



# THE EARTH- MOON COLLISIONS

Presenting three collisions in detail

## ABSTRACT

To verify the likelihood of a new solar system formation hypothesis, research was conducted into a possible low speed, shallow angled collision between an *ice-covered* Earth and Moon, 4.1 billion years ago. Via a tailored set of indicators, not just one but three collisions were identified beyond a reasonable doubt. All are presented in great photographic and topographic detail in this paper:

On the Moon, the three impact areas are:

Aitken basin (4.1Ga), a double string of major maria (3.5-3.9 Ga) and wider Oceanus Procellarum (450 Ma). On Earth, the

respective corresponding impact areas are:

The Arctic, the Canadian Shield and equatorial Gondwana (centred at current Antarctica).

As a result, many issues in geology can now be explained, from the 'faint young Sun paradox' to Hadean geology and continental drift.

# Table of Contents

<b>CHAPTER 1. PLANET – MOON COLLISIONS IN GENERAL</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 ICE LAYERS, COLLISIONS AND LIQUID WATER	3
1.2 THE FRAMEWORK OF COLLISIONS INDICATORS	4
1.3 POLAR SCAR INDICATOR	4
1.4 TOPOGRAPHIC INDICATORS	5
1.5 EARTH IMPACT SITE MAP INDICATOR	7
1.6 LUNAR INDICATOR: GRAVITY ANOMALIES	8
1.7 LUNAR INDICATOR: CHEMICAL IMPRINTS	9
1.8 THE THREE EARTH-MOON COLLISIONS	9
<b>CHAPTER 2. THE HADEAN COLLISION (4.1 GA)</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 PRIMARY OBSERVATIONS	11
2.2 SEIZING OF IMPACT BASINS: ICE LAYERS, DEPLETING OCEANS, MANTLE SWELLING	11
2.3 TESTING THE PREDICTED EXPANSION AT EARTH'S IMPACT BASIN	12
2.4 DETAILED CONSIDERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO MIGRATING SURFACE WATER	12
<b>CHAPTER 3. THE ARCHEAN COLLISION (3.5 - 3.9 GA)</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1 THE FORMING OF THE MARIA	14
3.2 STRING 2A: IMBRIUM, SERENETATIS, CRISIUM, MOSCOVIENSE	14
3.2 STRING 2B: SMYTHII, NECTARIS, HUMORUM, MOSCOVIENSE	17
3.3 CONSEQUENCES FOR EARTH AND LUNAR GEOLOGY	20
<b>CHAPTER 4. THE ORDOVICIAN COLLISION (450 MA?)</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1 OCEANUS PROCELLARUM	23
4.2 GONDWANA / ANTARCTICA.	23
4.3 THE CIRCULAR RIDGES AROUND ANTARCTICA	24
4.4 THE IMPACT IN DETAIL	24
4.5 CENTRE OF IMPACT: MARE IMBRIUM AND WEST ANTARCTICA	26
4.6 CONSEQUENCES OF THE IMPACT	26
- THE O-S, P-TR AND TR-J MASS EXTINCTIONS	26
- THE CAMBRIAN LIFE EXPLOSION	26
THE RIDDLE OF THE BIG DINOSAURS	27
<b>CHAPTER 5: A FOURTH IMPACT? MARE ORIENTALE AND MARIANA TRENCH</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>ANNEX 1; CALCULATING THE INITIAL OCEAN LEVELS</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>ANNEX 2: ARISTARCHUS CRATER VS. BIG BEN VOLCANO</b>	<b>31</b>

Author: R. Winnubst

# Chapter 1. Planet – Moon collisions in general


There is little research into potential collisions between terrestrial planets and their moons. When related to Earth and our Moon this is even a somewhat sensitive 'no go' area. The consensus paradigm for solar system formation (SSF), the 'Solar Nebular Disk Model' (SNDM), offers no specific logic as to whether or not this might have happened in the past. In contrast, the recently developed 'Purging Hypothesis' for SSF suggests that during early Hadean, low-speed and shallow angled planet-moon collisions would be rather common. Since the purging hypothesis has proven to be far more explanatory than SNDM, it is interesting to examine if indeed such a collision did happen in Earth's case.

## 1.1 Ice layers, collisions and liquid water

Although not a 'sine qua non' condition for the remainder of this paper, it is fitting to shortly describe the origin of the new SSF paradigm and what it says about the early conditions in our solar system.

*First and foremost, this new SSF theory is revolutionary because of its approach: Traditionally astronomers use the 'scientific' approach, which aims to prove pre-set human hypotheses on various subjects. This results in individual theories on pieces of SSF, which although defensible in isolation, fall short when combined into an end-to-end SSF theory. This is why our consensus SSF theories all suffer from so many paradoxes and inconsistencies. In stark contrast, the new SSF is based on superior reversed engineering principles used in high-tech industry. It does not contain a single pre-set human hypothesis. In stead it analyses all human produced SSF paradoxes, in conjunction with the latest observations of Hubble ST, Spitzer ST, ALMA. Next, via structured 'many-paths' problem solving heuristics, it reasoned backwards until all paradoxes were solved. The resulting flawless end-to-end process, regardless its specific outcome (!), is logically to be accepted as the correct one.*

A key outcome of this reversed engineering reconstruction is that terrestrial planets cannot have formed 'in-situ' at their current location inside the accretion disk as was long assumed to be the case. In contrast, the best scenario is one where *terrestrial* spheres (planets and moons alike) all formed at considerable distance from the Sun, migrating inward. In addition, all would typically be equipped with outer ice layers of up to 20% of radius, akin to the still intact ice moons of Enceladus, Europe and Ganymede.



Observational support for such an 'ice layer & inward migration' scenario comes from recent observations at the TRAPPIST-1 system (C. Unterborn, Nature 2018. *Illustration right, below*) where no less than 7 terrestrial exo-planets have been discovered, all of them suspected to have substantial outer water(-ice) layers and to be migrating inward.

Without going into too much detail, the most logic and effective power source to next melt the Hadean ice layer inside out, is not solar radiation, but heat convection from land to ice. In the case of Enceladus, Europe and Ganymede, it is the giant gravitational tidal pull of a nearby Saturn and Jupiter that provides such land-ice heat convection resulting in observed water oceans underneath the ice sheets of these moons. Lacking a similar tidal pull, in Earth's case there was only one option that could have generated similar land-ice heat convection: A prolonged period of substantial rotational imbalance. For this the most likely option is incurred damage to its ice layer and for this the only serious option would be an early collision with our Moon, in which case the mysterious Lunar Aitken Basin can also be explained. Aitken basin is our Moon's largest ever impact (4.1 Ga) but remarkably it left close to no visual damage behind, while each and every minor meteor impact afterwards, did scar its surface. This is highly consistent with our Moon also having had a (minor) ice layer prior to 4.1 Ga, which it would have lost upon the impact.

The above scenario was by far the 'winner' in the reversed engineering scoring of all options, as it is the only option that could integrally explain these and many other paradoxes we have, most notably the 'faint young Sun paradox'. Since a Hadean Earth-Moon collision was such a prominent prediction of the new paradigm we, decided to put it to the test. The next step was to define geological indicators that could identify suspect areas on both Earth and Moon, after which these suspect Earth and Moon areas where compared for potential matches. Though the reader may be sceptical given our shared consensus education that a Moon-Earth collision is unthinkable, one merely needs to look at the resulting evidence in chapter 2 and beyond...

## 1.2 The framework of collisions indicators

When looking for evidence of collisions we refrained from complex models or computer simulations which nowadays seems to be sufficient to 'scientifically' proof anything. Simulations and animations may 'look nice' but they can never encompass reality, moreover they can inherently be 'tweaked' to produce literally *any* desired outcome. Although the public –and admittedly professional researchers- are ever more intrigued by the fantastic CGI products, one should be wary that this is often an indicator for an inversed relation with reality. Simulations pop up precisely in the areas where scientists hit a wall with their theories, lack supporting evidence or even get in trouble with actual inconsistent observations that next need to be overruled by CGI images, displayed in the media.

In contrast, we used only 'raw' material which *anybody* can verify, such a topographic, gravitational, chemical maps and actual visual footage of Moon and Earth. On our Moon, identifying suspect areas was relatively easy. On Earth the situation was much more difficult because of general erosion and recent plate tectonics. Sceptical of finding anything, we constructed a framework of 7 collision indicators using plain logic. The seven collision indicators are:

1. Polar features, static (e.g. a wider circular impact rim with a very (!) long straight base as 'first touch')
2. Polar features, dynamic (tectonic plates moving towards poles)
3. Topographic features, static (surface depressions or elevations, circular imprints)
4. Topographic features, dynamic (annual vertical crustal motion)
5. Gravitational anomalies
6. Chemical / radio active imprints; (dome) volcanism; lack of spin
7. High concentrations of small secondary craters

The next paragraphs we will shortly address the rationale behind the indicators above. Given the scope of subjects, the reader may question the compressed rationale. However, it is the resulting outcome in the next chapters that matters.

## 1.3 Polar scar indicator

Polar scars proved to be the dominant indicators. The idea is that the damaged part of a rotating (ice)sphere, will generate rotational imbalance. Gyroscopic forces will next try to restore balance by moving the damaged area towards one of its rotational poles. As such, this suggests poles are the ultimate places to look for scars of large impacts.




Figure 1.3.1 Spheres in general move damaged areas to rotational poles


### Secondary impact consequences

The 'Polar Mechanism' has an additional and more serious effect in case a sphere is hit twice. A sphere can handle one damaged area by moving it towards a pole but a second hit would be impossible to correct as trying to do so would move the first damaged area out of its polar position. The result will be 'eternal' gyroscopic swings, heating up the interior perhaps even stirring volcanic activity, until all of its spin is eventually lost with the major impact site residing slightly off its polar position –precisely the situation at the Lunar Aitken Basin SPA impact zone-. This gave us a first hint, that there might have been more than just one major collision on the Moon. Worst case, the wild swings after such a second impact can actually cause a sphere to disintegrate.

### Plate tectonics

In case a sphere has active 'plate tectonics', we get yet another outcome after a secondary impact: In such cases gyroscopic forces would ultimately move the newly impacted plate – if located at the opposite hemisphere- to the other rotational pole. A prime example is Gondwana/Antarctica as we will show later. With the impacted plate arriving at the opposite pole, the planet's rotational axis will once more become stable.

The 'Polar Mechanism' is not merely a theoretical concept; We actually derived it from studying our closest terrestrial spheres. On Earth we will show that the two major impacts locations are indeed found at our exact (!) north pole and our exact(!) south pole. Next, when looking at our closest neighbours, also our Moon, Mercury and Mars all have their major impact area's at their rotational poles as demonstrated in the next figure: The colour blue represents depressed, lower situated area's in all three cases.



