ARTICLE 11 LAN PLAINS FOR TETE-VIC EQUATION Javier Silvestre <u>www.eeatom.blogspot.com</u>

ABSTRACT

This is 11th article of 24 dedicated to atomic model based on Victoria equation (Articles index is at end). Torrebotana Central Energetic Line (E_J) [1] supplies energetic values for electron excited states very close to reference [2]. Excited states are grouped into curves that are destiny function, and consequently orbital quantum number plays major role, and attraction towards Torrebotana Central Energetic Line is greater when orbital quantum number increases (s<p<d) [1]. LAN factor is included in Tete-Vic Equation to give circulation to different routes divided by destination reason. Analogy is as follows: 1s Original electronic system (1s OES) creates main ideal line (Torrebotana Central Energetic Line) considering Born electronic system (BES) and simultaneously draws Serelles Secondary Lines in which energy of excited states runs according to destiny (within destiny has special importance orbital quantum number as indicated before, as well as J or Term).

LAN plain is jump to Serelles Secondary Line with jumps in which LAN is practically constant throughout Serelles Secondary Line since LAN has small variation with energy. $1s^22s$ as non-excited or initial state and $1s^2ns$ as excited or final state are selected to show such LAN behaviour.

KEYWORDS

Tete-Vic equation. Torrebotana Central Line, Serelles Secondary Line, LAN plain, Relation of Riquelme de Gozy, z_{CT} (Zon Equation).

INTRODUCTION

Torrebotana Central Line created from 1s Original electronic system (1s OES) based on Born electronic system (BES) is charge-energy ideal line in n function. Jump for various atoms from $1s^2$ and 2s to different excited state (lower and upper levels with electronic configuration, Term and J defined) are studied by way of example. Excited states are found near Torrebotana Central Line and, depending on destiny (Term and J), excited states are located inside curves without discontinuities that tend to Torrebotana Central Line and are still more attracted when n and 1 grows. [1] Abbreviations Table is at end article.

These curves, where excited states are located according to their defined jump, are called Serelles Secondary Line. Defined jump is lower and upper levels with electronic configuration, Term and J defined. Serelles Secondary Line includes LAN factor.

P45 Excited state charge (z_{CT}) [1] in Torrebotana Central Line is ideal charge to which excited state are attracted to greater or lesser extent depending on destiny quantum numbers and is given by "Zon Equation"(1):

$$(1)z_{ct} = \frac{{z_s}^2}{n^2 z_o}$$

- z_s Start charge according to P46
- z_o 1s Origin charge according to P46
- n Principal quantum number of excited state

P48 LAN factor for Serelles Secondary Line.

Serelles Secondary Line is defined by LAN inclusion. (1) is transformed into (2) by LAN inclusion where z_{SS} (Serelles secondary charge) is excited state charge in Serelles Secondary Line.

$$(2)z_{ss} = \frac{z_{s}^{2}}{(n - LAN)^{2} z_{o}}$$

Therefore, jump energy (E_J) predicted by Tete-Vic Equation [1] is modified by including z_{SS} instead of z_{CT} . This fact makes E_J and E_d which refer to Torrebotana Central Line, becomes E_{JS} and E_{dS} where S is Serelles. Serelles Secondary Line with z_{SS} incorporation is given by (3):

(3)E_{JS} = E_{dS} - IE =
$$\frac{z_{s}^{2}E_{o}}{z_{o}^{2}(n - LAN)^{2}}$$
 - IE

LAN expression (4) and (5) can be obtained from (2) or (3) respectively:

(4) - LAN =
$$\left(\frac{z_{s}^{2}}{z_{ss}z_{o}}\right)^{1/2} - n$$

(5) - LAN = $\left(\frac{z_{s}^{2}E_{o}}{z_{o}^{2}E_{ds}}\right)^{1/2} - n = \left(\frac{z_{s}^{2}E_{o}}{z_{o}^{2}(E_{JS} + IE)}\right)^{1/2} - n$

