

## Extended Quasi Conformal Curvature Tensor on $N(k)$ -Contact Metric Manifold

Venkatesha, H.Aruna Kumara

(Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta - 577 451, Shimoga, Karnataka, India)

R.T.Naveen Kumar

(Department of Mathematics, Siddaganga Institute of Technology, Tumakuru - 572 103, Karnataka, India)

vensmath@gmail.com, arunkumarh4665@gmail.com, rtnaveenkumar@gmail.com

**Abstract:** In this paper certain results on  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold endowed with a extended quasi conformal curvature tensor are formulated. First, we consider  $\xi$ -extended quasi conformally flat  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Next we describe extended quasi-conformally semi-symmetric and extended quasi conformal pseudo-symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Finally, we study the conditions  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$  and  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$  on  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold.

**Key Words:**  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, quasi conformal curvature tensor, extended quasi conformal curvature tensor,  $\eta$ -Einstein.

**AMS(2010):** 53C10, 53C15, 53C25.

### §1. Introduction

In 1968, Yano and Sawaki [20] introduced the notion of quasi conformal curvature tensor  $\tilde{C}$  on a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}(X, Y)Z &= aR(X, Y)Z + b[S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY] \\ &- \frac{r}{2n+1} \left[ \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right] \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

for all  $X, Y \in TM$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are constants and  $r$  is a scalar curvature. If  $a = 1$  and  $b = -\frac{1}{2n-1}$ , then quasi conformal curvature tensor reduces to conformal curvature tensor.

The extended form of quasi conformal curvature tensor [8] is given by

$$\tilde{C}_e(X, Y)Z = \tilde{C}(X, Y)Z - \eta(X)\tilde{C}(\xi, Y)Z - \eta(Y)\tilde{C}(X, \xi)Z - \eta(Z)\tilde{C}(X, Y)\xi. \quad (1.2)$$

On the other hand Tanno [19] introduced a class of contact metric manifolds for which the characteristic vector field  $\xi$  belongs to the  $k$ -nullity distribution for some real number  $k$ . Such manifolds are known as  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. The authors Blair, Kim and Tripathi [2]

---

<sup>1</sup>Received August 20, 2017, Accepted February 15, 2018.

gave the classification of  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying the condition  $Z(\xi, X) \cdot Z = 0$ . Also quasi conformal curvature tensor on a sasakian manifold has been studied by De et al., [11]. Recently in [10], the authors study certain properties of  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold endowed with a concircular curvature tensor.

Motivated by these studies the present paper is organized as follows: After giving preliminaries and basic formulas in Section 2, we study  $\xi$ -extended quasi conformally flat  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds in Section 3 and we found that the manifold is  $\eta$ -Einstein and also it admits a  $\eta$ -parallel Ricci tensor. In fact Section 4 is devoted to the study of extended quasi-conformally semi-symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold and proved that the manifold is either locally isometric to  $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$  or it is extended quasi-conformally flat. Then, in Section 5, we consider extended quasi conformal pseudo-symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold and we found that the manifold reduces to  $\eta$ -Einstein. Finally in the last section, we discuss  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying conditions  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$  and  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$

## §2. Preliminaries

A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $M$  is said to be a contact manifold if it carries a global differentiable 1-form  $\eta$  which satisfies the condition  $\eta \wedge (d\eta)^n \neq 0$  everywhere on  $M$ . Also a contact manifold admits an almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$ , where  $\phi$  is  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field,  $\xi$  is a characteristic vector field and  $\eta$  is a global 1-form such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \phi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta \cdot \phi = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

An almost contact metric structure is said to be normal if the induced complex structure  $J$  on the product manifold  $M \times R$  is defined by,

$$J \left( X, f \frac{d}{dt} \right) = \left( \phi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt} \right),$$

is integrable, where  $X$  is tangent to  $M$ ,  $t$  is the coordinate of  $R$  and  $f$  is a smooth function on  $M \times R$ . Let  $g$  be the Riemannian metric with almost contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta)$  i.e.,

