

The k -Distance Degree Index of Corona, Neighborhood Corona Products and Join of Graphs

Ahmed M. Naji and Soner Nandappa D

(Department of Studies in Mathematics, University of Mysore, Manasagangotri, Mysuru - 570 006, India)

E-mail: ama.mohsen78@gmail.com, ndsoner@yahoo.co.in

Abstract: The k -distance degree index (N_k -index) of a graph G have been introduced in [11], and is defined as $N_k(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{diam(G)} \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v) \right) \cdot k$, where $d_k(v) = |N_k(v)| = |\{u \in V(G) : d(v, u) = k\}|$ is the k -distance degree of a vertex v in G , $d(u, v)$ is the distance between vertices u and v in G and $diam(G)$ is the diameter of G . In this paper, we extend the study of N_k -index of a graph for other graph operations. Exact formulas of the N_k -index for corona $G \circ H$ and neighborhood corona $G \star H$ products of connected graphs G and H are presented. An explicit formula for the splitting graph $S(G)$ of a graph G is computed. Also, the N_k -index formula of the join $G + H$ of two graphs G and H is presented. Finally, we generalize the N_k -index formula of the join for more than two graphs.

Key Words: Vertex degrees, distance in graphs, k -distance degree, Smarandachely k -distance degree, k -distance degree index, corona, neighborhood corona.

AMS(2010): 05C07, 05C12, 05C76, 05C31.

§1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider only simple graph $G = (V, E)$, i.e., finite, having no loops no multiple and directed edges. A graph G is said to be connected if there is a path between every pair of its vertices. As usual, we denote by $n = |V|$ and $m = |E|$ to the number of vertices and edges in a graph G , respectively. The distance $d(u, v)$ between any two vertices u and v of G is the length of a minimum path connecting them. For a vertex $v \in V$ and a positive integer k , the open k -distance neighborhood of v in a graph G is $N_k(v/G) = \{u \in V(G) : d(u, v) = k\}$ and the closed k -neighborhood of v is $N_k[v/G] = N_k(v) \cup \{v\}$. The k -distance degree of a vertex v in G , denoted by $d_k(v/G)$ (or simply $d_k(v)$ if no misunderstanding) is defined as $d_k(v/G) = |N_k(v/G)|$, and generally, a Smarandachely k -distance degree $d_k(v/G : S)$ of v on vertex set $S \subset V(G)$ is $d_k(v/G : S) = |N_k(v/G : S)|$, where $N_k(v/G : S) = \{u \in V(G) \setminus S : d(u, v) = k\}$. Clearly, $d_k(v/G : \emptyset) = d_k(v/G)$ and $d_1(v/G) = d(v/G)$ for every $v \in V(G)$. A vertex of degree equals to zero in G is called an isolated vertex and a vertex of degree one is called a pendant vertex. The graph with just one vertex is referred to as trivial graph and denoted K_1 . The complement

¹Received January 10, 2017, Accepted, November 23, 2017.

\overline{G} of a graph G is a graph with vertex set $V(G)$ and two vertices of \overline{G} are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G . A totally disconnected graph \overline{K}_n is one in which no two vertices are adjacent (that is, one whose edge set is empty). If a graph G consists of $s \geq 2$ disjoint copies of a graph H , then we write $G = sH$. For a vertex v of G , the eccentricity $e(v) = \max\{d(v, u) : u \in V(G)\}$. The radius of G is $rad(G) = \min\{e(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ and the diameter of G is $diam(G) = \max\{e(v) : v \in V(G)\}$. For any terminology or notation not mention here, we refer the reader to the books [3, 5].

A topological index of a graph G is a numerical parameter mathematically derived from the graph structure. It is a graph invariant thus it does not depend on the labeling or pictorial representation of the graph and it is the graph invariant number calculated from a graph representing a molecule. The topological indices of molecular graphs are widely used for establishing correlations between the structure of a molecular compound and its physic-chemical properties or biological activity. The topological indices which are definable by a distance function $d(.,.)$ are called a distance-based topological index. All distance-based topological indices can be derived from the distance matrix or some closely related distance-based matrix, for more information on this matter see [2] and a survey paper [20] and the references therein.

