

First Steps of Vector Differential Calculus*

Eckhard Hitzer
International Christian University, Mitaka, Tokyo, Japan

Revised: 12 June 2020.

This work is dedicated to the truth:
Jesus saith unto him,
I am the way, the truth, and the life:
no man cometh unto the Father, but by me. [15]

Abstract

This paper treats the fundamentals of the *vector differential calculus* part of *universal geometric calculus*. Geometric calculus simplifies and unifies the structure and notation of mathematics for all of science and engineering, and for technological applications. In order to make the treatment self-contained, I first compile all important *geometric algebra* relationships, which are necessary for vector differential calculus. Then *differentiation by vectors* is introduced and a host of major vector differential and vector derivative relationships is proven explicitly in a very elementary step by step approach. The paper is thus intended to serve as reference material, giving details, which are usually skipped in more advanced discussions of the subject matter.

Keywords— Geometric Calculus, Geometric Algebra, Clifford Algebra, Vector Derivative, Vector Differential Calculus

1 Introduction

“Now faith is being sure of what we hope for and certain of what we do not see. This is what the ancients were commended for. By faith we understand that the universe was formed at God's command, so that what is seen was not made out of what was visible.” [5]

The German 19th century mathematician H. Grassmann had the clear vision, that his “extension theory (now developed to geometric calculus) . . . forms the keystone of the entire structure of mathematics.”[4] The algebraic “grammar” of this universal

*This work is subject to the Creative Peace License [11].

form of calculus is *geometric algebra* (or Clifford algebra). That geometric calculus is a truly unifying approach to all of calculus will be demonstrated here by developing the *vector differential calculus* part of geometric calculus.

This paper is an improved version of [9]. The basic geometric algebra necessary for this is compiled in section 2. Then section 3 develops vector differential calculus with the help of few simple definitions. This approach is generically coordinate free, and fully shows both the concrete and abstract geometric and algebraic beauty of Grassmann's "keystone" of mathematics. For a comprehensive introduction to Clifford's geometric algebras we refer to [12]. Applications of geometric algebra are surveyed in [13].

The underlying strategy of this paper is to demonstrate the proofs for all common formulas of vector differential calculus in an elementary step by step fashion. Thus enabling the interested reader to ultimately use this article as reference material, where other texts (e.g. [6],[7]) tend both to skip "elementary steps", and to presume, that the reader would be smart enough to fill in the gaps himself. I put the emphasis therefore on thorough proofs and not on comments, interpretations or application. Following the same approach as taken here, the step from vector to multivector differential calculus is taken in [10]. We further recommend the two excellent college level textbooks [16, 17].

2 Basic Geometric Algebra

This section is a basic summary of important relationships in geometric algebra. For brevity they are stated without proof. This summary mainly serves as a reference section for the *vector differential calculus* to be developed in the following section. Most of the relationships listed here are to be found in the synopsis of geometric algebra and in chapters 1 and 2 of [6], as well as in chapter 1 of [7], together with relevant proofs. Beyond that [6] and [7] follow a much more didactic approach for newcomers to geometric algebra.

$\mathcal{G}(I)$ is the full *geometric algebra* over all vectors in the n -dimensional unit *pseudoscalar* $I = \vec{e}_1 \wedge \vec{e}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{e}_n$. $\mathcal{A}_n \equiv \mathcal{G}^1(I)$ is the n -dimensional vector sub-space of grade-1 elements in $\mathcal{G}(I)$ spanned by $\vec{e}_1, \vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_n$. For vectors $\vec{a}, \vec{b}, \vec{c} \in \mathcal{A}_n \equiv \mathcal{G}^1(I)$ and scalars $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \tau$; $\mathcal{G}(I)$ has the fundamental properties of

- associativity
$$\vec{a}(\vec{b}\vec{c}) = \vec{a}(\vec{b}\vec{c}), \quad \vec{a} + \vec{b} + \vec{c} = (\vec{a} + \vec{b}) + \vec{c}, \quad (1)$$

- commutativity
$$\alpha\vec{a} = \vec{a}\alpha, \quad \vec{a} + \vec{b} = \vec{b} + \vec{a}, \quad (2)$$

- distributivity
$$\vec{a}(\vec{b} + \vec{c}) = \vec{a}\vec{b} + \vec{a}\vec{c}, \quad (\vec{b} + \vec{c})\vec{a} = \vec{b}\vec{a} + \vec{c}\vec{a}, \quad (3)$$

- linearity
$$\alpha(\vec{a} + \vec{b}) = \alpha\vec{a} + \alpha\vec{b} = (\alpha + \vec{b})\alpha, \quad (4)$$

- scalar square (vector length $|\vec{a}|$)
$$\vec{a}^2 = \vec{a}\vec{a} = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} = |\vec{a}|^2. \quad (5)$$

The *geometric product* $\vec{a}\vec{b}$ is related to the (scalar) *inner product* $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}$ and to the (bivector or 2-vector) *outer product* $\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}$ by

$$\vec{a}\vec{b} = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} + \vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}, \quad (6)$$

with

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{a}\vec{b} + \vec{b}\vec{a}) = \vec{a}\vec{b} - \vec{a} \wedge \vec{b} = \vec{b} \cdot \vec{a} \stackrel{(13)}{=} \langle \vec{a}\vec{b} \rangle_0, \quad (7)$$

$$\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{a}\vec{b} - \vec{b}\vec{a}) = -\vec{b} \wedge \vec{a} = \vec{a}\vec{b} - \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \stackrel{(13)}{=} \langle \vec{a}\vec{b} \rangle_2. \quad (8)$$

The inner and the outer product are both linear and distributive

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\alpha\vec{b} + \beta\vec{c}) = \alpha\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} + \beta\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}, \quad (9)$$

$$\vec{a} \wedge (\alpha\vec{b} + \beta\vec{c}) = \alpha\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b} + \beta\vec{a} \wedge \vec{c}. \quad (10)$$

A unit vector \hat{a} in the direction of \vec{a} is

$$\hat{a} = \frac{\vec{a}}{|\vec{a}|} \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{a}^2 = \hat{a}\hat{a} = 1, \quad \vec{a} = \hat{a}|\vec{a}|. \quad (11)$$

The inverse of a vector is

$$\vec{a}^{-1} = \frac{1}{\vec{a}} = \frac{\vec{a}}{\vec{a}^2} = \frac{\hat{a}}{|\vec{a}|}. \quad (12)$$

A multivector A can be uniquely decomposed into its homogeneous grade k parts ($\langle \rangle_k$ grade k selector):

$$A = \underbrace{\langle A \rangle_0}_{\text{scalar}} + \underbrace{\langle A \rangle_1}_{\text{vector}} + \underbrace{\langle A \rangle_2}_{\text{bivector}} + \dots + \underbrace{\langle A \rangle_k}_{k\text{-vector}} + \dots + \underbrace{\langle A \rangle_n}_{\text{pseudoscalar}} \quad (13)$$

If A is homogeneous of grade k one often simply writes

$$A = \langle A \rangle_k = A_k. \quad (14)$$

Grade selection is invariant under scalar multiplication

$$\lambda \langle A \rangle_k = \langle \lambda A \rangle_k. \quad (15)$$

The consistent definition of inner and outer products of vectors \vec{a} and r -vectors A_r is

$$\vec{a} \cdot A_r \equiv \langle \vec{a}A_r \rangle_{r-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{a}A_r - (-1)^r A_r \vec{a}) \quad (16)$$

$$\vec{a} \wedge A_r \equiv \langle \vec{a}A_r \rangle_{r+1} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{a}A_r + (-1)^r A_r \vec{a}). \quad (17)$$

By linearity the full geometric product of a vector and a multivector A is then

$$\vec{a}A = \vec{a} \cdot A + \vec{a} \wedge A. \quad (18)$$

This extends to the distributive multiplication with arbitrary multivectors A and B

$$\vec{a}(A + B) = \vec{a}A + \vec{a}B. \quad (19)$$

The inner and outer products of homogeneous multivectors A_r and B_s are defined ([7], p. 6, (1.21), (1.22)) as

$$A_r \cdot B_s \equiv \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{|r-s|} \quad \text{for } r, s > 0, \quad (20)$$

$$A_r \cdot B_s \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } r = 0 \text{ or } s = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$A_r \wedge B_s \equiv \langle A_r B_s \rangle_{r+s}, \quad (22)$$

$$A_r \wedge \lambda = \lambda \wedge A_r = \lambda A_r \text{ for scalar } \lambda. \quad (23)$$

The inner (and outer) product is again linear and distributive

$$(\lambda A_r) \cdot B_s = A_r \cdot (\lambda B_s) = \lambda(A_r \cdot B_s) = \lambda A_r \cdot B_s, \quad (24)$$

$$A_r \cdot (B_s + C_t) = A_r \cdot B_s + A_r \cdot C_t, \quad (25)$$

$$\lambda(B_s + C_t) = \lambda B_s + \lambda C_t. \quad (26)$$

The *reverse* of a multivector is

$$\tilde{A} = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k(k-1)/2} \langle A \rangle_k. \quad (27)$$

[7] uses a *dagger* instead of the *tilde*.

Special examples are

$$\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda, \quad \tilde{\vec{a}} = \vec{a}, \quad \widetilde{\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}} = \vec{b} \wedge \vec{a} = -\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}, \quad (28)$$

The scalar *magnitude* $|A|$ of a multivector A is

$$|A|^2 \equiv \underbrace{\tilde{A} * A}_{\text{scalar product}} = \langle A \rangle_0^2 + \sum_{r=1}^n \langle \tilde{A} \rangle_r \cdot \langle A \rangle_r, \quad (29)$$

where the separate term $\langle A \rangle_0^2$ is in particular due to the definition of the inner product in [7], p. 6, (1.21). The magnitude allows to define the inverse¹ for simple k -blade vectors

$$A^{-1} \equiv \frac{\tilde{A}}{|A|^2}, \quad \text{with} \quad A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = 1. \quad (30)$$

Alternative ways to express $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}_n \equiv \mathcal{G}^1(I)$ are

$$I \wedge \vec{a} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad I\vec{a} = I \cdot \vec{a}. \quad (31)$$

The projection of \vec{a} into $\mathcal{A}_n \equiv \mathcal{G}^1(I)$ is

$$P_I(\vec{a}) = P(\vec{a}) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{a} = \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}_k \vec{a}^k \cdot \vec{a}, \quad (32)$$

where \vec{a}^k is the *reciprocal frame* defined by

$$\vec{a}^k \vec{a}_j = \delta_j^k = \text{Kronecker delta} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k, \end{cases}. \quad (33)$$

A general convention is that inner products $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}$ and outer products $\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}$ have priority over geometric products $\vec{a}\vec{b}$, e.g.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}\vec{c} \wedge \vec{d}\vec{e} = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})(\vec{c} \wedge \vec{d})\vec{e}. \quad (34)$$

¹Every multivector can be inverted, as long as its determinant is nonzero, see [14, 18].