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

From (2.1), it can be easily seen that

$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad (2.2)$$

for all  $X, Y \in TM$ . An almost contact metric structure is called contact metric structure if  $g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y)$ . Moreover, if  $\nabla$  denotes the Riemannian connection of  $g$ , then the following relation holds;

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi hX. \quad (2.3)$$

A normal contact metric manifold is a Sasakian manifold. An almost metric manifold is

Sasakian if and only if

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

As a generalization of both  $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$  and Sasakian case, the authors Blair, Koufogiorgos and Papatoniou [4] introduced the idea of  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution on a contact metric manifold and gave several reasons for studying it. The  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution  $N(k, \mu)$  of a contact metric manifold  $M$  is defined by

$$N(k, \mu) : p \rightarrow N_p(k, \mu) = \{Z \in T_p M : R(X, Y)Z = (kI + \mu h)(g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y)\},$$

where  $(k, \mu) \in R^2$ . A contact metric manifold with  $\xi \in N(k, \mu)$  is called a  $(k, \mu)$ -contact metric manifold. If  $\mu = 0$ , the  $(k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution reduces to  $k$ -nullity distribution [19]. The  $k$ -nullity distribution  $N(k)$  of a Riemannian manifold is defined by

$$N(k) : p \rightarrow N_p(k) = \{Z \in T_p M : R(X, Y)Z = k[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]\}, \quad (2.4)$$

$k$  being a constant. If the characteristic vector field  $\xi \in N(k)$ , then we call a contact metric manifold as  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold [2]. If  $k = 1$ , then the manifold is Sasakian and if  $k = 0$ , then the manifold is locally isometric to the product  $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$  for  $n > 1$  and flat for  $n = 1$  [3]. In an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, the following relations holds:

$$R(X, Y)\xi = k[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y], \quad (2.5)$$

$$R(\xi, X)Y = k[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X], \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(X, Y) &= 2(n-1)g(X, Y) + 2(n-1)g(hX, Y) \\ &\quad + [2nk - 2(n-1)]\eta(X)\eta(Y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = 2nk\eta(X), \quad Q\xi = 2nk\xi. \quad (2.8)$$

Also in an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, extended quasi conformal curvature tensor satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_e(X, Y)\xi &= \left[ a \left( \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} - k \right) + 2b \left( \frac{r}{2n+1} - nk \right) \right] (\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) \\ &\quad - b[\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY], \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_e(\xi, X)Y &= \left[ a \left( k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \right) + 2b \left( nk - \frac{r}{2n+1} \right) \right] (\eta(Y)X \\ &\quad - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi) + b[\eta(Y)QX - 2nk\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi] = -\tilde{C}_e(X, \xi)Y, \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

$$\tilde{C}_e(\xi, \xi)X = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\eta(\tilde{C}_e(X, Y)\xi) = \eta(\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X)Y) = \eta(\tilde{C}_e(X, \xi)Y) = \eta(\tilde{C}_e(X, Y)Z) = 0. \quad (2.12)$$

By virtue of (1.2), let  $\{e_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of

the manifold and using (1.1) and (2.8), we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n} g(\tilde{C}_e(e_i, Y)Z, e_i) = Lg(Y, Z) + MS(Y, Z) + N\eta(Y)\eta(W), \quad (2.13)$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} L &= b(r - 2nk) - \left[ \frac{r(2n-1)}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right] - \left[ ka + 2nkb - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right], \\ M &= a + b(2n-3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N = 4nkb - (4n-3) \left[ ak + 2nkb - \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right] - 2b(r-2nk).$$

**Definition 2.1** A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold  $M$  is said to be  $\eta$ -Einstein if its Ricci tensor  $S$  is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = \alpha g(X, Y) + \beta \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants. If  $\beta=0$ , then the manifold  $M$  is an Einstein manifold.

**Definition 2.2** In a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, if the Ricci tensor  $S$  satisfies  $(\nabla_W S)(\phi X, \phi Y) = 0$ , then the Ricci tensor is said to be  $\eta$ -parallel.

