

Riemann Hypothesis

Shekhar Suman

April 2020

Subject Classification code- 11M26

Keywords- Riemann Zeta function; Analytic Continuation; Critical strip; Critical line.

1 Abstract

The Riemann Zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$$

The Zeta function is holomorphic in the complex plane except for a simple pole at $s = 1$. The trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ are $-2, -4, -6, \dots$. Its non trivial zeros lie in the critical strip $0 < \operatorname{Re}(s) < 1$.

The Riemann Hypothesis states that all the non trivial zeros lie on the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1/2$.

In this article we disprove the Riemann Hypothesis.

2 Proof

We give the proof by contradiction.

Let us assume that the Riemann Hypothesis is true.

Riemann Hypothesis is equivalent to the integral

equation (see [3, p.136, Corollary 8.7])

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt = 0$$

$$\text{Let, } I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt$$

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt + \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Let, } I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt$$

Substitute, $t = -u$.

$$\Rightarrow dt = -du$$

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2-iu)|}{1+4u^2} du$$

By Schwarz Reflection principle [see 1, p.474], $\zeta(\bar{s}) = \overline{\zeta(s)}$

$$|\zeta(1/2 - iu)| = |\zeta(\overline{1/2 + iu})| = |\overline{\zeta(1/2 + iu)}| = |\zeta(1/2 + iu)|$$

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+iu)|}{1+4u^2} du$$

$$I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt$$

Putting this value of I_1 in (1),

$$I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt$$

Since $I = 0$,

$$\text{Thus, } I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt = 0 \quad (2)$$

Riemann Hypothesis is true if and only if (see [1, p.496 ,Theorem 7.26])

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2+it)}{\zeta(1/2)} \right|}{t^2} dt = \frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\log 8\pi}{4} - 2 \quad (3)$$

From equation(2),

$$I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2+it)|}{1+4t^2} dt$$

$$I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2+it)| - \log |\zeta(1/2)| + \log |\zeta(1/2)|}{1+4t^2} dt$$

$$I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2+it)}{\zeta(1/2)} \right| + \log |\zeta(1/2)|}{1+4t^2} dt$$

$$I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2+it)}{\zeta(1/2)} \right|}{1+4t^2} dt + 2 \log |\zeta(1/2)| \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{1+4t^2} dt$$

$$I = 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2+it)}{\zeta(1/2)} \right|}{1+4t^2} dt + \log |\zeta(1/2)| \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$1 + 4t^2 > t^2$$

$$\frac{1}{1+4t^2} < \frac{1}{t^2}$$

$$I < 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2+it)}{\zeta(1/2)} \right|}{t^2} dt + \log |\zeta(1/2)| \frac{\pi}{2}$$

$$I < 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2+it)}{\zeta(1/2)} \right|}{t^2} dt \right) + \log |\zeta(1/2)| \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Putting the value of $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\log \left| \frac{\zeta(1/2+it)}{\zeta(1/2)} \right|}{t^2} dt$ from equation(3)

$$I < 2\pi \left(\frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\log 8\pi}{4} - 2 \right) + \log |\zeta(1/2)| \frac{\pi}{2}$$

Putting $I = 0$ from equation(2),

$$2\pi \left(\frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\log 8\pi}{4} - 2 \right) + \log |\zeta(1/2)| \frac{\pi}{2} > 0.$$

$$2 \left(\frac{\pi}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\log 8\pi}{4} - 2 \right) + \log |\zeta(1/2)| \frac{1}{2} > 0. \quad (4)$$

Euler's constant (see [6]) $\gamma \approx 0.5772156649$

From, (see [7, Equation (91)]),

$$\zeta(1/2) \approx -1.46035450880$$

Putting these values of γ and $\zeta(1/2)$ in equation (4),

$$2(0.3926990817+0.14430391622+0.8060425688-2)+0.18933961025 > 0$$

$$2(-0.6569544332) + 0.18933961025 > 0$$

$$- 1.3139088664 + 0.18933961025 > 0$$

$$- 1.12456925615 > 0$$

which is a contradiction.

So, our assumption that Riemann Hypothesis is true is wrong.

Thus, we have disproved the Riemann Hypothesis.

3 References

1. Pei-Chu Hu , Chung-Chun Yang - Value Distribution Theory Related to Number Theory , Birkhauser(2006)

2. Kevin Broughan - Equivalents of the Riemann Hypothesis 1: Arithmetic Equivalents Cambridge University Press (2017).

3. Kevin Broughan - Equivalents of the Riemann Hypothesis 2: Analytic Equivalents Cambridge University Press (2017) .

4. A Monotonicity of Riemann's Xi function and a reformulation of the Riemann Hypothesis, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica - May 2010.

5. H.M Edwards - Riemann's Zeta function- Academic Press (1974).

6. <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/Euler-MascheroniConstant.html>

7. <https://mathworld.wolfram.com/RiemannZetaFunction.html>

8. E. C. Titchmarsh, D. R. Heath-Brown - The theory of the Riemann Zeta function [2nd ed] Clarendon Press; Oxford University Press (1986).