

# A Note on $L^p$ -Convergence and Almost Everywhere Convergence

Yu-Lin Chou\*

## Abstract

It is a classical but relatively less well-known result that, for every given measure space and every given  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , every sequence in  $L^p$  that converges in  $L^p$  has a subsequence converging almost everywhere. The typical proof is a byproduct of proving the completeness of  $L^p$  spaces, and hence is not necessarily “application-friendly”. We give a simple, perhaps more “accessible” proof of this result for all finite measure spaces.

**Keywords:** almost everywhere convergence; convergence in  $L^p$ ; subsequences

**MSC 2020:** 28A20; 60F25; 60F15

## 1 Introduction

There is the classical result: For every measure space and every  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ , every sequence in  $L^p$  that converges in  $L^p$  has a subsequence converging almost everywhere. In contrast with the classical result that every sequence of measurable functions converging in measure has a subsequence converging almost everywhere, the result under consideration may be relatively less well-known.

In Rudin [1], the concerned result (Theorem 3.12) follows from the given proof of the completeness of  $L^p$  spaces. Rudin’s proof may be considered technical, and hence need not be as readily graspable for application-oriented purposes.

However, for finite measures spaces, a non-technical proof by basic means is available; we find it worth sharing.

## 2 Proof

Throughout, we consider precisely real-valued functions.

---

\*Yu-Lin Chou, Institute of Statistics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu 30013, Taiwan, R.O.C.;  
Email: y.l.chou@gapp.nthu.edu.tw.

For clarity, what we should like to prove is the following

**Theorem.** *Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{M})$  be a finite measure space; let  $1 \leq p \leq +\infty$ ; let  $f, f_1, f_2, \dots \in L^p(\mathbb{M})$ . If  $f_n \rightarrow_{L^p} f$ , then there is some subsequence  $(f_{n_j})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow_{a.e.} f$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(f_k)$  is a sequence of  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable functions, then  $f_k \rightarrow_{a.e.} f$  if and only if

$$\mathbb{M}(\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \{|f_k - f| > l^{-1}\}) = 0 \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

This follows directly from the definition of almost everywhere convergence. Since  $\mathbb{M}$  is a finite measure by assumption, the continuity of  $\mathbb{M}$  implies that (1) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k \geq J} \mathbb{M}(|f_k - f| > l^{-1}) = 0 \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N},$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_k \mathbb{M}(|f_k - f| > l^{-1}) < +\infty \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

With the above fact in mind, if  $1 \leq p < +\infty$ , then

$$\mathbb{M}(|f_n - f| > l^{-1}) = \mathbb{M}(|f_n - f|^p > l^{-p}) \leq l^p \int |f_n - f|^p d\mathbb{M} \quad (3)$$

for all  $n, l$ . By the  $L^p$ -convergence assumption, for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  there is some  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(n_j)_j$  is strictly increasing and

$$\int |f_{n_j} - f|^p d\mathbb{M} < 2^{-j};$$

and so

$$\sum_j l^p \int |f_{n_j} - f|^p d\mathbb{M} = l^p \sum_j \int |f_{n_j} - f|^p d\mathbb{M} < +\infty$$

for all  $l$ . Now (3) and (2) together imply that  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow_{a.e.} f$ .

There remains the case where  $p = +\infty$ . With  $|f_n - f|_{L^\infty}$  denoting the  $L^\infty$ -norm of  $f_n - f$  for each  $n$ , we have

$$\mathbb{M}(|f_n - f| > l^{-1}) \leq \mathbb{M}(|f_n - f|_{L^\infty} > l^{-1}) \leq l \cdot |f_n - f|_{L^\infty} \cdot \mathbb{M}(\Omega) \quad (4)$$

for all  $n, l$ . The convergence assumption again implies that for every  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  there is some  $n_j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(n_j)_j$  is strictly increasing and

$$|f_{n_j} - f|_{L^\infty} < 2^{-j},$$

and hence

$$\sum_j l \cdot |f_{n_j} - f|_{L^\infty} \cdot \mathbb{M}(\Omega) = l \cdot \mathbb{M}(\Omega) \cdot \sum_j |f_{n_j} - f|_{L^\infty} < +\infty$$

for all  $l$ . It then follows from (4) and (2) that  $f_{n_j} \rightarrow_{a.e.} f$ ; this completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] Rudin, W. (1987). *Real and Complex Analysis*, (international) third edition. McGraw-Hill.