# A RESOLUTION OF THE BROCARD-RAMANUJAN PROBLEM

# JOHNNY E. MAGEE

Abstract. We identify equivalent restatements of the Brocard-Ramanujan diophantine equation,  $(n! + 1) = m^2$ ; and employing the properties and implications of these equivalencies, prove that for all  $n > 7$ , there are no values of n for which  $(n! + 1)$  can be a perfect square.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In a question first posed in 1876, in the journal Nouvelle Correspondance Mathematique, Henri Brocard asked, "For which values of the integer x is the expression,  $[(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot \ldots \cdot x) + 1]$ , a perfect square?"[1]. This product of the sequence of integers from 1 to x is known as "the factorial of  $x$ " or "x factorial", denoted "x!" (hereafter we shall dispense with x and substitute n its place). Brocard had previously observed that for certain values of " $n$ ",  $n!$  plus 1 was a perfect square.

 $n = 4$ :  $(n! + 1) = [(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4) + 1] = (24 + 1) = 25 = 5^2$  $n = 5$ :  $(n! + 1) = [(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5) + 1] = (120 + 1) = 121 = 11^2$  $n = 7:$   $(n! + 1) = [(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7) + 1] = (5040 + 1) = 5041 = 71^2.$ 

Srinivasa Ramanujan, unaware of Brocard's earlier journal question, independently made this same observation in 1913: "The number  $(1 + n!)$  is a perfect square for the values,  $\ddot{A}$ , 5, 7, of n. Find other solutions" [2, 3]. Both mathematicians sought the answer to the additional question: Are 4, 5, and 7 the only values of  $n$  for which  $(n! + 1)$  is a perfect square, and if so, why these and no others?

**Theorem 1.1.** For all positive integers m and n, except  $n = 4$ ,  $n = 5$ , and  $n = 7$ , there are no other values of n for which  $(n! + 1) = m^2$ .

*Proof.* Consider, that for every positive integer  $n, n! = [(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \ldots \cdot (n-1) \cdot n)]$  is also the product of  $[n \cdot (n-1)!]$ ; and for all  $n \geq 2$ , the product of  $[(n-2)! \cdot ((n-1) \cdot n)]$ .

TADLE 1. ARCHIAUVE CARRIQUES OF 16. IOI DEIECTEU VAIUES OF 16						
$\boxed{n\, \,n!} = [n\cdot(n-1)!]$	$\mid n! = [(n-2)! \cdot ((n-1) \cdot n)] \mid$					
$1 \parallel 1! = (1 \cdot 0!) = (1 \cdot 1) = 1$						
$ 2   2  = (2 \cdot 1!) = (2 \cdot 1) = 2$	$[0! \cdot (1 \cdot 2)] = (1 \cdot 2) = 2$					
$3 \mid 3! = (3 \cdot 2!) = [3 \cdot (1 \cdot 2)] = (3 \cdot 2)$	$[1! \cdot (2 \cdot 3)] = (1 \cdot 6) = 6$					
$4    4! = (4 \cdot 3!) = [4 \cdot (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3)] = (4 \cdot 6)$	$[2! \cdot (3 \cdot 4)] = (2 \cdot 12) = 24$					
$5 \parallel 5! = (5 \cdot 4!) = [5 \cdot (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4)] = (5 \cdot 24)$	$\lfloor 3! \cdot (4 \cdot 5) \rfloor = (6 \cdot 20) = 120$					
6 $\  6! = (6 \cdot 5!) = [6 \cdot (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5)] = (6 \cdot 120)$	$\lfloor 4! \cdot (5 \cdot 6) \rfloor = (24 \cdot 30) = 720$					
$ 7   7  = (7 \cdot 6!) = [7 \cdot (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6)] = (7 \cdot 720)  5! \cdot (6 \cdot 7)  = (120 \cdot 42) = 5040$						

TABLE 1. Alternative Calculations of n! for Selected Values of n

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11D75, Secondary 11B65.

Key words and phrases. Brocard, Ramanujan, n!, Factorials, Difference of Squares.

My thanks to God. Any praise belongs to him. With special acknowledgement and thanks to Ashalla and Marcus, without whom this effort would not have been possible.

And for all  $n > 1$ , n! is a product of 2, and where  $(n! + 1) = m^2$ , m can only be an odd integer.