The projection of a multivector B on a subspace described by a simple m -vector (m -blade) $A_m = \vec{a}_1 \wedge \vec{a}_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \vec{a}_m$ is

$$P_A(B) \equiv \underbrace{(B \cdot A) \cdot A^{-1}}_{\text{general}} \stackrel{s \leq m}{\cong} \underbrace{A^{-1} \cdot (A \cdot B(s))}_{\text{degree dependent}}, \quad (35)$$

$$P_A(\langle B \rangle_0) \equiv \langle B \rangle_0, \quad P_A(\langle B \rangle_n) \equiv \langle B \rangle_n \cdot AA^{-1}, \quad (36)$$

the exceptions for scalars $\langle B \rangle_0$ and pseudoscalars $\langle B \rangle_n$ being again due to the definition of the inner product in [7], p. 6, (1.21). A projection of one factor of an inner product has the effect to project the other factor as well

$$\vec{a} \cdot P(\vec{b}) = P(\vec{a}) \cdot P(\vec{b}) = P(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{b}. \quad (37)$$

For a multivector $B \in \mathcal{G}(A_m)$, with $A = A_m$ we have

$$(\vec{a} \wedge B) \cdot A = (\vec{a} \wedge B)A = \vec{a} \cdot (BA), \quad \text{if } \vec{a} \wedge A = 0. \quad (38)$$

Reordering rules for products of homogeneous multivector are

$$A_r \cdot B_s = (-1)^{r(s-r)} B_s \cdot A_r \quad \text{for } r \leq s, \quad (39)$$

$$A_r \wedge B_s = (-1)^{rs} B_s \wedge A_r. \quad (40)$$

Elementary combinations that occur often are

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \wedge \vec{c}) = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})\vec{c} - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c})\vec{b} = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}\vec{c} - \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}\vec{b}, \quad (41)$$

$$(\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) \cdot (\vec{c} \wedge \vec{d}) = \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \cdot (\vec{c} \wedge \vec{d})) = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{d})(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{c}) - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c})(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{d}), \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b})^2 &= (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})^2 - \vec{a}^2 \vec{b}^2 = -(\vec{b} \wedge \vec{a}) \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) \\ &= -|\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

and the cyclic *Jacobi identity*

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{b} \wedge \vec{c}) + \vec{b} \cdot (\vec{c} \wedge \vec{a}) + \vec{c} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) = 0. \quad (44)$$

The *commutator product* of multivectors A, B is

$$A \times B \equiv \frac{1}{2}(AB - BA). \quad (45)$$

One useful identity using it is

$$(\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) \times A = \vec{a}\vec{b} \cdot A - A \cdot \vec{a}\vec{b} = \vec{a}\vec{b} \wedge A - A \wedge \vec{a}\vec{b}. \quad (46)$$

The commutator product is to be distinguished from the *cross product*, which is strictly limited to the three-dimensional Euclidean case with unit pseudoscalar I_3 :

$$\vec{a} \times \vec{b} = (\vec{b} \wedge \vec{a})I_3 = -(\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b})I_3 = (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b})I_3^{-1}. \quad (47)$$

For more on basic geometric algebra I refer to [6], [7] and to section 3 of [8].

3 Vector Differential Calculus

This section shows how to differentiate functions on linear subspaces of the universal geometric algebra \mathcal{G} by vectors. It has wide applications particularly to mechanics and physics in general [6]. Separate concepts of gradient, divergence and curl merge into a single concept of vector derivative, united by the geometric product.

The relationship of differential and derivative is clarified. The *Taylor expansion* (Prop. 9) is applied to important examples, yielding e.g. the *Legendre polynomials* (Prop. 16). The *adjoint* (Def. 57) and the *integrability* (Prop. 42, etc.) of multivector functions are defined and discussed. Throughout this section a number of basic differentials and derivations are performed explicitly illustrating ease and power of the calculus.

Since my emphasis here is on explicit step by step proofs, I refer the reader, who is interested in the philosophy, comments and interpretation to the literature in the final Reference section.

As for the notation: Prop. 7 refers to proposition 7 of this section. Def. 13 refers to definition 13 of this section. (6) refers to equation number (6) in the previous section on basic geometric algebra, etc.

Standard definitions of continuity and scalar differentiability apply to multivector-valued functions, because the scalar product determines a unique “distance” $|A - B|$ between two elements $A, B \in \mathcal{G}(I)$.

Definition 1. *Directional derivative.* $F = F(\vec{x})$ multivector-valued function of a vector variable \vec{x} defined on an n -dimensional vector space $\mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{G}^1(I)$, I unit pseudoscalar, $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}_n$:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F = \frac{dF(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau)}{d\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau}. \quad (48)$$

Nomenclature: *derivative* of F in the *direction* \vec{a} , \vec{a} -*derivative* of F . ([6] uses $\nabla \equiv \vec{\partial}$, [7] uses $\partial \equiv \vec{\partial}$.)

Proposition 2. *Distributivity w.r.t. vector argument.*

$$(\vec{a} + \vec{b}) \cdot \vec{\partial}F = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}F, \quad \forall \vec{a}, \vec{b} \in \mathcal{A}_n. \quad (49)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{a} + \vec{b}) \cdot \vec{\partial}F &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a} + \tau\vec{b}) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau} \\ &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{F(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a} + \tau\vec{b}) - F(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{b}) + F(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{b}) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{b}) + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}F = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F + \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}F. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

□

Proposition 3. *For scalar λ*

$$(\lambda\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}F = \lambda(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F). \quad (51)$$

Proof.

$$(\lambda \vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial} F \stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x} + \lambda \vec{a} \tau) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau}. \quad (52)$$

Case 1: $\lambda \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial} F &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \lambda \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}(\lambda \tau)) - F(\vec{x})}{\lambda \tau} \stackrel{\lambda \neq 0, \text{rp.}}{=} \lambda \lim_{\tau' \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau') - F(\vec{x})}{\tau'} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lambda (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F), \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

with rp. = reparametrization: $\tau \rightarrow \tau'$.

Case 2: $\lambda = 0$:

$$(\lambda \vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial} F \stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x}) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau} = 0 = 0 (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F). \quad (54)$$

□

Proposition 4. *Distributivity w.r.t. multivector-valued function.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(F + G) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} G, \quad (55)$$

with $G = G(\vec{x})$, $F = F(\vec{x})$, multivector-valued functions of a vector variable \vec{x} . In the notation of Def. 13:

$$\underline{F + G} = \underline{F} + \underline{G}. \quad (56)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(F + G) &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}(\tau)) + G(\vec{x} + \vec{a}(\tau)) - F(\vec{x}) - G(\vec{x})}{\tau} \\ &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}(\tau)) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau} + \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{G(\vec{x} + \vec{a}(\tau)) - G(\vec{x})}{\tau} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} G. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

□

Proposition 5. *Product rule.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(FG) = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F)G + F(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} G). \quad (58)$$

In the notation of Def. 13:

$$\underline{FG} = \underline{F}G + F\underline{G}. \quad (59)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(FG) &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau)G(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) - F(\vec{x})G(\vec{x})}{\tau} \\ &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau)G(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) - F(\vec{x})G(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) + F(\vec{x})G(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) - F(\vec{x})G(\vec{x})}{\tau} \right\} \\ &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau} G(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) + F(\vec{x}) \frac{G(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) - G(\vec{x})}{\tau} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F) \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} G(\vec{x} + \vec{a} \tau) + F(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} G) \\ &= (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F)G + F(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} G). \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

□

Proposition 6. *Grade invariance.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \langle F \rangle_k = \langle \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F \rangle_k. \quad (61)$$

$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}$ is therefore said to be a scalar differential operator.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \langle F \rangle_k &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau) \rangle_k - \langle F(\vec{x}) \rangle_k}{\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \left\langle \frac{F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau} \right\rangle_k \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \langle \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F \rangle_k. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

□

Proposition 7. *Scalar chain rule.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \lambda) \frac{dF}{d\lambda} \quad (63)$$

with $F = F(\lambda(\vec{x}))$, $\lambda = \lambda(\vec{x})$ a scalar valued function.

Proof. Using the Taylor expansions:

$$F(\lambda + \tau\Delta\lambda) = F(\lambda) + \tau\Delta\lambda \frac{dF}{d\lambda} + \frac{\tau^2(\Delta\lambda)^2}{2} \frac{d^2F}{d\lambda^2} + \dots, \quad (64)$$

$$\lambda(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a}) \stackrel{(75)}{=} \lambda(\vec{x}) + \tau\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\lambda(\vec{x}) + \frac{\tau^2}{2} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 \lambda(\vec{x}) + \dots, \quad (65)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\lambda(\vec{x})) &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\lambda(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau)) - F(\lambda(\vec{x}))}{\tau} \\ &\stackrel{(65)}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\lambda(\vec{x}) + \tau\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\lambda(\vec{x})) - F(\lambda(\vec{x}))}{\tau} \\ &\stackrel{(64)}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\lambda(\vec{x})) + \tau \left\{ \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\lambda(\vec{x}) \right\} \frac{dF}{d\lambda} - F(\lambda(\vec{x}))}{\tau} = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\lambda) \frac{dF}{d\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

□

Proposition 8. *Identity.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x} = \vec{a}. \quad (67)$$

Proof.

$$F(\vec{x}) = \vec{x}, \quad \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a} - \vec{x}}{\tau} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \vec{a} = \vec{a}. \quad (68)$$

□

Proposition 9. *Constant function. For A independent of \vec{x} :*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} A = 0. \quad (69)$$

Proof.

$$F(\vec{x}) = A, \quad \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} A \stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{A - A}{\tau} = 0. \quad (70)$$

□

Proposition 10. *Vector length.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}| = \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} = \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x}, \quad (71)$$

with $\hat{x} = \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|}$ unit vector in the direction of \vec{x} , see (11).