There are many examples of such indices, especially those based on distances, which are applicable in chemistry and computer science. The Wiener index (1947), defined as

$$W(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V(G)} d(u,v)$$

is the first and most studied of the distance based topological indices [19]. The hyper-Wiener index,

$$WW(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V} (d(u,v) + d^2(u,v))$$

was introduced in (1993) by M. Randic [14]. The Harary index

$$H(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V} \frac{1}{d^2(u,v)}$$

was introduced in (1992) by Mihalic et al. [10]. In spite of this, the Harary index is nowadays defined [8, 12] as

$$H(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V} \frac{1}{d(u,v)}.$$

The Schultz index

$$S(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V} (d(u) + d(v))d(u,v)$$

was introduced in (1989) by H. P. Schultz [16]. A. Dobrynin et al. in (1994) also proposed the Schultz index and called it the degree distance index and denoted $DD(G)$ [1]. S. Klavzar and

I Gutman, motivated by Schultz index, introduced in (1997) the second kind of Schultz index

$$S^*(G) = \sum_{\{u,v\} \subseteq V} d(u)d(v)d(u,v)$$

called modified Schultz (or Gutman) index of G [9]. The eccentric connectivity index

$$\xi^c = \sum_{v \in V} d(v)e(v)$$

was proposed by Sharma et al. [17]. For more details and examples of distance-based topological indices, we refer the reader to [2, 20, 13, 6] and the references therein.

Recently, The authors in [11], have been introduced a new type of graph topological index, based on distance and degree, called k -distance degree of a graph, for positive integer number $k \geq 1$. Which, for simplicity of notion, referred as N_k -index, denoted by $N_k(G)$ and defined by

$$N_k(G) = \sum_{k=1}^{diam(G)} \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v) \right) \cdot k$$

where $d_k(v) = d_k(v/G)$ and $diam(G)$ is the diameter of G . They have obtained some basic properties and bounds for N_k -index of graphs and they have presented the exact formulas for the N_k -index of some well-known graphs. They also established the N_k -index formula for a cartesian product of two graphs and generalize this formula for more than two graphs. The k -distance degree index, $N_k(G)$, of a graph G is the first derivative of the k -distance neighborhood polynomial, $N_k(G, x)$, of a graph evaluated at $x = 1$, see ([18]).

The following are some fundamental results which will be required for many of our arguments in this paper and which are finding in [11].

Lemma 1.1 For $n \geq 1$, $N_k(\overline{K_n}) = N_k(K_1) = 0$.

Theorem 1.2 For any connected graph G of order n with size m and $diam(G) = 2$, $N_k(G) = 2n(n-1) - 2m$.

Theorem 1.3 For any connected nontrivial graph G , $N_k(G)$ is an even integer number.

In this paper, we extend our study of N_k -index of a graph for other graph operations. Namely, exact formulas of the N_k -index for corona $G \circ H$ and neighborhood corona $G \star H$ products of connected graphs G and H are presented. An explicit formula for the splitting graph $S(G)$ of a graph G is computed. Also, the N_k -index formula of the join $G + H$ of two graphs G and H is presented. Finally, we generalize the N_k -index formula of the join for more than two graphs.

§2. The N_k -Index of Corona Product of Graphs

The corona of two graphs was first introduced by Frucht and Harary in [4].

Definition 2.1 Let G and H be two graphs on disjoint sets of n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. The corona $G \circ H$ of G and H is defined as the graph obtained by taking one copy of G and n_1 copies of H , and then joining the i^{th} vertex of G to every vertex in the i^{th} copy of H .

