On the Riemann hypothesis

Daniel Thomas Hayes

May 5, 2021

A proposed proof of the Riemann hypothesis.

1. Introduction

The Riemann zeta function is

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s} \tag{1}$$

for $\sigma = \mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$. For other values of s it is defined uniquely by analytic continuation, see [1]. The function $\zeta(s)$ has trivial zeros at $s = -2l$ for $l \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$. It is known that the nontrivial zeros $s = \sigma + it$ of $\zeta(s)$ satisfy the following properties.

(I) If $s = \sigma + it$ is a nontrivial zero of $\zeta(s)$ then $s = \sigma - it$ is a nontrivial zero of $\zeta(s)$.

(II) If $s = \sigma + it$ is a nontrivial zero of $\zeta(s)$ then $\sigma \in (0, 1)$.

(III) If $s = \sigma + it$ is a nontrivial zero of $\zeta(s)$ then $s = 1 - \sigma + it$ is a nontrivial zero of $\zeta(s)$.

2. Proof of the Riemann hypothesis

Theorem

All nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ have real part equal to $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof

In light of [2] consider

$$\psi(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} - \log_e(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log_e(1 - x^{-2}) \tag{2}$$

for $x \in (n + 1, n + 2)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $\psi(x)$ is a weighted prime counting function

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{p^m \leqslant x} \log_e p \tag{3}$$

where p is prime and the sum is over all prime powers. The sum in the second term on the right of (2) is over all ρ such that $s = \rho$ is a nontrivial zero of $\zeta(s)$. The exact function $\psi(x)$ is constant on the domain between any two consecutive integers. The approximation of $\psi(x)$ with finitely many ρ values displays a Gibbs phenomenon. Differentiating (2) with respect to x yields

$$0 = 1 - \sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1} - \frac{1}{x^3 - x}. \tag{4}$$

Rearranging (4) gives

$$\sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1}\left(\frac{x^3 - x}{x^3 - x - 1}\right) = 1. \tag{5}$$

Differentiating (5) with respect to x yields

$$\sum_{\rho} x^{\rho-1}[(\rho - 1)(x^5 - 2x^3 - x^2 + x + 1) - (3x^2 - 1)] = 0. \tag{6}$$

Now

$$\sum_{\rho} (\rho - 1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma} (\beta + i\gamma - 1)x^{\beta+i\gamma-1}. \tag{7}$$

On using Euler's identity

$$e^{i\theta} = \cos(\theta) + i\sin(\theta) \tag{8}$$

1

equation (7) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho}(\rho - 1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma}(\beta + i\gamma - 1)x^{\beta-1}[\cos(\gamma \log_e x) + i\sin(\gamma \log_e x)] \tag{9}$$

which expands to

$$\sum_{\rho}(\rho-1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}[\cos(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta-1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma] + i\sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}[\sin(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta-1) + \cos(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma]. \tag{10}$$

The second term on the right of (10) disappears due to (I). Then (10) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho}(\rho - 1)x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}[\cos(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma]. \tag{11}$$

Also

$$\sum_{\rho}x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta+i\gamma-1}. \tag{12}$$

On using Euler's identity equation (12) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho}x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}\cos(\gamma \log_e x) + i\sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}\sin(\gamma \log_e x). \tag{13}$$

The second term on the right of (13) disappears due to (I). Then (13) becomes

$$\sum_{\rho}x^{\rho-1} = \sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}\cos(\gamma \log_e x). \tag{14}$$

Equation (6) is then

$$\sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}[\cos(\gamma \log_e x)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e x)\gamma](x^5 - 2x^3 - x^2 + x + 1) - \sum_{\beta+i\gamma}x^{\beta-1}\cos(\gamma \log_e x)(3x^2 - 1) = 0. \tag{15}$$

Let $x = y + c$ where $0 \leqslant y \ll 1$ and c is a constant such that $x \in (n + 1, n + 2)$. Then (15) implies

$$\sum_{\beta+i\gamma}(y + c)^{\beta-1}\{[\cos(\gamma \log_e(y + c))(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e(y + c))\gamma][(y + c)^5 - 2(y + c)^3 - (y + c)^2 + (y + c) + 1]$$

$$- \cos(\gamma \log_e(y + c))[3(y + c)^2 - 1]\} = 0. \tag{16}$$

On using a Taylor expansion (16) becomes

$$\sum_{\beta+i\gamma}(y + c)^{\beta-1}\{[\cos(\gamma \log_e c)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e c)\gamma](c^5 - 2c^3 - c^2 + c + 1) - \cos(\gamma \log_e c)(3c^2 - 1)$$

$$+ \{[-\sin(\gamma \log_e c)(\beta - 1)\frac{\gamma}{c} - \cos(\gamma \log_e c)\frac{\gamma^2}{c}](c^5 - 2c^3 - c^2 + c + 1)$$

$$+ [\cos(\gamma \log_e c)(\beta - 1) - \sin(\gamma \log_e c)\gamma](5c^4 - 6c^2 - 2c + 1)$$

$$+ \sin(\gamma \log_e c)\frac{\gamma}{c}(3c^2 - 1) - 6\cos(\gamma \log_e c)c\}y + O(y^2)\} = 0. \tag{17}$$

Now (17) must be true independent of y. We then take coefficients of like powers of $(y + c)$ in (17), for $\beta \in (0, 1)$ in accordance with (II), and set them to zero. Equation (17) has the form

$$\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{R}}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}(\beta)}(y + c)^{\beta-1}\{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}[f_l(\gamma, c)(\beta - 1) + g_l(\gamma, c)](y + c)^l\} = 0. \tag{18}$$

So for example, taking the the $O((y + c)^{\beta-1})$ coefficient in (18) gives

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}(\beta)}[f_0(\gamma, c)(\beta - 1) + g_0(\gamma, c)] = 0 \tag{19}$$

which implies

$$\beta = -\frac{\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}(\beta)}g_0(\gamma, c)}{\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}(\beta)}f_0(\gamma, c)} + 1 = -\frac{\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}(1-\beta)}g_0(\gamma, c)}{\sum_{\gamma\in\mathbb{R}(1-\beta)}f_0(\gamma, c)} + 1 = 1 - \beta \tag{20}$$

on using (III). Therefore without loss of generality $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$. $\square$

References

[1] B. Riemann, Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grösse, *Monat. der Königl. Preuss. Akad. der Wissen. zu Berlin aus der Jahre 1859* (1860), 671–680; also, *Gesammelte math. Werke und wissensch. Nachlass*, 2. Aufl. 1892, 145–155.

[2] J. Vaaler, The Riemann Hypothesis Millennium Prize Problem, Lecture Video, *CLAY* (2001).