- **Earth – Moon (not hypothetical as shown later)**  
Earth has its dominant suspect impact sites at exactly (!) both Earth's poles as demonstrated shortly. The Moon's dominant impact area is near its south pole.
- **Mercury – Venus (hypothetical)**  
At Mercury we see a situation identical to our Moon; a major impact area at its exact north pole (see above). A minor impact at Caloris basin which could not be corrected, arguably caused the loss of spin similar to the Lunar situation. Venus would be its suspected impactor with corresponding impact areas at Aphrodite Terra and Ishtar Terra. The hypothesized collision may also be related to Venus' retrograde rotation. By means of exception, on Venus the extended stretched scar of Aphrodite Terra (vs. Mercury north pole!) was apparently best compensated by an equatorial position.
- **Mars – Martian Moon (now asteroid belt, hypothetical)**  
The Martian surface shows a devastating impact at its north polar basin (see above) and a minor impact at Hellas Planitia basin. The larger Martian moon likely responsible for the latter polar basin impact (400-500km in diameter at least) may later have disintegrated due to resulting rotational imbalance, forming the current asteroid belt.

#### 1.4 Topographic indicators


Apart from a polar location, we also looked into what to expect at the various impact sites themselves. In all, typical topographic features of interest would include:

1. A large circular impact rim feature and a depressed *OR* elevated central feature.
2. A long *straight line* at the base of such a circular feature, indicative of a shallow (*very!*) wide-body impact.
3. A long straight central crustal fracture line; In case sphere have a substantial ice layer at the time of impact, linear breaking ice shelves could pierce the crust, leaving a straight crustal fracture line at the centre.

Some of the above seem reasonable indicators, while some may not appear logic at first. A straight 'first touch' impact line for instance is not something usually seen at impact sites. However, if we are dealing with *extremely* large impact structures that effectively cover a substantial part of the planets horizontal curvature, then if deep enough, these *will* result in linear impact shapes. Given our Moon is relative small in terms of circumference this potential effect was expected to be best visible on the Moon. Indeed, there were two such vertical lines present at suspected impact sites: The southern base of Lunar Aitken Basin and the linear base of Oceanus Procellarum, both spanning some 1500 km.

With respect to impact areas with central elevations; On the Moon we are used to see instant central lifted features at craters caused by shock-molten silicates during impact. But on Earth (and arguably Mars in the past) there is an additional and quite different mechanism that can produce a post-impact gradual uplift over hundreds of millions of years. This has to do with Earth's underlying mantle rock getting macroscopically crushed, causing water attracting capillary effects in the mantle which over a very long period (hundreds of millions of years) can generate expansionary (oxidation) uplift effects. This is a crucial indicator effect which we will discuss on several occasions, starting with Earth's vertical crustal motion map discussed next.

**The vertical crustal motion map**



The Vertical Crustal Motion ('VCM') map above, shows the annual lift and decline of Earth's surface. It has circular anomalies near the poles which drew our attention. The usual geologic 'scientific' consensus explanation is that during ice ages, ice masses would invade from the poles, their weight depressing the region while blocking any rebound. As the ice recedes, the surface would consequently rebound at an increased rate. At first sight, this seems a satisfying explanation, yet if one critically evaluates the proposed explanation, it is actually *not at all plausible*:


There is no question that ice masses *can* and *do* temporarily block crustal rebound, causing increased post-glacial rebound. This part is correct. However, it is physically unlikely that invading ice sheets would have **produced** these very local and very circular rebounding surface depressions in the first place:

If glaciers typically produce such imprints, surely they would be 'trench' like, not circular. And even if glaciers would typically produce circular imprints, why then are there only a hand full on Earth? and why not in Siberia? In all, it is far more likely that the depressed circular area's already existed **prior** to any recent ice ages, rebounding long before recent ice layers temporarily blocked their rebound. As such, ancient wide-body impacts *would* be a viable alternative explanation and the vertical crust motion map above thus indicates 3 *suspect* Earth impact area's:

1. A multi-pivot (?) impact zone at Canada NW, Hudson Bay / Nastapoka Arc, Gulf of St Lawrence, Foxe basin
2. A related (?) impact at the northern Baltic sea.
3. Antarctica, left and right of the Antarctic peninsula;


In addition, we need to draw attention to the related (?) Wilkes land gravitational anomaly at Antarctica which we inserted in the above corner. This 480 km (!) wide sub-ice feature is generally believed to be the remains of a giant impact site, not older than 500 Ma (Wikipedia). This *might* suggest a potential first impact entry point, related to the double impacts imprint left and right on the Antarctica Peninsula, forming this peninsula as such. If indeed these are related, then the wide body impactor seems to have almost 'rolled' over the current area we now call Antarctica, since the distances are enormous.

One would almost dismiss this option, if it were not for a similar mirrored giant rolling impact picture at the Moon at its suspect impact spot of wider Oceanus Procellarum. We will discuss this recent footage later ('Lunar Light Plains' H. Meyer, LROC/SOC-ASU)



## 1.5 Earth Impact site map indicator

Looking for more indicators, it was suggested that any impact may have caused the launch of surface debris, re-impacting as a secondary minor 'meteor like' impacts. As such we looked for area's of concentrated meteor impacts which would then logically need to correlate with the vertical crustal motion map. Several surprising findings occurred:



### 1. Strong correlation

First of all; As shown above, there appears to be a *very* strong correlation between this meteor impact map and the vertical crustal motion (VCM) map, not just in the north but also in the south, since Australia, Africa and South America were all part of greater Gondwana until 200 Ma. Most obvious is the Bay of Bothnia lighting up on both charts! The logical next step was to look at the ages of the geographic concentrated meteor impacts. These ought to be identical if we are looking at debris impacting. Additional crater research learned that the concentrated craters are all not older than 500-400Ma, yet surprisingly they *vary* in age. This rules out that the concentrated impacts would be the result of debris re-impacting after a major impact. But if not caused by re-impacting debris, then why the concentrations and why is there yet the *obvious* correlation with the VCM map of the previous page?

### 2. Regional impact uplift effects

In the end only we could only explain this correlation if the following two Earth features are true:

1. The entire globe would for long have been submerged until ca. 540 Ma, the area of first amphibious land life.
2. The ancient (lunar?) impact areas would originally be depressed yet gradually lift, becoming the **first areas to emerge out of the ocean** and thus be subject to more - and older- meteorite impacts than the rest of the still submerged continental crust.

As explained before, geologic studies revealed how come depressed impact areas would typically eventually lift above their surroundings; water inside mantle rock becomes supercritical upon such impacts, causing macroscopic fragmentation of mantle rock. This in turn leads to water attracting capillary effects inside the impact-fragmented mantle rock causing post impact expansion & uplift effects. In a not so far-off comparison, it is similar to developing a bump on one's head after hitting a wall. We will elaborate on page 21.


### 3. Lunar meteorite finds at Antarctica

There is a slightly more indirect but still fascinating fact about Lunar meteorites found in specifically Antarctica: The website of the University of Washington cites it as follows:

'...In the lingo of meteoritics, all Lunar meteorites have been 'finds not falls'. In other words, no Lunar meteorite has been observed as a meteor. This is a curious fact as there are fewer Martian meteorites than Lunar meteorites yet several of the Martian meteorites have been observed to fall (Chassigny, Shergotty, Nakhla, Tissint, Zagami)....'

Assuming the rules of statistics apply universally, this suggests the Antarctic Lunar meteorites simply never fell, in which case they would be...sediments. Though no major prove yet, the entire idea of a collision started to become less 'absurd' than our shared education would suggest. It was time to look for anomalies on our Moon:


## 1.6 Lunar indicator: Gravity anomalies



On the moon, the famous dark maria stand out. General consensus is that they are caused by ancient volcanic eruptions preceded by impacts of large asteroids. Though impacts seem a valid option, it is not likely asteroids or meteors were the impactors, given the one-sided concentration of the maria, their non-circular shape and relative wide gravity anomalies. The visual spectrum to the left does not reveal further clues, yet the detailed gravity maps of the 2011 GRAIL mission to the right, clearly indicate three separate impact candidates:

1. **Aitken Basin.** First and foremost, Aitken basin, which is hardly visible in the visual spectrum, revealed itself as the remnant of a wide body impact occurring at ca. 4.1 Ga and is now generally considered to be just that. Earth is its likely impactor, yet this option is hardly ever mentioned. Arguably the idea is that such a violent collision would have left more structural damage to both spheres. However, such reasoning is based upon the implicit and *unproven* assumption that both Earth and Moon at 4.1Ga should be more or less similar to their current appearances. Quite in contrast –and in line with the purging hypothesis- both spheres at 4.1 Ga would have had a substantial ice layer, quite capable of absorbing the brunt of the impact energy. This also solves the mystery why this biggest ever Lunar impact caused hardly any visual damage to the Moon's surface, while each and every minor impact afterwards caused substantial visual damage (!!). If indeed the Moon once had an outer ice layer, this would be the moment it lost it entirely.
2. **The major maria.** When looking at the surface gravity map above, it is easy to see the 7 major 'gravity anomaly' maria are all connected by two imaginary trajectories, crossing at Mare Moscoviense, forming a *perfect* triangle!! This would be the textbook remains of a shallow multi-pivot delta impact with a large body. Again, Earth would be the prime suspect, since a multi-zone impact is consistent with the buoyancy effects one may expect from Earth's formidable water layer once its ice layer melted. In the previous paragraph we saw the Canadian Shield hosts a string of suspect impact zones. **As such it did not take long to confirm that the suspect areas of Canada NW, Hudson Bay, Nastapoka Arc and gulf of St Lawrence are the *exact* in-line mirrored imprints of Mare Imbrium, Serenitatis, Crisium and Moscoviense. Eventually all 4+3 inversed imprints were retrieved on Earth with correct 1. size, 2 shape, 3. position and 4. orientation. In chapter 3 all stunning details are provided.**

3. **The wider Oceanus Procellarum area.** Since both strings of maria stretch well beyond Oceanus Procellarum, the Oceanus Procellarum area itself should be treated as a separate, **overlapping** and more framed impact suspect. To further illustrate: To the right we see the NASA PIA 18822 study of the Gravity Gradient Frame of Procellarum indicating a gravitational anomaly in the crust. Adjacent we see the Moon in false colours, showing the same frame as a rusty **surface** feature, best visible at Mare Frigoris to the North. The 'rusty' overlap at mare Serenitatis suggests this impact is the youngest impact candidate. As shown later in chapter 4, this NASA study –




for which NASA claims it has no clear explanation- has a *perfect* inversed correlation with the **widening** circular scars or 'mid ocean ridges' on the ocean floor around Antarctica. With Mare Imbrium and Serenitatis situated within the 'rusty' area, we also get the prediction these two prominent maria would **again** leave their imprints on Earth! If so, looking at the VCM map, there is only **one** option for a possible corresponding double Earth impact site: left and right of the Antarctic peninsula. **The reader is invited to check this *very detailed mapping prediction* of these specific Earth / Lunar areas with his/her own eyes at chapter 4.**



### 1.7 Lunar indicator: Chemical imprints


Finally, we studied some chemical composition maps for details. The idea is that any impact between Moon and Earth would superheat the water trapped in between both spheres. At those pressures and temperatures, water becomes supercritical; a physical state in which it can dissolve even rock but not the heaviest elements in it (Iron, Titanium, Thorium, Uranium). As such, it would erode and dissolve the entire rocky surface at the impact zone of both spheres and logically leave behind exceptionally high concentrations of metal oxides whereas the rocks were spread over the entire Lunar surface as regolith. On the map to the right, the iron oxide distribution seems to confirm the wider Oceanus Procellarum area is indeed related to a wide-body impact. (*Clementine map of Lunar iron oxide concentrations*). Also it indicates a clear linear impact base at its left *and* a slightly 'rolling' skew upon unfolding. Inversed, this predicts a left rotating impact, which is *exactly* what we'll see when mapping it on Antarctica in chapter 4.