 E_{JS} calculation has not been defined and jump reference value [2] is going to be employed instead. As E_{JS} is not yet known, E_{dS} and z_{SS} are not either. Suffix R, indicating Reference use [2], is inserted in LAN and E_d to be differentiated. Energy needed to reach excited states with reference energy is abbreviated as E_k (indicated in [1]) and is applied as E_{JS} (6):

(6) - LAN
$$\approx -LAN_{R} = \left(\frac{z_{s}^{2}E_{o}}{z_{o}^{2}E_{dR}}\right)^{1/2} - n = \left(\frac{z_{s}^{2}E_{o}}{z_{o}^{2}(E_{K} + IE)}\right)^{1/2} - n$$

(6) can be simplified in (7):

(7) - LAN
$$\approx -LAN_{R} = \frac{(-E_{o})^{1/2} z_{s}}{(-E_{dR})^{1/2} z_{o}} - n$$

LAN behaviour with Energy

is be is selected as example and values applicable in (0) of (7) are included in Labi	in (6) or (7) are included in Table 1.	6) or (7)	applicable in (ple and values	is selected as exam	$1s^2 B$
--	--	-----------	-----------------	----------------	---------------------	----------

Table 1 - Be $1s^2$ values included in (6) or (7)						
E _o (1s IE) (eV) [2]	Z_S	Zo	IE 1s ² (eV) [2]			
-217,7185766	3	4	-153,896198			

Excited energy or destiny energy is varied from 0.01 IE to IE with 0.01 IE increments for n=[2,7] in **Figure 1**:

a) Curves cut at E_d value provided by Torrebotana Central Line when LAN=0.

b) Curves are shifted downward by n increments. Same fact is observed in Figure 2 where X axis changes from E_d to E_J .

c) If LAN of Serelles Secondary Line is the same for all n, E_d and E_J curves approach or move away as LAN increases or decreases respectively.





Table 2 is centred in Be electron jump from $1s^2$ to 1sns (both with Term ¹S; J=0) and remembers E_k and E_J [1] together with the E_{JS} resulting calculations with fixed LAN=0.05 Lanitos for all n. Lanito is LAN unit. Difference between E_J and E_k is difference between Torrebotana Central Line and reference data [2] and is studied for this Be jump in [1]. Actual change (and its corresponding Relative Change) are low but existents and decrease when n and 1 quantum numbers increase [1]. These low

differentials are especially reduced when E_{JS} with LAN=0.05 is applied. For example and when n=2, Actual Change is ≈ 1.629 eV for $E_J - E_k$ and drops sharply to only ≈ 0.039 for E_{JS} LAN - E_k . Actual change drops are also produced in following n as represented in **Figure 3**.

Table 2 - Be $1s^2$ to $1s$ ns - Jump energies ($E_k E_J$ and E_J with LAN=0,05) and Actual changes						
Energy (eV)	n=2	n=3	n=4			
E _k	121,6506	139,8176	146,054			
EJ	123,279523	140,288787	146,242029			
E _{JS} LAN=0,05	121,689308	139,82361	146,047026			
E _J - E _k	1,628923	0,471187	0,188029			
E _{JS} LAN - E _k	0,038708	0,006010	-0,006974			

P49 LAN plain: Jump in Serelles Secondary Line

Electron jumps to excited states in which, being all constant except n, fulfil that LAN \approx constant with linear tendency that is jump energy function. 2s jump is taken to exemplify P49. Minimum energy state (IE) and final states with E_k (jump reference value [2]) are in **Table 3** and **Table 4**. Charge (z_{CT}) and Energy (E_J) are contained for Torrebotana Central Line in Table 4. Serelles Secondary Lines indicated in Table 3 have E_k (jump reference value [2]) as Es, Ep, Ed and Ef according excited state or destiny state is ns, np, nd or nf respectively. Actual Change (8) is represented in **Figure 4**. E_k (Jump reference energy) [2], as in [1] examples, are even more attracted to Torrebotana Central Line when n and l increase.