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\vec{x}^2 &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\vec{x})\vec{x} + \vec{x}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\vec{x}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 8}}{=} \vec{a}\vec{x} + \vec{x}\vec{a} = 2\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}, \\ \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|^2 &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|)|\vec{x}| + |\vec{x}|(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|) = 2|\vec{x}|(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|), \\ \vec{x}^2 = |\vec{x}|^2 &\Rightarrow \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\vec{x}^2 = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|^2, \\ \Rightarrow \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} = |\vec{x}|(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|) &\Rightarrow \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}| = \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} = \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

□

Proposition 11. *Direction function.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\hat{x} = \frac{\vec{a} - \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x}\hat{x}}{|\vec{x}|} = \frac{\hat{x}\hat{x} \wedge \vec{a}}{|\vec{x}|}. \quad (73)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\hat{x} &= \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} + \vec{x}\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} \stackrel{\text{Props. 7, 8}}{=} \frac{\vec{a}}{|\vec{x}|} + \vec{x}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|) \frac{d\frac{1}{|\vec{x}|}}{d|\vec{x}|} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 10}}{=} \frac{\vec{a}}{|\vec{x}|} + \vec{x}(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{x}) \frac{-1}{|\vec{x}|^2} = \frac{\vec{a}}{|\vec{x}|} - \hat{x}(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{x}) \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} = \frac{\vec{a} - \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x}\hat{x}}{|\vec{x}|} = \frac{\hat{x}\hat{x}\vec{a} - \hat{x}\hat{x} \cdot \vec{a}}{|\vec{x}|} \\ &= \frac{\hat{x}(\hat{x} \cdot \vec{a} + \hat{x} \wedge \vec{a}) - \hat{x}\hat{x} \cdot \vec{a}}{|\vec{x}|} = \frac{\hat{x}\hat{x} \wedge \vec{a}}{|\vec{x}|}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

□

Proposition 12. *Taylor expansion.*

$$F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}) = \exp(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})F(\vec{x}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^k}{k!} F(\vec{x}). \quad (75)$$

Proof. Note that this proof is done without referring to Props. 7 to 11!

$$\begin{aligned} G(\tau) &\equiv F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{dG(0)}{d\tau} &= \frac{dF(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau)}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F \\ \Rightarrow \frac{d^2G(0)}{d\tau^2} &= \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{dF(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau)}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{dF(\vec{x} + \vec{a}\tau)}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=0} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def.1}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F(\vec{x})) = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 F(\vec{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

General: $\frac{d^k G(0)}{d\tau^k} = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^k F(\vec{x})$. The Taylor series for G is:

$$\begin{aligned} G(1) &= G(0+1) = G(0) + \frac{dG(0)}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 G(0)}{d\tau^2} + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k G(0)}{d\tau^k} \\ \Rightarrow G(1) &= F(\vec{x} + \vec{a}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^k F(\vec{x}) = \exp(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) F(\vec{x}). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

□

Definition 13. *Continuously differentiable, differential.*

F is continuously differentiable at \vec{x} , if for each fixed \vec{a} , $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{y})$ exists and is a continuous function of \vec{y} for each \vec{y} in a neighborhood of \vec{x} .

If F is defined and continuously differentiable at \vec{x} , then, for fixed \vec{x} , $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x})$ is a linear function of \vec{a} , the (first) differential of F .

$$\underline{F}(\vec{a}, \vec{x}) = F_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x}) \equiv \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x}). \quad (78)$$

([6], p. 107 uses $F' \equiv \underline{F}$.)

Suppressing \vec{x} , or for fixed \vec{x} :

$$\underline{F} = \underline{F}(\vec{a}) = F_{\vec{a}} \equiv \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F. \quad (79)$$

Proposition 14. *Linearity.*

$$\underline{F}(\vec{a} + \vec{b}) = \underline{F}(\vec{a}) + \underline{F}(\vec{b}), \quad (80)$$

$$\lambda \text{ scalar} : \underline{F}(\lambda \vec{a}) = \lambda \underline{F}(\vec{a}). \quad (81)$$

Proof. Propositions 2 and 3. □

Proposition 15. *Linear approximation.*

For $|\vec{r}| = |\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0|$ sufficiently small:

$$F(\vec{x}) - F(\vec{x}_0) \approx \underline{F}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) = \underline{F}(\vec{x}) - \underline{F}(\vec{x}_0). \quad (82)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} F(\vec{x}) &= F(\vec{x}_0 + \vec{r}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 12}}{=} F(\vec{x}_0) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 F(\vec{x}_0) + \dots \\ &= F(\vec{x}_0) + |\vec{r}| \hat{r} \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x}_0) + \frac{|\vec{r}|^2}{2} (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 F(\vec{x}_0) + \dots \\ &\quad + \frac{|\vec{r}|^k}{k!} (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{\partial})^k F(\vec{x}_0) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

with $\hat{r} \equiv \frac{\vec{r}}{|\vec{r}|}$. For sufficiently small $|\vec{r}|$:

$$\begin{aligned} F(\vec{x}) &= F(\vec{x}_0) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x}_0) = F(\vec{x}_0) + (\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x}_0) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 13}}{=} F(\vec{x}_0) + \underline{F}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0, \vec{x}_0) \stackrel{\text{Def. 13}}{=} F(\vec{x}_0) + \underline{F}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 14}}{=} F(\vec{x}_0) + \underline{F}(\vec{x}) - \underline{F}(\vec{x}_0) \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

$$\Rightarrow F(\vec{x}) - F(\vec{x}_0) \approx \underline{F}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}_0) = \underline{F}(\vec{x}) - \underline{F}(\vec{x}_0). \quad (85)$$

□

Proposition 16. *Chain rule.*

$$\frac{dF}{dt}(\vec{x}(t)) = \left(\frac{d}{dt} \vec{x}(t) \right) \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x}) \Big|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}(t)}. \quad (86)$$

Proof. Using the Taylor expansion

$$\vec{x}(t + \tau) = \vec{x}(t) + \tau \frac{d}{dt} \vec{x}(t) + \frac{\tau^2}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \vec{x}(t) + \dots, \quad (87)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dF}{dt}(\vec{x}(t)) &= \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x}(t + \tau)) - F(\vec{x}(t))}{\tau} \stackrel{\text{Taylor}}{\cong} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(\vec{x}(t) + \tau \frac{d}{dt} \vec{x}(t)) - F(\vec{x}(t))}{\tau} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 1}}{=} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \vec{x}(t) \right) \cdot \vec{\partial} F(\vec{x}) \Big|_{\vec{x}=\vec{x}(t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

□

Definition 17. *Vector derivative. Differentiation of F by its argument \vec{x}*

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F(\vec{x}) = \vec{\partial} F, \quad (89)$$

with the differential operator $\vec{\partial}$, assumed to

- (i) have the algebraic properties of a vector in $\mathcal{A}_n \equiv G^1(I)$, I unit pseudoscalar; and
- (ii) that $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}$ with $\vec{a} \in \mathcal{A}_n$ is $\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F$ as in Def. 1.

Proposition 18. *Algebraic properties of $\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}$.*

$$I \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \stackrel{(31)}{=} 0. \quad (90)$$

$$I \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \stackrel{(31)}{=} I \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \quad (91)$$

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \stackrel{(32)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}, \quad (92)$$

where the \vec{a}^k express the algebraic vector properties and the $\vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}$ the scalar differential properties.

Definition 19. *Gradient. The vector field $\vec{f} = \vec{f}(\vec{x}) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \Phi(\vec{x}) = \vec{\partial} \Phi$ for a scalar function $\Phi = \Phi(\vec{x})$ is called the gradient of Φ .*

Proposition 20. *3-dimensional cross product. For \vec{b} independent of $\vec{x} \in \mathcal{A}_3 \equiv G^1(I_3)$:*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \times \vec{b}) = \vec{a} \times \vec{b}. \quad (93)$$

Only here \times means the 3-dimensional cross product (47), not the commutator product in (45) or in Proposition 81.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \times \vec{b}) &\stackrel{(47)}{=} -\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}((\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b}) I_3) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} [-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b})] I_3 - (\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b}) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} I_3 \\ &\stackrel{I_3 = \text{const.}, \text{Prop. 9}}{=} [-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b})] I_3 \stackrel{(8)}{=} [-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \langle \vec{x} \vec{b} \rangle_2] I_3 \stackrel{\text{Prop. 6}}{=} -\langle \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x} \vec{b} \rangle_2 I_3 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} -\langle (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x}) \vec{b} \rangle_2 I_3 - \langle \vec{x} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{b}) \rangle_2 I_3 \stackrel{\text{Props. 8, 9}}{=} -\langle \vec{a} \vec{b} \rangle_2 I_3 \\ &\stackrel{(8)}{=} -(\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) I_3 \stackrel{(47)}{=} \vec{a} \times \vec{b}. \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

□

Proposition 21.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \cdot \langle A \rangle_r) = \vec{a} \cdot \langle A \rangle_r, \quad (95)$$

$A \in \mathcal{G}(I)$ independent of \vec{x} . By linearity

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \cdot A) = \vec{a} \cdot A. \quad (96)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \cdot \langle A \rangle_r) &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \langle \vec{x} \langle A \rangle_r \rangle_{r-1} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 6}}{=} \left\langle \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \langle A \rangle_r) \right\rangle_{r-1} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \left\langle (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x}) \langle A \rangle_r + \vec{x} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \langle A \rangle_r \right\rangle_{r-1} \stackrel{\text{Props. 8, 9}}{=} \langle \vec{a} \langle A \rangle_r \rangle_{r-1} \\ &\stackrel{(16)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \langle A \rangle_r. \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

□

Proposition 22.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}[\vec{x} \cdot (\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b})] = \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b}) + \vec{x} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}). \quad (98)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}[\vec{x} \cdot (\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b})] &\stackrel{(41)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}[\vec{x}^2 \vec{b} - \vec{x} \cdot \vec{b} \vec{x}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 4,5}}{=} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x}^2) \vec{b} + \vec{x}^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{b} - [\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{b})] \vec{x} - \vec{x} \cdot \vec{b} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Proof 10, Prop. 9,21,8}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} \vec{b} - \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \vec{x} + \vec{x} \cdot \vec{a} \vec{b} - \vec{x} \cdot \vec{b} \vec{a} \\ &\stackrel{(41)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{x} \wedge \vec{b}) + \vec{x} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}). \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

□

Proposition 23. For \vec{x}' independent of \vec{x} and $r \equiv |\vec{r}| = |\vec{x} - \vec{x}'|$:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r = \vec{a} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}}{r} = \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}, \quad (100)$$

where $\hat{r} = \frac{\vec{r}}{r}$.