Then where  $(n! + 1) = m^2$ ,  $n! = (m^2 - 1) = [(m - 1)(m + 1)]$ , and  $(m - 1)$  and  $(m + 1)$  are both even. Let the notation, " $2^{\geq 2^n}$ , be read as "a power of 2 greater than or equal to  $2^{2}$ .

With m an odd integer then m can be expressed in the form  $m = (2x + 1)$  where x is an odd or even positive integer. If x is even,  $(m-1) = (2x+1)-1 = 2x$  is a product of  $2^{\geq 2}$ ; and  $(m+1) = ((2x+1)+1) = (2x+2) = 2(x+1)$  is a product of only 2<sup>1</sup>. If x is odd,  $(m-1) = ((2x+1)-1) = 2x$  is the product of a power of 2 of only 2<sup>1</sup>; and  $(m + 1) = ((2x + 1) + 1) = (2x + 2) = 2(x + 1)$ , a product of  $2^{\geq 2}$ .

And one of  $(m-1)$  and  $(m+1)$  is always the product of a power of 2 of only  $2<sup>1</sup>$ and the other a product of  $2^{\geq 2}$ .

Then where  $n! = (m^2 - 1)$ , with  $[(m+1) - (m-1)] = 2$ ,  $(m^2 - 1)$  is the product of consecutive even integers; with each of the consecutive even integers further expressible as a product of  $2^1$ — and in order for  $n! = (m^2 - 1)$  and  $(m - 1)$  and  $(m + 1)$  to have a difference of 2, the co-factors of our  $2<sup>1</sup>$  multipliers can only be consecutive integers of opposite parity having a difference of 1:

 $4! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4) = 24 = (4 \cdot 6) = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (2 \cdot 3)]$  $5! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5) = 120 = (10 \cdot 12) = [(2 \cdot 5) \cdot (2 \cdot 6)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (5 \cdot 6)]$  $7! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7) = 5040 = (70 \cdot 72) = [(2 \cdot 35) \cdot (2 \cdot 36)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (35 \cdot 36)].$ 

And as

1! = 1,  
2! = 
$$
(1 \cdot 2) = 2
$$
,  
3! =  $(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3) = (2 \cdot 3) = 6$ ,

cannot be expressed in the form,  $[(2\cdot2)\cdot(odd\cdot even)]$  or  $[(2\cdot2)\cdot(even\cdot odd)]$ , where odd and even are sequential integers, then for all  $n < 4$ , n! cannot equal  $(m^2 - 1)$ .

With n! of all  $n \geq 4$  expressible as a product of  $(n-2)! \cdot ((n-1) \cdot n)$ , where the factors  $(n-1)$  and n are consecutive positive integers; and for all  $(n-2) > 3$ ,  $(n-2)!$ equal to the factorial of a lesser n value, also expressible as  $[(2\cdot2)\cdot (odd\cdot even)]$  or  $[(2\cdot2)\cdot(even\text{ odd})]$ — where  $(\text{odd}\text{ even})$  or  $(even\text{ odd})$  may or may not be consecutive integers; then if we further designate the  $(n-2)!$  co-factors of  $(2\cdot 2)$  as a and b, with  $a < b$ , such that  $(n-2)! = [(2\cdot 2) \cdot (a \cdot b)]$ ; and let  $c = (n-1)$  and  $d = n$ , then  $n! = [(2\cdot 2) \cdot (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)].$ 

Reassociating the factors,  $(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)$ , into the product-pairs,  $(a \cdot c)$  and  $(b \cdot d)$ , or  $(a \cdot d)$  and  $(b \cdot c)$ , then  $n! = (m^2 - 1)$  if and only if one of our product-pairs gives us consecutive integers of opposite parity with a difference of 1.

With  $a < b$  and  $c < d$ , then the product-pair of greatest difference in magnitude is that of  $(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)$  — the difference between the product of the two lesser factors and the product of the two greatest factors. Followed by that of the greater integer in  $(a \cdot b)$  times the greater integer in  $(c \cdot d)$ , and the lesser integer in  $(a \cdot b)$  times the lesser integer in  $(c \cdot d)$ — i.e.,  $(b \cdot d)(a \cdot c)$ . With the product-pair of least difference being that of the lesser integer in  $(a \cdot b)$  times the greater integer in  $(c \cdot d)$ ; and the greater integer in  $(a \cdot b)$  times the lesser integer in  $(c \cdot d)$ — i.e.,  $(a \cdot d) \cdot (b \cdot c)$ .

