# **The Recursive Future And Past Equation Based On The Ananda-Damayanthi Normalized Similarity Measure Considered To Exhaustion**

ISSN 1751-3030

*Author*: **Ramesh Chandra Bagadi**

*Affiliation 1:*

*Affiliation 2:*

*Founder & Owner*

*Affiliation 3:*

*Founder & Owner*

**Ramesh Bagadi Consulting LLC** (R420752),

Madison, Wisconsin-53715, United States Of America.

*Data Scientist*

### **International School Of Engineering (INSOFE)**

2nd Floor, Jyothi Imperial, Vamsiram Builders, Janardana Hills, Above South India Shopping Mall,, Old Mumbai Highway, Gachibowli,, Hyderabad, TelanganaState, 500032, India.

**tex***N* **Consulting Private Limited**, Gayatrinagar, Jilleleguda, Hyderabad, Telengana State, 500097, India.

Email:

Email:

Email: [ramesh.bagadi@insofe.edu.in](mailto:ramesh.bagadi@insofe.edu.in) rameshcbagadi@ uwalumni.com rameshcbagadi@ uwalumni.com

#### **Abstract**

In this research investigation, the author has presented a Recursive Past Equation and a Recursive Future Equation based on the Ananda-Damayanthi Normalized Similarity Measure considered to Exhaustion [1].

### **The Recursive Future Equation**

Given a Time Series  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$ 

we can find  $y_{n+1}$  using the following Recursive Future Equation

$$
y_{n+1} = \text{Limit} \frac{\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k \left\{ \left\{ \frac{S_k}{L_k} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right\} + \dots + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right\} \right\} \right\}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{S_k}{L_k} \right\}^2 + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right\}^2 + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right\}^2 + \dots + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\}^2 + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right\}^2 \right\}} \right\}}
$$

where

$$
S_{k} = \text{Smaller of } (y_{n+1}, y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k} = \text{Larger of } (y_{n+1}, y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+1} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k} - S_{k}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+1} = \text{Larger of } ((L_{k} - S_{k}), y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+2} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k+1} - S_{k+1}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+2} = \text{Larger of } ((L_{k+1} - S_{k+1}), y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+p-1} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k+p-2} - S_{k+p-2}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+p-1} = \text{Larger of } ((L_{k+p-2} - S_{k+p-2}), y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+p} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k+p-1} - S_{k+p-1}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+p} = \text{Larger of } ((L_{k+p-1} - S_{k+p-1}), y_{k})
$$

where *p* is a Number which makes the aforementioned Difference Residual  $\left(L_{k+p-1}-S_{k+p-1}\right)$  tend to Zero.