Proof. Compare [6], p. 681. $r^2 = (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r^2 &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} [\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')](\vec{x} - \vec{x}') + (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')[\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 4,9}}{=} \vec{a}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}') + (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')\vec{a} = 2\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}, \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r^2 &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} 2r(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r) \Rightarrow 2\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r} = 2r(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r) \\ &\Rightarrow \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r = \vec{a} \cdot \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

□

Proposition 24.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \hat{r} = \frac{\hat{r} \hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}}{r}. \quad (103)$$

Proof. Compare [6], p. 681.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \hat{r} &= \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{\vec{r}}{r} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r} + \vec{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{r} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 7}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{x} - \vec{x}') - \vec{r} \frac{1}{r^2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 8, 23}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \vec{a} - \frac{\hat{r}}{r} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 8}}{=} \frac{\hat{r} \hat{r} \vec{a} - \hat{r} (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a})}{r} \stackrel{(8)}{=} \frac{\hat{r} \hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

□

Proposition 25.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) = \frac{|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2}{r}. \quad (105)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) &= \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}}{r} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}) + \vec{r} \cdot \vec{a} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{1}{r} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 7, 23}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a} - \vec{x}' \cdot \vec{a}) - \frac{\vec{r} \cdot \vec{a}}{r^2} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} \hat{r} \wedge \vec{a} \frac{1}{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} - \frac{(\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a})^2}{r} \\ &\stackrel{(11)}{=} \frac{\hat{r}^2 \vec{a}^2 - (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a})^2}{r} \stackrel{(43)}{=} \frac{(\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}) \cdot (\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a})}{r} \stackrel{(43)}{=} \frac{|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

□

Proposition 26.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}) = \frac{\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a} \vec{a} \wedge \hat{r}}{r}. \quad (107)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}) &\stackrel{(8)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \vec{a} - \hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 4}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \vec{a}) - \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5, 25}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r}) \vec{a} + \hat{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{a} - \frac{|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2}{r} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 9, 24, (43)}}{=} \frac{\hat{r} \hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}}{r} \vec{a} - \frac{(\vec{a} \wedge \hat{r})(\vec{a} \wedge \hat{r})}{r} \stackrel{(39), (40)}{=} \frac{\vec{a} \wedge \hat{r} \hat{r} \vec{a} - (\vec{a} \wedge \hat{r})(\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a})}{r} \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \frac{\vec{a} \wedge \hat{r} (\hat{r} \vec{a} - (\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}))}{r} \stackrel{(7)}{=} \frac{\vec{a} \wedge \hat{r} \hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}}{r} = \frac{\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a} \vec{a} \wedge \hat{r}}{r}. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

□

Proposition 27.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}| = -\frac{\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|}{r}. \quad (109)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2 \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} 2 |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}| \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|, \quad (110)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2 &\stackrel{(43)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} [(\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}) \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \hat{r})] \stackrel{(43)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} [\hat{r}^2 \vec{a}^2 - (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a})^2] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{a}^2 - 2(\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 9, 25}}{=} -2(\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) \frac{|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2}{r} \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}| \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}| = -2 \frac{(\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2}{r} \quad (112)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}| = -\frac{(\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}) |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|}{r}. \quad (113)$$

□

Proposition 28.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} = -\frac{1}{\vec{r}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{r}}. \quad (114)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} &\stackrel{(12)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{\vec{r}}{r^2} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \frac{1}{r^2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r} + \vec{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{r^2} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 7}}{=} \frac{1}{r^2} \vec{a} + \vec{r} \left(-\frac{2}{r^3}\right) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 23}}{=} \frac{1}{r^2} \vec{a} - 2 \frac{\vec{r}}{r^3} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} \stackrel{(12), (11)}{=} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \vec{a} - 2 \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \vec{a} \cdot \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \vec{a} - \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} - \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \frac{1}{\vec{r}} \vec{a} = -\frac{1}{\vec{r}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{r}}. \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

□

Proposition 29.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{r^2} = -2 \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}}{r^3}. \quad (116)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{r^2} \stackrel{(7)}{=} -\frac{2}{r^3} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r \stackrel{\text{Prop. 23}}{=} -\frac{2}{r^3} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}. \quad (117)$$

□

Proposition 30.

$$\frac{1}{2} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{3(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^2 - |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2}{r^4}. \quad (118)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 \frac{1}{r^2} &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 29}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \left(-2 \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}}{r^3}\right) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} -(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{r^3}) \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} - \frac{1}{r^3} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 7, 23, 25}}{=} \frac{3\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}}{r^4} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} - \frac{1}{r^3} \vec{a} \frac{|\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}|^2}{r} = \frac{3(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^2 - |\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}|^2}{r^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (119)$$

□

Proposition 31.

$$\frac{1}{6} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^3 \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{-4(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^3 + 4|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2 \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}}{r^5}. \quad (120)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{6} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^3 \frac{1}{r^2} &= \frac{1}{3} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{1}{2} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 \frac{1}{r^2} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 30}}{=} \frac{1}{3} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{3(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^2 - |\hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}|^2}{r^4} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5, 7}}{=} \frac{1}{3} \frac{3 \cdot 2(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}) - 2|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}| \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|}{r^4} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{3} [3(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^2 - |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2] (-4) \frac{1}{r^5} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 23, 25, 27}}{=} \frac{2(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}) \frac{|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2}{r} + \frac{2}{3} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}| \hat{r} \cdot \vec{a} \frac{|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|}{r}}{r^4} \\ &\quad - \frac{4}{3} [3(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^2 - |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2] \frac{1}{r^5} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} \\ &= \frac{2(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}) |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2 + \frac{2}{3} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2 \hat{r} \cdot \vec{a} - 4(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^3 + \frac{4}{3} |\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2 \hat{r} \cdot \vec{a}}{r^5} \\ &= \frac{-4(\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r})^3 + 4|\hat{r} \wedge \vec{a}|^2 \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}}{r^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

□

Proposition 32.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \log r = \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}}{r^2} = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}^{-1}. \quad (122)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \log r \stackrel{\text{Prop. 7}}{=} \frac{1}{r} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r \stackrel{\text{Prop. 23}}{=} \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r}}{r} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r}}{r^2}. \quad (123)$$

□

Proposition 33. For integer k and \vec{r} if $k < 0$:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r}^{-2k} = 2k\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r} \vec{r}^{-2(k-1)}. \quad (124)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r}^{-2k} &= \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r^{-2k} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 7}}{=} 2kr^{-2k-1} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} r \stackrel{\text{Prop. 23}}{=} 2kr^{-2k-1} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} = 2kr^{-2k-2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{r} \\ &= 2k\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r} \vec{r}^{-2(k-1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

□

Proposition 34. For integer k and $\vec{r} \neq 0$ if $2k + 1 < 0$:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r}^{-2k+1} = \vec{r}^{-2k} (\vec{a} + 2k\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} \hat{r}). \quad (126)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r}^{-2k+1} &= \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{r}^{-2k} \vec{r}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{r}^{-2k}) \vec{r} + \vec{r}^{-2k} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{r} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 8,33}}{=} 2k\vec{a} \cdot \vec{r} \vec{r}^{-2(k-1)} + \vec{r}^{-2k} \vec{a} = \vec{r}^{-2k} (\vec{a} + 2k\vec{a} \cdot \hat{r} \hat{r}). \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

□

Proposition 35. Taylor expansion of $\frac{1}{\vec{x}-\vec{a}}$.

$$\frac{1}{\vec{x}-\vec{a}} = \frac{1}{\vec{x}} + \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} + \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} + \dots \quad (128)$$

Proof.

$$\frac{1}{\vec{x} - \vec{a}} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 12}}{=} e^{-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^k}{k!} \frac{1}{\vec{x}}, \quad (129)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^k \frac{1}{\vec{x}} &= (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{k-1} (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 28}}{=} (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{k-1} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \\ &= (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{k-2} (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \left[\frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{k-2} \left[(-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} + \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 28}}{=} 2(-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{k-2} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Props. 5,28}}{=} \dots = 2 \cdot 3 (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{k-3} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Props. 5,28}}{=} \dots = k! (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{k-k} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \left(\vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \right)^k = k! \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \left(\vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \right)^k \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{k!} (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^k \frac{1}{\vec{x}} = \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \left(\vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \right)^k \quad (131)$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\vec{x} - \vec{a}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \left(\vec{a} \frac{1}{\vec{x}} \right)^k. \quad (132)$$

□

Proposition 36. *Legendre Polynomials.*

The Legendre polynomials P_n (homogeneous and of grade n) are defined by:

$$\frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{a}|} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n(\hat{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}}. \quad (133)$$