It is clear from the definition of $G \circ H$ that

$$\begin{aligned} n &= |V(G \circ H)| = n_1 + n_1 n_2, \\ m &= |E(G \circ H)| = m_1 + n_1(n_2 + m_2) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\text{diam}(G \circ H) = \text{diam}(G) + 2,$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the sizes of G and H , respectively. In the following results, H^j , for $1 \leq j \leq n_1$, denotes the copy of a graph H which joining to a vertex v_j of a graph G , i.e., $H^j = \{v_j\} \circ H$, $D = \text{diam}(G)$ and $d_k(v/G)$ denotes the degree of a vertex v in a graph G . Note that in general this operation is not commutative.

Theorem 2.2 Let G and H be connected graphs of orders n_1 and n_2 and sizes m_1 and m_2 , respectively. Then

$$N_k(G \circ H) = (1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2) N_k(G) + 2n_1 n_2 (n_1 + n_1 n_2 - 1) - 2n_1 m_2.$$

Proof Let G and H be connected graphs of orders n_1 and n_2 and sizes m_1 and m_2 , respectively and let $D = \text{diam}(G)$, $n = |V(G \circ H)|$ and $m = |E(G \circ H)|$. Then by the definition of $G \circ H$ and for every $1 \leq k \leq \text{diam}(G \circ H)$, we have the following cases.

Case 1. For every $v \in V(G)$,

$$d_k(v/G \circ H) = d_k(v/G) + n_2 d_{k-1}(v/G).$$

Case 2. For every $u \in H^j$, $1 \leq j \leq n_1$,

- $d_1(u/G \circ H^j) = 1 + d_1(u/H)$;
- $d_2(u/G \circ H^j) = d_1(v_j/G) + (n_2 - 1) - d_1(u/H)$;
- $d_k(u/G \circ H^j) = d_{k-1}(v_j/G) + n_2 d_{k-2}(v_j/G)$, for every $3 \leq k \leq D + 2$.

Since for every $v \in V(G \circ H)$ either $v \in V(G)$ or $v \in V(H^j)$, for some $1 \leq j \leq n_1$, it follows that for $1 \leq k \leq \text{diam}(G \circ H)$,

$$\sum_{v \in V(G \circ H)} d_k(v/G \circ H) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G \circ H) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} d_k(u/G \circ H^j).$$

Hence, by using the hypothesis above

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_k(G \circ H) &= \sum_{k=1}^{diam(G \circ H)} \left[\sum_{v \in V(G \circ H)} d_k(v/G \circ H) \right] k \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{D+2} \left[\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G \circ H) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} d_k(u/G \circ H^j) \right] k \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{D+2} \left[\sum_{v \in V(G)} (d_k(v/G) + n_2 d_{k-1}(v/G)) \right] k + \sum_{k=1}^{D+2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} d_k(u/G \circ H^j) \right] k \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) k + n_2 \sum_{k=1}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{k-1}(v/G) \right) k \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (1 + d_1(u/H^j)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (d_1(v_j/G) + (n_2 - 1) - d(u/H^j)) 2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (d_{k-1}(v_j/G) + n_2 d_{k-2}(v_j/G)) \right] k
 \end{aligned}$$

Set $x = x_1 + x_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) k \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) k + \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{D+1}(v/G) \right) (D+1) + \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{D+2}(v/G) \right) (D+2) \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) k + 0 + 0 = N_k(G).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_2 &= n_2 \sum_{k=1}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{k-1}(v/G) \right) k \\
 &= n_2 \left[\left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_0(v/G) \right) 1 + \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) \right) 2 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_D(v/G) \right) (D+1) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_{D+1}(v/G) \right) (D+2) \right] = n_2 \left[n_1 + \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) (k+1) + 0 \right] \\
 &= n_2 \left[n_1 + \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) k + \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) 1 \right] \\
 &= n_2 \left[n_1 + N_k(G) + n_1(n_1 - 1) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $x = (1 + n_2)N_k(G) + n_1^2 n_2$. Also, set $y = y_1 + y_2 + y_3$, where