And given that  $n! = (m^2 - 1)$  only if the products of the reassociated  $(a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)$ co-factors of  $(2\cdot2)$  differ by one, it is the product-pair of least difference,  $(a\cdot d)\cdot (b\cdot c)$ , that will reveal if we have consecutive integers and  $n! = [(2\cdot 2)\cdot (a\cdot d)\cdot (b\cdot c)] = (m^2-1).$ 

Then where  $n! = (m^2-1) = [(m-1)\cdot(m+1)] = (2ad\cdot 2bc)$ ; and  $[(m+1)-(m-1)] = 2$ , with  $(2bc-2ad) = [2 \cdot (bc-ad)]$ ; then  $[2 \cdot (bc-ad)]$  can equal 2 only if  $(bc-ad) = 1$ . That is, where a and b, and c and d, are consecutive integers; with  $b = (a + 1)$  and  $d = (c + 1)$ , then  $ad = [a \cdot (c + 1)] = (ac + a)$ , and  $bc = [(a + 1) \cdot c] = (ac + c)$ , and

$$
(bc - ad) = [(ac + c) - (ac + a)] = (c - a);
$$

and for all  $(n-2) \ge 4$ ,  $(c-a) = 1$  only if  $c = (a+1) = b$ ,  $bc = c^2$ , and  $a = (d - 2) = (c - 1)$ , giving us

$$
(bc - ad) = (c2 - ad) = [c2 - (c - 1)(c + 1)] = [c2 - (c2 - 1)].
$$

For  $n = 4$  and  $n = 5$  where  $(n - 2) < 4$ , with, respectively,  $((n - 1) \cdot n) = (3 \cdot 4)$ and  $((n-1)\cdot n) = (4\cdot 5)$ , we have that the integer composition of each  $(n-2)!$  is exactly that required to complete the  $n!$  factor sequence:

4! = [(n − 2)! · (3·4)] = [(1·2)·(3·4)] = (1·4)·(2·3) = (2·2)·(2·3); 5! = [(n − 2)! · (4·5)] = [(2·3)·(4·5)] = [(2·5)·(3·4)] = [(2·5)·(2·6)] = (2·2)·(5·6).

And as noted by Brocard and Ramanujan,  $(4! + 1)$  and  $(5! + 1)$  are both perfect squares.

Our next *n* value is that of  $n = 6$ , with  $(n - 2)! = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (a \cdot b)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (2 \cdot 3)]$ ; and  $6! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6) = [4! \cdot (5 \cdot 6)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (a \cdot b) \cdot (c \cdot d)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (2 \cdot 3) \cdot (5 \cdot 6)].$ 

And with  $a \neq (d - 2)$  and  $b \neq c$ ; then  $ad = (2.6)$  and  $bc = (3.5)$  are not consecutive integers—  $(bc - ad) = (15 - 12) = 3$ ; and 6! cannot equal  $(m<sup>2</sup> − 1)$ .

Which brings us to  $n = 7$ , where  $(n - 2)! = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (a \cdot b)] = (2 \cdot 2) \cdot (5 \cdot 6)$ :

$$
7! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7) = [5! \cdot (6 \cdot 7)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (5 \cdot 6) \cdot (6 \cdot 7)]
$$

$$
= [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (5 \cdot 7) \cdot (6 \cdot 6)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (35 \cdot 36)].
$$

And  $(7! + 1)$  is a perfect square.

But with  $6! = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (12 \cdot 15)]$ , and  $7! = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (35 \cdot 36)]$ ; and with a and b of  $(n-2)!$ continuously increasing with each increase in  $n$  (Note: For  $a$  and  $b$  of the least difference in the calculations of n! below, a and b of  $(n-2)!$  are ordered,  $a < b$ :