From the above Recursive Equation, we can solve for  $y_{n+1}$ . **Proof:**

We consider  $y_1$  and find the Ananda-Damayanthi Similarity [1] between  $y_1$  and  $y_{n+1}$ which turns out to be J ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤ ſ 1 1 *L*  $\left\{\frac{S_1}{S_1}\right\}$ . We now consider the lack of similarity part, i.e.,  $(L_1 - S_1)$ and again find the Similarity between  $y_1$  and  $(L_1 - S_1)$  which turns out to be J  $\left\{ \right\}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ ⇃  $=\bigg\{$ J  $\left\{ \right.$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ ╬  $\left\lceil$  $^{+}$  $^{+}$ 2 2  $1 + 1$  $1 + 1$ *L S L*  $\left\{\frac{S_{1+1}}{S_2}\right\}$  And similarly, we find J ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\left\} = \left\{$ ≻ Ì l ∤ ſ ┿  $\overline{+}$ 3 3 1+2  $1 + 2$ *L S L*  $S_{1+2}\left\{\right\} = \left\{\frac{S_3}{S_2}\right\},$ J ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\left\} = \left\{$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤ ſ ٠ ٠ 4 4 1+3 1+3 *L S L*  $\left\{\frac{S_{1+3}}{S_{1+3}}\right\} = \left\{\frac{S_4}{S_{1+3}}\right\}, \dots \dots \dots \dots$  $\int$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ⊱  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ l  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ∤  $\begin{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$  $\mathsf{I}$ ∤  $\mathcal{L}$ l I ∤ ſ  $+n +n$ *p p p p L S L S*  $1 + p - 1$  $\frac{1+p-1}{r}$  =  $\left\{\frac{p}{r}\right\}$ ,  $\int$ I ∤  $\mathcal{L}$ l  $\mathsf I$ ∤ ſ  $\,{}^{+}\,$  $\,{}^{+}\,$ *p p L S* 1  $\left\{ \mathbf{w}_{1},\mathbf{w}_{2},\mathbf{w}_{3},\ldots\right\}$  we consider  $\mathbf{y}_{2},\mathbf{y}_{3},\ldots\mathbf{w}_{n}$  upto  $y_{n-1}$  and  $y_n$  and compute such aforementioned quantities and add them all. We now Normalize, i.e., divide each of this value by the quantity  $\sum_{k=1} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{B_k}{L_k} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{B_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{B_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right\} + \dots + \left\{ \frac{B_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{B_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\}$  $\pm$  $+ p +n ^+$  $^{+}$  $^+$  $^{+}$  $\int$  $\mathsf I$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ∤ ſ  $\int$  $\mathsf I$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ∤ ſ  $\Big\}$  +  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l I ∤ ſ } + .........+<br>) ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\begin{cases} 2 \\ + \end{cases}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\begin{cases} 2 \\ + \end{cases}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤ *n* | [  $k=1$   $\begin{bmatrix} L_k \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} L_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} L_{k+2} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} L_{k+p-1} \end{bmatrix}$   $\begin{bmatrix} L_{k+p-1} \end{bmatrix}$ *k p k p k p k k k k k k L S L S L S L S L S* 1 2  $($ 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 2  $\ldots$   $\left\{\frac{S_{k+p-1}}{S_{k+p-1}}\right\}$  +  $\left\{\frac{S_{k+p-1}}{S_{k+p-1}}\right\}$ . We equate this value to  $y_{n+1}$ 

as the RHS is the Total Normalized Similarity contribution from each element of the Time Series Set  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$  with respect to  $y_{n+1}$ .

### **General Form**

We can note that the above equation  
\n
$$
y_{n+1} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_k \left\{ \left( \frac{S_k}{L_k} \right) + \left( \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right) + \left( \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right) + \dots + \left( \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right) + \left( \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right) \right] \right\}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ \left( \frac{S_k}{L_k} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right)^2 + \dots + \left( \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right)^2 \right\}}}
$$

is in general of the form

$$
y_{n+1} = \text{Limit} \frac{\left\{ a_1 y_{n+1} + \frac{a_2}{y_{n+1}} \right\}}{\sqrt{\left\{ (a_3 y_{n+1})^2 + \left( \frac{a_4}{y_{n+1}} \right)^2 \right\}}}
$$

where,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  and  $a_4$  are some positive integers.

We can further write the above equation as

$$
(y_{n+1})^2 \left\{ (a_3 y_{n+1})^2 + \left( \frac{a_4}{y_{n+1}} \right)^2 \right\} = \left\{ a_1 y_{n+1} + \frac{a_2}{y_{n+1}} \right\}^2
$$
  

$$
(a_3)^2 (y_{n+1})^6 - (a_1)^2 (y_{n+1})^4 + \left\{ a_4 \right\}^2 - (2a_1 a_2) \left\{ y_{n+1} \right\}^2 - (a_2)^2 = 0
$$
 Equation A

### **Defining Error**

We define Error in the following fashion:

*For the Recursive Future Equation*:

# *Method 1*

Given a Time Series  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$  we consider only  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$ and use the aforementioned Recursive Future Equation to find the  $n^{th}$  term. Say this is  $^p y_n$  where the p stands for the 'predicted' or 'forecasted' value. Then, the Error is defined by

$$
\varepsilon_F = \left(\frac{y_n - \nu_n}{y_n}\right)
$$

### *Method 2*

Given a Time Series  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$  we consider it and use the aforementioned Recursive Future Equation to find the  $(n+1)^{th}$  term. Say this is  $^{p}y_{n+1}$  where the p stands for the 'predicted' or 'forecasted' value. We now consider the Time Series Set  $Y = \{y_2, y_3, \ldots, y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}\}\$  and use the aforementioned Recursive Past Equation to generate the term previous to  $y_2$ , i.e.,  $y_1$ . Then, the Error is defined by