$$y_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (1 + d_1(u/H))1 = n_1 n_2 + 2n_1 m_2,$$

$$y_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (d_1(v_j/G) + (n_2 - 1) - d_1(u/H))2 = 2(2m_1 n_2 + n_1 n_2 (n_2 - 1) - 2n_1 m_2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} y_3 &= \sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (d_{k-1}(v_j/G) + n_2 d_{k-2}(v_j/G)) \right] k \\ &= \sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (d_{k-1}(v_j/G)) \right] k + n_2 \sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (d_{k-2}(v_j/G)) \right] k \\ &= n_2 \left[\sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (d_{k-1}(v_j/G)) \right) k \right] + n_2^2 \left[\sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (d_{k-2}(v_j/G)) \right) k \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now set $y_3 = y'_3 + y''_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} y'_3 &= n_2 \left[\sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (d_{k-1}(v_j/G)) \right) \right] k \\ &= n_2 \left[\left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v/G) \right) 3 + \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v/G) \right) 4 + \cdots + \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_D(v/G) \right) (D+1) + 0 \right] \\ &= n_2 \left[\sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) (k+1) - \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) \right) 2 \right] \\ &= n_2 \left[\sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) k + \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) 1 - \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) \right) 2 \right] \\ &= n_2 N_k(G) + n_1 n_2 (n_1 - 1) - 4m_1 n_2, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned} y''_3 &= n_2^2 \left[\sum_{k=3}^{D+2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} (d_{k-2}(v_j/G)) \right) k \right] = n_2^2 \left[\sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_k(v/G) \right) (k+2) \right] \\ &= n_2^2 N_k(G) + 2n_1 n_2^2 (n_1 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $y_3 = (n_2^2 + n_2)N_k(G) + n_1 n_2 (n_1 - 1) - 4m_1 n_2 + 2n_1 n_2^2 (n_1 - 1)$.

Accordingly,

$$y = (n_2^2 + n_2)N_k(G) + 2n_1^2 n_2^2 + n_1^2 n_2 - 2n_1 n_2 - 2n_1 m_2$$

and

$$N_k(G \circ H) = x + y.$$

Therefore,

$$N_k(G \circ H) = (1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2)N_k(G) + 2n_1n_2(n_1n_2 + n_1 - 1) - 2n_1m_2. \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.3 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and size $m \geq 1$. Then*

- (1) $N_k(K_1 \circ G) = 2(n^2 - m)$;
- (2) $N_k(G \circ K_1) = 4N_k(G) + 2n(2n - 1)$;
- (3) $N_k(G \circ \overline{K_p}) = (1 + 2p + p^2)N_k(G) + 2pn(pn + n - 1)$, where $\overline{K_p}$ is a totally disconnected graph with $p \geq 2$ vertices.

§3. The N_k -Index of Neighborhood Corona Product of Graphs

The neighborhood corona was introduced in [7].

Definition 3.1 *Let G and H be connected graphs of orders n_1 and n_2 , respectively. Then the neighborhood corona of G and H , denoted by $G \star H$, is the graph obtained by taking one copy of G and n_1 copies of H , and joining every neighbor of the i^{th} vertex of G to every vertex in the i^{th} copy of H .*

It is clear from the definition of $G \circ H$ that

- In general $G \star H$ is not commutative.
- When $H = K_1$, $G \star H = S(G)$ is the splitting graph defined in [?].
- When $G = K_1$, $G \star H = G \cup H$.
- $n = |V(G \star H)| = n_1 + n_1n_2$
- $\text{diam}(G \star H) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } \text{diam}(G) \leq 3; \\ \text{diam}(G), & \text{if } \text{diam}(G) \geq 3; \end{cases}$

In the following results, H^j , for $1 \leq j \leq n_1$, denotes the j^{th} copy of a graph H which corresponding to a vertex v_j of a graph G , i.e., $H^j = \{v_j\} \star H$, $D = \text{diam}(G)$ and $d_k(v/G)$ denotes the degree of a vertex v in a graph G .

