

## An Interesting Prediction Regarding anti-<sup>8</sup>Be

Salvatore Gerard Micheal, micheal@msu.edu, 2018/JUN/19

As of this date, we have produced substantial, depending on the lightness [lighter nuclei are *far* easier to produce], antinuclei. This is an *astounding* achievement for science. Before we continue, let's put that in perspective. It took *years* to find the Higgs at the *most powerful* super-collider on the planet, the LHC at CERN.

Matter <sup>8</sup>Be is unstable and has a mean decay period of 10<sup>-16</sup> seconds. Now we have not yet produced anti-<sup>8</sup>Be because it requires *tremendous* collision energy. I'm optimistic; to me, it's a matter of time.

Time is *exactly the factor* relating to my very specific prediction about the mean decay period of anti-<sup>8</sup>Be: it should be *much shorter* than that of <sup>8</sup>Be. Why?

My explanation relates to my recent [pre-print](#) about PABHs, primordial antimatter black holes, an extension of Stephen Hawking's work. If the theory is correct, anti-<sup>8</sup>Be nuclei will decay much faster because of the *local temporal compression* produced naturally by the anti-<sup>8</sup>Be nucleus. Is the compression unique to antinuclei? Yes and no: PABHs share the *same* gravimetric signature, but *opposite* that of matter nuclei and matter singularities.

*Only time will tell.*

This brief article is dedicated to the late Stephen Hawking and my lovely daughter Hope; without them, I would *not* be writing these words.

sgm, 2018/JUN/19