# ARTICLE 18 Excited electron: Feliz Theory of  $\epsilon$ , vision - Relativistic II: Influence in Riquelme de Gozy **Javier Silvestre** [www.eeatom.blogspot.com](http://www.eeatom.blogspot.com/)

# **ABSTRACT**

This is 18th article of 24 dedicated to atomic model based on Victoria equation (Articles index is at end). First application of Relativistic effects is made with Relation of Silva de Peral y Alameda in  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1$ sns (Term=<sup>1</sup>S and J=0) where initial relativity postulates (from P61 to P64) are established [8]. This second part seeks to corroborate excess relativistic role in ideality deviation of Riquelme de Gozy whereas Relativistic effect has been seen with Relation of Silva de Peral y Alameda in [8].

### **KEYWORDS**

Relation of Riquelme de Gozy, RG relation, Tete-Vic equation, LAN, Excess relativistic,  $ER_0$ ,  $ER_{dR}$ , Feliz Theory of  $E_0$ , Feliz Representation of  $E_0$ 

### **INTRODUCTION**

LAN with energy modifications (1) is given in annex of [8] and (1) is changed to (2) when modifications are relativistic. Relations of Silva de Peral y Alameda (SPA relation) [5,8] and Riquelme de Gozy (RG relation) [2,3] are focused on excited electron study. In contrast, Relation of Flui Piep de Garberí (FPG relation) analyzes connection between LAN and ionization energy (non-excited state) [4]. Abbreviations Table is at end article

$$
(1) - LAN_M = \frac{(-E_{\text{oM}})^{1/2} z_s}{(-E_{\text{dM}})^{1/2} z_o} - n = \frac{(-E_{\text{o}} G)^{1/2} z_s}{(-E_{\text{d}} F)^{1/2} z_o} - n = \frac{(-E_{\text{o}} - y)^{1/2} z_s}{(-E_{\text{d}} - x)^{1/2} z_o} - n
$$

$$
(2) - LAN^* \approx -LAN_R^* = \frac{(-E_{\text{o}}^*)^{1/2} z_s}{(-E_{\text{dR}}^*)^{1/2} z_o} - n = \frac{(-E_{\text{o}} - ER_{\text{o}})^{1/2} z_s}{(-E_{\text{dR}} - ER_{\text{dR}})^{1/2} z_o} - n
$$

IE and  $E_0$  relativistic excesses are found when SPA relation curvature is turned towards linearity and are statements [8]:

P61 IE Excess Relativistic in SPA PEC P62 Feliz Theory of  $E_0$  vision from electron as moves away. P63 ER<sup>o</sup> interatomic behaviour P64 Feliz representation of  $E_0$  vision from electron as moves away.

Effect of excess relativistic on relation of Riquelme de Gozy (RG) is carried out in this second part. RG provides linearity between LAN and  $E_{dR}$  where  $E_{dR}$  is obtained from  $E_k$ [10].  $E_{dR}$  is reference destiny energy and  $E_k$  is jump energy with reference data. Relation of Riquelme de Gozy is for one single atom and jump made from same initial state to defined excited state where only n is varied [2,3].

# **1) Helium: Relation of Riquelme de Gozy with ideal linearity behaviour**

Relation of Riquelme de Gozy for  $1s^22s \rightarrow 1s^2$ **ns** Lithium is studied in [2]. 2s ionization energy (2s IE) and two first jumps  $(1s<sup>2</sup>3s)$  and  $1s<sup>2</sup>4s)$  provide line equation whose extrapolation is fitted to subsequent electron jumps ([10] has data up to  $1s<sup>2</sup>8s$ ).  $n<sub>s</sub> \rightarrow$ ns study is continued and supplemented with different electron jumps compiled in [3] final table. In all cases, linear trends are excellent with  $R^2 \rightarrow 1$  although significant fact should be highlighted: only IE and two first jumps in  $n_s s \rightarrow n s$  and three first jumps in other jump type make up linear trends so has not been seen (except for Lithium case) what happens to latter n.

