

Feynman was Right

First I need to elaborate on my past errors:

1. gravitational waves
2. stability of antinuclei
3. existence of Higgs

Those are not in chronological order, my mistakes about predictions. I need to explain why:

1. I made the wrong assumption, if they existed, they would be of such enormous amplitude they would destroy surrounding planetary systems prohibiting the continuance of life. Although we measure the energy released in solar masses, the infrequency and distance from Earth implies *they pose infinitesimal risk to us in particular.*

2. I made the wrong assumption relating to my theory of antimatter: I assumed antimatter has repulsive strong force and therefore impossible to have stable nuclei, but I was thinking in our normal forward-progressing time-frame. Because Richard Feynman was Right about antiparticles traveling backward in time, the theory is consistent and antinuclei appear stable to us.

[Think about it. Unstable nuclei fly apart like ^8Be . But reverse time for that nucleus: it's stable in that frame. To prove this idea, all we have to do is create anti- $^8\text{Be}^*$.]

3. Yesterday, I wrote an article about "satanic conspiracy at the RHIC". It's well written but pointing the finger at the wrong group. In actuality, it's all those responsible for the research agenda at CERN regarding the Higgs. Examine the "progress history" for "search". They knew exactly what mass-level to find the Higgs to maximize search time and therefore money for the project.

We finally get to the heart of my unification theory:

https://www.grc.nasa.gov/www/k-12/Numbers/Math/Mathematical_Thinking/possible_scalar_terms.htm

-please skim/scan the article cited above. It's entirely germane to this conversation. The article implies there is gravitational tension energy in space-time. The "mass factor" is approximately 2.05×10^{-7} . Earlier this morning, I was comparing force equations: gravitational force between masses – vs – nuclear strong-force between proton/neutron inside a deuteron. Assuming they're both mediated by temporal elasticity, E_0 would represent energy locked in **internal** temporal tension. And, E_f in **surrounding** temporal tension. The factor above should be a ball-park estimate for **both** nucleons and large masses.

Good science is testable; bad science is not. We are at the proverbial "moment of truth" in the history of physics regarding my unification framework vs conventional dogma. I cannot say for **sure** they will find stable anti- ${}^8\text{Be}$ but I'm keeping my fingers crossed. When I'm not typing that is.

*I was just recording for my daughter Hope. Instead of a Nobel prize in physics, I'd be happy with a "Hope prize" for best dad, seriously.

sgm, 2018/JUN/14

ADDENDUM:

God willing the following does not sound like equivocation. Personally, I'm certain beyond a reasonable doubt that there was some form of conspiracy involved with the "search" for the Higgs. Any conspiracy at the RHIC is probably of a different form. If antinuclei are indeed stable and that data was not doctored, anti- ${}^8\text{Be}$ should decay faster than matter ${}^8\text{Be}$ specifically because of E_f surrounding the anti- ${}^8\text{Be}$ as it decays. I'm also absolutely certain that convention will find some other explanation that jives with their contrived and facetious Standard Model.