The Feit-Thompson conjecture and cyclotomic polynomials

To the memory of professors Kazuo Kishimoto and Yôichi Miyashita

Kaoru Motose

Abstract : We can see that Feit-Thompson conjecture is true using factorizations of cyclotomic polynomials on the finite prime fields.

Key Words : cyclotomic polynomials, finite fields, splitting fields.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* : Primary 11R18,11A15; Secondary 20E32, 20D10.

Feit and Thompson conjectured in [2, p.970, last paragraph]

$$s := \frac{q^p-1}{q-1} \text{ never divides } t := \frac{p^q-1}{p-1} \text{ for distinct primes } p \text{ and } q.$$

The utility and the cause of this conjecture are also stated in [7], [1, p.1] and [3, B25].

Stephens found a useful congruence (see **R1**). Using this and a computer, he also found the unique example with $1 < \gcd(s,t) < s$ (see **R2**). This example is a counter example to his view $(s,t) = 1$ but not to Feit Thompson conjecture.

Using the next reviews of classical results, we show this conjecture is true.

Reviews. Let $|a|_m$ be the order of $a \bmod m$ for a and m with $\gcd(a,m) = 1$.

R1 ([7], [5, p.16, Lemma.(3)]). $\underline{r \equiv 1 \bmod 2pq}$ for any prime $r|\gcd(t,s)$ and $p < q$. If $p = 2$ then $2^q - 1 \equiv 0 \equiv q+1 \bmod r$, so $q|(r-1)$ by Fermat little theorem and $r|(q+1)$. This yields a contrary $r = q+1$. Hence $2 < p < q$. If $p \equiv 1 \bmod r$ then $0 \equiv t = p^{q-1} + \cdots + p + 1 \equiv q \bmod r$ and $r = q$. We have a contradiction $0 \equiv s \equiv 1 \bmod r$. Thus $p \not\equiv 1 \bmod r$ and $|p|_r = q$ by $p^q \equiv 1 \bmod r$. Similarly $|q|_r = p$. Hence we have $r \equiv 1 \bmod 2pq$ by Fermat little theorem.

R2 ([7], [6, p.82]). Using the program MPQSX3 attached to the package of language UBASIC designed by professor Yuji Kida, we have the prime factorization $s = r_1r_2r_3$ for $p = 17, q = 3313$ where r_1, r_2 and r_3 are primes, $r_1 \mid t$ and $r_k - 1$ $(k = 1,2,3)$ are as the next table. We can see $\underline{\gcd(s,t) = r_1}$ by $q = 3313 \nmid (r_2-1)(r_3-1)$ in this table.

$$r_1 - 1 = 2 \times 17 \times 3313, \ r_2 - 1 = 2 \times 2 \times 5 \times 17 \times 35081 \times 2007623,$$
$$r_3 - 1 = 2 \times 17 \times 1609 \times 763897 \times 1869248598543746584721506723.$$

R3 ([5, p.16, Remark]). Since $\frac{x}{\log x}$ $(x \geq 3)$ is strictly increasing, for $3 \leq a < b$,

$$\frac{a}{\log a} < \frac{b}{\log b}, \ b^a < a^b \text{ and } \frac{b^a-1}{b-1} < \frac{a^b-1}{b-1} < \frac{a^b-1}{a-1}. \text{ It shows } \underline{s = t \text{ iff } p = q.}$$

R4 ([4, p.64, 2.45.Theorem]). We use freely this well known results in this paper.

We define cyclotomic polynomials over $\mathbb{Q}$ by $\Phi_m(x) := \prod_k (x - \zeta_m^k)$ where $\zeta_m = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{m}}$ and k runs over $E_m := \{k \mid 1 \leq k < m \text{ with } \gcd(k,m) = 1\}$. $\Phi_m(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ since it is minimal invariant by automorphism group $\{\sigma_k : \zeta_m \to \zeta_m^k \mid k \in E_m\}$.

We assume $\ell \nmid m$ for prime ℓ. All roots of $x^m - 1$ on $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ are distinct by its derivation mx^{m-1} and forms the cyclic group $\langle \zeta_m \rangle$ of order m. Thus $x^m - 1 = \prod_{d|m} \Phi_d(x)$ on $\mathbb{Q}$ or on $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ by classifying roots with orders. $\Phi_m(x)$ is $\underline{\text{monic and in } \mathbb{Z}[x]}$ by induction on m.