Tabla 3 – Final or destiny states selected for jumps from 2s							
Final state	al state $1s^2ns$ $1s^2np$ $1s^2nd$		1s ² nf				
Term J	² S 1/2	² P° 3/2	² D 5/2	² F° 7/2			

(8)	Actual Change	= AC =	$\Delta = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{J}} - \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{J}}$	Ξ _k
-----	---------------	--------	--	----------------

Г	Table 4 - $E_J z_{CT}$ and E_k for Li 1s ² 2s till n=10 and values included in (6) or (7)									
Included in	n (6)	E _o (e	V) [2]		Zs	Zo		IE 2	IE 2s (eV) [2]	
or (7)		-122,4	543538		1	3		-5,3	391714761	
			E _J z _{CT} and	nd Ei	s for Li 1s ² 2s	till n=10				
n		ZCT	Ej		Es	Ep	E	b	Ef	
2	0,083	3333333	1,990204	933		1,84786				
3	0,037	7037037	3,879932	615	3,373129	3,834258	3,878	8613		
4	0,020)833333	4,541337	304	4,340942	4,521648	4,540)723	4,54157	
5	0,013	3333333	4,847473	189	4,748533	4,837313	4,847	/155	4,84834	
6	0,009	9259259	5,013769	225	4,957835	5,007826	5,013	8589		
7	0,006	5802721	5,114040	489	5,07937	5,1103	5,11	391		
8	0,005	5208333	5,179120	397	5,15614	5,176542	5,17	898		
9	0,004	4115226	5,223738	967		5,222	5,22	362		
10	0,003	3333333	5,255654	368		5,254346				



 LAN_R (7) can be calculated with Table 4 and these LAN_R are compiled in **Table 5** and represented in **Figure 5**. LAN_R plains can be checked both in Table 5 and in Figure 5. Value marked with (*) is not really jump because is precisely electronic configuration of lowest energy and, consequently, minimum energy state or initial state. LAN at initial state is developed in following point: P50.

	Figure 5 - Li 2s – LAN _R for Serelles Secondary Lines						
n	1s ² ns	1s ² np	1s ² nd	1s ² nf			
2	0,41144445 (*)	0,04057835					
3	0,40377542	0,04431695	0,00131343				
4	0,40158327	0,04551828	0,001444	-0,00052386			
5	0,40062309	0,04602786	0,00146556	-0,0039865			
6	0,40008849	0,04662606	0,00144591				
7	0,39992687	0,04667668	0,0016442				
8	0,40021194	0,04807611					
9		0,04622757					
10		0,04773641					



 E_k changes with increments of 0.5% for $1s^24s$ and $1s^26s$ and 0.1% for $1s^28s$ are done to visualize variation in LAN_R. For example, E_k ($1s^24s$) passes from 4,340942 to 4,362646 as result to change to be 1,005 E_k . In **Table 6** and **Figure 6**, high LAN_R variations are observed even though percentage increases in E_k are low and LAN_R plain is broken by discontinuities. LAN_R with E_k modification is represented by LAN_{R,M}. Relative Change in percentage for LAN_R is given in (9) but the most direct information column is the last

one where $\[Mathcal{RC}(LAN_R)\]$ is referenced to $\[Mathcal{MC}(E_k)\]$ because E_k variation for $1s^28s$ is lower. For case of $1s^28s$, $\[Mathcal{MC}(LAN_R)\]$ is $\[mathcal{RC}(2k)\]$. Conclusion is than LAN_R has great sensitivity to E_k variation.

