Improvement of the Accuracy of HCE8S Theory Thanks to the Z(4430) Tetraquark

## George R. Briggs

Abstract: Due to a mistake on the HCE8S flow diagram the accuracy of the neutron mass was less than it should have been. Correction of this error leads to both un and dn mass changes for neutronic quarks.

In preparing my last flow diagram<sup>1</sup> for HCE8S theory, I made a mistake at the top of the second page. It should read  $Z(4430)/(1.0221480)^2 = 4430/1.0447865 = 4240.1007/15.5$  tau neutrino= 273.55488. Taking 3.55488 as the mass of the d<sub>n</sub> quark and 0.00488 x 2 = 0.00976 subracted from 2.3 (mass of the u<sub>p</sub> quark), one also gets 2.29024 for the mass of the u<sub>n</sub> quark. For 2d<sub>n</sub> we have 7.10976, and the mass of the neutron is 939.9946. For u<sub>n</sub>/d<sub>n</sub> we have 2.29024/3.55488 = 0.64425 million years (not much different than before) and for 940/939.9946 = 1.0000057. This is almost the same number we got for protons (1.0000055) rather than 1.0004625 found before (see my last note).

Note that TF was active when the neutron came into existence (E8 symmetry was broken), so 4-digit mass simplication cannot be used for  $u_n,d_n$  quarks as it can for the other quarks. As for protons, their 6-digit values seem to be needed indicating they formed at or later than the big bang but not later than re-ionization (at which time the charged protons must have existed).

We see a trend here; broken E8 symmetry when the entity formed means that 6-digit mass values are needed, unbroken symmetry indicates 4-digit values (or less) suffice.

1. George R. Briggs,"An 8th HCE8S flow diagram improving the Z(4430) tetraquark connection", Vivra 1806.0465, (2018).