R5 ([4, p.65, 2.47.Theorem.(ii)]). We assume $\ell \nmid m$ for prime ℓ. $\Phi_m(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ factorizes into irreducible polynomials $u_{k_i}(x) = \prod_{h=0}^{|\ell|_m-1}(x - \zeta_m^{k_i\ell^h})$ of the same degree $|\ell|_m$ where $k_i \bmod m$ is representatives of cosets $\{k_iH \mid i = 1, \ldots, \frac{\varphi(m)}{|\ell|_m}\}$ of subgroup $H = \langle \ell \bmod m\rangle$ in the group $E_m \bmod m$ with order $\varphi(m) := \deg \Phi_m(x)$. $u_{k_i}(x)$ is irreducible since $u_{k_i}(x)$ are minimal invariant by Frobenius automorphism $\sigma_\ell : \zeta_m^{k_i} \to \zeta_m^{k_i\ell}$.

Theorem. s never divides t for distinct primes p and q.

PROOF. If $p = 2$, then $s = q + 1$ is even and $t = 2^q - 1$ is odd, so $s \nmid t$. We shall prove this theorem by reduction to absurdity. Hence we assume $s \mid t$ for $2 < p < q$, namely, for odd s, t and $s < t$ by **R3**. We can see $|p|_t = q = |p|_s$ from $p^q \equiv 1 \bmod t$ and mod$s$ with $s \mid t$ and $p < s$ by **R1.** Both $\Phi_t(x)$ and $\Phi_s(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_p$ have the minimal splitting field $\mathbb{F}_p(\zeta_t) \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^q} \cong \mathbb{F}_p(\zeta_s)$ from $|p|_t = q = |p|_s$ and **R5**. The isomorphism $\zeta_t \to \zeta_s$ over $\mathbb{F}_p$ is contrary to $s < t$. □.

Notice. First we show $|q|_t = p$. $\Phi_t(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ factorizes into $\varphi(t)/|q|_t$ irreducible factors by **R5**, where $\varphi(t) = \deg \Phi_t(x)$. Noting $|q|_s = p$ by $q^p \equiv 1 \bmod s$ and $q < s$ from **R1**, We have $|q|_s = p$ divides $|q|_t$ by $q^{|q|_t} \equiv 1 \bmod s$ and the inequality $\varphi(t)/|q|_t \geq \varphi(t)/|q|_s = \varphi(t)/p$ because $\Phi_s(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ already factorizes into $\varphi(s)/p$ irreducible factors and hence $\Phi_t(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_\ell$ factorizes at least into $\varphi(t)/|q|_s = \frac{\varphi(t)}{\varphi(s)} \cdot \frac{\varphi(s)}{p}$ irreducible factors.Thus $|q|_t = p$.

Of course, as the proof in theorem, by $|q|_t = p$ and $|q|_s = p$, we obtain the isomorphism $\zeta_s \to \zeta_t$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$ is contrary to $s < t$.

However the another method exists as follows: If a prime $\ell \mid \gcd(t, (q - 1))$, then $q \equiv 1 \bmod \ell$, that is, we have $\Phi_\ell(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ has the minimal splitting field $\mathbb{F}_q$ from $|q|_\ell = 1$. The minimal splitting fields of $\Phi_t(x)$ on $\mathbb{F}_q$ is also $\mathbb{F}_q$, contrary to $|q|_t = p$. Thus $\gcd(t, (q - 1)) = 1$ and $t \mid s(q - 1)$, namely, $|q|_t = p$ implies $s = t$, contrary to $s < t$. □

References

[1] T. M. Apostol, The resultant of the cyclotomic polynomials $F_m(ax)$ and $F_n(bx)$, Math. Comp., **129**(1975), 1-6. See p.1.

[2] W. Feit and J.G. Thompson, A solvability criterion for finite groups and some consequences, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA. **48** (1962), 968-970. See p.970, last paragraph.

[3] R. K. Guy, *Unsolved problems in number theory*, 1st ed. 1981, 2nd ed. 1994, 3rd ed. 2004, Springer. See B25.

[4] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite fields*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its applications, 20, 1983, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, USA. See p.64, 2.45.Theorem (**R4**) and p.65, 2.47.Theorem. (ii) (**R5**).

[5] K. Motose, Notes to the Feit-Thompson conjecture, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. **85**(2009), no. 2, 16-17. See p.16, Remark (**R3**). and Lemma.(3) (**R1**).

[6] K. Motose,*Monologue of triangles* (Sankkakei no hitorigoto in Japanese), Hirosaki University Press, 2017. See p.82 (**R2**).

[7] N. M. Stephens, On the Feit-Thompson conjecture, Math. Comp., **25** (1971), 625 (**R1**, **R2**).

EMERITUS PROFESSOR, HIROSAKI UNIVERSITY

Home post address: TORIAGE 5-13-5, HIROSAKI, 036-8171, JAPAN

E-mail address: motose@hirosaki-u.ac.jp