Planck Constant in the Special Theory of Relativity

(Fast Wave–Wave–Particle Triality)

by

Dr. Tamás Lajtner

Contact via www.lajtner.com

ABSTRACT

Aims: The Planck's constant has two parts; one part shows the 'rest action', 'rest energy' of waves and another part shows the 'kinetic action', 'kinetic energy' of waves. These two parts change in a synchronized manner and they make possible a superluminal velocity of the particle in tunneling. Particles (waves) with superluminal velocity are fast waves.

Methodology: The de Broglie wavelength describes wave-particle duality. The de Broglie wavelength formula and Planck's law seem to be contradicted in tunneling. Tunneling fast waves have longer wavelengths than "normal" waves. According to the de Broglie formula, a longer wavelength means smaller momentum (smaller energy). But fast waves have the same amount of energy as normal waves, since they can be transformed into each other.

The barrier in tunneling cannot be seen as an optical medium, rather a special kind of space made out of matter that other matter is able to use as space. Here we show that the 'rest actions', 'rest energies' of fast waves in different spaces can resolve the contradiction. This 'rest action' of the wave is a new concept that hasn't been considered. It is hidden in the Planck constant. Planck's constant doesn't change, but it has two changing parts. The two parts of Planck's constant work together. The values of these parts depend on the velocity of the fast wave. Comparing these two parts, we can introduce the moving indicator of the particle called *ő*. (Pronunciation is ɜː like *her*). Calculating *ő*, we are able to say how fast the particle (fast wave) travels compared to the given space.

Results: Fast waves are made out of normal waves (or particles). The fast wave is the same particle in a different form. The Fast Wave–Wave–Particle Triality describes a new kind of metamorphosis of matter—how tunneling electrons travel faster than light without violating special relativity. Using the Fast Wave–Wave–Particle Triality, we realize that the speed of light is not a speed limit for particles with mass, since they can be transformed into fast waves. The Fast Wave–Wave–Particle Triality shows the border of scope of the special theory of relativity.

Keywords: tunneling, fast light, fast wave, de Broglie wavelength, Planck's law, Planck's constant, wave-particle duality, fast wave-wave-particle triality, special theory of relativity

1. WAVE–PARTICLE DUALITY

Wave–particle duality is the concept that all matter can exhibit two behaviors—a particle-like behavior and a wave-like behavior. In other words, every elementary particle or quantic entity may be partly described in terms not only of particles, but also of waves. The well-known de Broglie wavelength λ [1] shows the connection between the momentum of the given particle p and Planck's constant h [2]. See Eq. (1).

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{p} \tag{1}$$

In general, the momentum of a particle that has mass is $p = m \times v$, where m is the object's mass, and v is its velocity. The momentum of a particle that has no mass, e.g. a photon, is written in Eq. (2).

$$p = \frac{E}{c}\,, \tag{2}$$

where E is the photon's energy and c is the speed of light in a vacuum. Theoretically, a vacuum is space void of matter. To be more precise, space's vacuum is a medium from where everything is taken out that can be taken out and the "rest" remains there. In other words: space is no matter. It seems to be evident, but in the following you will see that it isn't.

2. HIDDEN PRESUPPOSITION BEHIND WAVE–PARTICLE DUALITY

The original version of the de Broglie wavelength means that the particle turns into a wave, if Eq. (3) is true:

$$\lambda \geq l_{particle}\,, \tag{3}$$

where $l_{paticle}$ is the size (length) of the particle. λ is the wavelength of the particle if it is a wave.

Actually, there is a hidden presupposition behind the formula of the wave-particle duality: there is no space wave, therefore there is no wavelength of the space wave. There is therefore no effect of space we have to examine, calculating the de Broglie wavelength.

Nowadays we know that space waves exist [3], that is, the original formula has something lacking. If we involve the wavelengths of space waves in the formula of wave-particle duality, this leads us to the fast wave-wave-particle triality.

From Eq. (2) and from Planck's law [4] Eq. (4)

$$E = h \times f, \tag{4}$$

comes Eq. (5).

$$p = \frac{E}{c} = \frac{h \times f}{c} = \frac{h}{\lambda}, \tag{5}$$

where $f = \frac{\varpi}{2\pi}$ is the frequency and ϖ is the angular frequency of the wave. Eq. (4) and (5) show that there is a close connection between Planck's law, the Planck constant and the de Broglie wavelength.

In evaluating the photon momentum in a given medium, the phase velocity v_{phase} is used.