 $8! = [6! \cdot (7 \cdot 8)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (12 \cdot 15) \cdot (7 \cdot 8)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (12 \cdot 8) \cdot (15 \cdot 7)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (96 \cdot 105)];$ 9! =  $[7! \cdot (8 \cdot 9)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (35 \cdot 36) \cdot (8 \cdot 9)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (35 \cdot 9) \cdot (36 \cdot 8)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (315 \cdot 288)]$ ;  $10! = [8! \cdot (9 \cdot 10)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (96 \cdot 105) \cdot (9 \cdot 10)] = \ldots = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (960 \cdot 945)];$  $11! = [9! \cdot (10 \cdot 11)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (288 \cdot 315) \cdot (10 \cdot 11)] = \ldots = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (3168 \cdot 3150)]$ ;  $12! = [10! \cdot (11 \cdot 12)] = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (945 \cdot 960) \cdot (11 \cdot 12)] = \ldots = [(2 \cdot 2) \cdot (11340 \cdot 10560)];$ . . . ,

then the disparity between a and b of  $(n-2)!$ , and  $c = (n-1)$  and  $d = n$ , continuously increases; and for all  $n > 7$ , a can never equal  $(n-2)$  and b can never equal c; and n! can never again equal  $(m^2 - 1)$ .

—————

What is intriguing is that  $n! = (m^2 - 1) = [(m-1) \cdot (m+1)]$  also implies that every product of four sequential positive integers, plus 1, is a perfect square. That is, if we allow  $a, b, c, d$  to be four consecutive integers, then  $ad$  and  $bc$  are consecutive even integers, and every  $[(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) + 1] = [(m^2 - 1) + 1]$  is a perfect square.

#### 4 JOHNNY E. MAGEE

Without question,  $(4! + 1) = [(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4) + 1]$ ,  $(5! + 1) = [(2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5) + 1]$ , and  $(7! + 1) = [(70 \cdot 72) + 1] = [((7 \cdot 10) \cdot (8 \cdot 9)) + 1] = [(7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10) + 1]$ , all satisfy this perfect-square criterion. Which further implies that  $(n! + 1) = m^2$  only if n! can be expressed as the product of four sequential positive integers.

Then the observations of Brocard and Ramanujan (with all such four consecutive integer  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  products, plus 1, a perfect square) can be alternatively stated as those products of four consecutive integers that can also be expressed as factorials of n. And with n! a product of n, we have that n must be a factor of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$ .

The question then becomes, can the properties of such four consecutive integer products incontrovertibly establish why only 4, 5, 7 and no others?

Decomposing  $(7.8.9.10)$  into its prime componsnts, we have

$$
[7 \cdot (2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2) \cdot (3 \cdot 3) \cdot (2 \cdot 5)] = [(6 \cdot 7) \cdot (2 \cdot 2) \cdot (3) \cdot (2 \cdot 5)] = [(5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7) \cdot (2 \cdot 2) \cdot (3) \cdot (2)]
$$

$$
= [(4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7) \cdot (3 \cdot 2)] = (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7) = 5040
$$

$$
= 7!,
$$

and we see that the factors, "8, 9, 10", are simply the recombining of the prime elements of those factors of 7! less than 7. Then (setting 6! aside for just a moment) what is it that prevents the factorials of  $n > 7$  from being reconstructed from the prime composition of their *n*-based products of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$ ?

Where  $n = 6$  and  $6! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6) = 720$ , the range of values for  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$ , incorporating n, are those of  $(3.4.5.6) = 360$ ;  $(4.5.6.7) = 840$ ;  $(5.6.7.8) = 1680$ , and  $(6.7.8.9) = 3024$ . Clearly none of the  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  products for  $n = 6$  are equal to 6!.

But note that for all  $n > 3$ , of the four possible  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  products incorporating n, the first three  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  products of n are a repetition of the last three  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$ products of  $(n-1)$  (i.e., d of the second  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product of  $(n-1)$  increments to n and remains a factor through the fourth and final  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product). Let the symbol, " $\in$ " be read as "is a member of the set".

- 3!:  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) \in \{ (0.1.2.3), (1.2.3.4), (2.3.4.5), (3.4.5.6) \}.$
- 4!:  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) \in \{(1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4), (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5), (3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6), (4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7)\}.$

5!:  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) \in \{ (2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5), (3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6), (4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7), (5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8) \}.$ 

- 6!:  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) \in \{ (3.4.5.6), (4.5.6.7), (5.6.7.8), (6.7.8.9) \}.$
- 7!:  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) \in \{(4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7), (5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8), (6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9), (7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)\}.$

With  $(7.8.9.10)$  equal to 7!, and  $8! = (8 \cdot 7!) = [8 \cdot (7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)]$ ; and for  $n = 8$ , the products of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  within  $\{(5.6 \cdot 7.8), (6.7 \cdot 8.9), (7.8 \cdot 9.10), (8.9 \cdot 10.11)\}$ , then the greatest product of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  for  $n = 8$  is that of  $(8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdot 11) = [11 \cdot (8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)]$ ; while  $n! = [(8 \cdot 7) \cdot (8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)] = [56 \cdot (8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)]$ ; and for  $n = 8$ , every product of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  is less than n!.