$$
\varepsilon_F = \left(\frac{y_1 - \nu y_1}{y_1}\right)
$$

Therefore, simple Error can be given by

 $(y_1 - y_1) = (y_1 - \text{Desired Root Of})(c_3)^2 (y_{n+1})^6 - (c_1)^2 (y_{n+1})^4 + (c_4)^2 - (2c_1c_2)(y_{n+1})^2 - (c_2)^2 = 0$ 2 2  $1^{\circ}2^{\prime}$   $\mathcal{N}$   $\mathcal{N}$   $n+1$ 2 4 4 1 2 1 6 1  $\varepsilon_F = (y_1 - y_1) = (y_1 - \text{Desired Root Of})(c_3)^2(y_{n+1})^6 - (c_1)^2(y_{n+1})^4 + (c_4)^2 - (2c_1c_2)(y_{n+1})^2 - (c_2)^2 = 0$ where the Equation  $(c_3)^2(y_{n+1})^6 - (c_1)^2(y_{n+1})^4 + (c_4)^2 - (2c_1c_2)(y_{n+1})^2 - (b_2)^2 = 0$ 2 2  $1^{\circ}2 J N J n+1$ 2 4 4 1 2 1 6 1  $(c_3)^2(y_{n+1})^6 - (c_1)^2(y_{n+1})^4 + (c_4)^2 - (2c_1c_2)(y_{n+1})^2 - (b_2)^2 = 0$  is analogously developed as equation B using the Time Series Set  $Y = \{y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}\}\)$  to find  $y_1$ , where where,  $c_1$ ,  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  are some positive integers.

#### **The Functional Form Equation For Making Future Forecast**

We consider the equation shown below

$$
\varepsilon_F = (y_1 - {}^p y_1) = \left\{ y_1 - \text{Desired Root Of} \left\{ (c_3)^2 (y_{n+1})^6 - (c_1)^2 (y_{n+1})^4 + \left\{ (c_4)^2 - (2c_1 c_2) \right\} (y_{n+1})^2 - (c_2)^2 \right\} = 0 \right\}
$$

and minimize the Error w.r.t  $y_{n+1}$ , i.e.,

0 1  $=$ *n F dy*  $\frac{d\varepsilon_F}{dt} = 0$  with  $\frac{d^2\varepsilon_F}{dt^2} > 0$ 1 2 > *n F dy*  $\frac{d^2 \mathcal{E}_F}{d \mu} > 0$  at the value of  $y_{n+1}|_{\mathcal{E}_F \text{min}}$  where is  $\mathcal{E}_F$  minimum. The Equation at which this error is Minimum i.e.,  $n+1$   $\varepsilon_r$  *Min F*  $dy_{n+1}$   $\Big|_{\varepsilon_F}$ *d* ε  $\frac{{\cal E}_F}{\cal E}=0$ 1 Ξ  $\pm$ can be used to re-calculate the  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  and  $a_4$  and say these are  $a_{1new}$ ,  $a_{2new}$ ,  $a_{3new}$  and  $a_{4new}$ , The Functional Form Equation

For Making Future Forecast becomes

$$
(a_{3new})^{2}(y_{n+1})^{6} - (a_{1new})^{2}(y_{n+1})^{4} + (a_{4new})^{2} - (2a_{1new}a_{2new})^{2}(y_{n+1})^{2} - (a_{2new})^{2} = 0
$$

