

SMARANDACHE BCC-ALGEBRAS

YOUNG BAE JUN

Received 14 June 2005 and in revised form 10 September 2005

The notions of Smarandache BCC-algebras and Smarandache BCC-ideals are introduced. Conditions for a (special) subset to be a Smarandache BCC-ideal are given.

1. Introduction

Generally, in any human field, a *Smarandache Structure* on a set A means a weak structure \mathbf{W} on A such that there exists a proper subset B of A which is embedded with a strong structure \mathbf{S} . In [9], Kandasamy studied the concept of Smarandache groupoids, subgroupoids, ideal of groupoids, seminormal subgroupoids, Smarandache Bol groupoids, and strong Bol groupoids and obtained many interesting results about them. Smarandache semigroups are very important for the study of congruences, and they were studied by Padilla [13]. In this paper, we discuss a Smarandache structure on BCC-algebras, and introduce the notion of Smarandache ideals, and investigate its properties. We give conditions for a (special) subset to be a Smarandache BCC-ideal.

2. Preliminaries

BCC-algebras were introduced by Komori [11] in a connection with some problems on BCK-algebras solved in [14], and Dudek [4, 5] redefined the notion of BCC-algebras by using a dual form of the ordinary definition in the sense of Komori.

An algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a *BCC-algebra* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (a1) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (((x * y) * (z * y)) * (x * z) = 0)$,
- (a2) $(\forall x \in X) (0 * x = 0)$,
- (a3) $(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x)$,
- (a4) $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)$.

Note that every BCK-algebra is a BCC-algebra, but the converse is not true. A BCC-algebra which is not a BCK-algebra is called a *proper BCC-algebra*. The smallest proper BCC-algebra has four elements, and, for every $n \geq 4$, there exists at least one proper BCC-algebra [4].

Then, $(X; *, 0)$ is an S-BCC-algebra. Note that $Q = \{0, a, b, c\}$ is a BCK-algebra which is properly contained in X .

(2) Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a finite BCK-chain containing at least four elements, and let c be its maximal element. Let $Y = X \cup \{d\}$, where $d \notin X$, and define a binary operation \odot on Y as follows:

$$x \odot y = \begin{cases} x * y & \text{if } x, y \in X, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in Y, y = d, \\ d & \text{if } x = d, y = 0, \\ c & \text{if } x = d, y \in X. \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Then, $(Y; \odot, 0)$ is an S-BCC-algebra.

(3) Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BCK-algebra containing at least four elements in which a is the small atom. Let $Y = X \cup \{w\}$, where $w \notin X$, and define a binary operation \odot on Y as follows:

$$x \odot y = \begin{cases} x * y & \text{if } x, y \in X, \\ w & \text{if } y \in X, x = w, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0, y = w, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = w = y, \\ a & \text{if } x \in X \setminus \{0\}, y = w. \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Then, $(Y; \odot, 0)$ is an S-BCC-algebra.

In what follows, let X and Q denote an S-BCC-algebra and a nontrivial BCK-algebra which is properly contained in X , respectively, unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.3. A nonempty subset I of X is called a *Smarandache BCC-ideal* (briefly, *S-BCC-ideal*) of X related to Q if it satisfies the following:

- (c1) $0 \in I$,
- (c2) $(\forall x, z \in Q) (\forall y \in I) ((x * y) * z \in I \Rightarrow x * z \in I)$.

If I is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to every nontrivial BCK-algebra Q contained in X , we simply say that I is an *S-BCC-ideal* of X .

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c, d, e\}$ be the S-BCC-algebra described in Example 3.2(1). Then, $I = \{0, a\}$ and $J = \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ are S-BCC-ideals of X related to $Q = \{0, a, b, c\}$.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Every S-BCC-ideal I of X related to Q satisfies the following:*

- (c3) $(\forall x \in Q) (\forall a \in I) (x * a \in I \Rightarrow x \in I)$.
- (c4) $(\forall x \in Q) (\forall a \in I) (a * x \in I)$.
- (c5) $(\forall x \in Q) (\forall a, b \in I) (x * ((x * a) * b) \in I)$.