In [1], Baikoussis and Koufogiorgos proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** Let  $M$  be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold of dimension  $(2n+1)$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). If  $\xi$  belongs to the  $k$ -nullity distribution, then  $k=1$  and the structure is Sasakian.

### §3. $\xi$ -Extended Quasi Conformally Flat $N(k)$ -Contact Metric Manifolds

**Definition 3.1** A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is said to be  $\xi$ -extended quasi conformally flat if

$$\tilde{C}_e(X, Y)\xi = 0 \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in TM. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us consider  $\xi$ -extended quasi conformally flat  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Then from (3.1) and (2.9), it can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[ a \left( \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} - k \right) + 2b \left( \frac{r}{2n+1} - nk \right) \right] (\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y) \\ &\quad - b[\eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Taking inner product of (3.2) with respect to  $W$ , we get

$$0 = \left[ a \left( \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} - k \right) + 2b \left( \frac{r}{2n+1} - nk \right) \right] (\eta(Y)g(X, W) - \eta(X)g(Y, W)) \\ - b[\eta(Y)S(X, W) - \eta(X)S(Y, W)].$$

On plugging  $Y = \xi$  in above equation, gives

$$S(X, W) = Ag(X, W) + B\eta(X)\eta(W), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$A = \left[ \frac{r}{b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - 2nk - \frac{ka}{b} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left[ 4nk + \frac{ka}{b} - \frac{r}{b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right].$$

Hence we can state the following:

**Theorem 3.1** *A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $\xi$ -extended quasi conformally flat  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.*

Hence in view of Lemma 2.1 and above result, we can state the following result:

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $M$  be a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $\xi$ -extended quasi conformally flat  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, then  $k = 1$  and the structure is Sasakian.*

Replacing  $X$  and  $W$  by  $\phi X$  and  $\phi W$  in (3.3) and using (2.1), we obtain

$$S(\phi X, \phi W) = M'g(\phi X, \phi W). \quad (3.4)$$

Now taking the covariant derivative of (3.4) with respect to  $U$ , yields

$$(\nabla_U S)(\phi X, \phi W) = \frac{dr(U)}{b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) g(\phi X, \phi W).$$

If we consider  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with constant scalar curvature, then above equation becomes

$$(\nabla_U S)(\phi X, \phi W) = 0.$$

Hence this leads us to the following result:

**Corollary 3.2** *A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $\xi$ -extended quasi conformally flat  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold with constant scalar curvature admits a  $\eta$ -parallel Ricci tensor.*

#### §4. Extended Quasi-Conformally Semi-Symmetric $N(k)$ -Contact Metric Manifold

Let us consider an extended quasi-conformally semi-symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold

i.e.,

$$R(\xi, X) \cdot \tilde{C}_e = 0.$$

Then the above condition turns into,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= R(\xi, X)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)W - \tilde{C}_e(R(\xi, X)U, V)W \\ &\quad - \tilde{C}_e(U, R(\xi, X)V)W - \tilde{C}_e(U, V)R(\xi, X)W. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

In view of (2.6), equation (4.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= k \left[ g(X, \tilde{C}_e(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(\tilde{C}_e(U, V)W)X - g(X, U)\tilde{C}_e(\xi, V)W \right. \\ &\quad + \eta(U)\tilde{C}_e(X, V)W - g(X, V)\tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)W + \eta(V)\tilde{C}_e(U, X)W \\ &\quad \left. - g(X, W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)\xi + \eta(W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)X \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Which implies that either  $k = 0$  or

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ g(X, \tilde{C}_e(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(\tilde{C}_e(U, V)W)X - g(X, U)\tilde{C}_e(\xi, V)W + \eta(U)\tilde{C}_e(X, V)W \right. \\ &\quad \left. - g(X, V)\tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)W + \eta(V)\tilde{C}_e(U, X)W - g(X, W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)\xi + \eta(W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)X \right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking inner product of above equation with  $\xi$  and then using (2.12), we get

$$g(X, \tilde{C}_e(U, V)W) = 0.$$

Which implies that  $\tilde{C}_e(U, V)W = 0$ . Hence we can state the following:

**Theorem 4.1** *An extended quasi-conformally semi-symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is either locally isometric to  $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$  for  $n > 1$  and flat for  $n = 1$  or the manifold is extended quasi-conformally flat.*

## §5. Extended Quasi Conformal Pseudo-Symmetric $N(k)$ -Contact Metric Manifold

**Definition 5.1** *A  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold  $M$  is said to be extended quasi conformal pseudo-symmetric if*

$$(R(X, Y) \cdot \tilde{C}_e)(U, V)W = L_{\tilde{C}_e}[(X \wedge Y) \cdot \tilde{C}_e](U, V)W, \quad (5.1)$$

*holds for any vector fields  $X, Y, U, V, W \in TM$ , where  $L_{\tilde{C}_e}$  is function of  $M$ . The endomorphism  $X \wedge Y$  is defined by*

$$(X \wedge Y)Z = g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y. \quad (5.2)$$

Now we prove the following result:

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $M$  be a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional extended quasi conformal pseudo-symmetric*

$N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Then either  $L_{\tilde{C}_e} = k$  or the manifold is  $\eta$ -Einstein.

*Proof* Let us consider a  $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional extended quasi conformal pseudo symmetric  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. Taking  $Y = \xi$  in (5.1), we get

$$(R(X, \xi) \cdot \tilde{C}_e)(U, V)W = L_{\tilde{C}_e}[(X \wedge \xi) \cdot \tilde{C}_e](U, V)W. \quad (5.3)$$

By virtue of (5.2) and (2.12), right hand side of above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\tilde{C}_e}[-g(X, \tilde{C}_e(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(U)\tilde{C}_e(X, V)W + g(X, U)\tilde{C}_e(\xi, V)W - \eta(V)\tilde{C}_e(U, X)W \\ + g(X, V)\tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)X + g(X, W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)\xi]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

In view of (2.5), left hand side of (5.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} k[-g(X, \tilde{C}_e(U, V)W)\xi - \eta(U)\tilde{C}_e(X, V)W + g(X, U)\tilde{C}_e(\xi, V)W - \eta(V)\tilde{C}_e(U, X)W \\ + g(X, V)\tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)X + g(X, W)\tilde{C}_e(U, V)\xi]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

By considering (5.5) and (5.4) in (5.3) with  $V = \xi$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (L_{\tilde{C}_e} - k)[-g(X, \tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)W)\xi - \eta(U)\tilde{C}_e(X, \xi)W + g(X, U)\tilde{C}_e(\xi, \xi)W \\ - \eta(\xi)\tilde{C}_e(U, X)W + g(X, \xi)\tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)W - \eta(W)\tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)X + g(X, W)\tilde{C}_e(U, \xi)\xi] = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

By using (2.9)-(2.11) in (5.6), we have either  $(L_{\tilde{C}_e} - k) = 0$  or

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_e(U, X)W &= \left[ a \left( k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \right) + 2b \left( nk - \frac{r}{2n+1} \right) \right] \{ \eta(W)g(X, U)\xi \\ &- 2\eta(U)\eta(X)\eta(W)\xi + \eta(U)\eta(W)X + g(X, W)\eta(U)\xi - g(X, W)U \} \\ &+ b[\eta(W)S(X, U)\xi - 4nk\eta(U)\eta(X)\eta(W)\xi + \eta(U)\eta(W)QX \\ &+ 2nk g(X, W)\eta(U)\xi - g(X, W)QU]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

On contracting (5.7) with respect to  $U$  and then using (2.13), we have

$$S(X, W) = A'g(X, W) + B'\eta(X)\eta(W),$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= \frac{1}{a + b(2n - 3)} \left[ (2 - 2n)ka + (6 - 2n)2nkb - \frac{r(3 - 4n)}{2n + 1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - 2rb \right], \\ B' &= \frac{1}{a + b(2n - 3)} \left[ (4n - 3) \left( ka + 2nkb - \frac{r}{2n + 1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right) + 2br - 12nkb \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M$  is a  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.  $\square$