1s and 1s<sup>2</sup> ionization energies are low and consequently corresponding excess relativistic are reduced. In principle and if is accepted (2) where main modifications source is  $ER_0$  and  $ER_{dr}$ , Relation of Riquelme de Gozy must present good linearity without applying relativistic corrections. Relation of Riquelme de Gozy for  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1s$ ns Helium, whether antiparallel or parallel spins, is represented in **Figure 1** and **Figure 2**  respectively. Optimal  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of linear trends for n intervals indicated are in **Table 1.** 









In conclusion, first three destiny n present linearity somewhat better than interval n=[4,10], but in general as mark  $R^2$  of global interval n=[2,10], Riquelme de Gozy compliance is obtained without relativistic consideration.

Extrapolation of Riquelme de Gozy made with three first jumps to the remainder  $(n=[5,10])$  can be done by solving cubic equation [2]. Resolution allows to know E<sub>dRI</sub> where  $E_{dRI}$  is ideal destiny energy obtained by extrapolation from reference energy equation.  $E_{iRI}$  (ideal jump energy obtained from  $E_{dRI}$ ) is deductible from ionization energy and  $E_{dRI}$  (3). Reference data have corresponding equation with jump and destiny energy ( $E_{dR}$  and  $E_k$ ) (4)

> $(3)$   $E_{IRI} = E_{dRI} - IE$ (4)  $E_k = E_{dR} - IE$

Actual change (AC) (5) is a way to verify differences between energetic curves and Relative Change (RC) (6) and (7) is better comparative because is referenced. Extrapolated and reference data [10] are compared by (5), (6) and (7) for Helium  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1$ sns (Term=<sup>3</sup>S J=1) in **Table 2**. Differences are very small and are still more reduced as destiny n increases. This concordance between extrapolated and reference data allows to corroborate Riquelme de Gozy application to  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1$ sns Helium without need to include relativistic modifications.

(5) Actual Change =  $AC = \Delta = E_k - E_{iRI} = E_{dR} - E_{dRI}$ 

$$
(6)RC(EdR) = \frac{Ek - EjRI}{\sqrt{EdRI}} = \frac{EdR - EdRI}{\sqrt{EdRI}}
$$

$$
(7)RC(Ek) = \frac{Ek - EjRI}{\sqrt{EjRI}} = \frac{EdR - EdRI}{\sqrt{EJRI}}
$$



#### **2) General situation for Relation of Riquelme de Gozy when Z increases**

Riquelme de Gozy application for high n has only been seen when Z (atomic number) is low: Helium ( $Z=2$ ) in previous point and Lithium ( $Z=3$ ) [2]. Present point is centred on  $n<sub>s</sub> \rightarrow$ ns as Lithium, but now with other alkaline metals (Na, K, Rb and Cs) that has higher Z and same  $z_s$ =1. Main conclusion to be confirmated at this point: LAN provided by [10] without relativistic effects are further diverted from linearity as Z increases.

Relation of Riquelme de Gozy for Sodium in [Ne]3s→[Ne]ns jump is represented in **Figure 3** where LAN with reference data is LANr and extrapolated from RG relation is LANi or LAN ideal. LANr is progressively diverted from RG linearity as n increases and consequently  $(-E_d)$  decreases. RG line equation is made only with two data: nonexcited state (LANP50) and first excited state LAN(4s). Line equations were performed with 3 points [2,3] to check fulfilment of RG relation for low n. Although LAN with reference data [10] (LANr in Figure or  $\text{LAN}_R$  in equation (8)) progressively move away from linearity, distance between  $LAN_{R}$  and ideal  $LAN_{R}$  or  $LAN_{i}$  is low  $(LAN_{i}$ - $LAN<sub>R</sub>=0.01Lanitos=10$  mLanitos when excited state is  $[N<sub>e</sub>]20s$  and causes difference between real and ideal destiny energies to be small and not visible to naked eye (**Figure**  4). Numerically, differential  $(E_{dR} - E_{dR1}) \approx 1.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$  eV for n=5 and gradually decreases to (E<sub>dR</sub>- E<sub>dRI</sub>)≈4.2·10<sup>-5</sup> eV for n=20. E<sub>dRI</sub> is also expressed as E<sub>d</sub> RG indicating that it comes from Relation of Riquelme de Gozy.