Also it indicates a clear linear impact base at its left *and* a slightly 'rolling' skew upon unfolding. Inversed, this predicts a left rotating impact, which is *exactly* what we'll see when mapping it on Antarctica in chapter 4.

### 1.8 The three Earth-Moon collisions

Below the numbers of all collisions are inserted, with their counterparts on Earth and Moon.



Referring to the impact numbers above: We propose to coin the three impacts as follows

1. **The Arctic or 'Hadean' impact, ca. 4,1 Ga.** The 'mother of all impacts' between Earth's *current* North Pole (Gakkel Ridge to Canada basin) and the Lunar Aitken basin near the *current* Lunar South Pole.
  2. **a. The Canadian Shield ('Archean') impact 3.5-3.9 Ga String 1.**  
This involves: a. Mare Imbrium = Canada North- West, b. Mare Serenitatis = Hudson Bay South, c. Mare Crisium= Hudson Bay East (Nastapoka arc) and d. Mare Moscoviense = Gulf of St Lawrence.
  2. **b. The Canadian Shield ('Archean') impact 3.5-3.9 Ga String 2.**  
This involves: Mare Smythii = Gulf of Bothnia, b. Mare Nectaris = Aegir Ridge c. Mare Humorum=Greenland.
- Both strings belong together, forming a 7-zone delta pivot impact. Due to tectonics, part of the string 2 impacts later migrated to the east on Earth.
3. **The Gondwana/Antarctica ('Ordovician') impact ca. 450 Ma?** This impact has a bent trajectory and occurred between the Moon's Oceanus Procellarum / Imbrium/ Serenitatis region (NASA study PIA 18822) and Earth's *equatorial* Gondwana, centred at current Antarctica. On Earth, the borders of this nearly squared impact area are the *spreading* mid oceanic ridges around Antarctica. During this collision, Mare Imbrium and Mare Serenitatis impacted right and left of the Antarctic peninsula, forming it as such.
  4. **Mare Orientale** shares comparable features with Mariana Trench *and* a large impact area at the border of Idaho / Utah, U.S.A. We will address what *could* be behind this trinity in relation to the growth of the Pacific. The Mare Orientale impact likely belongs to the Gondwana impact, as it is located centred and in front of Oceanus Procellarum.

The next chapters will display in amazing visual detail each of the above 3 collisions: When looking at the baffling matching geographic imprints, one should keep in mind that all locations were predicted and next found using the indicators described in this chapter. As such, there is not just visual but also logical and physical evidence for each identified imprint.

## Chapter 2. The Hadean Collision (4.1 Ga)

The Lunar Aitken basin is highly visible on the topographic and surface gravity map. This huge area is generally recognised as the oldest impact basin on the Moon with age estimates varying from 4.0 to 4.3 Ga. It is thought to be forged by a very low impact speed (10 km/s) with a huge body. Reference: "...constraining the size of the South Pole-Aitken impact, Potter, Collins et.al."

Aitken basin is located near the Lunar south pole so that is a major indicator. It is a large depressed circular area, with a linear 1700- km base at the lower right side of Aitken basin, all again consistent with a wide-body impact. In addition, there are elevated iron levels. These are enough factors to look for a matching feature on Earth. Theory predicts the best place to look for is at Earth's poles. And indeed, a matching impact zone on Earth is found at *exactly* our North pole; The 1700 km (!) straight impact line of Amundsen Basin / Gakkel ridge. It is the oldest and most deformed impact site, yet although altered by tectonics and the later rotation of Greenland, the mapping is still recognisable as analysed below. Lunar images are presented in their mirrored (inversed) image to allow for easier comparisons with Earth features.




Figure 2.1; Earth polar region and Moon topographic south pole region; Source Clementine Topographic map, Lunar Planetary Institute

## 2.1 Primary observations


1. In general: notice the similar forces inflicted on the edges of both circles; On the moon we see huge compressing forces (in red) form mountains around the impact zone, just like on Earth.
2. The entry points for both spheres are at the straight white lines indicated by the red arrows. On Earth, the primary entry is the Eurasian continent at the Barentz-Kara sea margin, reaching the ocean floor at current Gakkel ridge. Its near perfect straight line might indicate a huge ice shell piercing the crust at Gakkel ridge.
3. An identical secondary line -most likely scraped landmass from the Gakkel ridge area- forms Lomonosov ridge. At the Greenland-end of Lomonosov ridge we can see this ridge appears snapped during impact and pushed away towards Canada so that Lomonosov and Gakkel Ridge now slightly angle at about 10 degrees. This double impact feature seems to be reflected on the Lunar picture as well.
4. Next we see a less deep imprint creating the Chukchi Plateau and Mendeleev Ridge. At the corresponding Lunar impact area, we see this reflected by a square which is blue in stead of purple, indicating a less deep imprint.
5. Next we see the impact ending at Canada Basin. The original impact forces on both surfaces must have been tremendous as even to this date, both areas are still way below their surrounding surface, on the Moon even 13 km
6. On the Moon, on top we see a surface pressure divide between the two red area's indicated by a white triangle. On Earth there is an *exact* copy of this divide in pressure, forming the Bering sea and Bering straight between current Russia and Alaska. Notice also the angle of this divide on Earth grows disproportionately larger towards the south, compared to the Lunar situation, indicating the seafloor on Earth has dilated substantially at the Pacific ever since.
7. Looking at the bottom-left part of the Lunar Aitken Picture, we see a stretched red feature, horizontally aligned with a *hollow* centre, attached to the lunar area that would correspond with Quebec on Earth. We see no such feature on current day Earth. However, studying tectonics, this is the location where Greenland would be, while still connected *horizontally*, to the North American continent. Notice how Greenland's rotated arc-shaped South-East coast perfectly aligns with the impact circle. Also, Greenland land-wise is actually *hollow* at its centre as the superimposed picture shows, just as the Lunar situation predicts.
8. Related to this; Within Earth's red dotted wider impact circle we see a stark dissonant with the Lunar situation: parts of Northern Canada and Greenland appear crushed, fragmented and are located inside the original impact circle. **The lunar picture suggests this would *not* be the original Earth situation upon forming the arctic region at 4.1 Ga, which -*independently*- predicts a second major event *must* have happened at the Canadian Shield *afterwards* causing this scattering of NW Canada and the detachment of Greenland.** This is *highly consistent* with the independent indicators of chapter 1 already pointing at the Canadian Shield. Thus; an actual Moon-Earth overlay match in this region, would give decisive and undeniable 3-fold support both impacts did happen!
9. Zooming out, the Lunar picture suggests that a half circle, from Greenland to Bering Street and the Lena river in Russia is original and related arctic landmass, forged at 4,1 Ga. This suggests:
  - a. Unlike many 'scientific' tectonic animations, almost no tectonic movement occurred ever since in this half-circle region. In addition; the Arctic seafloor is to be seen as depressed continental crust, not seafloor.
  - b. All of Earth's landmass west from the Lena river would originally *not* be located this high up north on Earth. *Again* this is correct. This is a separate landmass, the Eurasian plate, only joining at the Lena at 300 Ma.

## 2.2 Seizing of impact basins: Ice layers, depleting oceans, mantle swelling

As a follow-up question one may wonder if the sizes of both impact basins are in line with what is to be expected from the purging hypothesis. Following its logic, the aftermath of this first impact on Earth, would be substantially different than on our Moon, leading to an estimated 20% larger impact area currently on Earth. To explain:

As mentioned in the paragraph 1.1, both Earth and Moon at 4.1Ga would have been covered in ice layers of up to 20% of radius, like all three of our intact ice moons. The Enceladus sketch below would resemble both spheres prior to impact, except for the liquid water layer. The consequences of the impact would be the following:

- **Our Moon**, being by far the smaller sphere, would have lost its entire ice sheet upon the impact. As such, after the Aitken basin impact, the entire Lunar surface was exposed to any and all minor or major meteorite impacts.
- **On Earth**, in contrast, the ice layer would survive but sustain substantial damage and thus rotational imbalance, leading to a gradual inside out melting of its ice layer via land-ice heat convection. It would give rise to an ever deeper Archean ocean pressing ever more on Earth's continental crust. It would gradually enter the upper mantle via cracks and vents, reducing Earth's upper mantle density, causing a gradual swelling as surface water depletes. *Notice this swelling process implies NO INHERENT CHANGE in neither total volume nor total mass of the Earth.*



### 2.3 Testing the predicted expansion at Earth's impact basin

In annex 1 three independent calculations hint at an outer ice layer at inception of around 20% of radius, ranging anywhere from 1250 to 1500 km. Since the arctic collision covers all of Earth meridians, we should therefore see the swelling of Earth's since 4.1 Ga being reflected by a 20% + larger arctic impact basin on Earth compared to the Aitken. This is we can check:

1. Referring to the Hadean impact comparison on the previous page, the diameter of the dotted wider impact circle on the Moon at the South Pole / Aitken ('SPA') region is 2600 km, whereas
2. On Earth this circle is now about 3350km, the distance between north Alaska and Longyearbyen on Spitsbergen. Thus, on Earth it is currently 1-3350 / 2600 or **29% wider**, which seems to be consistent with theory, hinting even at an extra expansionary effect which we indeed found as discussed in the next paragraph:

### 2.4 Detailed considerations with respect to migrating surface water

As a first remark it must be said that, given our consensus education, 1500 km of water ice at inception sounds outlandish. However, the 7 water ice covered planets in the Trappist-1 system and the three intact ice moons in our solar system (NASA estimates Ganymede has 700km of ice layer) provide a huge challenge to the ancient –yet still consensus-narrative that Earth would be equipped with only a few km of water at inception. This assumption might have been defensible a century ago when astronomers thought Earth was the only planet to hold water. But anno 2019, with recent discoveries and observations, it is grotesque to still suggest Earth always had a few km of (fluid!) water, which it would next miraculously maintain for 4,5 billion years in order to facilitate an equally illogic 'static' Earth hypothesis. From a biblical or traditional stance, there might be arguments, although even that is shaky if one reads Genesis:

[1:6] And God said, "Let there be a dome in the midst of the waters, and let it separate the waters from the waters."