Given that the last three products of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  for  $(n-1) = 8$  are the first three  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  products of  $n = 9$ , then where the final  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product for  $(n-1)$  is less than  $(n-1)!$ , the first three  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  products of n are less than n!; and it is then only the fourth and final  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product of  $n = 9$  that we need to evaluate against 9!— and if that  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product is less than 9!, then we need only consider the fourth and final  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product of  $n = 10$ ; and if that  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product is less than 10!, only the fourth and final  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product of  $n = 11...$  ad infinitum.

If we denote the greatest  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product of n as  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1$  (with  $a = n$ ), and the greatest  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product of  $(n-1)$  as  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2$  (where  $a = (n-1)$ ) —with each element or sub-group of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1$  or  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2$  assigned the same subscript as the  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1$  or  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2$  factor sequence from which it is extracted (recall that the factors  $(a \cdot b \cdot c)_1$  and  $(b \cdot c \cdot d)_2$  are the same)— then the increase from  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2$  to  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1$  is equal to  $[(d_1 - a_2) \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c)_1] = [(d_1 - a_2) \cdot (b \cdot c \cdot d)_2]$ , where  $(d_1 - a_2) = 4$ .

Then in order for any  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1$  to equal n!, the growth from  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2$  to  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1$  must equal  $[n] - (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2$ , such that

 $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1 = [(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2 + ((d_1 - a_2) \cdot (b \cdot c \cdot d)_2)] = n!.$ 

But with  $(n - 1)$  increasing with each increase in n, and

$$
[(d_1 - a_2) \cdot (b \cdot c \cdot d)_2] = [(d_1 - a_2)/(n - 1) \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2],
$$

and  $(d_1 - a_2) = 4$ , a constant; then  $\left(\frac{4}{n-1}\right)$  is an ever decreasing quantity, and instead of  $[(4/(n-1)) \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2]$  increasing in relation to n! (as per the need for  $(d_1 - a_2)$  to equal  $[n! - (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2]$ ...

 $n = 7$ ;  $(n - 1) = 6$ :  $[7! - (6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9)_2]/7! = [(5040 - 3024)/5040] = (2016/5040) = 0.4$  $n = 8$ ;  $(n - 1) = 7$ :  $[8! - (7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)_2]/8! = [(40320 - 5040)/40320] = (35280/40320) = 0.875$  $n = 9$ ;  $(n - 1) = 8$ :  $[9! - (8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdot 11)_2]/9! = [(362880 - 7920)/362880] = (354960/362880) = 0.978$ . . . ,

just the opposite occurs, with  $[(4/(n-1)) \cdot (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2)]$  continuously diminishing in respect to n!. Beginning with  $(n-1) = 6$  and  $n = 7$ :

 $[(4/6) \cdot (6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9)]/7! = [(0.666 \cdot 3024)/5040] = (2016/5040) = 0.4$  $[(4/7) \cdot (7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)]/8! = [(0.5714 \cdot 5040)/40320] = (2880/40320) = 0.07143$  $[(4/8) \cdot (8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdot 11)]/9! = [(0.5 \cdot 7920)/362880] = (3960/362880) = 0.01091$  $[(4/9) \cdot (9 \cdot 10 \cdot 11 \cdot 12)]/10! = [(0.444 \cdot 11880)/3628800] = (5280/3628800) = 0.00146$  $[(4/10) \cdot (10 \cdot 11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13)]/11! = [(0.4 \cdot 17160)/39916800] = (6864/39916800) = 1.71957e-4$  $[(4/11) \cdot (11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13 \cdot 14)]/12! = [(0.3636 \cdot 24024)/479001600] = (8736/479001600) = 1.82379e-5$  $[(4/12) \cdot (12.13.14.15)]/13! = [(0.333 \cdot 32760)/6227020800] = (10920/6227020800) = 1.75365e-6$ . . .