Table 6 - Li from 2s to $1s^2ns$ - Effect of varying E_k in LAN _R							
n	%RC(E _k)	LAN _R	LAN _{R,M}	%RC(LAN _{R,M})	$\frac{\% RC(LAN_{\text{R, M}})}{\% RC(E_{\text{k}})}$		
4	+0,5%	0,40158327	0,36383307	9,4003418	18,800683		
6	+0,5%	0,40008849	0,23291811	41,783351	83,566702		
8	+0,1%	0,40021194	0,31565112	21,129009	211,29009		

(9)%RC(LAN_R) =
$$\frac{(LAN_{R, M} - LAN_{R})}{/LAN_{R}} *100$$



Higher sensibility when n is higher (Table 6 and Figure 6) is clearly influenced because LAN_R calculation is performed with E_{dR} and not with E_k (6) or (7). n increase implications are:

$$\uparrow n \to \uparrow E_k \to \downarrow \! / E_{dR} \! / \to \uparrow \! / \% RC(LAN_R) \! /$$

Some disparity or distance from LAN plain ideality can occur especially when n increases due to data with less accuracy (or even with similar deviation) and / or effects

not yet developed and that are increased, as just observed, because of this higher sensitivity when n increases.

P50 Initial LAN value in jump from ns to ns.

Initial LAN value in ns to ns jump is corresponding to initial state and is not first jump. Consequently, initial LAN_R value is result of applying IE [3] instead E_{dR} [2]. First value calculated in this way is found in Table 6 marked with (*) and is not really jump as indicated above. ns to ns jump is OES from OES jump and has this special behaviour. Initial LAN value in jump from ns to ns is called: LAN(P50) and (7) is transformed into (10):

(10) - LAN(P50) = -LAN_{ns → ns} =
$$\frac{(-E_o)^{1/2} z_s}{(-IE)^{1/2} z_o} - n_{initial}$$

Therefore, LAN and associated "distance" or deviation with respect to Torrebotana Central Line can be easily know for ns to ns jump with only IE [3] and without experiencing any jump [2] because LAN value has plain ideal behaviour, that is to say LAN has little variation with n, and LAN(P50) can be used as first estimate for jumps from ns to ns. (This approach is treated more closely at later article as "Xorrador Approximation").

2s Li example initiated within P49 is continued with Relation of Riquelme de Gozy once P50 has been included.

Relation of Riquelme de Gozy

Relation of Riquelme de Gozy is linear tendency LAN vs electron energy or jump energy. P50 LAN plain: Jump in Serelles Secondary Line is introduced with: "Electron jumps to excited states in which, being all constant except n, fulfil that LAN \approx constant with linear tendency that is jump energy function". This linear tendency can be expressed with absolute value of destiny energy or excited electron energy (/E_d/ or /E_{dR}/ if is obtained with reference data).

Table 7 collects $/E_{dR}/$ LAN(P50) and LAN_R for jumps up to 8s. LAN_R decreases smoothly with some slight divergence for higher n.

Table 7 - Li 2s: $/Ed_R/$ and LAN _R for Serelles Secondary Line with 1s ² ns configuration (Term= ${}^{2}S$ and J=1/2)						
n	/Ed _R /	LAN _R				
2	5,39171476	0,41144445 (LAN(P50))				
3	2,01858576	0,40377542				
4	1,05077276	0,40158327				
5	0,64318176	0,40062309				

6	0,43387976	0,40008849
7	0,31234476	0,39992687
8	0,23557476	0,40021194

First three points pairs of Table 7 (/Ed_R/ and LAN(P50) or LAN_R for n=[2,4]) have been taken in **Figure 7**. Lineal trend has optimal adjustment with R²=1,000000 and has low slope 0,002272 Lanitos/eV = 2,2 mLanitos/eV. Therefore, P49 LAN plain: Jump in Serelles Secondary Line is fulfilled with energy function linear tendency and LAN plain with low slope \rightarrow LAN \approx constant. Linear equation general expression of Riquelme de Gozy is given in (11) and for Li 2s to 1s²2s (Term=²S J=1/2) in (12). Serelles Secondary Line is ideal when has optimum linear adjustment as in this case.



 $(11)LAN_{R} = a + b/E_{dR}/$

 $(12)LAN_{R} = 0,399192732 + 0,002272163/E_{dR}/R^{2} = 1,000000$

 $/Ed_{R}/$ has been used to obtain (12) and E_{dRI} is ideal destiny energy obtained by extrapolation from reference energy equation (12).