[1:7] So God made the dome and separated the waters that were under the dome (*the ice-melting water*) from the waters that were above the dome (*the outer ice layer*). And it was so.

Apart from religious considerations, from an objective viewpoint it is simply untenable to keep thinking of Earth as a static planet. Moreover; when applied to Mars and arguably Venus, planetary scientists have no problem suggesting surface water having gone sub-surface. We just don't like to consider we might be in the midst of the same process on Earth, even though it would still take millions of years to really be noticeable here. In slightly more detail the following likely happened:


- From 4.1 Ga onwards, Earth's rising water would gradually inject itself into subsurface locations and reside in the form of e.g. Serpentinite or hydroxide, e.g. stored in Ringwoodite. Alternatively, it may take part in oxidation cycles, like the very expansionary (+54%) wet or dry silicon oxidation. At Earth's core, there is still a substantial residual layer of pure silicon left (ref: 'Silicon is found to be the missing element at Earth's core Jan 2017, Prof Eiji Ohtani at Tohoku University Northern Japan'). Pure silicon would explain the larger than 20% growth at Arctic vs Aitken basin.
- Prior to 250 Ma, it would appear there was only continental crust (see figure below) upon which the gradually depleting oceans would rest while the mantle swelling at a modest calculated rate of 2,78mm py. (see annex 1)
- At around 250 Ma (potentially causing the P-Tr extinction) grand scale plate tectonics got active and its subduction of **water saturated ocean** floor enhanced the swelling process, since hardly any water returns at the mid oceanic ridges where new ocean floor is formed. The logic result was that the continental crust started to rupture and drift apart, whilst staying semi-attached to the expanding more viscous upper mantle. Plate tectonics therefore is no 'equilibrium process and *certainly not* the driver of continental drift. It is a reactionary process which follows the unstoppable inherent swelling of the mantle.

Even though suppressed in consensus geology, there are three measured facts that further support this:

- There is **NO** evidence of oceanic floor existing prior to 250 Ma, not even at the centre of the static Mariana Trench oceanic floor spot where it should have manifested, had it existed prior to 250 Ma.
- There is hard scientific data that the rate of diverging of plate boundaries exceeds the rate of the converging/ subduction of boundaries. Plate tectonics is simply no equilibrium process and there never was a floating Pangea.
- From 1976 to 1993, 600 Earth VLBI stations measured an average growth of Earth's mantle of 18 mm per year

Figure 2.2. Patterns of seafloor spreading in the Pacific (left), Arctic (centre), and Atlantic oceans (right); U.S. Dept. of Commerce/NOAA.

Grey is ancient continental crust (70%). In colour is recent oceanic floor (all 250 Ma or younger). It hints at a 30% smaller sphere at 250 Ma. At the arctic one can see the recent basin growth due to coloured new ocean floor



- In closing, an overlooked fact is that during the last 4.50 My, our Sun has revolved twice in our galaxy's spiral arms, resulting a considerable greater distance from the centre of our galaxy. The spacetime curvature induced by the centre of the galaxy is less extreme at these wider distances, resulting in more free space between individual atoms. In solids (the crust) this growth would be more constraint then in fluids (Earth's core) hence there would be an additional spatial expansionary mechanism at work, explaining why our continental crust burst.

### Data on mantle expansion

All of this would mean current sophisticated technology should be able to detect substantial mantle rise. Remarkably the first data ever gathered scientifically on a grand scale regarding mantle expansion, was immediately subject of a huge controversy. From 1976 to 1993 data was collected form a network of over 600 VLBI stations on Earth and the outcome was an average growth of Earth's outer mantle of no less than **18 mm per year!!** This is consistent with the excessive seafloor spreading which started some 250-200 million years ago as the previous picture showed. It was however not consistent with the upcoming theory of plate tectonics which suggested a static Earth. Consequently, the findings were reportedly next corrected:

#### Space Geodetic Network:

*'... Calculations based on the established global observational network to 1993 gave a mean "value of up-down (radial) motions of over 18 mm/year", this was considered extremely high when compared to expected de-glacial rates. It was "expected that most VLBI stations will have up-down motions of only a few mm/year" and it was then **recommended** that the vertical motion be "**restricted to zero**, because this is closer to the **true** situation than the average of 18 mm/year....".*

*-Robaudo & Harrison (1993)-*


If such corrections take place -as Earth expansion proponents claim- this is for sure morally questionable....

## Chapter 3. The Archean Collision (3.5 - 3.9 Ga)

After describing the Hadean collision, the next features of interest are the major Lunar maria, which are all positioned at Earth's facing side. In this chapter, we will see if all seven major maria can indeed be mapped onto the Canadian shield as predicted in chapter one. The Oceanus Procellarum area is not part of this collision and will be addressed in the next chapter.

### 3.1 The forming of the maria

General consensus is that Lunar maria are related to impacting asteroids or meteors, their basins later flooded with lava from the Lunar interior. The radiometric ages of maria rim material range from 3,16 to 4,2 Ga, but most are dated around 3.9 Ga. The ages of the lava basalts range from 3 to 3,5 Ga (source Wikipedia). As such, it is generally believed the major maria to the right (2a and 2b) would have formed somewhere between 3.5-3.9 Ga.



There are problems with the view that individual meteor impacts caused the maria; It is not consistent with the fact that only the Earth-facing side has maria. Moreover, the maria do not have typical meteor impact features at all; they are irregularly shaped and their surface gravity distribution is relative wide. Then there is an even stranger anomaly, never mentioned in studies: All seven 'surface gravity anomaly' maria above are *perfectly aligned* along two virtual trajectories – 2a and 2b above-, indicating a multi-zone impact with a wide-body object. Since both trajectories converge at Mare Moscoviense we may even be looking at a combined seven-zone delta impact where the Moon would have banked left and right during an impact converging or diverging at Mare Moscoviense. Current day Earth could not accommodate such an impact but Earth at 3.5-3.9 Ga, would have had an outer water layer still in access of 1200 km (see Annex 1), being quite able to exert substantial surface tension and buoyancy effects consistent with the 'bumpy' impact trajectories as depicted above. Logically and physically this seems the only viable option. To confirm, we will need to retrieve the exact inversed copies of this 7-fold maria delta impact on Earth where each of the inversed imprints must have the correct 1) size 2) shape 3) relative position and 4) relative orientation.

### 3.2 String 2a: Imbrium, Serenitatis, Crisium, Moscoviense

#### *Chemical and physical characteristics of impact zones*


With basic knowledge of physics and chemistry, one can derive what Lunar impact remnants would look like on Earth given the supposed condition of a deep ice/water layer on Earth at the time of impact:

1. The suggested multi-zone impact would take place under water. As such we will not find typical crater impact ejecta, since this only applies for 'air' covered ground impacts.
2. Instead, upon impact any water trapped between both surfaces would logically be subject to extreme pressure and temperature reaching supercritical levels. In this physical state water can fragment and dissolve surface rock, but not the heavier metals in it (Fe, Ti, Th, U). The logic result for the impact areas would thus be that:
3. The surface at the impact sites will be totally dissolved and 'just gone', both on Earth and Moon. A shallow excavated imprint (identical on both Earth and Moon!) is all that would logically be left.
4. In the close proximity of the imprint one would expect to find a high concentrations of the non-soluble metals in their oxidised form

Given the mantle fracturing characteristics, the mantle directly underneath an impact site will be depressed yet will also display water attracting capillary effects gradually uplifting and expanding the transition zone underneath what's left of the crust (hence the vertical crustal motions).

#### *Detailed location of suspect impact zones*

To next determine *where* we may find the mirrored 7 fold impacts we earlier explained why we looked at the vertical crustal motions map. Establishing the actual impact confirmations - or failure to do so - will tell in hindsight if our interpretation of this map was a justified one.



Looking at the GIA vertical crustal motion map above, the main area of interest is the Canadian Shield, showing the most anomalies to potentially 'host' the imprints of the triangle of 7 gravity anomaly maria: One may also have another look at the world map to the right and appreciate just how scattered Canada NW is compared to any other region on Earth....

*The suspects on the vertical crustal motion map above are:*


1. A major impact at Canada NW, next:
2. Hudson bay, Nastapoka arc, with a weak extension eastward towards:
3. The Gulf of St. Lawrence
4. To the north we see a minor blue area at Foxe basin suggesting a fourth point of interest.

The rest of this map we will address later. Remarkably, the Arctic event (page 9) independently suggested there would have to be a secondary event at the Canadian Shield causing the scattering of North West Canada and the rotation of Greenland. Together with the crustal motion map above and the EDEIS crater map, this makes **three** congruent indications for the Canadian Shield.