3. REFRACTIVE INDEX MEANS CHANGING WAVELENGTH

When the medium is not the vacuum, Eq. (6) is used in calculations of phase-matching in nonlinear optics.

$$p = n\frac{E}{c}, \tag{6}$$

where in general $n = \frac{c}{v} > 1$ is the refractive index of a transparent optical medium, also called the index of refraction of the material in which the signal propagates. v is the velocity of light in a non-vacuum, that is, in medium. The index of refraction [5] is the factor by which the phase velocity v_{phase} is *decreased* relative to the velocity of light in a vacuum. In the case of one photon wave $v_{phase\_c} > v_{phase\_M}$ and $v_{phase\_c} = \frac{c}{n}$, where v_{phase_c} represents light velocity in the vacuum and v_{phase_M} represents the light velocity in medium. The phase velocity describes the velocity of the crests of the wave. Phase velocity is given as $v_{phase} = \frac{\varpi}{k}$, where k is the wave number. During the refraction, the frequency of the light wave remains unchanged $f_{phase\_c} = f_{phase\_M}$, while the wavelength of the light wave decreases $\lambda_{phase\_c} > \lambda_{phase\_M}$.

Let's look at the fast light experiment carried out at the University of Rochester USA [6]. In this experiment, a "normal" light impulse (with velocity *c* and made out of a group of lights) travels on an optical medium and a fast light impulse (made out of a group of lights) travels on normal light. Fast light has a longer wavelength than normal light traveling with *c* $\lambda_{fl} > \lambda_c$ and a measurable superluminal velocity of fast light: $v_{fl} > c$. This velocity of impulse is group velocity (envelop), where generally Eq. (7) is true.

$$v_{fl} = v_{group} \neq v_{phase} \tag{7}$$

In the fast light experiment, the envelop (fast impulses) is built out of a spread of optical frequencies: out of sinusoidal (sine, cosine) component waves [7]; that is—phase velocities v_{phase}. In the given experiment, every component's wave has one wave number. So Eq. (8) must be true, because if Eq. (7) is true, the fast wave impulse collapses.

$$v_{fl} = v_{group} = v_{phase} \tag{8}$$

The wavelength of the fast light *increased* compared to the wavelength of the normal light traveling with *c* velocity in a vacuum. It means that the wavelengths and velocities of its spectral component waves increased in the given medium (λ_{phase_M}) [8], compared to the wavelengths and velocities of spectral component waves of light in vacuum v_{phase_c}. The velocities of the component waves of fast light are also superluminal velocities—see Eq. (7), that is, $v_{phase} > c$ and $\lambda_{phase\_M} > \lambda_{phase\_c}$. According to Boyd [8], the refracting index of the superluminal velocity is $n = n_{sl}$ and $n_{sl} - 1 < 0$, and n_{sl} can be a great number with negative sign. If n_{sl} is a great number with negative sign, in this case Eq. (6) doesn't work, so n_{sl} is a confusing solution. According to my opinion, in the case of superluminal velocity we have to use $n_{space} = n^{-1}$ instead of of n_{sl}, see Eq. (9).

$$p = n_{space}\frac{E}{c} = n_{space}\frac{h \times f}{c} = n_{space}\frac{h}{\lambda}, \tag{9}$$

where $n_{space} = n^{-1}$ is a "space index" that describes the medium as a "special space". In the fast light experiment, the normal light wave is this "special space". Note n_{space} is not a refracting index of the given medium.

From the above-mentioned, we know that during the refraction the frequency of the light wave remains unchanged while its wavelength grows. This law also remains true in the case of superluminal velocities. So we may suppose changing the wavelength $\lambda_{phase\_c} < \lambda_{phase\_M}$ is a general working method of light if $v_{phase\_c} \neq v_{phase\_M}$, where v_{phase_c} is the velocity of light in a vacuum and v_{phase_M} is the velocity of light in a “different space”.

4. SUPERLUMINAL VELOCITIES IN TUNNELING

Quantum tunneling refers to the quantum mechanical phenomenon where a particle (with or without mass) tunnels through a barrier that it classically could not surmount. Particles that travel with superluminal velocities in tunneling will be called fast waves in the following.

Nimtz [9], Enders and Spieker first measured superluminal tunneling velocity with microwaves in 1992. According to them, the puzzle is that the jump of the particle over the barrier has no time (it spends zero time inside the barrier) and the particle is undetectable in this condition. The tunneling does take time, so this time can be measured.