$$
(8) - LAN \approx -LAN_R = \left(\frac{{z_s}^2 E_o}{{z_o}^2 E_{aR}}\right)^{1/2} - n = \left(\frac{{z_s}^2 E_o}{{z_o}^2 (E_K + IE)}\right)^{1/2} - n
$$



LAN<sub>R</sub> (8) does not have relativistic effects, while LAN<sub>R</sub><sup>\*</sup> (2) considers them. Way to know relativistic effects ( $ER_0$  and  $ER_{dR}$ ) should be that indicated from P61 to P64 and annex of [8], but now a brief values inclusion for factors that affect energies (F, G, x and y with formulas from (9) to (12)) also exposed in [8] is performed to bring  $LAN_{R}$ 

curve to  $LAN_{R}^*$  ideal line based on RG relation. (9) and (11) modifications can be equated with single constant value and both can also be equal to  $(12)$  because  $E_0$  is constant in LAN<sub>R</sub>. Value chosen for three factors  $(13)$  allows LAN<sub>R</sub> curve to be transformed into straight line (possible  $\text{LAN}_R^*$  line) and is close to ideal line  $\text{LAN}_I$ (**Figure 5**). Selected y value (2.308 eV) is very near to 1s ER or ER<sup>o</sup> that has been calculated in (14) and is another sign of P62 and P64 [8] fulfilment. x value proposed (+0.00021 eV) achieves initial adjustment for low n but its deviation is progressively greater because affects more to descending E<sup>d</sup> and consequently this modification option is discarded.





Riquelme de Gozy is satisfied with linearity for high n in Helium ( $Z=2$ ) (Figure 1 and 2) and Lithium (Z=3) [2]. Na has LAN<sub>R</sub> vs. -E<sub>dR</sub> curvature as  $\uparrow$ n or  $\downarrow$ (-E<sub>d</sub>) which, if P62 (Feliz Theory of  $E_0$  vision from electron as moves away) is true and  $ER_0$  has direct relation with curvature, must be increased with following alkaline metals (K, Rb and Cs) that has higher Z and same  $z_s=1$ .

First representation for simultaneously observing  $LAN<sub>R</sub>$  vs.  $-E<sub>dR</sub>$  curvatures is division of all  $LAN_R$  and  $-E_d$  by their corresponding first  $LAN_R$  and  $-E_{dR}$  respectively. Subscript F indicates that is first state included in RG relation that is non-excited state in  $n_s s \rightarrow ns$ and first excited state in other jump type. With objective of comparing curvature under same conditions, maximum n destiny selected is the one with  $-E_d \approx 0.4$  eV because last n with reference data [10] for Rb and Cs has said  $-E_d$  and LAN drift is  $-E_d$  function. Main conclusion sought at beginning of point is confirmed in **Figure 6**: LAN provided by [10] without relativistic effects are further diverted from linearity as Z increases. First two points that originate Riquelme de Gozy line are obviated in order to be centred in curvature section. These two points are  $n<sub>s</sub>$  or non-excited state (point  $(1,1)$  in Figure 6) and first excited state. Other points in curvature section are called "B" points.



Second representation for simultaneously observing  $LAN<sub>R</sub>$  vs. -E<sub>dR</sub> curvatures starts from Figure 6 and is difference between Riquelme de Gozy line and so called B points that make up curvature. Progressive greater curvature with increasing Z leads to higher Relative Change (6) and (7) which is expressed in percentage in **Table 3** for Na and Cs  $n_s \rightarrow$ ns. RC for Na, although higher than those of Helium (Table 2) and expressed without percentage, are reduced. In contrast, for RC for Cs start to be important especially when RC is referred to reference destiny energy  $(E_{dR})$ .