Theorem 3.2 *Let G and H be connected graphs of orders and sizes n_1, n_2, m_1 and m_2 respectively such that $\text{diam}(G) \geq 3$. Then*

$$N_k(G \star H) = (1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2)N_k(G) + 2n_2^2(n_1 + m_1) + 2n_1(n_2 - m_2).$$

Proof Let G and H be connected graphs of orders and sizes n_1, m_1, n_2 and m_2 respectively and let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n_1}\}$ and $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n_2}\}$ be the vertex sets of G and H respectively. Then for every $w \in \text{inv}(G \star H)$ either $w = v \in V(G)$ or $w = u \in V(H)$. Since, for every $v \in V(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |N_1(v/G \star H)| &= |N_1(v/G)| + |V(H)||N_1(v/G)| \\ d_1(v/G \star H) &= d_1(v/G) + n_2 d_1(v/G) \\ &= (1 + n_2)d_1(v/G) \end{aligned}$$

and for every $u \in V(H^j)$, $1 \leq j \leq n_1$

$$\begin{aligned} |N_1(u/G \star H^j)| &= |N_1(u/H)| + |N_1(v_j/G)|, \\ d_1(u/G \star H^j) &= d_1(u/H) + d_1(v_j/G). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for ever $w \in V(G \star H)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in V(G \star H)} d_1(w/G \star H) &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G \star H) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} d_1(u/G \star H^j) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} (1 + n_2)d_1(v/G) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} (d_1(u/H^j) + d_1(v_j/G)) \\ &= (1 + n_2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} 2m_2 + n_2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} d_1(v_j/G) \\ &= (1 + 2n_2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) + 2n - 1m_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |N_2(v_j/G \star H)| &= |N_2(v_j/G)| + |V(H^j)| + |V(H^j)||N_2(v_j/G)|, \\ d_2(v_j/G \star H) &= d_2(v_j/G) + n_2 + n_2 d_2(v_j/G) \\ &= (1 + n_2)d_2(v_j/G) + n_2 \end{aligned}$$

for every $v_j \in V(G)$, $1 \leq j \leq n_1$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |N_2(u/G \star H^j)| &= (|V(H^j)| - 1) - |N_1(u/H^j)| + |\{v_j\}| \\ &\quad + |V(H^j)||N_2(v_j/G)| + |N_2(v_j/G)| \\ d_2(u/G \star H^j) &= (n_2 - 1) - d_1(u/H) + 1 + n_2 d_2(v_j/G) + d_2(v_j/G) \\ &= n_2 + d_1(u/H) + (1 + n_2)d_2(v_j/G) \end{aligned}$$

for every $u \in H^j$, $1 \leq j \leq n_1$. Thus, for ever $w \in V(G \star H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{w \in V(G \star H)} d_2(w/G \star H) &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v/G \star H) + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} d_2(u/G \star H^j) \\ &= \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left[(1 + n_2)d_1(v/G) + n_2 \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \sum_{u \in V(H^j)} \left[n_2 + d_1(u/H) + (1 + n_2)d_1(v_j/G) \right] \\ &= (1 + n_2 + n_2^2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v/G) + n_1 n_2^2 + n_1 n_2 - 2n_1 m_2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, for every $v \in V(G)$, $d_3(v/G \star H) = (1 + n_2)d_3(v/G)$ and for every $u \in V(H^j)$,

$$d_3(u/G \star H^j) = n_2 d_1(v_j/G) + (1 + n_2)d_3(v_j/G).$$

Hence, For every $w \in V(G \star H)$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_3(w/G \star H) &= (1 + n_2 + n_2^2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_3(v/G) \\ &\quad + n_2^2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G). \end{aligned}$$