The explicit first four polynomials are:

$$P_0(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = 1, \quad (134)$$

$$P_1(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = \vec{x} \cdot \vec{a}, \quad (135)$$

$$P_2(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = \frac{1}{2} [3(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^2 - \vec{a}^2 \vec{x}^2] = (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{x} \wedge \vec{a})^2, \quad (136)$$

$$P_3(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = \frac{1}{2} [5(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^3 - 3\vec{a}^2 \vec{x}^2 \vec{x} \cdot \vec{a}] = (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^3 + \frac{3}{2} \vec{x} \cdot \vec{a} (\vec{x} \wedge \vec{a})^2, \quad (137)$$

$$P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = |\vec{x}|^n P_n(\hat{x}\vec{a}) = |\vec{x}|^n |\vec{a}|^n P_n(\hat{x}\hat{a}). \quad (138)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} F(\vec{x} - \vec{a}) &= \frac{1}{|\vec{x} - \vec{a}|} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 12}}{=} e^{-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}} F(\vec{x}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^n}{n!} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} - \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} + \frac{1}{2} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} - \frac{1}{6} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^3 \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

$$\Rightarrow P_0(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = 1, \quad (140)$$

$$-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 7}}{=} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\vec{x}| \stackrel{\text{Prop. 10}}{=} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^2} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 11}}{=} \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^3}, \quad (141)$$

$$\Rightarrow P_1(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}, \quad (142)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} &= -\frac{1}{2}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})(-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} = -\frac{1}{2}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^3} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} -\frac{1}{2}[\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^3}] \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^3} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 7,21}}{=} -\frac{1}{2}[\frac{-3}{|\vec{x}|^4} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|] \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^3} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 10}}{=} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{|\vec{x}|^4} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}) - \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^3} \vec{a}^2 \stackrel{(11),(5)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \frac{3(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2}{|\vec{x}|^5} \\
&= \frac{(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\{(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2\}}{|\vec{x}|^5} \stackrel{(43)}{=} \frac{(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\{\vec{a} \wedge \vec{x}\}^2}{|\vec{x}|^5} \tag{143}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_2(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = \frac{1}{2}[3(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2] = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\{\vec{a} \wedge \vec{x}\}^2, \tag{144}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{6}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^3 \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} &= -\frac{1}{3} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} [\frac{1}{2}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|}] = -\frac{1}{3} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} [\frac{1}{2} \frac{3(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2}{|\vec{x}|^5}] \\
&\stackrel{\text{Props. 4,5}}{=} -\frac{1}{6}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^5}) [3(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2] - \frac{1}{6|\vec{x}|^5} [3 \cdot 2(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}) - \vec{a}^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{x}^2] \\
&\stackrel{\text{Props. 5,7,21,23}}{=} -\frac{5}{6|\vec{x}|^6} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}|) [3(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2] - \frac{1}{6|\vec{x}|^5} [6(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a} - \vec{a}^2 2(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})] \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 10}}{=} \frac{5}{6|\vec{x}|^6} \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} [3(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2] - \frac{4\vec{a}^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{6|\vec{x}|^5} \\
&= \frac{15(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^3 - 5\vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} - 4\vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{6|\vec{x}|^7} = \frac{1}{2|\vec{x}|^7} [5(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^3 - 3\vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}] \\
&= \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^7} [(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^3 + \frac{3}{2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} ((\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^2 - \vec{x}^2 \vec{a}^2)] \\
&\stackrel{(43)}{=} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^7} [(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^3 + \frac{3}{2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{x})^2] \tag{145}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow P_3(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = \frac{1}{2}[5(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^3 - 3\vec{a}^2 \vec{x}^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}] = (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x})^3 + \frac{3}{2} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{x})^2. \tag{146}$$

Homogeneity of degree n of the P_n :

$$\frac{(-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^n}{n!} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} \stackrel{\text{def.}}{=} \frac{P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}} \Rightarrow (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^n \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} = n! \frac{P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}} \tag{147}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^{n+1} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} &= (n+1)! \frac{P_{n+1}(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2(n+1)+1}} \\
&\Rightarrow \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} \frac{P_{n+1}(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2(n+1)+1}} = (-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}) \frac{P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} [-\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}}] P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) - \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 7}}{=} \frac{2n+1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+2}} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}| - \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Prop. 10}}{=} \frac{2n+1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+2}} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|} - \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) \\
&= \frac{2n+1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+3}} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) \vec{a} \cdot \vec{x} - \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^{2n+1}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}). \tag{148}
\end{aligned}$$

$P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a})\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}$ is a homogeneous function of degree $n + 1$, if we assume P_n to be homogeneous of degree n :

$$P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{assume}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^k |\vec{x}|^{n-k} \vec{a}^{n-k}, \quad (149)$$

$\alpha_k = \text{const.}$, which is especially true for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$. The right term

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) &= \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^k |\vec{x}|^{n-k} \vec{a}^{n-k} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 4,5,9}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \alpha_k [\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^k] |\vec{x}|^{n-k} \vec{a}^{n-k} + \alpha_k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^k [\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\vec{x}|^{n-k}] \vec{a}^{n-k} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 5,7}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \alpha_k [k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^{k-1} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})] |\vec{x}|^{n-k} \vec{a}^{n-k} + \alpha_k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^k [(n-k) |\vec{x}|^{n-k-1} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} |\vec{x}|] \vec{a}^{n-k} \right\} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 10,21}}{=} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \alpha_k [k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^{k-1} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a}] |\vec{x}|^{n-k} \vec{a}^{n-k} + \alpha_k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^k [(n-k) |\vec{x}|^{n-k-1} \frac{\vec{a} \cdot \vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|}] \vec{a}^{n-k} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \alpha_k k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^{k-1} \frac{|\vec{x}|^2}{|\vec{x}|^2} |\vec{x}|^{n-k} \vec{a}^2 \vec{a}^{n-k} + \alpha_k (n-k) (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^{k+1} \frac{|\vec{x}|^{n-k-1}}{|\vec{x}|} \frac{|\vec{x}|}{|\vec{x}|} \vec{a}^{n-k} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left\{ \alpha_k k (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^{k-1} |\vec{x}|^{n-k+2} \vec{a}^{n-k+2} + \alpha_k (n-k) (\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a})^{k+1} |\vec{x}|^{n-k} \vec{a}^{n-k} \right\} \quad (150) \end{aligned}$$

yields $|\vec{x}|^2 \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a})$, to be homogeneous of degree $n + 1$. Hence

$$\frac{(n+1)!}{n!} \frac{P_{n+1}(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2(n+1)+1}} = \frac{(\text{Polynomial homogeneous of degree } n+1)}{|\vec{x}|^{2(n+1)+1}}. \quad (151)$$

By induction every P_n will therefore be homogeneous of degree n . This and the explicit expressions above for $\frac{P_{n+1}(\vec{x}\vec{a})}{|\vec{x}|^{2(n+1)+1}}$ fully prove for all n :

$$P_n(\vec{x}\vec{a}) = |\vec{x}|^n P_n(\hat{x}\vec{a}) = |\vec{x}|^n |\vec{a}|^n P_n(\hat{x}\hat{a}). \quad (152)$$

□

Definition 37. *Redefinition of differential, over-dots.*

$$\underline{F}(\vec{a}) = \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} F \stackrel{(\dagger)}{=} \frac{1}{2} (\vec{a} \vec{\partial} F + \vec{\partial} \vec{a} \dot{F}), \quad (153)$$

where the over-dots indicate, that only F is to be differentiated and not \vec{a} .

Proposition 38. For $\vec{a} \notin \mathcal{A}_n \equiv \mathcal{G}^1(I)$, $P = P_I$:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} = \vec{a} \cdot P(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) = P(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}, \quad P(\vec{a}) \in \mathcal{A}_n. \quad (154)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot P(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a} \cdot \vec{a}^k (\vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) = \sum_{k=1}^n P(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{a}^k (\vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \\ &= P(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}. \quad (155) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 39.

$$\underline{F}(\vec{a}) = \underline{F}(P(\vec{a})) = P(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}F. \quad \underline{F}(\vec{a}) = 0, \text{ if } P(\vec{a}) = 0. \quad (156)$$

Proof.

$$\underline{F}(\vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{Def. 13, Prop. 38}}{=} \underline{F}(P(\vec{a})) \stackrel{\text{Def. 13}}{=} P(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}F, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \underline{F}(\vec{a}) = 0, \text{ if } P(\vec{a}) = 0. \quad (157)$$

□

Proposition 40. *Differential of composite functions. For $F(\vec{x}) = G(f(\vec{x}))$ and*

$$f : \vec{x} \in \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{G}^1(I) \rightarrow f(\vec{x}) \in \mathcal{A}'_n = \mathcal{G}^1(I'), \quad (158)$$

we have

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F = \underline{f} \cdot \vec{\partial}G, \quad \underline{F}(\vec{a}) = \underline{G}(f(\vec{x}), \underline{f}(\vec{x}, \vec{a})) \quad (\text{Def. 13}). \quad (159)$$

The differential of composite functions is the composite of differentials :

$$\underline{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{a}) = \underline{G}(f(\vec{x}), \underline{f}(\vec{x}, \vec{a})) \quad (\text{explicit}). \quad (160)$$

Proof. Using Taylor expansion (Prop. 12):

$$f(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a}) = f(\vec{x}) + \tau\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}f(\vec{x}) + \frac{1}{2}\tau^2(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})^2 f(\vec{x}) + \dots, \quad (161)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}G(f(\vec{x})) &\stackrel{\text{Def. 13}}{=} \left. \frac{d}{d\tau} G(f(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a})) \right|_{\tau=0} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Taylor (Prop. 12), Def. 13}}{=} \left. \frac{d}{d\tau} G(f(\vec{x}) + \tau\underline{f}(\vec{a})) \right|_{\tau=0} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 1}}{=} \left. \underline{f}(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}'} G(\vec{x}') \right|_{\vec{x}'=f(\vec{x})} \\ &= \underline{f}(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}G \quad (\text{evaluation at corresponding points.}) \end{aligned} \quad (162)$$

□

Definition 41. *Second differential.*

$$F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} = \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \dot{F}(\vec{x}). \quad (163)$$

Suppressing \vec{x} : $F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} = \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \dot{F}$.