### **3) P62 and P64 Application for Relation of Riquelme de Gozy**

Curvature SPA relation with respect to linear ideality has been solved with postulates from P61 to P64 [8]. Relation of Silva de Peral y Alameda refers to one single excited state and to all atoms [5,8]. Excited electron relativistic excess ( $ER_{dR}$ ) is not negligible throughout SPA relation because there are high  $z_s$  (all atoms) and also IE/ $z_s$  ratio is second highest (1s<sup>2</sup>) since treated jump is:  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1s2s$  (Term=<sup>1</sup>S and J=0). [8]

As Riquelme de Gozy is for one single atom and jump made from same initial state to defined excited state where only n is varied [2,3], jump with low IE can be selected and therefore  $ER_{dR}$  may be negligible and (2) passes to (15). In addition, P64, Feliz representation of  $E_0$  vision from electron as moves away, is performed with all destiny n and ER<sup>o</sup> is best seen.

$$
(15)-LAN_R{}^*\big(ER_{\textrm{dR}}\to 0\big)=\frac{\left(-E_\mathrm{o}{}^*\right)^{1/2}z_s}{\left(-E_{\textrm{dR}}{}^*\right)^{1/2}z_\mathrm{o}}-n=\frac{\left(-E_\mathrm{o}-ER_\mathrm{o}\right)^{1/2}z_s}{\left(-E_{\textrm{dR}}-ER_{\textrm{dR}}\right)^{1/2}z_\mathrm{o}}-n=\frac{\left(-E_\mathrm{o}-ER_\mathrm{o}\right)^{1/2}z_s}{\left(-E_{\textrm{dR}}\right)^{1/2}z_\mathrm{o}}-n
$$

P62, Feliz Theory of  $E_0$  vision from electron as moves away, allows linearity drift resolution in LAN<sub>R</sub> vs. E<sub>dR</sub> by progressive 1s ER (14) elimination in the vision of said 1s ER (14) by electron as it moves away.

#### **First Feliz theory approximation:**  $E_0$  **change by**  $E_{0T}$

First approximation is to consider that  $E_{\text{oT}}$  (16) must be employed instead of  $E_{\text{o}}$  [9] in (15). LAN with this first approximation is given by  $LAN(E<sub>oT</sub>)$  (17) and  $K<sub>LAN</sub>$  by theoretical  $K_{LAN-T}$  (18). Change form curvature to lineal is correct with this

$$
(16) EoT = -13.6056899 eV * Z2
$$

$$
(17) - LAN_{R}(E_{\text{or and}} ER_{\text{dR}} \to 0) = \frac{(-E_{\text{or}})^{1/2}z_{\text{s}}}{(-E_{\text{dR}})^{1/2}z_{\text{o}}} - n
$$

$$
(18)K_{\text{LAN-T}} = \frac{(-E_{\text{or}})^{1/2}z_{\text{s}}}{}
$$

 $\rm{Z} \rm{o}$ 

This total  $ER_0$  elimination follows correct linearity observed for Na jump, [Ne]3s→[Ne]ns, in Figure 5 where is indicated: Selected y value (2.308 eV) is very near to 1s ER or  $ER_0$  that has been calculated in (14) and is another sign of P62 and P64 [8] fulfilment.

Step from  $E_0$  to  $E_0$  is realized progressively to observe how affects RG relation curvature in Cesium 6s→ns (**Figure 8**). Change form curvature to linear is correct with this first Feliz theory approximation as can be checked in Figure 8 and **Table 4**. However, being critical there is outstanding improvement, but no perfect linearity that allows to continue considering of progressive 1s ER (14) elimination in the vision of said 1s ER (14) by electron as it moves away.