Proof. (c3) Taking $z = 0$ and $y = a$ in (c2) and using (a3) induce the desired implication.

(c4) For every $x \in Q$ and $a \in I$, we have $(a * a) * x = 0 * x = 0 \in I$, and so $a * x \in I$ by (c2).

(c5) Let $x \in Q$ and $a, b \in I$. Then, $(x * a) * (x * a) = 0 \in I$, and so $x * (x * a) \in I$ by (c2). Since

$$((x * b) * ((x * a) * b)) * (x * (x * a)) = 0 \in I, \tag{3.5}$$

it follows from (c3) that $(x * b) * ((x * a) * b) \in I$, so from (c2) that $x * ((x * a) * b) \in I$. □

COROLLARY 3.6. *For every S-BCC-ideal I of X related to Q , the following implication is valid:*

$$(\forall x \in Q) (\forall a \in I) \quad (x \leq a \implies x \in I). \tag{3.6}$$

COROLLARY 3.7. *Let I be an S-BCC-ideal of X relative to Q . Then,*

$$(\forall x \in Q) (\forall a, b \in I) \quad (x * a \leq b \implies x \in I). \tag{3.7}$$

THEOREM 3.8. *Let Q_1 and Q_2 be nontrivial BCK-algebras which are properly contained in X such that $Q_1 \subset Q_2$. Then, every S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q_2 is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q_1 .*

Proof. Straightforward. □

COROLLARY 3.9. *If Q is the largest BCK-algebra which is properly contained in X , then every S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q is an S-BCC-ideal of X .*

The converse of Theorem 3.8 is not true in general as seen in the following example.

Example 3.10. Consider an S-BCC-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ with the following Cayley table:

$*$	0	1	2	3	4	5	
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
1	1	0	0	0	0	1	
2	2	1	0	0	0	1	
3	3	1	1	0	1	1	
4	4	1	1	1	0	1	
5	5	5	5	5	5	0	(3.8)

Note that $Q_1 := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $Q_2 := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are BCK-algebras. Then, the set Q_1 is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q_1 , but not Q_2 . In fact, we know that $(4 * 2) * 0 = 1 \in Q_1$ and $4 * 0 = 4 \notin Q_1$.

Remark 3.11. Note that every BCC-ideal of X is an S-BCC-ideal of X , but the converse is not valid. Example 3.10 shows that there exists a BCK-algebra Q of order $n \geq 4$, which is properly contained in an S-BCC-algebra X such that an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q is not a BCC-ideal of X .

We provide conditions for a subset to be an S-BCC-ideal.

THEOREM 3.12. *If I is a subset of Q that satisfies conditions (c1) and (c3), then I is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in Q$ and $a \in I$ be such that $(x * a) * y \in I$. Since $a \in I \subseteq Q$ and Q is a BCK-algebra, it follows that $(x * y) * a = (x * a) * y \in I$, so from (c3) that $x * y \in I$. Hence, I is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q . □

THEOREM 3.13. *If a nonempty subset I of X satisfies conditions (c1) and (c5), then I is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q .*

Proof. Let $x, y \in Q$ and $a \in I$ be such that $(x * a) * y \in I$. Taking $b = 0$ in (c5), and using (a3), we have $x * (x * a) \in I$. It follows from (a3), (a1), and (c5) that

$$x * y = (x * y) * 0 = (x * y) * (((x * y) * ((x * a) * y)) * (x * (x * a))) \in I. \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, I is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q . □

THEOREM 3.14. *Let H be a BCC-subalgebra of X . Then, H is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q if and only if it satisfies the following:*

$$(\forall x \in H) (\forall y, z \in Q) \quad ((y * x) * z \in H \implies y * z \in H). \tag{3.10}$$

Proof. Straightforward. □

Given an element $w \in X \setminus \{0\}$, consider the set

$$[0, w] := \{x \in X \mid x \leq w\}, \tag{3.11}$$

which is called the *initial segment* of w [7]. Obviously, $0 \in [0, w]$ for all $w \in X$. Since $x \leq w$ is equivalent to $xw = 0$, the initial segment of w is de facto the left annihilator of w . In general, $[0, w]$ is not an S-BCC-ideal of X , but it is a subalgebra. For example, let X be the S-BCC-algebra in Example 3.2(1). Then, $[0, e] = \{0, e\}$ is not an S-BCC-ideal of X related to $Q = \{0, a, b, c\}$ since $(b * e) * d = 0 \in [0, e]$, but $b * d = a \notin [0, e]$.