Proposition 42. *Integrability condition.*

$$F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} = F_{\vec{b}\vec{a}}. \quad (164)$$

The second differential is a symmetric bilinear function of its differential arguments \vec{a}, \vec{b} .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}}(\vec{x}) &\equiv \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \dot{F}(\vec{x}) \stackrel{\text{Def. 1}}{=} \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}} \left. \frac{d\dot{F}(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a})}{d\tau} \right|_{\tau=0} = \left. \frac{d^2 \dot{F}(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a} + \sigma\vec{b})}{d\sigma d\tau} \right|_{\tau=0, \sigma=0} \\ &= \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{F(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a} + \sigma\vec{b}) - F(\vec{x} + \sigma\vec{b})}{\tau} - \frac{F(\vec{x} + \tau\vec{a}) - F(\vec{x})}{\tau}}{\sigma}, \end{aligned} \quad (165)$$

which is symmetric under $(\vec{a}, \tau) \leftrightarrow (\vec{b}, \sigma)$. Hence

$$F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}}(\vec{x}) = \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial} \dot{F}(\vec{x}) = \vec{a} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}} \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial} \dot{F}(\vec{x}) = F_{\vec{b}\vec{a}}(\vec{x}). \quad (166)$$

The bilinearity follows from the linearity in each argument (Props. 2,3,14). \square

Proposition 43. *Differential of identity function.*

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{x} = P(\vec{a}) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a}). \quad (167)$$

Proof. We first prove the first identity:

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 38}}{=} P_I(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 8}}{=} P_I(\vec{a}). \quad (168)$$

Regarding the second identity, especially for base vectors $\vec{a}_k \in \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{G}^1(I)$:

$$\vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{x} = P_I(\vec{a}_k) = \vec{a}_k, \quad (169)$$

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x} \cdot \vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 21}}{=} \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{a} = P_I(\vec{a}). \quad (170)$$

\square

Proposition 44. *Operator identity.*

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} = P_I(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}). \quad (171)$$

Proof. Proposition 18 and 43. \square

Proposition 45. *Derivative from differential.*

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F(\vec{x}) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) F(\vec{x}) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} \underline{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{a}) \quad (172)$$

Proof. Proposition 44 and Definition 13. \square

Definition 46.

$$\vec{\partial} F = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F(\vec{x}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 45}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} \underline{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{a}) = \vec{\partial} \underline{F}, \quad (173)$$

where $\vec{\partial}$ is the derivative with respect to the differential argument \vec{a} of $\underline{F}(\vec{x}, \vec{a})$.

Proposition 47.

$$\vec{\partial} F = \vec{\partial} \cdot F + \vec{\partial} \wedge F. \quad (174)$$

Proof. Vector property (Prop. 18) of $\vec{\partial} = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}$ and (18). \square

Proposition 48. *Gradient.* For scalar $F = \Phi(\vec{x})$:

$$\vec{\partial} \cdot \Phi = 0, \quad \vec{\partial} \Phi = \vec{\partial} \wedge \Phi = \dot{\Phi} \dot{\vec{\partial}}. \quad (175)$$

Proof. (21), Prop. 47 and (23). □

Remark 49. In Prop. 48 the special definition of Hestenes and Sobczyk[7] in (20) and (21) for the inner product becomes important. It should be possible to make it more intuitive by replacing the inner product with the contraction [3].

Definition 50. *Divergence and curl.*

- Divergence of F : $\vec{\partial} \cdot F$,
- Curl of F : $\vec{\partial} \wedge F$.

(Full vector derivative of F : $\vec{\partial}F$.)

Proposition 51. *Vector derivative of sums.*

$$\vec{\partial}(F + G) = \vec{\partial}F + \vec{\partial}G. \quad (176)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial}(F + G) &\stackrel{\text{Def. 46}}{=} \vec{\partial}(F + G) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 4}}{=} \vec{\partial}(F + G) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}(F + G) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 4}}{=} \sum_k \vec{a}^k (\vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}F + \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}G) \\ &\stackrel{\text{distributivity, (19)}}{=} \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}F + \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}G \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 18, Def. 46}}{=} \vec{\partial}F + \vec{\partial}G. \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

Note that geometric multiplication is *distributive* with respect to addition. □

Proposition 52. *Vector derivative of products.*

$$\vec{\partial}(FG) = \vec{\partial}\dot{F}G + \dot{F}\vec{\partial}G. \quad (178)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial}(FG) &\stackrel{\text{Def. 46}}{=} \vec{\partial}(FG) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 5}}{=} \vec{\partial}(FG + FG) \stackrel{\text{Def. 46, Prop. 51}}{=} \vec{\partial}FG + \vec{\partial}FG \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 46}}{=} \vec{\partial}\dot{F}G + \dot{F}\vec{\partial}G. \end{aligned} \quad (179)$$

The third equality is a special case of Prop. 51, if we take the definition of $\vec{\partial}$ in Def. 46 into account. The last term is to be interpreted as:

$$\dot{F}\vec{\partial}G = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{y}}(F(\vec{x})G(\vec{y})) \Big|_{\vec{y}=\vec{x}}. \quad (180)$$

□

Proposition 53.

$$\vec{\partial}\vec{x}^2 = 2\vec{x}. \quad (181)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{\partial}\vec{x}^2 &= (\vec{\partial}\vec{x})\vec{x} + \dot{\vec{\partial}}\vec{x}\dot{\vec{x}} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \left(\sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_x \vec{x}\right)\vec{x} + \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{x} \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_x \vec{x} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 43}}{=} \left(\sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k\right)\vec{x} + \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{x} \vec{a}_k \stackrel{(7)}{=} 2 \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{x} \cdot \vec{a}_k \stackrel{(32)}{=} 2\vec{x}.\end{aligned}\quad (182)$$

□

Proposition 54.

$$\vec{\partial}|\vec{x}| = \hat{x}.\quad (183)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{\partial}|\vec{x}| \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_x |\vec{x}| \stackrel{\text{Prop. 10}}{=} \sum_k \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \hat{x} \stackrel{(32)}{=} \hat{x}.\quad (184)$$

□

Proposition 55. For $F = F(|\vec{x}|)$:

$$\vec{\partial}F = \hat{x} \frac{dF}{d|\vec{x}|}.\quad (185)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}F \stackrel{\text{Prop. 7}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}|\vec{x}| \frac{dF}{d|\vec{x}|} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 54}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x} \frac{dF}{d|\vec{x}|}\quad (186)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\partial}F \stackrel{\text{Def. 46}}{=} \vec{\partial}_a (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial})F = \vec{\partial}_a \vec{a} \cdot \hat{x} \frac{dF}{d|\vec{x}|} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 43}}{=} \hat{x} \frac{dF}{d|\vec{x}|}.\quad (187)$$

□

Definition 56. Sides of differentiation. Only right side differentiation:

$$F\vec{\partial}G \stackrel{\text{Def. 46}}{=} F\vec{\partial}G = F\dot{\vec{\partial}}\dot{G}.\quad (188)$$

Left and right side differentiation (another form of the product rule Prop. 52):

$$\dot{F}\dot{\vec{\partial}}\dot{G} = \dot{F}\dot{\vec{\partial}}G + F\dot{\vec{\partial}}\dot{G}.\quad (189)$$

Definition 57. Adjoint. For

$$f : \vec{x} \in \mathcal{A}_n = \mathcal{G}^1(I) \rightarrow f(\vec{x}) \in \mathcal{A}'_n = \mathcal{G}^1(I'),\quad (190)$$

the entity

$$\bar{f}(\vec{a}') \equiv \vec{\partial}(f \cdot \vec{a}')\quad (191)$$

is the adjoint of f or explicitly:

$$\bar{f}(\vec{x}, \vec{a}') \equiv \vec{\partial}_a \left[\left((\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_x) f(\vec{x}) \right) \cdot \vec{a}' \right] \stackrel{\text{Def. 37}}{=} \vec{\partial}_a [f(\vec{x}, \vec{a}) \cdot \vec{a}'].\quad (192)$$

Proposition 58.

$$\bar{f}(\vec{a}') = \vec{\partial}(f \cdot \vec{a}'),\quad (193)$$

or explicitly:

$$\bar{f}(\vec{x}, \vec{a}') = \vec{\partial}_x (f(\vec{x}) \cdot \vec{a}').\quad (194)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(\bar{x}, \bar{a}') &\stackrel{\text{Def. 57}}{=} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}} \left[\left((\bar{a} \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}) f(\bar{x}) \right) \cdot \bar{a}' \right] = \bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}} (\bar{a} \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}) [f(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{a}'] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 45}}{=} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}} (f(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{a}').\end{aligned}\tag{195}$$

(Compare [7] p. 50; [2] p. 23 (1.109), p. 24 (1.118), and p. 104 (5.11).) \square

Proposition 59. *Linearity of adjoint. For scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$:*

$$\bar{f}(\bar{a}' + \bar{b}') = \bar{f}(\bar{a}') + \bar{f}(\bar{b}'), \quad \bar{f}(\alpha \bar{a}') = \alpha \bar{f}(\bar{a}').\tag{196}$$

Proof. Linearity of the inner product (9). \square

Proposition 60.

$$P(\bar{f}(\bar{a}')) = \bar{f}(\bar{a}').\tag{197}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}P(\bar{f}(\bar{a}')) &\stackrel{\text{Def. 57, Prop. 58}}{=} P\left(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}(f(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{a}')\right) = P(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}})f(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{a}' \stackrel{\text{Prop. 44}}{=} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}(f(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{a}') \\ &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 58}}{=} \bar{f}(\bar{a}').\end{aligned}\tag{198}$$

\square

Proposition 61.

$$\bar{f}(\bar{a}') = \bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}}(\underline{f}(\bar{a}) \cdot \bar{a}') = \bar{f}(P'(\bar{a}')), \tag{199}$$

with P' the projection into the range of f and \underline{f} , i.e. into $\mathcal{A}'_n \equiv \mathcal{G}^1(I')$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{f}(\bar{a}') &\stackrel{\text{Def. 57}}{=} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}}(\underline{f}(\bar{a}) \cdot \bar{a}') \stackrel{\text{Prop. 58}}{=} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}(f(\bar{x}) \cdot \bar{a}') = \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}([P'f(\bar{x})] \cdot \bar{a}') \\ &= \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}(f(\bar{x}) \cdot P'(\bar{a}')) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 58}}{=} \bar{f}(P'(\bar{a}')).\end{aligned}\tag{200}$$

\square

Proposition 62.

$$P\bar{f}P'(\bar{a}') = \bar{f}(\bar{a}'), \quad P'\underline{f}P(\bar{a}) = \underline{f}(\bar{a}).\tag{201}$$

Proof. First identity: Definition 57 and Proposition 58.