$\psi(x)$ is the phase wave function of the tunneling particle outside the barrier. According to Nimzt, the particle cannot spend time inside the barrier, because the wave function has no missing part (and no missing time). The tunneling method of the particle is unknown and immeasurable. If the wave doesn’t spend time inside the barrier, what is the tunneling time? Nimtz supposes that the measured barrier traversal time is spent at the front boundary of the barrier.

The second riddle in tunneling: experiments show [10] that the tunneling particles are faster than light, and these facts are *not* compatible with the theory of relativity. The growing velocity of the particle with a mass (for example an electron) causes growing mass according to the theory of relativity, and if v→c, then m→∞. Since the mass (of the electron) won't be ∞, and the tunneling is fact, we have to suppose that v=c never occurs. There is a discrete jump in the velocities, and after v<c occurs v>c.

Nimzt [11] measured that the tunneling time τ approximately equals the oscillation time T, see Eq. (10)

$$\tau \approx T = \frac{1}{f_{tun\ part}}, \tag{10}$$

where $f_{tun\ part}$ is the frequency of the tunneling particle. (The tunneling time equals approximately the reciprocal frequency of the wave of the particle.) Eq. (9) shows how the barrier traversal time is connected with energy

$$\tau \approx \frac{h}{E_{tun\ part}}, \tag{11}$$

where $E_{tun\ part}$ is the energy of the tunneling particle. According to Eq. (11), the bigger the energy of the particle, the higher its velocity, and the shorter its tunneling time.

5. WAVELENGTHS IN TUNNELING

During the tunneling we speak about single phase waves. The waves of photons and other particles (eg. electrons) work the same way. We may suppose that the rule $v_{phase} > c$ occurs, growing the wavelength of the waving particle remains true in the case of every particle:
If L is the length of the barrier, then the velocity of the tunneling photon (or other particle) can be given by Eq. (12)

$$v_{tun\ part} = f_{tun\ part} \times \lambda_{tun\ part} = \frac{L}{\tau} \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \times \lambda_{tun\ part} = \frac{1}{\tau} \times L \tag{13}$$

$$\lambda_{tun\ part} \approx L \tag{14}$$

Eg. (13) and Eq. (14) show that the wavelength of the tunneling photon (or other particle) $\lambda_{tun\ part}$ is as long as the length of the barrier. It means that the tunneling particle has one wave inside the barrier.
We know that in tunneling there can be more kinds of fast wave. Here, photons without mass and electrons with mass travel with superluminal velocities. That is, the superluminal velocity in a given barrier is possible. Here *n* refractive index doesn't play any role in the velocities of the particle. In this case the barrier made out of matter acts as space$_M$. This space$_M$ is a real space for the tunneling particles, but it is a special space made out of matter
The tunneling can be explained with the following. First we need three new definitions [12]:

- Space is what the given matter is able to use as space (space$_M$); matter is what the given space accepts as matter. This seems to be an open definition, but physics doesn't have the tools to describe it another way. According to this definition, space$_M$ is able to work as (a special) space that a tunneling photon (or electron) uses as space.

- There are space waves. Space waves have been measured by LIGO [13]. Matter uses the waves of the given space as signal of reference. If the space is $space_M$, the definition remains true.
- Space doesn't work without time. Time is the action-reaction phenomenon between space and matter. Time appears for matter as the wave of space. Every space has its own time. If the space is $space_M$, the definition remains true.

From our viewpoint the barrier is matter, but in tunneling we cannot consider the barrier as an optical medium, since the barrier has a "normal" refractive index $n > 1$ and $\psi_{fw}(x)$ has a superluminal speed. On the other hand, the $\psi_{fw}(x)$ is a "normal" wave, which means there are no half (or part) waves inside the barrier. $\psi_{fw}(x)$ travels in a special space, in $space_M$. The $space_M$ of the tunneling fast wave $\psi_{fw}(x)$ is different from our space, since $space_M$ is inside the barrier, or to be more precise: the barrier is $space_M$. $\psi_{fw}(x)$ uses the matter (mass) as $space_M$, where $space_M$ made out of matter has very long "space wavelengths". $\lambda_{barrier}$ is the wavelength of $space_M$, that is, the barrier itself acts as space this way.
In tunneling, a given photon or electron particle makes two metamorphoses—first from a normal wave condition into an unknown condition ("it disappears via tunneling") [14], and after tunneling it reappears as the same photon or electron it was.
If the particle travels in the barrier, we cannot measure it. It has a new form, a fast wave form, since its velocity is superluminal, travelling in a special kind of space.