*The actual mapping*

All indications presented so far are remarkable but still do not constitute 'hard scientific evidence'. The proof of the pudding will have to be the actual overlay of the mirrored Lunar area onto Earth. The pivotal points for the Earth-Lunar overlay will be Mare Crisium on the Moon and Nastapoka arc on Hudson bay east: Their **perfect** match in size and shape has been noticed before in literature. As such Crisium constitutes a very clear gradient by which to map the Lunar map onto the Canadian shield. The consequence of this specific gradient mapping is that the other 6 Lunar maria are then also assigned a **fixed** Earth location as their mirrored counterpart. As such we get the following 1 on 1 mapping predictions:


1. Mare Imbrium MUST match Canada NW
2. Mare Serengetatis MUST match Hudson bay south
3. Mare Vaporum MUST match Foxe Basin
4. Mare Moscoviense MUST match Gulf of St Lawrence
5. Mare Homurom MUST match Greenland NW
6. Mare Smythii matches Bay of Bothnia (part of later tectonic plate drift, explained later)
7. Mare Nectaris matches Aegir ridge (part of later tectonic plate drift, explained later)



Failure to confirm these 7 matching predictions leads to falsification of the multi zone impact idea. **Confirmation of all 7 impacts leads to irrefutable evidence it did happen!** Upfront, no one –not even the authors of this paper- would have bet all 7 predicted locations would actually be retrieved. **Yet, starting with the Imbrium-Serenetatis-Crisium-Moscoviense string, the impossible unfolded: all 4 maria matched their predicted location on Canada perfectly in 1) size 2) shape 3) relative position 4) relative orientation as displayed on the next page!**

Diameter Mare Imbrium: 1100 km  
 Diameter Mare Serenitatis: 675 km  
 Diameter Mare Crisium: 550 km, circular element 450 km  
 Diameter Mare Moscoviense : 277 km

→ Correct sized virtual circle, correct display of southern shore line  
 → Correct sized half imprint at Hudson Bay West  
 → Diameter Nastapoka arc Hudson Bay East: 450 km  
 → Diameter Gulf of St. Lawrence: 280 km



The distance between Mare Crisium and Serenitatis on the Moon is slightly larger compared to the distance between Hudson bay East and Hudson bay West. This is consistent with later tectonic movement on Earth of Hudson Bay East. Also, distances may vary slightly due to the Moon veering off between two impacts. Since Mare Moscoviense is not visible on the Lunar picture its relative distance from Crisium was projected onto Nastapoka arc (Hudson Bay East) leading to the gulf of St. Lawrence as the suspect imprint for Moscoviense. Their ***baffling*** comparison is below:

Moon, Mare Moscoviense 277 km (inverted and rotated) Gravity anomaly chart, source: Grail mission	Earth, Gulf of St Lawrence 280 km (Bathymetry ocean floor)
<p>Topographic chart</p>	
<p><b>1.</b> Yellow ellipse and arrows:          On the Moon, right outside the primary impact ring, there is a green area indicating unusual low surface compression to the right and top.          On Earth, at the same spot, we see a major landslide to the right and top, explaining where the missing impact energy went into</p> <p><b>2.</b>          On the Moon we see a small but clear crater at the base of the central impact basin          On Earth on exactly the same spot we see a clear bulge (White Hill, Nova Scotia), providing the fingerprint-like inverse of the lunar crater</p> <p>Red arrows:  <b>3.</b>          On the moon we see a 'horse shoe' shaped impact feature. Notice the reversed convex curvature on the outer tip of the lower half.          On Earth we see the same horse shoe like impact feature, albeit detached from the center due to the impact.          Notice the same reversed convex curvature at the outer tip of the lower half (Prince Edward Island, right above the left red arrow)</p>	

Again, what is so incredible is that all **four** (five) Earth imprints not only match their Lunar counterparts in shape and size, but also in relative position and relative orientation (!!). As such, it is ***statistically impossible*** that these are not the combined counter-imprints of the corresponding four Lunar maria.



### 3.2 String 2b: Smythii, Nectaris, Humorum, Moscoviense



To next upgrade the confirmed 4-fold impact to the suggested 7-fold delta impact structure, we next have to find the inversed imprints of string 2b (Smythii, Nectaris and Humorum) at their projected Earth locations, just north of the 2a series. This is not easy, since the 2b series apparently fractured Earth's surface and would thus likely be found on migrated tectonic plates. The inherent disposing of impact energy, is consistent with the fact that all 2b mare have less gravitational anomalies imprinted on the moon (see earlier Lunar surface gravity map) then the 2a series.

To start off, the inversed Lunar map to the right shows the seven 'surface gravity anomaly' maria in white letters. At the Procellarum side, the view gets distorted by material of this more recent 3<sup>rd</sup> impact. Mare Smythii is the first 2b 'mare' we need to retrieve

#### a) Mare Smythii


On Earth, starting from the Gulf of St Lawrence (Mare Moscoviense), we should see from east to west the inversed imprints of Mare Smythii, Nectaris and Humorum. Earth's vertical crustal motion map below immediately presents a test-case: The Baltic sea (Bay of Bothnia), is the eastern most candidate and should thus be inversed mare Smythii, having moved from its projected spot at red dot nr 1 below.

It is interesting there is a shadow spot in red similar to the bay of Bothnia imprint. But that is circumstantial evidence. What we need to see is the predicted match of Gulf of Bothnia and inversed mare Smythii to further cement actual the 7 fold impact scenario:




As demonstrated to the right, the shape of inverted mare Smythii, is indeed a perfect copy of the Bay of Bothnia. The match was not easy to establish as one has to go back some 10.000 years to find a higher water mark (400 km in diameter) confirming the land around the Gulf is actually shaped as this mare.

Looking at the Lunar position of Smythii relative to Crisium, the Bay of Bothnia -and with it the entire Scandinavian plate- would have been positioned over Quebec at 3,5 Ga (near the red dot nr.1 below) prior to the collision rotated 45 degrees. Losing its upper layer (the later Scandinavian plate) would have exposed or formed the old cratons at Canada.



In addition, as discussed earlier at page nine; West of this position we would find Greenland rotated 90 degrees counter clockwise as its current South East coast was at the arctic impact circle. Combined this means the shoreline of Norway would have been attached to the current **west** coast of Greenland at 3.5 Ga as both plates were still attached to the North American plate.

This gives us the map to the right showing Greenland and Scandinavia in their offset positions. Notice how the bathymetry of Norway sub-Lofoten allows for the inclusion of Iceland. This detail is of course speculative. As discussed earlier, although Iceland is estimated to be very young, this is only because its top-layer is measured to be young. Although it is popular in geology to simply suggest the underlying layers are then equally old –at least in case of continental crust- this tradition is utterly unfounded and presumptuous. One can only know the age of deeper layers by drilling 20-30km deep, which is not feasible...




### B, Mare Nectaris

Although the original idea was that Mare Nectaris could be the inverse of Foxe basin, this later appeared to be an incorrect assessment as Mare Nectaris is located too far east of Foxe basin to be its counterpart. In stead Foxe basin is related to the inversed mare Vaporum as can be seen on the earlier picture of the Imbrium impact series.

The Mare Nectaris imprint on Earth was found by first defining the location vector relative to mare Smythii on the Moon and next projecting this vector onto the gulf of Bothnia. As displayed to the right this points to an area east of Iceland. Best matching in location and shape is **Aegir Ridge/Norway basin**. Inversed mare Nectaris is shown to the right and indeed the projection on Earth looks acceptable, displaying the south-west 'winglet' of inversed Nectaris. The location is all the more plausible since it represents a continental crustal fragment in an otherwise expanding sea floor area (picture far right)


Iceland, it would appear, would first have moved east as part of Norway, and next pulled back by the mid ocean ridge like a rubber band to become a separate island on top of it

- Red line on the Moon projects location vector Nectaris (1600 km) from Smythii
- Yellow line from Bothnia projects same vector on Earth
- Best location match is seafloor anomaly between Iceland and Norway (blue line)
- Shape has similarities and correct size relative to inversed Nectaris
- Notice how seafloor age map displays this anomaly as an ancient 'island' at blue arrow. This is unique for the entire global map



### C. Mare Humorum

The last west-most Mare in the 2b series is Mare Humorum. Although chances were that also Earth's imprint of inversed Mare Humorum would be found on a dislocated tectonic plate, we first started to look at its projected location on Earth: In the top right of the picture below we see the Lunar distances of Humorum relative to Imbrium (1300km) and the southern most tip of Serenitatis (2750 km). Projecting the same distance vectors onto the Canadian Shield we get to its predicted corresponding Earth location which resulted in NW Greenland as shown below.




Can we confirm also this final prediction of Greenland NW being the inversed of Mare Humorum? The reader can be the judge looking at the figure to the right; The fantastic 7<sup>th</sup> confirmation as inversed Mare Humorum exactly matches the predicted location in 1. size 2. shape 3. location and 4. orientation. Again statistically impossible to be a false positive

What makes this impact remarkable is the suggested upright position of Greenland (semi transparent above) after the Hadean impact and thus prior to the Archean impact. This gives us two options:

1. Either the rotation of Greenland happened gradually in the millions of years between the two impacts **OR**
2. This happened during the Archean impact itself. The entire Greenland rotation must then have happened between the Imbrium and Humorum impact. With a suggested impact speed of 10km/s this means the full rotation happened within 3 minutes (1800 km), during which the Moon would literally have 'surfed' on Greenland itself before fixating it onto its current location and veering off.

If correct, this means an immense plate acceleration of Greenland, which combined with its inertia would lead to land being folded like a harmonica or crumple zone, which is basically what fjords are. It would explain why specifically Greenland and Norway have them. In the next chapter it will be shown Chili and New Zealand's southern island much later were faced with the same ordeal. And precisely here we also see fjords. Although this 3 minute movement sounds outlandish, one would prefer this scenario precisely because of the fjords. It would for sure be a good way to dispose of the enormous impact energy.



**Greenland 90 degrees rotation fractured the mid-Atlantic ocean ridge. Mare Humorum is at correct projected spot.**

Together with Smythii and Nectaris, this Humorum impact makes three additional matches in the Canadian region. The impacts are somewhat less in quality as they are positioned on moving and scattered tectonic plates.


**Nevertheless, with all 7 of them retrieved, we have now produced irrefutable evidence Earth and Moon did collide in a seven fold triangle impact at the Canadian Shield.**

### 3.3 Consequences for Earth and Lunar geology

Trusting our eyes, we have no choice but to accept Lunar impacts *did* happen. Geology will have to come to grips with this and accept their profound influence. With it, comes the acceptance that Earth *did* have a substantial ice and ocean layer once and that losing this water layer to sub-surface locations is its *key geological process*. We have no problem suggesting this process for Mars or Venus, yet when it comes to Earth we emotionally do not like to consider we could be in the midst or even 'end' of the same process. **As such, we have no choice but to re-write geology entirely.** Also some specific issues need to be re-considered:

#### 1. Revision of plate tectonics as leading paradigm for continental drift

General consensus is that all landmass on Earth consists of floating tectonic plates that over billions of years bump, rotate, merge ('Pangea') and morph seemingly erratically all over the place. The Aitken-Arctic impact comparison suggests however this is nonsense and particularly *not true* for the northern hemisphere. The Lunar-Earth overlays suggest the Arctic area has not changed much since the impact of 4.1 Ga. The changes involve a recent 30% expansion of seafloor at the mid-Atlantic ocean ridge and the anomaly of the fractured parts of Canada NW and the clockwise rotation of Greenland. Next, the Canadian Shield impact showed that also mainland Canada has not changed much since 3.7 Ga. From this we must conclude that continents, by default, are more or less fixed to the mantle and that only along the widening mid ocean ridges major breakups occur. The notable exceptions are the plates of Greenland, Scandinavia, both of which are directly impacted by the Moon. We will later see this also holds true for Gondwana. It would appear only impacted plates are prone to later 'come lose' from the mantle and migrate and rotate more freely. We are however talking in the context of a more fluid Archean ocean floor when suggesting plates 'come lose', 'float' and 'rotate'. Below a suggested *potential* explanation is given as to why impacted plates could ultimately *literally* float on top of the ocean after an impact!