**Table 5** includes several more examples on influence of working with  $E_0$  or  $E_0$ . There are three intervals: three first LAN, next to last with data [10] and overall set as seen in Table 4 with Cs. Conclusion is maintained and progressive 1s ER (14) elimination is considered: there is outstanding improvement using  $E<sub>oT</sub>$  instead  $E<sub>o</sub>$ , but no perfect linearity and linearity for first jumps is very good with Eo. Linearity for first jumps is only correct with  $E_0$  in Cesium case ( $R^2$ =0.9900 with  $E_0$  and n=[6,8] in Table 4) because  $ER<sub>o</sub>=1755.78$  eV is very important and curvature is significantly initiated from beginning.





# **P62 Feliz Theory of E<sup>o</sup> vision from electron as moves away. Application to RG.**

Once considered possible IE Excess Relativistic (P61), linearity drift resolution in LAN vs.  $(-E_{dR})$  is obtained with progressive 1s ER (14) elimination in the vision of said 1s ER (14) by electron as it moves away. In previously treated cases, IE is low and  $ER_{dR} \rightarrow 0$  and  $LAN_{R}^*$  is calculated with (15).

 $LAN<sub>R</sub><sup>*</sup>$  and relation of Riquelme de Gozy are equal in (19) where RG line equation is made with two first data:

 $n_s s \rightarrow ns$  non-excited state (LANP50) and first excited state Other jumps two first excited states

$$
(19) - LAN_R^* (ER_{\text{dR}} \to 0) = \frac{(-E_{\text{o}} - ER_{\text{o}})^{1/2} z_{\text{s}}}{(-E_{\text{dR}})^{1/2} z_{\text{o}}} - n = a + bE_{\text{dR}}
$$

As  $-E_0^* = E_0 - ER_0 (2)$ , (19) is changed to (20):

$$
(20) - LAN_R^* (ER_{dR} \to 0) = \frac{(-E_o^*)^{1/2} z_s}{(-E_{dR})^{1/2} z_o} - n = a + bE_{dR}
$$

 $E_0^*$  (21) is obtained from (20). RG relation points are not exactly the same with or without  $ER_0$  inclusion as is shown below. Therefore,  $ER_0$  is (22):

$$
(21)Eo* = \left( (a + bEdR + n) \frac{Zo}{Zs} \right)2 EdR
$$

$$
(22)ERo = \left( (a + bEdR + n) \frac{Zo}{Zs} \right)2 EdR - Eo
$$

#### **P64 Feliz representation of E<sup>o</sup> vision from electron as moves away.**

Feliz representation of  $E_0$  vision from electron as moves away is  $ER_0$  vs.  $(-E_{dR})^{1/2}$  curve (23). Y-intercept must be equal to 1s ER (14) and therefore said 1s ER must be obtained from extrapolation of experimental data. (24) [8]

$$
(23) \mathrm{ER}_\circ \propto \big({-\, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dR}}}\big)^{\!\!\!_{1/2}}
$$

(24) 1s ER = ER<sub>o</sub>(E<sub>dR</sub>
$$
\rightarrow
$$
0)=E<sub>oT</sub> - E<sub>o</sub> = -13.6056899 eV \* Z<sup>2</sup> - E<sub>o</sub>

In [8], Feliz representation is carried out with Kr  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1$ sns (Term=<sup>1</sup>S and J=0 and n=[2,4]) and three first jumps are adjusted to grade two polynomial regression (25). Yintercept provided by equation is 295 eV and therefore very close to that expected: 1s ER=303,23 eV. In addition,  $ER_0 \rightarrow 0$  when is Ed<sub>R</sub> of 1s4s:  $(-Ed_R)^{1/2} = (-4269,834$  $eV$ <sup>1/2</sup>=65,344 eV<sup>1/2</sup>

$$
(25)ER_{\circ} = a + b(-E_{dR})^{1/2} + c(-E_{dR})
$$

Inclusion of these two points,  $(0, 303.23)$  and  $(65.344, 0)$  provide  $R^2=0.9999$  in grade two polynomial regression. Finally, two other examples, Ga and Ti also give values with very good approximation with Y-intercept of 161 and 42 eV against 164 and 41 eV provided by (14).