By continue in same process we get, for every $4 \leq k \leq \text{diam}(G \star H)$, that is, for every $v \in V(G)$,

$$d_k(v/G \star H) = (1 + n_2)d_k(v/G)$$

and for every $u \in V(H^j)$,

$$d_k(u/G \star H^j) = (1 + n_2 + 2)d_k(v_j/G),$$

and hence for every $w \in V(G \star H)$,

$$d_k(w/G \star H) = (1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2)d_k(v/G).$$

Accordingly,

$$\begin{aligned} N_k(G \star H) &= \sum_{k=1}^D \left(\sum_{w \in V(G \star H)} d_k(w/G \star H) \right) k \\ &= \sum_{w \in V(G \star H)} d_1(w/G \star H))1 + \sum_{w \in V(G \star H)} d_2(w/G \star H))2 + \cdots \\ &\quad + \sum_{w \in V(G \star H)} d_D(w/G \star H)) D \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[(1 + 2n_2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) + 2n_1m_2 \right] 1 \\
&\quad + \left[(1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v/G) + n_1n_2^2 + n_1n_2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2n_1m_2 \right] 2 + \left[(1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_3(v/G) + n_2^2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) \right] 3 \\
&\quad + \left[(1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_4(v/G) \right] 4 + \cdots + \left[(1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2) \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_D(v/G) \right] D \\
&= (1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2) \left[\sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) 1 + \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_2(v/G) 2 + \cdots + \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_D(v/G) D \right] \\
&\quad + \left[(-n_2^2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G) + 2n_1m_2) 1 + (n_1n_2^2 + n_1n_2 - 2n_1m_2) 2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (n_2^2 \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_1(v/G)) 3 \right] \\
&= (1 + 2n_2 + n_2^2)N_k(G) + 2n_2^2(n_1 + m_1) + 2n_1(n_2 - m_2). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3 *Let G be a connected graph of order $n \geq 2$ and size m and let $S(G)$ be the splitting graph of G . Then*

$$N_k(S(G)) = 4N_k(G) + 2(2n + m).$$

§4. The N_k -Index of Join of Graphs

Definition 4.1([5]) *Let G_1 and G_2 be two graphs with disjoint vertex sets $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$ and edge sets $E(G_1)$ and $E(G_2)$. Then the join $G_1 + G_2$ of G_1 and G_2 is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv | u \in V(G_1) \& v \in V(G_2)\}$.*

Definition 4.2 *It is clear that, $G_1 + G_2$ is a connected graph, $n = |V(G_1 + G_2)| = |V(G_1)| + |V(G_2)|$, $m = |E(G_1 + G_2)| = |V(G_1)||V(G_2)| + |E(G_1)| + |E(G_2)|$ and $\text{diam}(G_1 + G_2) \leq 2$. Furthermore, $\text{diam}(G_1 + G_2) = 1$ if and only if G_1 and G_2 are complete graphs. We denote by $d_k(v/G)$ to the k -distance degree of a vertex v in a graph G .*

Theorem 4.2 *Let G and H be connected graphs of order n_1 and n_2 and size m_1 and m_2 , respectively. Then*

$$N_k(G + H) = 4 \binom{n_1 + n_2}{2} - 2(n_1n_2 + m_1 + m_2).$$

Proof The proof is an immediately consequences of Theorem 1.2. □

Since, For any connected graph G , $G + K_1 = K_1 + G = K_1 \circ G$ then the next result follows

Corollary 2.3.

Corollary 4.3 For any connected graph G with n vertices and m edges,

$$N_k(G + K_1) = 2(n^2 - m).$$

The join of more than two graphs is defined inductively as following,

$$G_1 + G_2 + \cdots + G_t = (G_1 + G_2 + \cdots + G_{t-1}) + G_t$$

for some positive integer number $t \geq 2$. We denote by $\sum_{i=1}^t G_i$ to $G_1 + G_2 + \cdots + G_t$. It is clear for this definition that

- $n = |V(\sum_{i=1}^t G_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^t |V(G_i)|$.
- $m = |E(\sum_{i=1}^t G_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^t |E(G_i)| + \sum_{i=2}^t |V(G_i)| \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} |V(G_j)| \right)$.
- $diam(\sum_{i=1}^t G_i) \leq 2$.