Riquelme de Gozy extrapolation to rest of excited states

First step for Riquelme de Gozy extrapolation to rest of excited states and achieving ideal Serelles Secondary Line is to shorten (7) considering (13). K_{LAN} is constant made up of three known constants: $-E_0$ (1s Ionization Energy), z_s (Start or initial charge) and z_0 (1s Origin charge) [1]. Three constants are in Table 4 for Lithium example.

$$(13)K_{LAN} = \frac{(-E_o)^{1/2} z_s}{z_o}$$

On the other hand and also in (7), principal quantum number n and destiny energy, that is estimated from ideal behaviour, are not constant. This ideal destiny energy is called E_{dI} where Ideal is marked with suffix I. Finally, E_{dI} is compared with E_{dR} (Reference destiny Energy) [2].

(14) is obtained by equating (11) and (7) with K_{LAN} (13). In addition, E_{dI} is already included with absolute value. Equalization allows to pass from two unknowns (LAN_I and E_{dI}) to a single one (E_{dI}). LAN_I and E_{dI} have suffix I although in both cases is "Ideal" behaviour from reference data that are extrapolated. E_{dRI} (ideal E_{dR} for n obtained from extrapolation of initials E_{dR} satisfying Relation of Riquelme de Gozy) is obtained, although E_{dI} is used in formulas development, because Relation of Riquelme de Gozy has actually been achieved by using references values (IE and E_{dR})

$$(14)a + b/E_{dI} = \frac{-K_{LAN}}{(/E_{dI}/)^{1/2}} + n$$

n is taken to equation left and whole equation is squared to remove E_{dI} square root (15):

$$(15)a^{2} + b^{2}/E_{dI}/^{2} + n^{2} + 2ab/E_{dI}/ - 2an - 2bn/E_{dI}/ = \frac{(-K_{LAN})^{2}}{/E_{dI}/}$$

(15) is equalized to zero and terms are rearranged based on E_{dI} exponent. Result is third degree equation (16) where there are terms with E_{dI} raised to 3, 2, 1 and 0.

$$(16)b^2/E_{dl}/^3 + 2b(a-n)/E_{dl}/^2 + (a^2 + n^2 - 2an)/E_{dl}/ - K_{LAN}^2 = 0$$

Cubic equation is solved by Cardano method, although first is verified if is possible to erase term with E_{dI} raised to 3 and transform it into a second-degree equation. For this, general equation coefficients (16) are inserted in **Table 8** for Li example. E_{dR} is employed because E_{dI} is unknown although, considering R^2 =1.000000 (12) obtained with E_{dR} , $E_{dR} \approx E_{dI}$

Table 8 -Li 2s - Values of Ax ³ , Bx ² , Cx and D for (17) with x=EdR in Serelles SecondaryLine with configuration 1s ² ns (Term= 2S and J=1/2)							
n	$Ax^{3} (eV)$	$Bx^2(eV)$	Cx (eV)	D (eV)	Suma (eV)	B/A	
2	0,00080921	-0,2114766	13,8167215		1,477*10 ⁻⁵	-261	
3	4,2464E-05	-0,0481584	13,6541147		-4,058*10 ⁻⁵	-1134	
4	5,9897E-06	-0,0180670	13,6241231	-13,60603	2,274*10 ⁻⁵	-3016	
5	1,3737E-06	-0,0086491	13,6145033		-0,0001837	-6296	
6	4,2168E-07	-0,0047913	13,6103925		-0,0004377	-11362	

7	1,5732E-07	-0,0029264	13,6090663	0,00010076	-18602
8	6,7494E-08	-0,0019168	13,609689	0,00173288	-28400

$$(17)Ax^{3} + Bx^{2} + Cx + D = 0$$
 (x = / E_{dl}/ or /E_{dRl}/)

