, we can find

$$p_i^{m_i} \leq \Lambda, \quad m_i \geq 0; \quad 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

We can choose a finite set of $\{a_{k,p_i}\} \subseteq B_{\leq p_i^{m_i}}$ such that

$$B_{\leq p_i^{m_i}} = \bigsqcup_{k=0}^l (a_{k,p_i} + B_{\leq p_i^{-m_i}}).$$

We will find

$$\eta_{\chi_{p_i}}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^l \chi_{p_i}(a_{k,p_i}) \xi_{p_i^{-m_i}}(x - a_{k,p_i})$$

where $\chi = \prod \chi_{p_i}$, and we will find a vector

$$\eta_{\chi_0}(x) = \prod \eta_{\chi_{p_i}}(x) \in L^2_{\chi_0}.$$

Put

$$\eta_{\chi_0}(x - a_k); a_k = \prod a_{k,p_i}.$$

On the other hand, let $\Omega = \Lambda$ for a given Λ . We can obtain a countably infinite set of real functions $\psi_0(c, t), \psi_1(c, t), \dots$; $c = \Omega T/2$. We will see that the linear span of

$$\{\psi_0(c, t), \psi_1(c, t), \psi_2(c, t), \dots; \eta_{\chi_0}(x - a_0), \dots, \eta_{\chi_0}(x - a_l)\}$$

makes a subspace B_Λ of $L^2_{\chi_0}$. Denote it by $B_\Lambda^{\chi_0}$. As we have seen, $B_\Lambda^{\chi_0}$ is given formally. Thus \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ don't commute on $L^2_{\chi_0}$ exactly, but $B_\Lambda^{\chi_0}$ behaves well. One decomposes $L^2(X_S)$ into the direct sum of subspaces: $L^2(X_S) = \bigoplus_{\chi_0 \in \hat{C}_{S,1}} L^2_{\chi_0}$. Thus we can say that \hat{P}_Λ and P_Λ commute on $L^2(X_S)$ actually.

Reference

- [1] A. Connes, Trace Formula in Noncommutative Geometry and the Zeros of the Riemann Zeta Function, www.math.osu.edu/lectures/connes/zeta.ps.
- [2] A. Connes, Noncommutative Geometry and Riemann Zeta Function, www.alainconnes.org/docs/imufinal.pdf.
- [3] A. Connes, Trace Formula on the Adele Class Space and Weil Positivity, infolab.stanford.edu/~wangz/project/OLD/hejhal/RH/paper.pdf.
- [4] A. Connes and C. Consani, Weil positivity and Trace formula, the archimedean place, *arXiv:2006.13771v1 [math.NT]*.
- [5] Takeshi Kawazoe, Harmonic Analysis on Groups, *Asakura-shoten, Tokyo, 2000*.
- [6] Tōru Nishiyama, The method and thought of the representation theory, rtweb.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/preprint/nagoya.pdf.
- [7] Mårten Nilsson, The Vladimirov Heat Kernel in the Program of Jorgeson-Lang, <https://www.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1230266/FULLTEXT01.pdf>.
- [8] Fiona Murnaghan, Representations of locally compact groups-Fall 2013, www.math.toronto.edu/murnaghan/courses/mat1196/rnotes.pdf.
- [9] D. Slepian and H.O. Pollak, Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions, Fourier Analysis and Uncertainty - I, https://mast.queensu.ca/~driegert/papers/pswf_1.pdf.
- [10] Kouji Shiga, 30 lectures of the eigenvalue problem, *Asakura-shoten, Tokyo, 1999*.

[11] Kouichi Takase, Zeta Functions and Trace Formulae, *Mathematical Sciences*, Number 429 March 1999.

[12] J.T. Tate, Fourier Analysis in Number Fields and Hecke's Zeta-Functions, *Trans. Lecture Notes Tohoku Univ.* 2004.

[13] Masato Wakayama, The Duality of Matter and Wave: an introduction to trace formulae, *Mathematical Sciences*, Number 429 March 1999.

[14] Hiroyuki Yoshida, On Hermitian Forms attached to Zeta Functions, [projecteuclid.org>download>pdf_1>euclid.aspm](http://projecteuclid.org/download/pdf_1/euclid.aspm).

[15] Hilbert Spaces, www.math.washington.edu/~burke/crs/555/555_notes/hilbert.pdf.