Accordingly, we can generalize Theorem 4.2 by using Theorem 1.2 as following.

Theorem 4.4 For some positive integer number $t \geq 2$, let G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t be connected graphs of orders n_1, n_2, \dots, n_t and sizes m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t , respectively. Then

$$N_k\left(\sum_{i=1}^t G_i\right) = 4 \binom{\sum_{i=1}^t n_i}{2} - 2 \left[\sum_{i=1}^t m_i + \sum_{i=2}^t n_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j \right) \right].$$

References

- [1] A. D. Andrey and A. K. Amide, Degree Distance of a Graph: A Degree analogue of the Wiener Index, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, **34** (1994), 1082-1086.
- [2] A. T. Balaban, Topological indices based on topological distance in molecular graphs, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 55(2) (1983), 199-206.
- [3] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [4] R. Frucht, F. Harary, On the corona of two graphs, *Aequationes Math.*, 4 (1970), 322-325.
- [5] F. Harary, *Graph Theory*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass. Menlo Park, Calif. London, 1969.
- [6] A. Ilic, G. Yu and L. Feng, On the eccentric distance sum of graphs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 381 (2011), 590-600.
- [7] G. Indulal, The spectrum of neighborhood corona of graphs, *Kragujevac J. Math.*, 35 (2011), 493-500.
- [8] O. Ivanciuc, T. S. Balaban and A. T. Balaban, Reciprocal distance matrix, related local vertex invariants and topological indices, *J. Math. Chem.*, 12 (1993), 309-318.

- [9] S. Klavzar and I. Gutman, Wiener number of vertex-weighted graphs and a chemical application, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, 80 (1997), 73-81.
- [10] Z. Mihulic and N. Trinajstic, A graph theoretical approach to structure-property relationship, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 69(1992),701-712.
- [11] A. M. Naji and N. D. Soner, The k -distance degree index of a graph, in Press.
- [12] D. Plavsic, S. Nikolic, N. Trinajstic and Z. Mihalic, On the Harary index for the characterization of chemical graphs, *J. Math. Chem.*, 12 (1993), 235-250.
- [13] H. Qu and S. Cao, On the adjacent eccentric distance sum index of graphs, *PLoS ONE Academic Editor: Vince Grolmusz*, Mathematical Institute, HUNGARY, 10(6) (2015), 1-12, e0129497.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0129497.
- [14] M. Randic, Novel molecular descriptor for structure-property studies, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 211(1993), 478-483.
- [15] E. Sampathkumar, H. B. Walikar, On the splitting graph of a graph, *Karnatak Univ. J. Sci.*, 35/36 (1980-1981), 13-16.
- [16] H. P. Schultz, Topological organic chemistry 1, graph theory and topological indices of Alkanes, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, 29 (1989) 227-228.
- [17] V. Sharma , R. Goswami and A. K. Madan. Eccentric connectivity index: A novel highly discriminating topological descriptor for structure-property and structure-activity studies, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, 37 (1997), 273-282.
- [18] N. D. Soner and A. M. Naji, The k -distance neighborhood polynomial of a graph, *World Academy Sci. Engin. Tech. Conference Proceedings*, San Francisco, USA, Sep 26-27, 18(9) (2016), Part XV 2359-2364.
- [19] H. Wiener, Structural determination of the paraffin boiling points, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 69 (1947), 17-20.
- [20] K. Xu, M. Liub, K. C. Dasd, I. Gutmane and B. Furtul, A survey on graphs extremal with respect to distance based topological indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.*, 71 (2014), 461-508.