Although E_{dR} has been used, not E_{dI} , not even E_{dRI} (ideal E_{dR} for high n obtained from extrapolation of others E_{dR} satisfying Relation of Riquelme de Gozy), Table 8 serves to verify several facts:

a) C and D coefficients are similar for every n. This fact is consequence of Y-intercept being much superior to slope. (14) can be approximated to (19) when (18) is satisfied:

(18)
$$a >>> b$$

(19)a
$$\approx \frac{-K_{\text{LAN}}}{|E_{\text{dl}}|^{1/2}} + n (E_{\text{dR}} \text{ used in Table 8})$$

(19) development leads to (20) that, considering (16) and (17), are same coefficients C and D (21). In addition, as n increases and therefore E_{dI} decreases, C coefficient continues to override A and B coefficients because C has n^2 and E_{dI} , B has n and E_{dI}^2 and A has E_{dI}^3 and not n term.

$$(20)(a^{2} + n^{2} - 2an)/E_{dI} / + K_{LAN}^{2} \approx 0$$
 (E_{dR} used in Table 8)

(21) C/E_{dI}/ + D \approx 0 If (18) and better when n⁽¹⁾ (E_{dR} used in Table 8)

This approach is equivalent to the one indicated as "Xorrador Approximation" in previous paragraph to this point and, as is commented there, is expended in following article.

b) A and B coefficients make up other balance of terms and compensate for difference between C and D. B and A are divided in the last column on the right in Table 8: cubic term is more negligible and error when approaching square relation is smaller when quotient increases. For same reason discussed in previous point, i.e. different exponents of n and E_{dI} in coefficients, B preponderance over A grows as n increases.

c) Summation of four coefficients is made in column titled "Sum". "Sum" dos not give exactly 0 because destiny energy used is E_{dR} and is not E_{dI} . Even not giving 0, can be highlighted:

c.1) n=[2,4]. Summation is practically 0 for first three values (Sum $\approx 10^{-5}$ eV). This result is predictable since first three values make up (12) and have exceptionally good linear tendency with R²=1,000000.

c.2) n>4. Following points that can be created from this straight line of Riquelme de Gozy created with E_{dR} are E_{dRI} , and based on low summation values, should not be far from E_{dR} applied in Table 8. Cardano method is applied to know E_{dRI} and compare with E_{dR} .

Cardano method application to know EdRI and compare with EdR.

Comments made on Cardano method application:

a) Riquelme de Gozy equation is obtained with only two points (22): initial state and first excited state. Figure 7 and (12) employ three states (initial state and first two excited states) to demonstrate optimum linearity between them. Consequently, (22) is very similar to (12).

 $(22)LAN = 0,399186272 + 0,002273521/E_{dR}/$

b) Cubic equation (16) and square equation obtained by discarding cubic term are solved to n=[4,8], obtaining E_{dRI} , which is compared with E_{dR} and also for n>8 to see how evolves.

c) Cardano method has discriminant which, depending on its sign, equation has different solutions. In this case, discriminant is negative and real solution with physical sense has 90 degrees and $\cos(90)=0$. Other solutions provide $/E_{dRI}/>/IE/$ and therefore are meaningless.

d) **Table 9** has E_{dR} and E_{dRI} with Cubic equation (E_{dRI} (3)) and square equation (E_{dRI} (2)) and their respective LAN:

d.1) Differences between E_{dRI} and E_{dR} are minima: ($\approx 10^{-5}$).

d.2) Differences between $E_{dRI}(2)$ and $E_{dRI}(3)$ in n=2 and n=3 are approximation consequence that has greater weight when n is low and destiny energy is greater (Cubic term= $Ax^3 = b^2E_{dRI}^3$ (17)).

d.3) $E_{dRI}(2) \approx E_{dRI}(3)$ when n>4 which is from where is interesting to see approximation effect.

d.4) $E_{dRI}(2)$ and $E_{dRI}(3)$ are expressed with same significant digits as E_{dR} for better comparison.

