Differences Between Establishment Dogma, Electric Universe and Stellar Metamorphosis (GTSM)

Jeffrey J. Wolynski Jeffrey.wolynski@yahoo.com November 17, 2017 Rockledge, FL 32953

Abstract: A diagram is presented to show the differences between establishment dogma, electric universe and stellar metamorphosis (GTSM). Additions will be made at a later date for further clarification.

STAR	STELLAR EVOLUTION				
BIRTH	Reliant on fusion model				
Gravitational Collapse	Planets by-products of star birth		Planets formed by accretion without gravitating body present to bring together material		DOGMA
	Gravitational collapse happens again to form strange objects, some which have never been observed.		Stars evolve in strange ways		
			A star system is a sing	A star system is a singular object	
Powerful EM Activity	Stars do not evolve Smaller objects are ejected from lar Gravitational collapse does not ha	A star system is composed of			ELECTRIC UNIVERSE
Powerful EM Activity	Stars do evolve				GTSM
	Not fusion powered Powerful EM activity continues				
	Involves electrochemistry, chemistry, thermochemistry, redox reactions, rocks/minerals, etc. A star system is composed of many stars				

Hopefully the similarities and differences can be made in a more comprehensive manner, as all three approaches are quite different. The dogma has strange objects and logical inconsistencies such as objects gravitationally collapsing absent gravitational fields, electric universe has stars not evolving (this is bad) and GTSM needs more observation to bring the theory into the mainstream as there is no large monetary backing. All three have serious issues, but only one of the approaches can be developed further with further observation, the other two cannot. It is up to the reader to choose wisely, or even invent their own theory that builds off either of the ones described above.