THEOREM 3.15. *For every $c \in X \setminus \{0\}$, if the inequality*

$$(\forall x \in Q) \quad (x * ((x * c) * c) \leq c) \tag{3.12}$$

holds, then $[0, c]$ is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q .

Proof. Let $x \in Q$. If $b \in [0, c]$, then $b \leq c$ and hence $(x * c) * c \leq (x * c) * b$ by (b3). It follows from (b3) and assumption that

$$x * ((x * c) * b) \leq x * ((x * c) * c) \leq c. \tag{3.13}$$

Now if $a \in [0, c]$, then $x * c \leq x * a$, and so

$$x * ((x * a) * b) \leq x * ((x * c) * b) \leq c. \tag{3.14}$$

This shows that $x * ((x * a) * b) \in [0, c]$. Applying Theorem 3.13, we conclude that $[0, c]$ is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q . □

THEOREM 3.16. *The initial segment $[0, c]$, where $c \in X \setminus \{0\}$, is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q if and only if the implication*

$$(\forall x, y \in Q) \quad ((x * c) * y \leq c \implies x * y \leq c) \quad (3.15)$$

is valid.

Proof. Let $x, y \in Q$ and $a \in [0, c]$ be such that $(x * a) * y \in [0, c]$. Then, $a \leq c$ and $(x * a) * y \leq c$. The inequality $a \leq c$ implies that $(x * c) * y \leq (x * a) * y \leq c$, so from hypothesis that $x * y \leq c$, that is, $x * y \in [0, c]$. Therefore, $[0, c]$ is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q . Conversely assume that $[0, c]$, $c \in X \setminus \{0\}$, is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q , and let $x, y \in Q$ be such that $(x * c) * y \leq c$. Then, $(x * c) * y \in [0, c]$. Since $[0, c]$ is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q and $c \in [0, c]$, it follows from (c2) that $x * y \in [0, c]$ so that $x * y \leq c$. This completes the proof. \square

COROLLARY 3.17. *If $[0, c]$, $c \in X \setminus \{0\}$, is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q , then*

$$(\forall x \in Q) \quad (x * c \leq c \implies x \leq c). \quad (3.16)$$

THEOREM 3.18. *For every $c \in X \setminus \{0\}$, if the equality*

$$(\forall x, y \in Q) \quad (((x * c) * y) * c = (x * y) * c) \quad (3.17)$$

is valid, then $[0, c]$ is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q .

Proof. Let $x, y \in Q$ and $a \in [0, c]$ be such that $(x * a) * y \in [0, c]$. Then, $a \leq c$ and $(x * a) * y \leq c$. It follows that

$$(x * y) * c = ((x * c) * y) * c \leq ((x * a) * y) * c \leq c * c = 0, \quad (3.18)$$

so that $(x * y) * c = 0$, that is, $x * y \leq c$. Hence, $x * y \in [0, c]$ and therefore $[0, c]$ is an S-BCC-ideal of X related to Q . \square

Acknowledgments

The author is highly grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions which were helpful in improving this paper. This paper was supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2003-005-C00013).

References

- [1] P. J. Allen, H. S. Kim, and J. Neggers, *Smarandache disjoint in BCK/D-algebras*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **61** (2005), no. 3, 447–449.
- [2] ———, *Super commutative D-algebras and BCK-algebras in the Smarandache setting*, Sci. Math. Jpn. **62** (2005), no. 1, 131–135.
- [3] W. A. Dudek, *On constructions of BCC-algebras*, Selected Papers on BCK and BCI-algebras **1** (1992), 93–96, Shaanxi Scientific and Technological Press, Xian, China.
- [4] ———, *On proper BCC-algebras*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **20** (1992), no. 2, 137–150.
- [5] ———, *The number of subalgebras of finite BCC-algebras*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **20** (1992), no. 2, 129–135.