e) Destiny energy vs. LAN is in **Figure 8**. In a first impression, LAN_R is well located in linearity of Relation of Riquelme de Gozy. **Figure 9** is Figure 8 enlargement and is centred on $1s^2ns$ with n=[4,8], that is to say in extrapolated E_{dRI} and LAN_{RI}. LAN_R in 4s, 5s and 7s is very close to linearity of Relation of Riquelme de Gozy, slightly deviated for 6s and something more for 8s. Poor energetic deviation of 0,0003 eV ($/Ed_R/(1s^28s)=0,23557$ vs. $/Ed_{RI}/(2)$ ($1s^28s$) = $/Ed_{RI}/(3)$ ($1s^28s$)= 0,23554) provides visible effect on LAN.

f) Linearity of Relation of Riquelme de Gozy and its sensitivity to small energetic deviations are interesting support to bifurcated Torrebotana Central Line in Serelles Secondary Lines.

g) Relation of Riquelme de Gozy fulfilment including non-excited ns state in ns to ns jump (LANP50) adds more strength to its origin character.



Securidaria Serenes de configuración is fis (Term -5 y $J-1/2$)									
n	/Ed _R /	/Ed _{RI} / (2)	/Ed _{RI} / (3)	LAN _R ns	$LAN_{RI}(2)$	$LAN_{RI}(3)$			
2	5,39171476	5,3920409	5,39171476	0,411444	0,411492	0,411444			
3	2,018586	2,018592	2,018586	0,403776	0,403780	0,403776			
4	1,050773	1,050769	1,050768	0,401584	0,401576	0,401575			
5	0,643182	0,643189	0,643189	0,400624	0,400649	0,400649			
6	0,433880	0,433893	0,433893	0,400090	0,400173	0,400173			
7	0,31234	0,31234	0,31234	0,399877	0,399896	0,399896			
8	0,23557	0,23554	0,23554	0,400135	0,399722	0,399722			
9		0,18395	0,18395		0,399604	0,399604			
12		0,10110	0,10110		0,399416	0,399416			
16		0,05590	0,05590		0,399313	0,399313			
20		0,03541	0,03541		0,399267	0,399267			
30		0,01553	0,01553		0,399222	0,399222			
40		0,00868	0,00868		0,399206	0,399206			
50		0,00553	0,00553		0,399199	0,399199			
75		0,00244	0,00244		0,399192	0,399192			
100		0,00137	0,00137		0,399189	0,399189			
200		0,00034	0,00034		0,399187	0,399187			

Table 9 - Li 2s - Energía de destino de referencia e ideal por ecuación cúbica (3) oaproximación cuadrada (2). Valores de LAN complementarios a dichas Energías. LíneaSecundaria Serelles de configuración 1s²ns (Term= 2S y J=1/2)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Javier Silvestre. Excited electrons by Torrebotana Central Line: Tete Vic Equation. Sent to: <u>http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre</u>

[2] Kramida, A., Ralchenko, Yu., Reader, J., and NIST ASD Team (2015). *NIST Atomic Spectra Database* (ver. 5.3), [Online]. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/asd
[2016, May 18]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.
[3] Kramida, A., Ralchenko, Yu., Reader, J., and NIST ASD Team (2014). *NIST Atomic Spectra Database* (ver. 5.2), [Online]. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/asd [2016, May

18]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.