§6.  $N(k)$ -Contact Metric Manifold Satisfying  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$  and  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$

First we consider an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ . Now it follows from above condition that

$$0 = \tilde{C}_e(\xi, X)R(U, V)Y - R(\tilde{C}_e U, V)Y - R(U, \tilde{C}_e(\xi, X)V)Y - R(U, V)\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X)Y. \quad (6.1)$$

By virtue of (2.10) in (6.1), gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[ a \left( k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \right) + 2b \left( nk - \frac{r}{2n+1} \right) \right] \{ \eta(R(U, V)Y)[X - \eta(X)\xi] \\ &- \eta(U)[R(X, V)Y - \eta(X)R(\xi, V)Y] - \eta(V)[R(U, X)Y - \eta(X)R(U, \xi)Y] \\ &- \eta(Y)[R(U, V)X - \eta(X)R(U, V)\xi] \} + b \{ \eta(R(U, V)Y)[QX - 2nk\eta(X)\xi] \\ &- \eta(U)[R(QX, V)Y - 2nk\eta(X)R(\xi, V)Y] - \eta(V)[R(U, QX)Y \\ &- 2nk\eta(X)R(U, \xi)Y] - \eta(Y)[R(U, V)QX - 2nk\eta(X)R(U, V)\xi] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Considering  $U = \xi$  in (6.2), gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[ a \left( k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \right) + 2b \left( nk - \frac{r}{2n+1} \right) \right] \{ \eta(R(\xi, V)Y)[X - \eta(X)\xi] \\ &- [R(X, V)Y - \eta(X)R(\xi, V)Y] - \eta(V)[R(\xi, X)Y - \eta(X)R(\xi, \xi)Y] \\ &- \eta(Y)[R(\xi, V)X - \eta(X)R(\xi, V)\xi] \} + b \{ \eta(R(\xi, V)Y)[QX - 2nk\eta(X)\xi] \\ &- [R(QX, V)Y - 2nk\eta(X)R(\xi, V)Y] - \eta(V)[R(\xi, QX)Y \\ &- 2nk\eta(X)R(\xi, \xi)Y] - \eta(Y)[R(\xi, V)QX - 2nk\eta(X)R(\xi, V)\xi] \}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Taking inner product of (6.3) with respect to  $\xi$  and then by virtue of (2.5) and (2.6), we obtain

$$0 = k\eta(Y) [S(V, X) - A''g(V, X) - B''\eta(V)\eta(X)], \quad (6.4)$$

where  $A'' = \left[ \frac{r}{b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - 2nk - \frac{ka}{b} \right]$  and  $B'' = \left[ 4nk + \frac{ka}{b} - \frac{r}{b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right]$ .

Since for an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds  $\eta(Y) \neq 0$ , then (6.4) yields either  $k = 0$  or

$$S(V, X) = A''g(V, X) + B''\eta(V)\eta(X).$$

This leads us to the following:

**Theorem 6.1** *Let  $M$  be a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying the condition  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ . Then  $M$  reduces to  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold or it is locally isometric to  $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$  for  $n > 1$  and flat for  $n = 1$ .*

Next we prove the following result:

**Theorem 6.2** *Let  $M$  be an  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ . Then the Ricci tensor  $S$  is given by the equation (6.7).—*



*Proof* Let us consider an  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold satisfying the condition  $\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X) \cdot S = 0$ . Then it can be easily seen that

$$S(\tilde{C}_e(\xi, X)Y, W) + S(Y, \tilde{C}_e(\xi, X)W) = 0. \tag{6.5}$$

By virtue of (2.10), it follows from above equation that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \left[ a \left( k - \frac{r}{2n(2n+1)} \right) + 2b \left( nk - \frac{r}{2n+1} \right) \right] \{ \eta(Y)[S(X, W) - \eta(X)S(\xi, W)] \\ & + \eta(W)[S(Y, X) - \eta(X)S(Y, \xi)] \} + b \{ \eta(Y)[S(QX, W) - 2nk\eta(X)S(\xi, W)] \\ & + \eta(W)[S(Y, QX) - 2nk\eta(X)S(Y, \xi)] \}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