ER<sup>o</sup> (22) is obtained for Na 3s→ns and represented in **Figure 9**. Start or no-excited state (3s) and first excited state (4s) are not included in Figure 9 because these two points create line equation. RG is indicated in Figure 9 because, as has been seen in [8], ERo can also be calculated from SPA relation. Two regressions are performed:

A) Three first jumps with  $ER_0$  are adjusted to grade two polynomial regression (25) as in previous Kr case. These jumps are Na  $3s \rightarrow ns$  with n=[5,7]. Grade two polynomial regression tends on both sides to fulfil the same as in previous Kr case:

\* Y-intercept provided by equation is 2,68 eV and therefore very close to that expected: 1s ER=2,41 eV.

\*  $ER_0 \rightarrow 0$  when is Ed<sub>R</sub> of [Ne]4s:  $(-Ed_R)^{1/2} = (-1.9477236 \text{ eV})^{1/2} = 1.39560868$  $eV^{1/2}$ . [Ne]4s has been considered with  $ER_0 \rightarrow 0$  and RG relation has been realized with [Ne]3s and [Ne]4s and grade two polynomial regression is in agreement with this  $ER_0 \rightarrow 0$  in [Ne]4s.

All this analogous situation occurs even:

\* Being two different electron jumps: Kr  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1$ sns (Term=<sup>1</sup>S and J=0) and Na 3s→ns and also with two different types of energetic correlation: Relation of Silva de Peral y Alameda:  $1s^2 \rightarrow 1$ sns has PEC and 3s $\rightarrow$ ns has FEC.

\* With extremely disparate 1s ER:

1s ER (Kr)= 303,23 eV>> 1s ER (Na)= 2,4135V

B) Posterior destiny n present good linear behaviour as [8] has advanced. [8] conclusion highlights aspects to be studied in present article that works with RG instead of SPA relation. One of them is following one:  $ER_0$  vs.  $(-E_{dR})^{1/2}$  section with medium-high n is approximated to line equation (26) from curve adjusted to grade two polynomial regression (25).

(26)ER<sub>o</sub> 
$$
\approx
$$
 a + b(-E<sub>ar</sub>)<sup>1/2</sup> Approximation for posterior jumps

Linear regression has very good  $R^2=0.9993$  considering that is for medium-high n destiny (n=[8,17]). Linear regression Y-intercept equal to 2.39 eV is even closer to calculated in (14), 2.4135 eV, than value estimated at point A)  $(2.68 \text{ eV})$ 



### **P64 Feliz representation sensibility to energetic variations**

 $5.10^{-7}$  eV is added to E<sub>dR</sub> in Na 3s  $\rightarrow$ ns with destiny n=12, 14 and 16 (**Figure 10**). Figure 10 is P64 representation (ER<sub>o</sub> vs.  $(-E_{dR})^{1/2}$ ) and is Figure 9 enlargement in low (- $E_{dR}$ )<sup>1/2</sup> area. This modification is very limited: 5·10<sup>-7</sup> eV/(- $E_{dR}$ )≈10<sup>-6</sup> and 5·10<sup>-7</sup> eV/(- $E_k$ )≈10<sup>-7</sup> where  $E_{dR}$  and  $E_k$  are destiny and jump energies for Na 3s→ns with destiny n=12, 14 and 16. E<sub>dR</sub> modification effect on ER<sub>o</sub> vs.  $(-E_{dR})^{1/2}$  linearity is visible to naked eye even when modification is small.



**P64 Feliz representation of E<sup>o</sup> vision for Cesium in medium-high n.**

P64 Feliz representation of E<sub>o</sub> vision for Cesium in medium-high n is represented in **Figure 11.** Start or no-excited state (6s) and first excited state (7s) are not included in Figure 11 because these two points create line equation. Three first jumps with  $ER_0$ (from 8s to 10s) are also not in Figure 11 because are adjusted to grade two polynomial regression and Figure 11 is focused on  $ER_0$  vs.  $(-E_{dR})^{1/2}$  section with medium-high n that is approximated to line equation (26). Both regression provide Y-intercept  $\approx$  Eo<sub>T</sub>-Eo (**Table 6**). Two different jumps covering wide 1s ER range have been carefully represented an are in accordance what is postulated as P62 and P64:

 $1s^2 \rightarrow 1$ sns (Term=<sup>1</sup>S and J=0) with Ti, Ga and Kr as examples. [8]  $n_s s \rightarrow n s$  (Term=<sup>2</sup>S J=1/2) with Na and Cs as examples.