- [6] W. A. Dudek and X. H. Zhang, *On ideals and congruences in BCC-algebras*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **48(123)** (1998), no. 1, 21–29.
- [7] ———, *Initial segments in BCC-algebras*, Mathematica Moravica **4** (2000), 27–34.
- [8] J. Hao, *Ideal theory of BCC-algebras*, Scientiae Mathematicae **1** (1998), no. 3, 373–381.
- [9] W. B. V. Kandasamy, *Smarandache groupoids*, <http://www.gallup.unm.edu/~smarandache/Groupoids.pdf>.
- [10] H. S. Kim, Y. H. Kim, and J. Neggers, *Coxeter algebras and pre-Coxeter algebras in Smarandache setting*, Honam Math. J. **26** (2004), 471–481.
- [11] Y. Komori, *The class of BCC-algebras is not a variety*, Math. Japon. **29** (1984), no. 3, 391–394.
- [12] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-Algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 1994.
- [13] R. Padilla, *Smarandache algebraic structures*, Bull. Pure Appl. Sci. Sect. E Math. Stat. **17E** (1998), no. 1, 119–121.
- [14] A. Wroński, *BCK-algebras do not form a variety*, Math. Japon. **28** (1983), no. 2, 211–213.

Young Bae Jun: Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Chinju 660-701, Korea

E-mail address: ybjun@gsnu.ac.kr

Differential Equations & Nonlinear Mechanics

An Open Access Journal

Editor-in-Chief

K. Vajravelu
USA

Associate Editors

N. Bellomo
Italy

J. L. Bona
USA

J. R. Cannon
USA

S.-N. Chow
USA

B. S. Dandapat
India

E. DiBenedetto
USA

R. Finn
USA

R. L. Fosdick
USA

J. Frehse
Germany

A. Friedman
USA

R. Grimshaw
UK

J. Malek
Czech Republic

J. T. Oden
USA

R. Quintanilla
Spain

K. R. Rajagopal
USA

G. Saccomandi
Italy

Y. Shibata
Japan

Ivar Stakgold
USA

Swaroop Darbha
USA

A. Tani
Japan

S. Turek
Germany

A. Wineman
USA

Website: <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/denm/>

Aims and Scope

Differential equations play a central role in describing natural phenomena as well as the complex processes that arise from science and technology. Differential Equations & Nonlinear Mechanics (DENM) will provide a forum for the modeling and analysis of nonlinear phenomena. One of the principal aims of the journal is to promote cross-fertilization between the various subdisciplines of the sciences: physics, chemistry, and biology, as well as various branches of engineering and the medical sciences.

Special efforts will be made to process the papers in a speedy and fair fashion to simultaneously ensure quality and timely publication.

DENM will publish original research papers that are devoted to modeling, analysis, and computational techniques. In addition to original full-length papers, DENM will also publish authoritative and informative review articles devoted to various aspects of ordinary and partial differential equations and their applications to sciences, engineering, and medicine.

Open Access Support

The Open Access movement is a relatively recent development in academic publishing. It proposes a new business model for academic publishing that enables immediate, worldwide, barrier-free, open access to the full text of research articles for the best interests of the scientific community. All interested readers can read, download, and/or print any Open Access articles without requiring a subscription to the journal in which these articles are published.

In this Open Access model, the publication cost should be covered by the author's institution or research funds. These Open Access charges replace subscription charges and allow the publishers to give the published material away for free to all interested online visitors.

Instructions for Authors

Original articles are invited and should be submitted through the DENM manuscript tracking system at <http://www.mstracking.com/denm/>. Only pdf files are accepted. If, for some reason, submission through the manuscript tracking system is not possible, you can contact denm.support@hindawi.com.

Hindawi Publishing Corporation

410 Park Avenue, 15th Floor, #287 pmb, New York, NY 10022, USA

HINDAWI