#### **The Recursive Past Equation**

Given a Time Series  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$ 

we can find  $y_0$  using the following Recursive Past Equation

$$
y_{n} = \n\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{k} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{S_{k}}{L_{k}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right\} + \dots + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right\} \right\}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{S_{k}}{L_{k}} \right\}^{2} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right\}^{2} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right\}^{2} + \dots + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\}^{2} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right\}^{2} \right\}}}
$$

where

$$
S_{k} = \text{Smaller of } (y_{n}, y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k} = \text{Large } \text{er of } (y_{n}, y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+1} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k} - S_{k}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+1} = \text{Large } \text{er of } ((L_{k} - S_{k}), y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+2} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k+1} - S_{k+1}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+2} = \text{Large } \text{er of } ((L_{k+1} - S_{k+1}), y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+p-1} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k+p-2} - S_{k+p-2}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+p-1} = \text{Large } \text{er of } ((L_{k+p-2} - S_{k+p-2}), y_{k})
$$
\n
$$
S_{k+p} = \text{Smaller of } ((L_{k+p-1} - S_{k+p-1}), y_{k}) \text{ and } L_{k+p} = \text{Large } \text{er of } ((L_{k+p-1} - S_{k+p-1}), y_{k})
$$

where *p* is a Number which makes the aforementioned Difference Residual  $\left(L_{k+p-1}-S_{k+p-1}\right)$  tend to Zero.

From the above Recursive Equation, we can solve for  $y_0$ .

# **Proof:**

We consider  $y_0$  and slate the Ananda-Damayanthi Similarity [1] between  $y_0$  and  $y_n$ which turns out to be J ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤ ſ *L*  $\frac{S_0}{S}$ . We now consider the lack of similarity part, i.e.,  $(L_0 - S_0)$ and again find the Similarity between  $y_0$  and  $(L_0 - S_0)$  which turns out to be J  $\left\{ \right\}$  $\mathcal{L}$  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ ╎  $=\bigg\{$ J  $\left\{ \right\}$  $\mathbf{I}$  $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ ╬  $\left\lceil$  $^{+}$  $^{+}$ 1 1  $_{0+1}$  $0 + 1$ *L S L*  $\left\{\frac{S_{0+1}}{S}\right\} = \left\{\frac{S_1}{S}\right\}$ . And similarly, we find J ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\bigg\} = \bigg\{$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤ ſ  $\,$  $\,$ 2 2  $_{0+2}$ 0+2 *L S L*  $\left\{\frac{S_{0+2}}{S}\right\} = \left\{\frac{S_2}{S}\right\},\$ J ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\begin{cases} = \begin{cases} \end{cases} \end{cases}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤ ſ  $^{+}$  $^{+}$ 3 3 0+3 0+3 *L S L*  $\left\{\frac{S_{0+3}}{S_{0+3}}\right\} = \left\{\frac{S_3}{S_{0+3}}\right\}, \dots \dots \dots \dots$  $\int$  $\mathsf I$ ⊱  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ l  $\mathsf{I}$ ∤  $\begin{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 0 \end{cases} \end{cases}$  $\mathsf{I}$ ∤  $\mathcal{L}$ l  $\mathsf{I}$ ∤ ſ т,  $+ p + p -$ 1 1  $0 + p - 1$  $0 + p - 1$ *p p p p L S L S* ,  $\int$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ∤  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ l  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ∤  $\begin{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 \\ 1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$  $\mathsf{I}$ ∤  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ l  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ∤  $\int$  $\,{}^{+}\,$  $^+$ *p p p p L S L S* 0  $\left\{\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial t}\right\}$ . We now add them all. Similarly, we consider  $y_2$ ,  $y_3$ 

,....., upto  $y_{n-1}$  and compute such aforementioned quantities and add them all. We now

Normalize, i.e., divide each of this value by the quantity  $\sum^{n-1}$  $= 0$  | ( $\frac{N}{k}$  |  $\frac{N}{k+1}$  |  $\frac{N+2}{k+2}$  |  $\frac{N}{k+2}$  |  $\frac{N}{k+1}$  |  $\frac{N}{k+2}$  |  $\frac{N}{k+1}$  |  $\frac{N}{k+1}$ +  $+n + p ^{+}$  $^+$  $^{+}$  $^+$  $\int$  $\mathsf I$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l I ∤ ſ  $\int$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ ∤ ſ  $\Big\}$  +  $\mathsf{I}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l  $\mathsf{I}$ ₹ ſ } +………+<br>) ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\begin{cases} 1 \end{cases} + \begin{cases} 1 \end{cases}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\begin{cases} 1 \end{cases} + \begin{cases} 1 \end{cases}$ ⊱  $\mathcal{L}$ l ∤  $\frac{1}{2}$  |  $\left($ 0 2 (  $\sqrt{2}$ 1 1 2 2 2 2 1 1 2 ......... *n*  $k=0$   $\lfloor L_k \rfloor$   $\lfloor L_{k+1} \rfloor$   $\lfloor L_{k+2} \rfloor$   $\lfloor L_{k+p-1} \rfloor$   $\lfloor L_{k+p-1} \rfloor$ *k p k p k p k k k k k k L S L S L S L S L*  $\frac{S_k}{S}$  +  $\frac{S_{k+1}}{S}$  +  $\frac{S_{k+2}}{S}$  + ........+  $\frac{S_{k+p-1}}{S}$  +  $\frac{S_{k+p}}{S}$  +  $\$ 