Second identity: Proposition 39 and because the range of f and \underline{f} is $\mathcal{A}'_n = \mathcal{G}^i(I')$. \square

Proposition 63. *Change of variables. For $F(\bar{x}) = G(f(\bar{x}))$, i.e. $\bar{x} \rightarrow f(\bar{x})$:*

$$\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}F(\bar{x}) = \bar{f}(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}'})G(\bar{x}'), \tag{202}$$

i.e. $\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}} = \bar{f}(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}'})$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}F(\bar{x}) &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 44}}{=} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}}[\bar{a} \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}F(\bar{x})] = \bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}}[\bar{a} \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}}G(f(\bar{x}))] \stackrel{\text{Prop. 40}}{=} \bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}}[\underbrace{f(\bar{a}) \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}'}G(\bar{x}')}_{\text{scalar}}] \Big|_{\bar{x}'=f(\bar{x})} \\ &= [\bar{\partial}_{\bar{a}}(\underline{f}(\bar{a}) \cdot \bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}'}G(\bar{x}'))] \Big|_{\bar{x}'=f(\bar{x})} \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 57}}{=} [\bar{f}(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}'})G(\bar{x}')] \Big|_{\bar{x}'=f(\bar{x})} = \bar{f}(\bar{\partial}_{\bar{x}'})G(\bar{x}').\end{aligned}\tag{203}$$

\square

Proposition 64. *Second differential.*

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}^2 F(\vec{x}) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} = (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} + \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}) F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}}. \quad (204)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F(\vec{x}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 54}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F(\vec{x})) \stackrel{\text{Def. 13}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} F_{\vec{a}} \quad (205)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}^2 F(\vec{x}) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F(\vec{x})) = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} F_{\vec{a}})$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Prop. 54}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \left[\underbrace{\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}}_{\text{scalar operator}} (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} F_{\vec{a}}) \right] = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} [(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) F_{\vec{a}}] \stackrel{\text{Def. 41}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} + \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}}) F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}}. \quad (206)$$

□

Proposition 65. *Integrability condition for vector derivative.*

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} = 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} = F_{\vec{b}\vec{a}}. \quad (207)$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow)

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} = 0 \quad (208)$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) F \stackrel{(42)}{=} \vec{a} \cdot [\vec{b} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})] F$$

$$= \vec{a} \cdot [(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} - \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})] F = [(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) F - (\vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) (\vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) F]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Def. 41}}{=} F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} - F_{\vec{b}\vec{a}}, \quad (209)$$

(\Leftarrow) Integrability (Prop. 42) means: $F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} = F_{\vec{b}\vec{a}}$. Obviously

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} - \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} F_{\vec{b}\vec{a}}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 42}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} - \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}}) F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}} = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{b}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{a}} F_{\vec{a}\vec{b}}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Prop. 64}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} F(\vec{x}) \quad (210)$$

$$\Rightarrow \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} = 0. \quad (211)$$

□

Proposition 66. *Laplacian. Integrability of $F \Leftrightarrow \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}^2 = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}$.*

Proof. Integrability of $F \stackrel{\text{Prop. 65}}{\Leftrightarrow} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} = 0$

$$\Leftrightarrow \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}^2 \stackrel{(6), (18)}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} + \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}. \quad (212)$$

□

Proposition 67.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{x} = 0. \quad (213)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{x} = \frac{1}{2} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge (2\vec{x}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 53}}{=} \frac{1}{2} (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \vec{x}^2 \stackrel{\text{Prop. 65}}{=} 0, \quad (214)$$

because $F = \vec{x}^2$ is integrable. □

Proposition 68.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{x} = \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{x} \stackrel{(33)}{=} n. \quad (215)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{x} &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 47}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{x} + \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 67}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \right) \cdot \vec{x} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k \cdot (\vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 43}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k \cdot P(\vec{a}_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k \cdot \vec{a}_k = n. \end{aligned} \quad (216)$$

□

Proposition 69.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} |\vec{x}|^k = k |\vec{x}|^{k-2} \vec{x}. \quad (217)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} |\vec{x}|^k \stackrel{\text{Prop. 55}}{=} \hat{x} \frac{d|\vec{x}|^k}{d|\vec{x}|} = \hat{x} k |\vec{x}|^{k-1} = k |\vec{x}|^{k-2} |\vec{x}| \hat{x} = k |\vec{x}|^{k-2} \vec{x}. \quad (218)$$

□

Proposition 70.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \left(\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^k} \right) = \frac{n-k}{|\vec{x}|^k}. \quad (219)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \left(\frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^k} \right) &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 52}}{=} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{x}) \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^k} + \vec{x} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} |\vec{x}|^{-k} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 68,69}}{=} n \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^k} + \vec{x} (-k) |\vec{x}|^{-k-2} \vec{x} \\ &= n \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|^k} - k |\vec{x}|^{-k-2} \vec{x}^2 = \frac{n}{|\vec{x}|^k} - k |\vec{x}|^{-k} = \frac{n-k}{|\vec{x}|^k}. \end{aligned} \quad (220)$$

□

Proposition 71.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \log |\vec{x}| = \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^2} = \vec{x}^{-1}. \quad (221)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \log |\vec{x}| \stackrel{\text{Prop. 55}}{=} \hat{x} \frac{d \log |\vec{x}|}{d|\vec{x}|} = \hat{x} \frac{1}{|\vec{x}|} \stackrel{(12)}{=} \frac{\vec{x}}{|\vec{x}|^2} \stackrel{(12)}{=} \vec{x}^{-1}. \quad (222)$$

□

Proposition 72. For $A = P(A) = \langle A \rangle_r$:

$$\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} (\dot{\vec{x}} \cdot A) = A \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{x} = rA. \quad (223)$$

Proof. If A is a simple r -blade, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \cdot A) &\stackrel{(39)}{=} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(A \cdot \dot{x})(-1)^{r-1} = \frac{1}{2}[\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}A\dot{x} - (-1)^r \underbrace{(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}\vec{x})}_{=n, \text{Prop. 68}} A](-1)^{r-1} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}[\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}A\dot{x} - (-1)^r A(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}\vec{x})](-1)^{r-1} = (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot A)\dot{x}(-1)^{r-1} \\
&\stackrel{(39)}{=} (A \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x}, \tag{224}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{(30)}{\Rightarrow} A^{-1}\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \cdot A) &= A^{-1}(A \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} \stackrel{(35)}{=} P_A(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \right) \vec{x} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^r \vec{a}^k (\vec{a}_k \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 43}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^r \vec{a}^k \vec{a}_k \stackrel{(33)}{=} r, \tag{225}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \cdot A) = (A \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} = rA. \tag{226}$$

Last step: Multiplication with A from the left. Distributivity (19), (25) gives the same result even for non-simple r -vectors A . \square

Proposition 73. For $A = P(A) = \langle A \rangle_r$:

$$\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \wedge A) = A \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}\vec{x} = (n-r)A. \tag{227}$$

Proof. If A is a simple r -blade, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \wedge A) &\stackrel{(40)}{=} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(A \wedge \dot{x})(-1)^r = \frac{1}{2}[\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}A\dot{x} + (-1)^r \underbrace{(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}\vec{x})}_{=n, \text{Prop. 68}} A](-1)^r \\
&= \frac{1}{2}[\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}A\dot{x} + (-1)^r A(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}\vec{x})](-1)^r = (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge A)\dot{x}(-1)^r \stackrel{(40)}{=} (A \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x}, \tag{228}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\stackrel{(30)}{\Rightarrow} A^{-1}\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \wedge A) &= A^{-1}(A \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} = A^{-1}I^{-1}I(A \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} \\
&\stackrel{\text{Ipseudoscalar, (40)}}{=} A^{-1}I^{-1} \underbrace{[I]}_n \cdot \underbrace{(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge A)}_{r+1 \text{ grades}} (-1)^r \vec{x} \\
&\stackrel{(39)}{=} A^{-1}I^{-1}[(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge A) \cdot I]\vec{x}(-1)^{r+(r+1)(n-r-1)} \\
&\stackrel{(38)}{=} A^{-1}I^{-1}[\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (AI)]\vec{x}(-1)^{r+(r+1)(n-r)-r-1} \\
&\stackrel{(39)}{=} A^{-1}I^{-1} \underbrace{[(AI)]}_{n-r} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}\vec{x}(-1)^{(r+1)(n-r)-1+n-r-1} \\
&\stackrel{(40)}{=} A^{-1}I^{-1} \underbrace{[(IA)]}_{n-r} \cdot \underbrace{\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}\vec{x}}_{=+1} (-1)^{r(n-r)+r(n-r)} \\
&\stackrel{(35), (36)}{=} P_{IA}(\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} \stackrel{\text{Proof of Prop. 72}}{=} n-r. \tag{229}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{AA^{-1}=1, (30)}{\Rightarrow} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \wedge A) = (A \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}})\vec{x} = (n-r)A. \tag{230}$$

Last step: Multiplication with A from the left. The distributive rule for the inner product gives the same result even for non-simple A . \square

Proposition 74. For $A = P(A) = \langle A \rangle_r$:

$$\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} A \dot{x} = \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k A \vec{a}_k = (-1)^r (n - 2r) A. \quad (231)$$

Proof.

$$\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} A \dot{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k (\vec{a}_k \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) A \dot{x} = \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k A (\vec{a}_k \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \dot{x} \stackrel{\text{Prop. 43}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \vec{a}^k A \vec{a}_k, \quad (232)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} A \dot{x} &= \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} [A \cdot \dot{x} + A \wedge \dot{x}] \stackrel{(39), (40)}{=} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} [\dot{x} \cdot A (-1)^{r-1} + \dot{x} \wedge A (-1)^r] \\ &= (-1)^r [-\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \cdot A) + \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\dot{x} \wedge A)] \stackrel{\text{Props. 72, 73}}{=} (-1)^r [-rA + (n-r)A] \\ &= (-1)^r (n - 2r) A. \end{aligned} \quad (233)$$

□

Proposition 75. For $\vec{a} = \vec{a}(\vec{x})$, $\vec{b} = \vec{b}(\vec{x})$:

$$\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) = \vec{a} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{b} + \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} - \vec{a} \cdot (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) - \vec{b} \cdot (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}). \quad (234)$$

Proof.