#### Lunar impacts and silicon oxidation of Earth

1. Pressurized water slowly penetrates damaged crust and enters the -partly- unbound silicon layer below, starting wet silicon oxidation
2. Wet silicon oxidation creates new crust (craton) under the damaged crust. The process is highly expansionary as the oxide always grows to +54% of original silicon volume
3. The waste product of hydrogen accumulates under original convex crust providing floating potential. Water film keeps hydrogen inside.
4. As the diameter of the craton grows, the relative crustal upward lift of hydrogen increases to the 3<sup>rd</sup> power whereas the mass of the crust above it increases only to the 2<sup>nd</sup> power.
5. Ultimately the sides of the patch crack and a large chunk of crust above craton detaches forming a rotating floating crustal plate (Scandinavia) which may even surface before falling back to the ocean floor elsewhere.
6. Craton seals off further water intrusion.
7. Ultimately as Earth slowly expands, global crust rips at several places at mid oceanic ridges, creating new ocean floor which is partly subducted.
8. The subduction of water saturated ocean floor provides a continuous influx of new oxygen atoms ensuring unstoppable wet or dry silicon oxidation until either all silicon at the core is reduced (oxidized) or all surface water is consumed. Venus and Mars are arguably beyond this point.
9. Earth's mantle thus expands via opening of mid Atlantic ridges causing continental drift. Plate tectonics as such is more cosmetic; it is not the driver of continental drift.

Subduction surely happens, but on a limited scale. Its main contribution is to transport water (carried by the water saturated ocean floor) to sub-surface locations with the side-effect of causing highly expansionary wet / dry silicon oxidation, which powers the mid oceanic ridge widening. Subduction and widening thus DO NOT cancel out.

#### 2. Reconsideration of Lunar basin age and formation

Although the age assessments of Lunar basalts and analyses of Apollo mission rock material may be technically correct, it is questionable as to exactly what they represent in terms of Lunar 'geology' and what, if anything, this says about a potential impact date. Assuming the impacts are Earth related, 'syntax errors' in reasoning are easily made:

- 1) Any Earth-Moon impact will deposit some amount of Earth material onto the Lunar surface which could very well cause 'tainted' (Apollo missions) rock samples or wrong age determinations.
- 2) If Earth related, supercritical water may at times only have excavated the basins, exposing and partly metamorphing 3,5-3.9 billion years old basalts typically existing at these Lunar depths.
- 3) With the later mare Procellarum impact, there may be additional 'contamination' of age determination.
- 4) Basalt age determination on the basis of crater counts would also be unusable. An Earth impact would leave behind substantial debris at Earth's orbit, causing extreme annual meteor shower impacts (like the Perseid and Leonid meteor showers) in the years afterwards. This would bias any age measurement upwards.

With respect to Mare basin formation, the community for long was convinced the mare basins are mainly the result of volcanic activity, following impacts. It is however more likely they are the result of more or less isolated deep impact melts, gradually solidifying:


To illustrate the weak case for 'volcanism' one may look at Mare Moscoviense to the right. Being furthest away from Procellarum, it would represent a reliable post-impact situation. It does not show a caldera or concentric rings of lava inflows that one might expect when comparing to lava basins on Earth. In stead, the basin looks quite patchy and eroded to its right, appearing more like a viscous silicate swamp that dried up eventually. One may also notice all maria basins are substantially lower than their surroundings and show a cascaded rim feature. Another **a-typical** element for volcanic origin is the production of 'hollow' seismic data:



From Wikipedia:

Between 1972 and 1977, [seismometers](#) installed on the Moon by the [Apollo missions](#) recorded [moonquakes](#). The Moon was described as "ringing like a bell" during some of those quakes, specifically the shallow ones.<sup>[16]</sup> This phrase was brought to popular attention in March 1970,<sup>[11]</sup> in an article in [Popular Science](#).<sup>[17]</sup> When Apollo 12 deliberately crashed the Ascent Stage of its [Lunar Module](#) onto the Moon's surface, it was claimed that the Moon rang like a bell for an hour, leading to arguments that it must be hollow like a bell.<sup>[11]</sup> Lunar seismology experiments since then have shown that the lunar body has shallow moonquakes that act differently from quakes on Earth, due to differences in texture, type and density of the planetary strata, but there is no evidence of any large empty space inside the body.<sup>[16]</sup>

Although currently a subdued issue, at the time the 'hollow' seismic data from the Lunar maria was sensational news leading to wild speculations and conspiracy theories. Of course suggesting a total hollow Moon is nonsense, but the scientific community went so far as to completely deny and bury any indication of any void, which would be hard to explain in case of volcanism. Nevertheless, the 'void' observational data can be perfectly explained with impact melts:



This process of cooling impact melts explains many 'unexplained' issues. For instance, it can explain how circular ejecta like the Maander formation around mare Orientale could form by **the postponed** collapse of a primary impact basin. This leads to the odd situation that parts of the outer basin are OLDER than the circular ejecta around it. As such it would have nothing to do with 'volcanism'. Notice also the ease with which the mysterious 'lava tubes' can now be explained. They are not 'tubes' but mere punch holes in the deepest part of the basins which are all void underneath...

### 3. Reconsideration of Earth lower crust / mantle age

With respect to age gauging on Earth: Given the lower crust and upper mantle dynamics of Earth one would expect geologists to be very, very careful when gauging the age of a deep structures (e.g. plateau or rift) solely by measuring the age of the top surface. Since mankind never got to drill a whole deeper than some 11 km, we can **never** say with certainty the age of what lies beneath even as much as 500 meters deep in most cases. We just can't know! If anywhere, we could extrapolate age determination at new ocean floor, NOT continental crust. Gakkel ridge e.g. most

likely was a Hadean 'dent' but since 250 million years ago this burst, producing new seafloor the entire original structure was now bombarded to be this age as well (!). This is not unlike saying that because the Hawaiian island of Kilauea had a volcanic eruption in 2018, this archipelago would only be a few months old...One can't judge a book by its cover and suggesting one simply can, is -intentionally- misleading.


#### 4. Reconsideration of our orbital relation with our Moon

Accepting the impacts, there is also the strong likelihood of profound changes in the orbital relation between Earth and Moon throughout their combined history. Prior to the latest collision our Moon may have had a highly elliptical orbit with a perigee much closer than today. Prior to that, e.g. after the first collision, our moon might even have been a sister planet orbiting at a similar speed and distance from the Sun yet at a different inclination, not unlike the current Mercury-Venus situation. These may be relevant considerations when analysing our shared history.

#### 5. Mantle expansion


(Special) mantle expansion is the suggested local influence due to Lunar impacts. If the mantle contains water at the time of impact, this would lead to macroscopic fracturing of its rock via supercritical water conditions. *Source: Supercritical Water/Rock Interactions and Generation of Artificial Geothermal Reservoirs in Deep-Seated High Temperature Rock Masses Toshiyuki Hashida, Toru Takahashi*

Over hundreds of millions of years, the resulting capillary effect would attract water from the surroundings and cause the originally depressed area to expand in all directions, making it eventually rise above its surroundings. This in turn makes Lunar impacted areas the first to be - permanently- lifted high above the oceanic surface and as a result be prone to the most and oldest meteor impacts (*EDEIS map below*).



1. At the crust, impact produces supercritical water dissolving all rock except heavier components (Th, Ur, Fe, Ti)
2. In case the mantle underneath also contains water: this also turns supercritical, macroscopically fracturing mantle rock

1. Over time - several hundred million years- the fractured mantle rock attracts ever more water from nearby non-impacted surroundings
2. This capillary suction makes the rocks expand IN ALL directions, including up. The impact site becomes the first exposed land with high concentrations of heavy metals.



Next, with global ocean floor expanding and water levels receding, the now 'exposed' lifted continents would 'sag in' due to gravity and become more levelled with their surroundings. In this sagging process the lifted continents would 'give back' most of their artificially attracted water. This water may end up in the oceans or in (elevated) inner seas.

According to annex 1, global oceans could quite easily still have been 350 km deep during the Perm explaining the low gravity of the scarce 'big dinosaur' land topping it. Only this scarcity of above sea level land can explain the concentration of old craters (in blue, to the left) at the Lunar impacted area's.


251 Ma would mark the time of the first continental crust rupture at Mariana and Antarctica producing the **first** typical granite 'seafloor'. Prior to 251 Ma, there would only have been basaltic 'continental crust' upon which the deep oceans rested. Though to some extent subducted, this ocean floor widening process is still ongoing and will not stop until all water has gone sub-surface in the not so distant future...The adding of leap seconds reflects this process as Earth's inertia rises accordingly.

## Chapter 4. The Ordovician collision (450 Ma?)

The large Oceanus Procellarum area on the Moon is the final candidate for yet another impact. On Earth, the indicators point at Antarctica as suspect. Since we already have the Arctic impact at our rotational pole, Antarctica could only be another impact if it's continental plate ('Gondwana') would move towards the south pole after its impact, allowing the Arctic area to stay at the north pole, precisely as described in the first chapter.

### 4.1 Oceanus Procellarum

After the first collision, the Moon moved impacted Aitken basin to its South pole. The second collision could not be corrected, resulting in uncontrollable rotational imbalance until all spin was lost. Any third impact would therefore likely overlap the second, which appears to indeed have happened. Oceanus Procellarum most obvious impact indicator is its ca. 1500 km straight impact line at its left base (*the red dotted line in the inversed figure below*)





Additional indicators are the presence of iron (*figure far right*), KREEP material and dome volcanism. In addition, the NASA superimposed surface gravity gradient frame (*in the middle*), indicates a 'rolling' impact involving each of the four red sides of the NASA gravity gradient square. The false colour picture in the middle confirms the NASA study, as a rust-like dust marks the same frame, best visible at Mare Frigoris to the North. The dust overlaps at Mare Imbrium and Mare Serenitatis, hinting this is indeed the youngest impact. The volcanic basalts of Frigoris are dated at 3,77 Ga, serving as the upper time limit of impact. *Source: Ages and stratigraphy of mare basalts in Oceanus Procellarum, Mare Nubium, Mare Cognitum, and Mare Insularum, H. Hiesinger and J. W. Head III.* It would however appear this impact happened at far lower ocean levels allowing in theory a lower time limit of ca. 450-250 Ma.