the RHS is the Total Normalized Similarity contribution from each element of the Time Series Set  $Y = \{y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}\}$  with respect to  $y_n$ .

#### **General Form**

We can note that the above equation  
\n
$$
y_{n} = \text{Limit} \frac{\left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{k} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{S_{k}}{L_{k}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right\} + \dots + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right\} \right\}}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left\{ \left\{ \frac{S_{k}}{L_{k}} \right\}^{2} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+1}}{L_{k+1}} \right\}^{2} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+2}}{L_{k+2}} \right\}^{2} + \dots + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p-1}}{L_{k+p-1}} \right\}^{2} + \left\{ \frac{S_{k+p}}{L_{k+p}} \right\}^{2} \right\}}}
$$

is in general of the form

$$
y_{n} = \frac{\left\{b_{1}y_{n} + \frac{b_{2}}{y_{n}}\right\}}{\sqrt{\left\{(b_{3}y_{n})^{2} + \left(\frac{b_{4}}{y_{n}}\right)^{2}\right\}}}
$$

where,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$  and  $b_4$  are some positive integers.

We can further write the above equation as

$$
(y_n)^2 \left\{ (b_3 y_n)^2 + \left( \frac{b_4}{y_n} \right)^2 \right\} = \left\{ b_1 y_n + \frac{b_2}{y_n} \right\}^2
$$
  
\n
$$
(b_3)^2 (y_n)^6 - (b_1)^2 (y_n)^4 + \left\{ (b_4)^2 - (2b_1 b_2) \right\} (y_n)^2 - (b_2)^2 = 0
$$
 Equation B

where,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$  and  $b_4$  are some positive integers.

# **Defining Error**

We define Error in the following fashion:

*For the Recursive Past Equation*:

# *Method 1*

Given a Time Series  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$  we consider only  $Y = \{y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$ and use the aforementioned Recursive Future Past to find the 1st term. Say this is  ${}^p\mathcal{Y}_1$ where the p stands for the 'predicted' or 'forecasted' value. Then, the Error is defined by

$$
\varepsilon_P = \left(\frac{y_1 - \frac{p}{y_1}}{y_1}\right)
$$

### *Method 2*

Given a Time Series  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n\}$  we consider it and use the aforementioned Recursive Future Equation to find the term previous to  $y_1$ . Say this is  $y_0$  where the *p* stands for the 'predicted' or 'forecasted' value. We now consider the Time Series Set  $Y = \{y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1}\}\$  and use the aforementioned Recursive Future Equation to generate the term next to  $y_{n-1}$ , i.e.,  $y_n$ . Then, the Error is defined by

$$
\varepsilon_F = \left(\frac{y_n - \nu_p}{y_n}\right)
$$

### **Functional Form Equation For Making Past Forecast**

A Seasoned reader of author Literature, especially the section on '*Functional Form Equation For Making Future Forecast'* can infer the procedure for the Past Forecast which is very much similar to the Future Forecast.

### **References**

1.Bagadi, R. (2016). Proof Of As To Why The Euclidean Inner Product Is A Good Measure Of Similarity Of Two Vectors. *PHILICA.COM Article number 626*. See the Addendum as well.

[http://philica.com/display\\_article.php?article\\_id=626](http://philica.com/display_article.php?article_id=626)

2.http://www.vixra.org/author/ramesh\_chandra\_bagadi 3.http://philica.com/advancedsearch.php?author=12897