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18, (41)}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{b} - \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{a}), \quad (235)$$

$$\vec{b} \cdot (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) \stackrel{\text{Prop. 18, (41)}}{=} \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} - \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}), \quad (236)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \stackrel{\text{addition}}{\Rightarrow} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) &= \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) + \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{a}) \\ &= \vec{a} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{b} + \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} - \vec{a} \cdot (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) - \vec{b} \cdot (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}). \end{aligned} \quad (237)$$

□

Definition 76. Lie bracket. For $\vec{a} = \vec{a}(\vec{x})$, $\vec{b} = \vec{b}(\vec{x})$, the Lie bracket is defined as:

$$[\vec{a}, \vec{b}] = \vec{a} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{b} - \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a}. \quad (238)$$

Proposition 77. For $\vec{a} = \vec{a}(\vec{x})$, $\vec{b} = \vec{b}(\vec{x})$, we get the following expression for the Lie bracket :

$$[\vec{a}, \vec{b}] = \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) - \vec{b} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{a} + \vec{a} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{b}. \quad (239)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) &\stackrel{\text{Prop. 18, (41)}}{=} (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{a}) \vec{b} - (\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{b}) \vec{a} \\ &= \vec{b}(\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{a}) + (\vec{a} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \vec{b} - \vec{a}(\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{b}) - (\vec{b} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \vec{a} \end{aligned} \quad (240)$$

$$\Rightarrow [\vec{a}, \vec{b}] \stackrel{\text{Def. 76}}{=} \vec{a} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{b} - \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} = \dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{b}) - \vec{b}(\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{a}) + \vec{a}(\dot{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{b}). \quad (241)$$

□

Proposition 78. For $\vec{a} = \vec{a}(\vec{x})$, $\vec{b} = \vec{b}(\vec{x})$, $\vec{c} = \vec{c}(\vec{x})$:

$$(\vec{c} \wedge \vec{b}) \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) = \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c} - \vec{c} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} = \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) + [\vec{c}, \vec{b}] \cdot \vec{a}, \quad (242)$$

where the Lie bracket $[\vec{c}, \vec{b}]$ is defined according to Def. 76.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (\vec{c} \wedge \vec{b}) \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) &\stackrel{(42)}{=} \vec{c} \cdot \left(\vec{b} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) \right) \stackrel{\text{Proof 75}}{=} \vec{c} \cdot \left(\vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} - \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \right) \\ &= \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{c} - \vec{c} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b} \\ &= \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) + \vec{c} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) \\ &= \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) + \vec{c} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{b} \cdot \vec{a}) - \vec{b} \cdot \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{c} \cdot \vec{a}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{Def. 76}}{=} \vec{b} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{c}) - \vec{c} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}) + [\vec{c}, \vec{b}] \cdot \vec{a}. \end{aligned} \quad (243)$$

□

Proposition 79. For $\vec{a} = \vec{a}(\vec{x})$, $\vec{b} = \vec{b}(\vec{x})$:

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) = \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) + \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) = (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \cdot \vec{b} + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{b} - \vec{a} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{b}. \quad (244)$$

Proof. It follows from the Jacobi identity (44) that

$$\vec{a} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) + \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) + \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) = 0. \quad (245)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) &= \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) + \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) \\ &\stackrel{(39),(41)}{=} (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \cdot \vec{b} + \vec{a} \cdot \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \vec{b} - \vec{a} \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \cdot \vec{b}. \end{aligned} \quad (246)$$

□

Proposition 80. For $\vec{a} = \vec{a}(\vec{x})$, $\vec{b} = \vec{b}(\vec{x})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) &= \dot{\vec{a}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) - \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \dot{\vec{a}}) \\ &= (\vec{b} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \cdot \vec{a} + \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) - (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \cdot \vec{b} - \vec{b} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}). \end{aligned} \quad (247)$$

Proof. It follows from the Jacobi identity (44) that

$$\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) + \dot{\vec{a}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{b}} \wedge \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}}) + \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \dot{\vec{a}}) = 0. \quad (248)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\vec{a} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) &= \dot{\vec{a}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) - \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \dot{\vec{a}}) \\ &= \dot{\vec{a}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) + \vec{a} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) - \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) - \vec{b} \cdot (\dot{\vec{\partial}}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}) \\ &\stackrel{(39)}{=} (\vec{b} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \cdot \vec{a} + \vec{a} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{b}) - (\vec{a} \wedge \vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}}) \cdot \vec{b} - \vec{b} \cdot (\vec{\partial}_{\vec{x}} \wedge \vec{a}). \end{aligned} \quad (249)$$

□

Proposition 81.

$$A \times (\vec{\partial}_x \wedge \vec{b}) = A \cdot \vec{\partial}_x \vec{b} - \dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \vec{b} \cdot A = A \wedge \vec{\partial}_x \vec{b} - \dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \vec{b} \wedge A. \quad (250)$$

with the commutator product $A \times B$ of multivectors A, B , introduced in (45).

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} A \times (\vec{\partial}_x \wedge \vec{b}) &\stackrel{(45)}{=} -(\dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \wedge \dot{\vec{b}}) \times A \stackrel{(46)}{=} -\dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \dot{\vec{b}} \cdot A + A \cdot \vec{\partial}_x \vec{b} \\ &\stackrel{(46)}{=} -\dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \dot{\vec{b}} \wedge A + A \wedge \vec{\partial}_x \vec{b}. \end{aligned} \quad (251)$$

□

Proposition 82. For $A = \langle A \rangle_r = A(\vec{x})$, $B = \langle B \rangle_s = B(\vec{x})$:

$$\dot{A} \wedge \dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \wedge \dot{B} = (-1)^r \vec{\partial}_x \wedge (A \wedge B) = A \wedge \vec{\partial}_x \wedge B + (-1)^{r+s(r+1)} B \wedge \vec{\partial}_x \wedge A. \quad (252)$$

Proof.

$$\dot{A} \wedge \dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \wedge \dot{B} \stackrel{(40)}{=} (-1)^r \vec{\partial}_x \wedge (A \wedge B), \quad (253)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{A} \wedge \dot{\vec{\partial}}_x \wedge \dot{B} &= A \wedge \vec{\partial}_x \wedge B + (\dot{A} \wedge \dot{\vec{\partial}}_x) \wedge B \stackrel{(40)}{=} A \wedge \vec{\partial}_x \wedge B + (-1)^r (\vec{\partial}_x \wedge \dot{A}) \wedge B \\ &\stackrel{(40)}{=} A \wedge \vec{\partial}_x \wedge B + (-1)^{r+s(r+1)} B \wedge (\vec{\partial}_x \wedge A). \end{aligned} \quad (254)$$

□

4 Conclusion

This article first summarized important geometric algebra relationships, which are necessary for the thorough and explicit development of the vector differential calculus part of universal geometric calculus.

It then showed how to differentiate multivector functions by a vector, including the results of standard vector analysis. The vector differential relationships are proven in a very explicit step by step way, enabling the reader, who is unfamiliar with the algebraic techniques to get complete comprehension. It may thus serve as important reference material for studying and applying vector differential calculus.

Further study in a similar manner to elucidate the calculus with *multivector* derivatives can be found in [10].

Acknowledgements

“Soli deo gloria.”

(Latin: To God alone be the glory.) [1]

I thank my wife for her patient support and K. Shinoda (Kyoto, Japan), the late H. Shitaka (Hiroshima, Japan) and H. Honma (Fukui, Japan) for their prayer support. I further thank J. Salchner (Gschnitz, Austria) and Airborne Hydromapping GmbH (Innsbruck, Austria) for hosting me while writing this paper, as well as my physics colleagues at ICU for the possibility of the current sabbatical.

References

- [1] Johann Sebastian Bach.
- [2] C. Doran, *Geometric Algebra and its Application to Mathematical Physics*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cambridge, 1994. Preprint: <http://geometry.mrao.cam.ac.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/02/DoranThesis.pdf>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.
- [3] L. Dorst, *The inner products of geometric algebra*, in C. Doran, L. Dorst and J. Lasenby eds. *Applied Geometrical Algebras in computer Science and Engineering, AGACSE 2001*, Birkhauser, 2001. Preprint: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download;jsessionid=5F3CC7CC2BEEF995497D156CAD227B4D?doi=10.1.1.6.7034&rep=rep1&type=pdf>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.
- [4] H. Grassmann, (F. Engel, editor) *Die Ausdehnungslehre von 1844 und die Geometrische Analyse*, 1, part 1, Teubner, Leipzig, 1894.
- [5] Hebrews, chapter 11, verses 1 to 3, Holy Bible, New International Version. <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=Hebrews+11\%3A1-3&version=NIV>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.
- [6] D. Hestenes, *New Foundations for Classical Mechanics*, 2nd ed., Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [7] D. Hestenes, G. Sobczyk, *Clifford Algebra to Geometric Calculus*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999 reprint.
- [8] E. Hitzer, *Antisymmetric Matrices Are Real Bivectors*, Mem. Fac. Eng. Fukui Univ. 49(2), 283 (2001). Preprint: <https://vixra.org/abs/1306.0112>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.
- [9] E. Hitzer, *Vector Differential Calculus*, Mem. Fac. Eng. Fukui Univ., Vol. 49, No. 1 (March, 2001).
- [10] E. Hitzer, *Multivector differential calculus*. AACA 12, pp. 135–182 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03161244>, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1306.2278.pdf>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.
- [11] E. Hitzer *Creative Peace License*, <http://gaupdate.wordpress.com/2011/12/14/the-creative-peace-license-14-dec-2011/>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.
- [12] E. Hitzer, *Introduction to Clifford's Geometric Algebra*, Journal of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers, Vol. 51, No. 4, pp. 338–350, April 2012, (April 2012) Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1306.1660.pdf>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.
- [13] Hitzer, E., Nitta, T. and Kuroe, Y. *Applications of Clifford's Geometric Algebra*. Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras 23, pp. 377–404 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00006-013-0378-4>.
- [14] E. Hitzer S. Sangwine, *Multivector and multivector matrix inverses in real Clifford algebras*, Appl. Math. and Comp., Vol. 311, Iss. C, Oct. 2017, pp. 375–389 (2017), DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2017.05.027>. Preprint: Technical Report CES-534, ISSN: 1744-8050, <http://repository.essex.ac.uk/17282>.
- [15] John, chapter 14, verse 6, Holy Bible, King James Version. <https://www.biblegateway.com/passage/?search=John+14:6&version=KJV>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.

- [16] A. Macdonald, *Linear and Geometric Algebra*, CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform (January 19, 2011), latest corrected edition: 2020.
- [17] A. Macdonald, *Vector and Geometric Calculus*, CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform (December 18, 2012).
- [18] D. S. Shirokov, *On determinant, other characteristic polynomial coefficients, and inverses in Clifford algebras of arbitrary dimension*, Preprint: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2005.04015.pdf>, last accessed: 12 June 2020.