### 4.2 Gondwana / Antarctica.

The indicators are clear:

1. Earth's vertical crust motion map, clearly shows an imprint left and right of the Antarctic peninsula.
2. A movement of equatorial supercontinent Gondwana to the south pole, from 450 Ma to 300 Ma ([https://youtu.be/gjCcJtnbg\\_A](https://youtu.be/gjCcJtnbg_A) and figure 4.3a next page) are consistent with the described polar mechanism
3. Antarctica is currently situated at exactly the South pole and has been there for a very long time.
4. Circular impact scars: The widening oceanic ridges around Antarctica, displaying a linear base at S-E Indian Ridge.
5. There is a gravity anomaly suggesting a primary impact spot: the infamous Wilkes Land gravity anomaly (to the right). This near 500 km wide sub-ice crater is thought to be the result of an impact less than 500 Ma (Wikipedia).

There are secondary arguments why this impact might be dated at 450 Ma: The first great extinction on Earth, the Ordovician-Silurian extinction is dated at 445 Ma. Also, the impacted Antarctica area would gradually lift due to special mantle expansion, which would push it up to sea level somewhere between 450 Ma and now. At that moment



Antarctica would no longer generate rotational imbalance and could leave the south pole. Indeed from 280 Ma to 80 Ma Antarctica did leave the south pole (Scotese-paleogeography) before once more becoming the steady anchor at the south pole at 80 Ma, this time in its lifted position. Before describing the impact in detail we will shortly address Antarctica's migration, uplift and consequent breakup of Gondwana.

Figure 4.3a; Stage 1 445 Ma  
Gondwana starts moving south



Figure 4.3b; Stage 2 250-140 Ma  
scars slowly burst into seafloor producing ridges.





Figure 4.3c; Stage 3 140 Ma – current  
Ridges accelerate production of new ocean floor



### 4.3 The circular ridges around Antarctica

Due to the water attracting capillary effects inside the impacted mantle rock (special mantle expansion) Antarctica was back at sea level at 280 Ma, and became lifted afterwards. Near 200 Ma (Tr-J extinction?) the central uplift became so great that non-impacted crust around Antarctica detached due to gravity and fell down below. This created the first ridges that logically produced new seafloor to their north and south dividing the original **continental** crust. The problem however is that the Antarctic ridges are **circular**: **As there is no subduction** at the Antarctic continent, the ridges started pushing themselves away from Antarctica, thus pushing the continents to their north away at double speed as can be seen above. However, this means south of these ridges, we are now faced with a local circular **expansion** of the crust and Earth' crust had to therefore compensate to retain its sphere-shape. Subduction was not **sufficient** and Earth had to open the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian ocean ridges **vertically** above the **horizontal** Antarctic circular expansion. These vertical oceanic ridges logically get smaller towards the north pole since there is no circular expansion there. The seafloor expansion of these vertical ridges appears random, yet it can be demonstrated they are carefully opened in such a way that everywhere on the globe the same 'great circle' (circumference) is maintained. Summarized: If all this is correct, we should


1. see the ocean ridges around Antarctica inversely imprinted on the Moon (reflecting NASA PIA 18822 study).
2. see them on a **much** smaller Lunar scale because we just argued that the ridges have pushed themselves away circularly on Earth since the time of impact! *Since arguably on a global scale not all of the new ocean floor is subducted at the same rate it is created, the mantle itself would slightly have grown ever since, consistent with the slow down of our rotation (day duration).*

### 4.4 The impact in detail

At the next page we present this impact in great detail. The Antarctic ridges **perfectly** match the Moon's mirrored visual and gravity gradient map **and** do so on the suggested smaller scale! At the inverted Lunar picture, the impact starts with the straight line (yellow arrow) of Oceanus Procellarum. On Earth the impact starts with the corresponding straight line of the South East Indian Ridge just below current Australia. Next the Moon appears to move its weight to the right via stages 2 and 3, where it appears to lift, rotate and move left again at stage 3. Notice how this rotation circle at stage 3 is also visible on Earth's seafloor. The Moon continues along stages 3 to 7, forming the Atlantic Indian ridge and then leans left again, where the impact continues with the combined climax imprint of the Moon's Mare Imbrium and Mare Serenitatis on Earth. Squeezed between the two rims of these giant maria, the landmass of Antarctica's peninsula is formed, consistent with the earlier presented vertical crust movement map, defining the future split between South America and Africa. Next we see the moon delivering a tremendous torque on the saturated ocean floor and end its trajectory as it shortly touches under New Zealand (stage 11) on its exit.





Plato crater rim imprint




Big Ben Volcano, Heard Island, Kerguelen plateau

The Wilkes land gravitational anomaly; Circular impact + Antarctica Square




PIA18822: NASA; Gravity Gradients Frame Oceanus Procellarum (inverted)

Aristarchus crater, Aristarchus plateau



1. Oceanus Procellarum right (mirrored) = South East Indian Ridge
2. Gap at Procellarum = Gap East Indian Ridge
3. Aristarchus crater/plateau = Big Ben volcano, Heard Island
4. Imbrium North = Atlantic Indian Ridge
5. Final imprint Imbrium and Serengetis form Antarctic Peninsula


Visual Comparison



Using Google Earth, the ocean floor around Antarctica, currently has a distance between stage 1 and stage 7 of about 8800 km. The lunar equivalent measures a distance of 3400 km between stage 1 and stage 7. This means that since the day of impact, Earth's Antarctic seafloor has grown about  $8800 - 3400 = 5400$  km relative to the time of impact. In order to maintain its sphere form, Earth must enlarge all great circles accordingly by opening the lateral ridges of the Pacific, Atlantic and Indian ocean. This effect alone is well within the earlier measured 29% total global mantle expansion. Notice the 5400km precisely equals the second Pacific displacement as presented in the next Chapter, indicating this is not somehow corrected by subduction but instead indicative of a synchronised global mantle expansion phenomenon.

#### 4.5 Centre of impact: Mare Imbrium and West Antarctica

Now let's focus on the match between the original landmass of Antarctica and the Moon. At the very centre of the impact, underneath Antarctica's ice cap, we can see how this landmass corresponds to the impactor, being Mare Imbrium. The landmass on Earth is scattered but is reconstructed at the picture to the right.



Looking closely at the maps above we see the nice echo of Plato crater on Earth at the little yellow arrow under 'C'. Next, the highly lit thorium spot of Aristillus crater (between c. and f.) seems to correspond with Vinson Massif, the highest point of Antarctica, from where the Antarctica Peninsula appears to have split off. Earlier we looked at the resemblance between Aristarchus crater and Big Ben Volcano, Heard Island (see annex 2). Since Aristarchus age is estimated at 450 Ma (!), this again suggests the impact *might* have happened around that time.

#### 4.6 Consequences of the impact

Although the precise time of this impact may be uncertain, it is safe to say 450 Ma is the time where the consequences of the impact started to manifest themselves as Gondwana abruptly started to move south. In addition:

##### - The O-S, P-Tr and Tr-J mass extinctions

450 Ma is the time of the first great mass extinction: The Ordovician-Silurian extinction. Massive amounts of CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> are released into the atmosphere. The related rupture and creation of the oceanic ridges at Mariana (251 Ma) and Antarctica (200 Ma) very well explain the other great extinctions (P-Tr and Tr-J).

##### - The Cambrian life explosion

The worldwide explosion of first land-life at 540 Ma can also be explained in case of a 450 Ma Lunar impact: at 550 Ma, the approaching Moon, orbiting at a highly elliptical orbit with a perigee much closer than today, would start to cause tremendous tidal effects on Earth's oceans causing the highest yet submerged continental crusts to emerge twice a day, once a month. Gradually sea-based life would become exposed to land twice a day. First for a few seconds, then minutes and then hours as the moon got ever closer over a period of 100 million years. As such this would perfectly explain the gradual Darwinian 'involuntary' adoption of sea life to amphibious land-life. The exuberance of first land-based would end at 450 Ma with the moon finally impacting.


**The riddle of the big dinosaurs**

Until now it was not clear how the largest dinosaurs could have evolved to become so big -inconsistent with their bone structure- and why after the Jurassic era this was no longer possible. In all gravity would appear to have been less. In all that is discussed we indeed have several factors leading to a substantial lesser net gravitational pull:

1. The once a month closer proximity of the moon, causing a noticeable counter pull;
2. The faster Earth rotation back than counteracted Earth’s gravitational pull, especially near the equator.
3. The elevated (originally Lunar impacted) land would be surrounded by rather deep oceans reaching to 200 km depth still. Since water has little mass, this creates a relative big distance to the centre of Earth’s mass, leading to a squared lower gravitational pull for anything living upon land.

Combined these factors would explain why the late Jurassic eventually no longer supported big life forms: The Moon got further away, Earth’s rotation slowed down, the dino habitat was no longer at the equator and the continents levelled with ocean floor.

Consistent with the suggested uplift effects of Lunar impact regions, the geographic location of the remains of the largest dinosaur -the Brontosaurus- is limited to the US mid-west, which is remarkable precise considering the next chapter



**THE BRONTOSAURUS IS BACK**

Emanuel Tschopp and an international team of paleontologist’s groundbreaking research on Diplodocidae found that differences between the general Apatosaurus and Brontosaurus are numerous enough to revive Brontosaurus as its own genus, a name which has long been considered invalid in the scientific community.

**BRONTOSAURUS**

Lived 150 million years ago, Western United States  
**Meaning:** Thunder lizard  
**Diet:** Herbivorous  
**Weight:** 30.5 tons

**APATOSAURUS**

Lived 150 million years ago, Western United States  
**Meaning:** Deceptive lizard  
**Diet:** Herbivorous  
**Weight:** 41.3 tons





Source: PeetJ

RAY GRUMNEY • Star Tribune

As a final remarkable aspect: one we can generalise a typical water saturated mantle impact by:


1. Signs of massive torque stress on the circular surface of impact around a rotational pole
2. An elevated icy centre inside the circular area due to the water attracting capillary effect of fractured mantle rock
3. A circular scar around the impacted plate, indicating surrounding crust broke off from the centre, and fell down forming the (once) spreading ridges pushing themselves away from the centre.