Complementarily, other jump examples that corroborate P62 and P64 accomplishment are summarized in **Table 7**.













### **RG relation displacement**

Linearity drift resolution in RG relation (LAN<sub>R</sub> vs.  $E_{dR}$ ) has been achieved only by considering ER<sub>o</sub> and admitting that  $ER_{dR} \rightarrow 0$  because examples have been selected with low IE. Consequently, F≠G since F=1 and G is variable number related to  $y= ER_0 (27)$ where y=[0, 1s  $ER = E<sub>oT</sub>$ - $E<sub>o</sub>$ ]. Therefore,  $E<sub>dl</sub>$  (ideal destiny energy) obtained from cubic equation resolution [2] without relativistic considerations is slightly different from  $E_{dR}$ . Finally, implies that  $LAN_{RI} \neq LAN_{R} \neq (ER_{dR} \rightarrow 0)$  (28) and (15) and data pairs are displaced within same Riquelme de Gozy line (**Figure 12**). LAN<sub>RI</sub> is ideal LAN extrapolated from initial references [2].

ER<sub>o</sub>= [0, 1s ER=E<sub>oT</sub>-E<sub>o</sub>] and ER<sub>dR</sub>→0  
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
\nE<sub>dI</sub>≠E<sub>dR</sub>  
\n
$$
LAN_{RI}≠LAN_{R}*(ER_{dR}→0)
$$
\n
$$
\downarrow
$$
\nRG relation displacement  
\n(27)G =  $\frac{E_o + y}{E_o}$ 

$$
(28) - LAN_{RI} = \left(\frac{z_{s}^{2}E_{o}}{z_{o}^{2}E_{dl}}\right)^{1/2} - n
$$



This RG relation displacement along with cancelation possibility with balance between F and G provoked by  $ER_{dR}$  and  $ER_{0}$  respectively should be analyzed later.

# **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

[1] Javier Silvestre. Excited electrons by Torrebotana Central Line: Tete Vic Equation. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[2] Javier Silvestre. LAN plains for Tete Vic Equation. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[3] Javier Silvestre. Relation of Riquelme de Gozy: LAN lineality with energy of excited states. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[4] Javier Silvestre. Relation of Flui Piep de Garberí: LAN<sup>-1</sup> and Ionization Energy. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[5] Javier Silvestre. Relation of Silva de Peral y Alameda: LAN interatomicity with energetic relation. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[6] Javier Silvestre. Relation of Silva de Peral & Alameda II: jump from  $n_s s$  to ns. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[7] Javier Silvestre. SPA III: Mc Flui transform for Silpovgar III and Silpovgar IV. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[8] Javier Silvestre. SPA IV: Silpovgar IV with Piepflui. Excess Relativistic: influence in LAN and SPA. Sent to: [http://vixra.org/author/javier\\_silvestre](http://vixra.org/author/javier_silvestre)

[9] Kramida, A., Ralchenko, Yu., Reader, J., and NIST ASD team (2014). NIST Atomic Spectra Database (ver. 5.2.) [Online]. Available: [http://physics.nist.gov/asd \[2016,](http://physics.nist.gov/asd%20%5b2016) May 30]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD

[10] Kramida, A., Ralchenko, Yu., Reader, J., and NIST ASD Team (2015). *NIST Atomic Spectra Database* (ver. 5.3), [Online]. Available: http://physics.nist.gov/asd [2016, May 18]. National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD.

# **Abbreviations Table**

Following Table indicates abbreviations used in this theory and its use in article in question is marked with X. 14, 15, 16 and 17 are [5] [6] [7] and [8] respectively. 18 is present article.