On plugging  $Y = \xi$  in (6.6) and making necessary calculation, we have

$$S(QX, W) = MS(X, Y) + N\eta(X)\eta(W), \tag{6.7}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \left[ \frac{r}{2n+1} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) - \frac{ak}{b} - 2nk \right], \\ N &= \left[ \frac{2nk^2a}{b} + 8n^2k^2 - \frac{2nkr}{b(2n+1)} \left( \frac{a}{2n} + 2b \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof. □

### References

- [1] C. Baikoussis and T. Koufogiorgos, On a type of contact manifold, *Journal of Geometry*, 1-2 (1993), 19.
- [2] D.E. Blair, Two remarks on Contact manifold, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 29 (1977), 319-324.
- [3] D.E. Blair, J.S. Kim and M.M. Tripathi, On the concircular curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 42 (2005), 5, 883-892.
- [4] D.E. Blair, T. Koufogiorgos and Papantoniou, Contact metric manifolds satisfying a nullity condition, *Israel J. Math.*, 91, 189 (1995).
- [5] W.M. Boothby and H.C. Wang, On contact manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, 68 (1958), 721-734.
- [6] Cihan Ozgur and Sibel Sular, On  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds satisfying certain conditions, *SUT Journal of Mathematics*, 44, 1 (2008), 89-99.
- [7] Cihan Ozgur and U.C. De, On the quasi-conformal curvature tensor of a kenmotsu manifold, *Mathematica Pannonica*, 17 (2) (2006), 221-228.
- [8] U.C. De and Avijit Sarkar, On the quasi-conformal curvature tensor of a  $(\kappa, \mu)$  contact metric manifold, *Math. Reports*, 14(64),2(2012), 115-129
- [9] U.C. De and Y. Matsuyama, Quasi-conformally flat manifolds satisfying certain condition on the Ricci tensor, *SUT J. Math.*, 42 (2006), 295-303.
- [10] U.C De, Ahmet Yildiz and Sujith Ghosh, On a class of  $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds,

- Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Math. Reports, 16 (66), 2 (2014), 207-217.
- [11] U.C. De, J.B. Jun and A.K. Gazi, Sasakian manifolds with quasi-conformal curvature tensor, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 45 (2008), 313-319.
  - [12] J-S. Kim, J. Choi, C. Ozgur and M.M. Tripathi, On the contact conformal curvature tensor of a contact metric manifold, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 37 (2006), 4, 199-207.
  - [13] M. Kon, Invariant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, *Mathematische Annalen*, 219, 3 (1976), 277-290.
  - [14] B.J. Papantoniou, Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying  $R(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$  and  $k \in (k, \mu)$ -nullity distribution, *Yokohama Math. J.*, 40 (1993), 149-161.
  - [15] J.S. Pak and Y.J. Shin, A note on contact conformal curvature tensor, *Korean Math. Soc.*, 13 (1998), 2, 337-343.
  - [16] D. Perrone, Contact Riemannian manifolds satisfying  $R(\xi, X) \cdot R = 0$ , *Yokohama Math. J.*, 39 (1992), 141-149.
  - [17] D.G. Prakasha, C.S. Bagewadi and Venkatesha, Conformally and quasi-conformally conservative curvature tensors on a trans-Sasakian manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connections, *Differential Geometry - Dynamical Systems*, Vol. 10, (2008), 263-274.
  - [18] S. Sasaki, *Lecture Notes on Almost Contact Manifold*, Tohoku University I, 1965.
  - [19] S. Tanno, Ricci curvature of contact riemannian manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 40 (1988), 441-448.
  - [20] K. Yano and S. Sawaki, Riemannian manifolds admitting a conformal transformation group, *J. Differential Geom.*, 2 (1968), 161-184.