Then the difference between  $[(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_1 - (a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)_2]$  and n!, increases with each increase in n, and for all  $n > 7$ , no product of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  incorporating n can ever again equal n!.

————— Concomitant with the inability of the products of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  to equal n! for all  $n > 7$ , we also note (focusing on only the first-instance disparities) that for  $6! = (1.23456)$ ,

> $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) = (3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6)$  is lacking a factor of 2.  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) = (4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7)$  is lacking a factor of 2.  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) = (5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8)$  is lacking a factor of 3.  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d) = (6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9)$  is lacking a factor of 5.

Of these, it is the prime 2 deficiencies which appear worthy of further exploration.

Given  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$ , comprised of only four consecutive integer factors, we can have within any  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  only two products of the prime 2, with one a product of only 2 <sup>1</sup> and the other the product of a power of 2 never greater in magnitude than the terminating integer of the final  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product,  $d = (a + 3)$ . Examining the factorials and  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  products of the first three values of  $n > 7$ , we have:

With  $8! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8)$  a product of  $2^7$ , and  $(5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8)$ ,  $(6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9)$ ,  $(7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)$ , and (8.9.10.11), all products of  $2^4$ , then the power of 2 in  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  is insufficient to satisfy the power of 2 requirements of 8!, and no product of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  can equal 8!.

For  $9! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9)$ , again a product of  $2^7$  (with the power of 2 in n! only increasing with each subsequent even value of  $n$ , and  $(6.7·8·9)$ ,  $(7·8·9·10)$ , and  $(8.9.10.11)$  all products of  $2<sup>4</sup>$ , and  $(9.10.11.12)$  a product of  $2<sup>3</sup>$ , then the power of 2 in  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  is insufficient to satisfy the power of 2 requirements of 9!.

For  $10! = (1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)$ , a product of  $2^8$ ; and  $(7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10)$  and  $(8 \cdot 9 \cdot 10 \cdot 11)$ , both products of  $2^4$ , and  $(9 \cdot 10 \cdot 11 \cdot 12)$  and  $(10 \cdot 11 \cdot 12 \cdot 13)$  products of  $2^3$ , then the power of 2 in  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  cannot satisfy the power of 2 requirements of 10!, and no product of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  can equal 10!.

Since for any n expressible as a power of 2, there is an n count of integers —that we shall denote "the realm of  $n^{\prime}$  before arrival at the next power of 2,

 $n$  Integers Within the Realm of  $n$ 

$\overline{2^0} = 1 \quad 1$			
$2^1 = 2$	2.3		
	$2^2 = 4$ 4, 5, 6, 7		
	$2^3 = 8$ 8, 9, 10, 11 12, 13, 14, 15		
$2^4 = 16$	16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31		
$2^5 = 32$		$32, 33, 34, 35$ $36, 37, 38, 39$ $40, 41, 42, 43$ $44, 45, 46, 47$	
		$48, 49, 50, 51$ $52, 53, 54, 55$ $56, 57, 58, 59$ $60, 61, 62, 63$	

then where d, the terminating integer of an  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  factor sequence, falls within the realm of n, a power of 2 —with the greatest power of 2 within the realm of  $n$ being that of  $n$ — then the power of 2 in  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  can never be greater than that of the power of 2 in *n*, times  $2^1$  (see Table 3)<sup>1</sup>.

					Max Power of
$\boldsymbol{n}$	$(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$	$(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$	$(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$	$(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$	2 in $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$
$2^3 = 8$	(5.6.7.8)	(6.7.8.9)	(7.8.9.10)	(8.9.10.11)	
	(9.10.11.12)	(10.11.12.13)	(11.12.13.14)	(12.13.14.15)	$(2^3 \cdot 2^1) = 2^4$
$2^4 = 16$	(13.14.15.16)	$\ $ (14.15.16.17) $ $ (15.16.17.18) $ $ (16.17.18.19)			
	(17.18.19.20)	$\  (18.19.20.21)   (19.20.21.22)   (20.21.22.23)$			
	(21.22.23.24)	$\ (22.23.24.25)\ (23.24.25.26)\ (24.25.26.27)$			
	(25.26.27.28)		$(26.27.28.29)   (27.28.29.30)   (28.29.30.31)$		$(2^4\cdot 2^1)=2^5$
$2^5 = 32$	(29.30.31.32)	$\ $ (30.31.32.33)		(31.32.33.34)   (32.33.34.35)	
	(33.34.35.36)	(34.35.36.37)	(35.36.37.38)	(36.37.38.39)	
	$\cdots$				
					$(2^5\cdot 2)$

