

## Speculation: Conventional and Unconventional

sgm, 2018/DEC/20

We all are expected to tolerate double-standards / hypocrisy as if they're unavoidable facts of human experience. I find scientific hypocrisy more repugnant than political hypocrisy. If I'm a conventional scientist, it's okay to propose a mass-imbuing particle such as the Higgs, as long as it fits the Standard Model. Forget about the cosmological consequences – *as long as it fits the Standard Model*. We'll worry about cosmological consequences *later*. Can't particle physicists see the irrational arrogance of that stance?

Vacuum energy is another conventional speculation that fits their framework, praised and touted as fact, but in reality is just another conventionally espoused speculation that is dear to them. It fits their framework as the Higgs does but in reality, it is *no more rational and scientific than any other speculation* about space-time.

Non-locality is yet another conventional speculation we're expected to accept as fact simply because one of their heroes 'proved' local realism is impossible. Bell's 'theorem' is not a mathematical 'proof' of the impossibility of local realism; essentially, it's *conventional propaganda* intended to strong-arm the public and physics dissidents to embrace inherent randomness, forces are boson mediated, and space-time has no characteristics *except what **they tell you** it does*.

Which brings us to multiple dimensions: the most recent of conventional speculation, proposed to make-sense of certain classes of theories which require them. Forget that multiple dimensions may have nothing to do with reality; they find convenient loop-holes to explain why we don't perceive them in our macroscopic reality. It is the height of scientific arrogance and disdain – a form of thought control which I find more repulsive than the concept itself.

Repulsive gravitation: taboo. Forget that it makes sense in an expanding universe; try to guess why convention dismisses it? Because they recently discovered the expansion rate is accelerating. But that's not a valid reason to *dismiss* repulsive gravitation. In reality, the main reason they dismiss repulsive gravitation is because its assumptions don't agree with theirs. It's not one of their approved theories. I suspect convention is sitting on a theoretical bombshell: the AEGIS experiment at CERN which supposedly will decide once-and-for-all whether antimatter is repulsed or attracted gravitationally. If they release the data *without suitable conventional explanation*, they'll look like a bunch of idiot-fools to the public.

Geographic pole-shift: taboo.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DpNI2FtCgtc>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vwjJqIXYT1w>

are both excellent videos about geographic pole-shift. Sure they're speculative but so is *most of science these days*. What people don't comprehend is the *public support* that goes into conventional speculation: the LHC at CERN is the *most expensive machine in human history* and is *dedicated primarily to Higgs research*. Our financial and human-resource commitment to conventional speculation reminds me of the Catholic priests preaching in Latin – a total disconnect with reality and public needs/concerns. I'm *not* saying science should abandon pure research; what I'm saying is that science should explore *other rational speculation as they do theirs*. Einstein was dismissed *primarily* because he didn't espouse anti-realism. It was and currently is in vogue: inherent randomness, boson force mediation, and no attributes of space-time *except what they tell you it has*. Thought control / mind control / reality control – call it what you will – it's a sick micro-management style of authoritarianism.

Used to be the trick of authority: speak in Latin and claim authority from God implies you *will* control the masses. Now it's: we *know the truth of reality*, science proves we're *right*, therefore: we get to tell you what reality *is* ..  
Wow, not much improvement to me...