Abbreviations Table					
in question is marked with X. 10 is [1] and 11 is present article.					
Abbreviation	Abbreviation 10 11		Meaning		
AC	Х		Actual Change		
BES	Χ	Χ	Born Electronic System		
Ed	Х	Х	Excited state destiny energy		
E _{dI}		Χ	Excited state destiny energy obtained from ideal E_d		
E _{dR}		Χ	Reference destiny energy		
E _{dRI}		X	Ideal E_{dR} obtained from extrapolation of others E_{dR} satisfying Relation of Riquelme de Gozy		
E _{dS}		Χ	Excited state destiny energy in Serelles Secondary Line		
EJ	Х	Х	Jump energy in Torrebotana Central Line		
E _{J, R}	Χ		Referenced E _J to IE		
E _{JS}		Χ	Jump energy in Serelles Secondary Line		
E _k	Χ	Χ	Reference Jump energy		
E _{k, R}	Χ		Referenced E _k to IE		
Eo	Х	Χ	1s OES Ionization energy		
El	Х	Χ	Es, Ep, Ed and Ef are energies to reach ns, np, nd & nf		
IE	Х	Χ	Ionization energy		
1	Χ	Χ	Orbital quantum number		
LAN	Χ	Χ	Serelles Secondary Lines Factor		
LAN _I LAN _{RI}		Х	Ideal LAN obtained from E _d or E _{dRI}		
LAN _R		Х	LAN with reference data		
LAN _{R,M}		Х	LAN _R with modification		
LAN(P50)		Х	Initial LAN value in ns to ns jump. LAN with IE		
n	Х	Х	Principal quantum number		
n _{initial} or n _s		Χ	n of non-excited electron		
NIN	Х		Negative in negative		
OES	Χ	Χ	Origin Electronic System		
RC	Х		Relative Change		
Z	Χ		Effective nuclear charge		
Z	Х		Atomic Number		
ZCT	Х	Х	Excited state charge		
Zo	Χ	Х	1s Origin charge according to P46		
Zs	Х	Х	Start charge according to P46		
Z _{SS}		Х	Serelles secondary charge		

Г

ARTICLES INDEX							
Part	Number	Title					
Part I - Victoria Equation and Feliz Solutions	01	Victoria Equation - The dark side of the electron.					
	02	Electronic extremes: orbital and spin (introduction)					
	03	Relations between electronic extremes: Rotation time as probability and Feliz I.					
	04	Feliz II the prudent: Probability radial closure with high order variable C_F					
	05	Feliz III The King Major: Orbital filled keeping Probability electronic distribution.					
	06	Feliz IV Planet Coupling: Probability curves NIN coupling from origin electron.					
	07	NIN Coupling values in n=2 and Oxygen electronic density.					
	08	Electron Probability with NIN coupling in n=2.					
	09	Electron probability with NIN coupling in n>2 and necessary NIN relationships.					
ron: Tete Vic and	10	Excited electrons by Torrebotana Central Line: Tete Vic Equation.					
	11	Excited electrons: LAN plains for Tete Vic Equation.					
	12	Relation of Riquelme de Gozy: LAN linearity with energy of excited states.					
	13	Relation of Fly Piep de Garberí: LAN ⁻¹ and Ionization Energy.					
	14	Relation of Silva de Peral & Alameda: LAN interatomicity with energetic relation.					
elect A.	15	Relation of Silva de Peral & Alameda II: jump from $n_s s$ to ns .					
ed e I	16	SPA III: Mc Flui transform for Silpovgar III and Silpovgar IV.					
Part II – Excit	17	SPA IV: Silpovgar IV with Piepflui. Excess Relativistic: influence in LAN and SPA					
	18	Feliz Theory of Eo vision - Relativistic II: influence in Riquelme de Gozy					
	19	Pepliz LAN Empire I: $LAN_{n\to\infty}$ vs. $LAN(P50)$					
	20	Pepliz LAN Empire II: LAN _{$n\to\infty$} vs. LAN(P50)					
Part III - NIN: CPEP & CPOTI	21	Electron Probability: PUB C_{PEP} I (Probability Union Between C_{PEP}) - Necessary NIN relationships					
	22	Electron Probability: PUB C _{PEP} II in "Flui BAR" (Flui (BES A (Global Advance) Region)					
	23	Orbital capacity by advancement of numbers - Electron Probability: PUB C_{PEP} III: "Flui BAR" II and C_{PEP-i}					
	24	Electron Probability: 1s electron birth: The last diligence to Poti Rock & Snow Hill Victoria					
		24 hours of new day					