TABLE 3.  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  Factor Sequences within the Realms of n

Then for all  $n > 7$ , where d of  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  falls within the realm of any n, a power of 2, with the maximum possible power of 2 in  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  being that of the power of 2 in n, times  $2^1$ ; the power of 2 in n! is equal to the power of 2 in n, times  $2^1$ , times the power of 2 in every even integer in  $n!$  greater than 2 and less than  $n$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Note that in Table 3, each  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  factor sequence corresponds to that of the fourth and final  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  sequence of an *n* value where  $a = n$  and  $d = (n + 3)$ .

Let the symbol, " $\vert \Delta 2 \vert$ ", be read as the "power of 2":

 $n = 8: \binom{1}{2}$  in  $8! = \lfloor (2^3 \cdot 2^1) \cdot (2^2 \cdot 2^1) \rfloor = 2^7$ ; the state  $\vert$  $^{\wedge}2$  in  $(8.9.10.11) = (2^3.2^1) = 2^4$  $n = 16: |\triangle 2|$  in  $16! = [(2^4 \cdot 2^1) \cdot (2^2 \cdot 2^1 \cdot 2^3 \cdot 2^1 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 2^1)] = 2^{15};$   $|\triangle 2|$  in  $(16 \cdot 17 \cdot 18 \cdot 19) = (2^4 \cdot 2^1) = 2^5$  $n = 32$ :  $\binom{2}{1}$  in 32! =  $\left[\binom{2^5 \cdot 2^1}{2^2 \cdot 2^1} \ldots \binom{2^2 \cdot 2^1}{2^1} \right] = 2^{31}$ ;  $\binom{2}{1}$  in  $\left(32 \cdot 33 \cdot 34 \cdot 35\right) = \left(2^5 \cdot 2^1\right) = 2^6$  $n = 64$ :  $\begin{bmatrix} \wedge 2 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $64! = \left[ (2^6 \cdot 2^1) \cdot (2^2 \cdot 2^1 \cdot \ldots \cdot 2^2 \cdot 2^1) \right] = 2^{63}$ ;  $\begin{bmatrix} \wedge 2 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $(64.65.66.67) = (2^6 \cdot 2^1) = 2^7$ . . .

and for all  $n > 7$ , the power of 2 in  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  can never satisfy the power of 2 requirements<sup>2</sup> of any factorial of n, and no  $(a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d)$  product can ever equal n!.

# $\blacksquare$

## **REFERENCES**

- [1] H. Brocard, Question 166. Nouv. Corresp. Math. 2(1876), p. 287. In: Reprint, Google Books. Nouvelle Correspondance Mathmatique, 2(2012) https://books.google.com/books?id= Bco AQAAIAAJ&printsec=frontcover#v=onepage&q&f=false Last accessed: 01/01/2019
- [2] S. Ramanujan, Question 469. Indian J. Math. 5(1913), p. 159; Bruce C. Berndt, Youn– Seo Choi, SoonYi Kang. The Problems Submitted by Ramanujan to the Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society. 1999. p. 13. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/2575787\_ The Problems Submitted by Ramanujan to the Journal of the Indian Mathematical Society Last accessed: 01/01/2019
- [3] S. Ramanujan, Question 469. Indian J. Math. 5(1913), p. 159; Questions by Srinivasa Ramanujan. Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai (IMSC), India. Webpage. https://www. imsc.res.in/∼rao/ramanujan/collectedpapers/question/qJIMS.htm Last accessed: 01/01/2019

P.O. Box 342, Chapel Hill, NC 27514  $E$ -mail address: je\_magee@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Observe in the equations immediately above that for n, a power of 2, the power-of-2 in n! is equal to  $2^{(n-1)}$ , e.g.,  $\lfloor 2 \rfloor$  in  $(2^7! = 128!) = 2^{127}$ . With, for  $n = 8$ ,  $\lfloor 2 \rfloor$  in  $8! = [(2^3 \cdot 2^1)(2^2 \cdot 2^1)]$ ; and the even factors of  $n!$  increasing with each increase in  $n$ , a power of 2, a proof of this observation is not essential to this paper and is not addressed here.