

A hypothesis on a falsely perceived superiority of men versus women along with the human history

*

DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.16098.07366](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.16098.07366) [URL-RG]

Article version: 1.0 (22.04.2020) (no matter this current paper version, its latest variant can be always downloaded from this [URL](#); version 1.0 released on 22.04.2020)

*

Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi^{1,2}
(independent researcher)

*

For motivation of this Wikipedia-based paper format, see [URL](#);

*

Abstract (with some highlighted abbreviations further used in this paper)

This paper proposes a hypothesis on a falsely perceived superiority of men versus women along with the history of humanity: in short, men were falsely perceived as “superior to women” along the human history NOT ONLY BECAUSE of their generally stronger bodies (and false-hypothetically superior intelligence and/or creativity), but ALSO POSSIBLY BECAUSE the [semen \(/seminal fluid\)](#) of men (and all known males from various animal species) is visible to the human eye and that may have created the FALSE impression that ONLY men possess “creative seed” and women are just “soil”-like passive containers which only assure the favorable conditions for that creative seed to generate a fetus/child; this hypothesis may also explain why various Gods (from various religions) who possess the power of creation are represented as males, not females.

This paper continues the work of other past articles/preprints of the same author in [philosophy](#) [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6].

I. The main section of this paper

Observation (Obs). The [semen \(/seminal fluid\)](#) of men (and all known males from various animal species) is *visible to the human eye* ([macroscopic](#), although the actual [sperm cells \(SCs\)](#) of males are microscopic and only visible under microscope) AND THAT may have sparked along with the history, the false conscious and/or unconscious impression that only men/males possess “creative seed”. “Ironically” (in a way), the female [ovum \(/egg cell\)](#) (**FO**) is much more complex than a SC and much larger than the average size of SCs: FO is even visible to the naked eye ([URL](#)) although it is unobserved in daily experience because it is already dead and decomposed when physiologically eliminated by menstrual secretions in the [menstrual period](#) of females.

Hypothesis (Hyp). Based on Obs, we launch the main hypothesis of this paper stating that: **men/males were falsely perceived as “superior to women/females” along with the human history NOT ONLY BECAUSE of their generally stronger bodies (and false-hypothetically superior intelligence and/or creativity), but ALSO POSSIBLY BECAUSE the [semen \(/seminal fluid\)](#) of men (and of many known males from various**

animal species) is visible to the human eye and that may have created the FALSE impression that ONLY men possess “creative seed” and women are just “soil”-like passive containers (“fertile soils”) which only assure the favorable conditions for that creative seed to generate a fetus/child. Indeed, the macroscopic appearances/ facts (related to [sexual act](#) and [procreation](#)/ reproduction) may easily induce the false impression (especially to low-educated/ ignorant human persons) that human/ animal males procreate actively and females are only passive procreators in general.

**

Possible implications and explanations based on the potential/possible validity of Hyp. See next.

Explanation (1). In many science/medicine-ignorant religions, [women](#) are wrongly considered “teluric”/passive entities AND/OR inferior persons which “*should be obedient to [men](#) because they are inferior to men*”: the extensive scientific analysis of [sex differences in humans](#) [[URL2](#), [URL3](#)] has shattered many myths regarding this generally false/apparent-only male superiority. **Hyp may thus bring an additional possible explanation on why some religions fell in this false “male superiority trap”.**

*

Explanation (2). Hyp may also contribute in explaining why various [demiurge](#)-like Gods (from various religions) who possess power of creation are represented as males, not females.

II. References

(partially integrated as Wikipedia URLs in the main text of this paper)

[1] Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi (April 16th, 2020). (LACT - version 1.0 - 16.04.2020 - 2.5 A4 pages without references) **LIFE AS A "CODE" Thesis (LACT), starting from old philosophical questions: life forms (LFs) as primarily "software" and only secondarily "hardware", with all viruses (including SARS-CoV-2) being "living" software with "non-living" hardware.** Research Gate preprint with DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.15968.64007](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.15968.64007). [URL1a](#) (Research Gate main source), [URL1b](#) (Academia secondary source), [URL1c](#) (Vixra secondary source), [URL1d](#) (GSJ source), [URL1e](#) (dragoi.com latest variant source).

[2] Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi (October 14th, 2019). (QPH - ver. 1.1 - 14.10.2019 - 4 A4 pages) A Quantitative Philosophy of Happiness (QPH) based on some proposed happiness scores, a quantitative version of Pascal's wager and a model of collective approach of Divinity in the Christian definition. Research Gate preprint with DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.26517.27367](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26517.27367). [URL1a](#) (Research Gate main source), [URL1b](#) (Academia secondary source), [URL1c](#) (Vixra secondary source), [URL1d](#) (GSJ secondary source), [URL1e](#) (dragoi.com latest variant source).

[3] Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi (July 15th, 2019). (AAP - version 1.0 - 4 pages - 15.07.2019) **An anti-abortion philosophy (AAP).** Wiki-like Research Gate preprint. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.18129.89440](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.18129.89440). [URL1a](#) (Research Gate source), [URL1b](#) (Academia source), [URL1c](#) (Vixra source), [URL1d](#) (GSJournal source).

[4] Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi (July 16th, 2019). (Movie script - version 16.07.2019 - 8 pages). Puterea unei clipe din viața unui sfânt (Title in English: The power of an instant from a Saint's life). Scenariu literar de film mediu-metraj* (literary script [in Romanian] for an average duration movie). DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.35195.46887](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.35195.46887). [URL1a](#) (Research Gate source), [URL1b](#) (Academia source)

[5] Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi (April 13th, 2019). (LFs and gravity – working paper – variant 1.0 – 7 pages – 13.04.2019) **Life forms, “hybrid” causality, gravity and hierarchical parallel universes.** Research Gate preprint. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.19089.28009](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.19089.28009). URLs: [URL1a](#) (Research Gate source), [URL1b](#) (Academia source), [URL1c](#) (Vixra source), [URL1d](#) (GSJournal source).

[6] Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi (May 19th, 2018). (DCTM – version 1.0 – 19.05.2018 – 5 pages) A simple digital consciousness toy model (DCTM) applicable to all multicellular life forms and based on a multi-level competitive hierarchical organization of biological cells in general (including neurons). Research Gate preprint. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.26902.45125](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.26902.45125). [URL](#) (Research Gate source).

[1] Email: dr.dragoi@yahoo.com

[2] Main pages: dragoi.com (CV: cvrg.dragoi.com); rg.dragoi.com; academia.dragoi.com; vixra.dragoi.com; gsj.dragoi.com