Following this general description, the picture to the right would suggest Antarctica was not the first continent in our solar system to have experienced this (Mars, Planum Boreum, North Pole):




## Chapter 5: A fourth impact? Mare Orientale and Mariana Trench

The global seafloor, in the 'ring of fire' area, has a very weird circular seafloor patch just west of current Philippines. This region boosts the Mariana Trench, the deepest sea floor spot on Earth. This spot has striking similarities with the Moon's last big unaccounted mare: Mare Orientale depicted on the bottom of the images to the right. The wider impact area on Earth is 3500 km compared to Mare Orientale measuring only 900 km widest. If this is indeed Lunar related, then we can only compare this impact with the previous Antarctica impact, showing a similar frantic circular seafloor expansion on Earth. Since Mare Orientale is located exactly in front and centre of Oceanus Procellarum, this increases the likelihood of it indeed being part of the Antarctic impact as a 'first touch impact'.



To keep it short, there is a 'copy' of this impact at the middle of the Pacific (B, below) and another copy at the border of the state of Idaho / Utah (below right) in the U.S. What **might** have happened is that impacted crust itself was at Idaho, which at the time would be located above current Mariana Trench, indicating a much smaller Pacific. Just like Antarctica later, the centre of the impact was lifted due to special mantle expansion. However, unlike Antarctica, it **was** to some extent subducted to its east side (Mariana Trench) causing a huge tilt. Logically, due to lateral gravitational forces, the elevated centre detached diagonally, sliding off the Mariana location to the East (251Ma, P-Tr extinction?), first to the middle of the Pacific (B), and next from 66 Ma onward (K-Pj extinction?) towards the current location of the US (C) adding **5400km** of new ocean floor, catching up with Antarctica's perpendicular seafloor expansion (also adding **5400 km!**). This two-step move would explain the fast opening of the Pacific (below left). It also explains the light crust of Mariana and rock layer unconformities in the US. In closing, both Antarctica and the Pacific spread together form the driver behind the world wide ocean floor expansion, which is only partly subducted. As a point of interest: Notice the 'Lunar impact glide path' from Alaska downwards at the US Array picture below right. This footage displays the local rock expansion at 300 miles below surface! Notice also Greenland highlighted! As a final remark: If indeed Mare Orientale corresponds to the suspected impact area of mid-west USA, then judging from the lunar location, Gondwana would at the time of impact have to be linked to current California, connecting at Australia.




### *Lunar light plains*

Combining chapter 5 and 4, it is not hard to describe the remains of this two-step impact on our Moon; The first touch at Mare Orientale would produce a circular water-rich 'splash of supercritical dissolved silicates' with the exception of its centre which would be submerged in some 200-300 km of Earth ocean water and Earth's superior gravity would reclaim most water there. Next we said the wider Oceanus Procellarum was a 'rolling' impact along the square of NASA study PIA 18822. Logically, we would not see Orientale 'radial splash remains' here since it came right AFTER that impact, erasing any potential Orientale ejecta.

Below we get confirming proof of the above; A recent study of LROC data shows 'light plain' silicate ejecta following the Mare Orientale impact. Notice we have precisely the empty circle at Orientale as predicted, as well as the empty 'black' plains of wider Oceanus Procellarum. In all it is becoming quite a farce that mainstream science still refuses to admit what happened...To put it a bit more pointed; What is the point of investing billions in reconnaissance missions when we refuse to accept the incoming data, whenever it is inconsistent with our ailing theories?

*(LROC 2018, NASA/GSFC/Arizona State University)*



# ANNEX 1; Calculating the initial ocean levels

## Approach 1: Atomic mass of oxygen

The purging hypothesis suggests the correct (and observed) process for the formation of all terrestrial spheres out of jet-heated magmatic knots ('Herbig Haro' objects). As such, water was formed on Earth in great quantities right at the beginning as proto Earth's outer oxygen layer reacted with the hot diatomic hydrogen to form water vapour. On a smaller scale nitrogen and carbon would form ammonia and methane. On the inner boundary, the oxygen layer would have reacted with sulphur, magnesium and mostly silicon. Current indications (Source: Wikipedia) are that oxygen atoms account for 30.1 % of Earth's total mass.

Assuming most of the oxygen was unbound at origin, then half the oxygen layer would react with the elements of the lower layer and the other half to the elements of the upper layer (actually it would be more like 60-40% since the outer layer surface is larger). Then the oxygen in the upper layer would still have to first deal with the upper (thin) layers of carbon and nitrogen before being exposed to hydrogen. In all we could thus assume half of half or 25% of all oxygen could have formed water so the water mass would account for  $25\% \times 30\% = 7,5\%$  of Earth's mass. We then get:

- Volume water\* density water = 7,5% Volume Earth\*density Earth
- As the density of water is  $1\text{gr/cm}^3$  and the density of Earth is  $5,5\text{gr/cm}^3$  (Source: Wikipedia), the water volume would be:  $7,5\% \times 5,5 = 41\%$  of Earth's total volume, forming the outer layer. Next, putting the radius of Earth at 1 and the radius of the inner non-water core at 'a', then the height of the outer water layer is (1-a). As the inner core of non-water sphere contains 59% of all Earth volume we get:

Volume non-water core sphere / Volume Earth = 59% or:  $(a^3) / 1 = 59\%$  or  $a = 0,59^{1/3} = 0,84$ , leaving (1-a) or 0,16 of the radius of Earth for the outer water layer. If we take the radius of Earth at 6500 km which is quite conservative as later collisions will have brushed away considerable volume, we get  $0,16 \times 6500 = 1040$  km of water for the outer layer. **Compensating for the 60-40 % upper-lower layer relation it would be ca. 1248 km**

## Approach 2: Diamond forming pressure

Quoting Wikipedia;

- '...In contrast, *eclogitic* diamonds contain organic carbon from organic *detritus* that has been pushed down from the surface of the Earth's *crust* through *subduction* (see *plate tectonics*) before transforming into diamond
- Diamonds that have come to the Earth's surface are generally quite old, ranging from under 1 billion to 3.3 billion years old.
- The conditions for diamond formation to happen in the lithospheric mantle occur at considerable depth corresponding to the requirements of temperature and pressure. These depths are estimated between 140 and 190 kilometres (87 and 118 mi) though occasionally diamonds have crystallized at depths about 300 km (190 mi)...

Source: Wikipedia: Earth density

Depth <sup>[11]</sup> km	Component Layer <sup>[15]</sup>	Density g/cm <sup>3</sup>
0–60	Lithosphere <sup>[15]</sup>	—
0–35	Crust <sup>[16]</sup>	2.2–2.9
35–60	Upper mantle	3.4–4.4
35–2890	Mantle	3.4–5.6
100–700	Asthenosphere	—
2890–5100	Outer core	9.9–12.2
5100–6378	Inner core	12.8–13.1

Wikipedia here implicitly assumes that the enormous pressures needed for diamond forming could only be found inside the Earth's mantle at depths up to 300km. We are assuming however that

1. It is not likely eclogitic (=organic) diamonds would form *inside* the mantle. Also it is questionable subduction was active during that time
2. Earth was covered by a very high ocean, which in itself would be quite capable of producing comparable pressures.

Thus, it is more likely eclogitic (bio-based carbon) diamond was formed from floating living sea surface material (algae) which after dying sank to the bottom of the ocean floor, where it would be pressurised into diamond. The needed pressure on the seafloor should then correspond with lithosphere depths from 140 to 300 km. Since mantle material (rock) on average has about 4 x the density of water (see table above), our corresponding sea level depth would then be:  $4 \times 140$  to  $300$  meters = 560 to 1200 km. Since we are assuming slowly depleting ocean levels this would mean **ocean levels of 1200 km at 3.3 billion y.a. and 560 km at 1 billion y.a.**, This would imply an average depletion rate of 2,78 mm/year, likely corresponding to a similar mantle expansion rate. Since 4.5 GA is 1,2 Ga from 3.3 Ga, the depletion rate of 2,78 mm/y would imply a 334 km higher level compared to 3.3 Ga, resulting at **1534 km water levels at Earth's inception at 4.5 Ga.**

Another interesting prediction is that diamond is really a very common material and would be present everywhere around the globe, albeit at considerable depths.

## Approach 3: Impact ratio of Lunar Aitken basin and Earth's arctic circle


Referring to page 8, the diameter of the dotted wider impact circle on the Moon at Aitken is 2600 km whereas on Earth it is about 3350km, the distance between north Alaska and Longyearbyen on Svalbard / Spitsbergen. On Earth it is  $1 - 3350 / 2600$  or 29% wider, suggesting a general mantle expansion of up to 29% or **1430 km** on Earth over the past 4 billion years and a lowering of the oceans of the same size. Interestingly, 1430 km at 4.1 Ga means a 230km higher level than at 3.3 Ga (approach 2). With 2,78 mm/y this altitude difference corresponds to 827,3 Million year age difference. This would have the Arctic impact occurring at  $3.3\text{Ga} + 0,827\text{Ga} = 4.1$  Ga, which is remarkably consistent.

Of course such calculations come with tremendous uncertainties and assumptions, yet it is hopeful three completely independent methods came up with comparable numbers.

# ANNEX 2: Aristarchus Crater vs. Big Ben Volcano

Aristarchus (Moon, inverted) = Big Ben Volcano, Heard Island (Earth)

Below: Big Ben volcano, Heard Island. To the right: Aristarchus crater (mirrored) on the Moon. Based upon impact coordinates these two features might be each others counter part and they are! The vertical ribbons on Heard island indicated 1,2,3 are exactly mirrored at Aristarchus steepest side. Next Compton Glacier is visible as a distortion at Aristarchus. Most strikingly Deadock glacier, a messy depressed part of the Big Ben is also the depressed part of Aristarchus crater rim. Next at the aerial picture we see the missing half of Big Ben's top cone, facing the steepest part of the mountain. At Aristarchus we see the missing half circle of Big Ben's top cone, buried up side down at the centre of Aristarchus crater also facing the steepest part of its crater. Next, notice the perfectly matching features a and b as well as the western 'Lagoons of Heard island' at f,g,h. The Big Ben Volcano rim indication is about 2x smaller than Aristarchus crater. This is likely due to the 6 times heavier gravity on Earth, causing the initial viscous magma-made mountain of Big Ben to cave in at the top and sag at the bottom, keeping its form but losing its size (see explanation of Lunar dome volcanism below). A comparison can be made at several high Thorium mirrored spots, most notably The Moon's Aristillus crater vs. Vinson massif, Antarctica.



**Earth, Big Ben aerial**

Big Ben Volcano misses half of its top cone; Aristarchus has a corresponding curved top fragment at the center of its crater.

**Dome Volcanism in 4 steps,**  
Explaining why Aristarchus crater is bigger than Big Ben mountain



Impact heats both surfaces  
Magma sticks during exit

Magma becomes smaller and cooler in the middle due to quick expansion

Magma clods and breaks Mountain and crater form

Heavy gravity on Earth causes sagging at the base and caving in at top. Moon crater remains wide and elevated