Let's take a look now at a *tunneling* photon in the $space_M$ (in a barrier). Every light wave works using the basic law of Eq. (15):

$$v = f \times \lambda, \tag{15}$$

where f is the frequency, λ is the wavelength. v velocity depends on the space where the light propagates, in *our* space's vacuum $v = c$. Note that using different *spaces* we don't use different refracting indices, we use different phase velocities of photons (or electrons).
The frequencies of the waves are not affected by the above-mentioned. See Eq. (16).

$$f_c = f_{fw}, \tag{16}$$

where f_c is the frequency of light in vacuum and f_{fw} is the frequency of tunneling photon in the barrier. *fw* is the abbreviation of 'fast wave'.
According to Eq. (17):

$$\lambda_c < \lambda_{fw}. \tag{17}$$

Photons have two metamorphoses, so their energy must be the same in both cases—as a normal photon and as a fast wave in tunneling. That is shown by Eq. (18).

$$E_c = E_{fw}. \tag{18}$$

But we know that in tunneling their wavelengths grow, so Eq (19) is the following:

$$p_c = \frac{h}{\lambda_c} > p_{fw} = \frac{h}{\lambda_{fw}}. \tag{19}$$

Note that velocities are not used in Eq. (19), the wavelengths of photons are used instead. Eq. (19) shows that the two conditions of a photon don't have the same momentum. But they must have the same momentum (energy), since this is the same photon and a photon with a larger amount of energy cannot be built out of a photon with less energy. How do we solve the problem?

6. FAST WAVE–WAVE–PARTICLE TRIALITY

6.1. The Planck constant has two parts

Did p_{fw} and/or h change?

1. p_{fw} mustn't change, since the law of conservation of momentum must remain true. Fast light is considered as a fast wave (fw).
2. h is a constant; we don't accept that it changes.

Now we can conclude that the de Broglie formula is not applicable to fast waves. Or we can rewrite the de Broglie and Planck formulas in new ways that work with fast waves. See the following equations. Eq. (15) remains true, so $f \times \lambda_{fw} = v_{fw}$ and $f \times \lambda_c = c$; now we study the same wave in two different spaces:

$$\frac{c}{\lambda_c} = \frac{v_{fw}}{\lambda_{fw}}. \tag{20}$$

$$\lambda_c = \frac{c}{v_{fw}} \times \lambda_{fw}. \tag{21}$$

We can rewrite Eq. (20) and (21) into Eq. (22) and Eq.(23).

$$\frac{h}{p} = \frac{c}{v_{fw}} \times \lambda_{fw}, \tag{22}$$

$$\lambda_{fw} = \frac{v_{fw} \times h}{c \times p} = (\frac{v_{fw}}{c} \times h) \times \frac{1}{p}. \tag{23}$$

If $v_{fw} = c$, then we get back the original formula from Eq. (4).

Eq.(23) shows the wavelength and the momentum of the fast light (fast wave). Note using Eq. (23) we have found Eq. (9) a very different way. So the statement remains true: in Eq. (9) n_{space} describes the "given space", n_{space} is not a refracting index of a given medium.

What does Eq. (23) mean? It means that *h* exists in every space. It always appears as one unity, but it has two hidden parts. One part of it can grow in the case of fast light as a fast wave. Since *h* is a constant, it needs to have another part that decreases in the same time with the same scale.

The two parts of the Planck constant work together. One part of it depends on the velocity of the fast wave; this part is shown in Eq. (21). This is the part of the kinetic energy that increases *h* in the case of fast light. We know from the above-mentioned that all forms of a photon have the same amounts of energy. So, the Planck constant must have a part that reduces *h*.

We can rewrite Planck's law in this form in Eq. (24) and (25):

$$E_{fl} = f_{fw} \times (\frac{c}{v_{fw}}) \times (\frac{v_{fw}}{c} \times h) = f_{fw} \times h. \tag{24}$$

$$E_{fl} = f_{fw} \times \frac{1}{h} \times (\frac{c}{v_{fw}} \times h) \times (\frac{v_{fw}}{c} \times h) = f_{fw} \times h, \tag{25}$$

where $v_{fw} \neq 0$, $h_{rest} = \frac{c}{v_{fw}} \times h$ is the rest energy part and $h_{kinetic} = \frac{v_{fw}}{c} \times h$ is the kinetic energy part of the Planck constant—in the case of fast light (fast wave). Physics hasn't defined Eq. (25-26) earlier.

$$E_{fl} = f_{fw} \frac{h_{rest} \times h_{kinetic}}{h}. \tag{26}$$

If $h_{rest} = h_{kinetic}$, then the speed of the light (wave) is *c*; the de Broglie formula and Planck's law remain untouched, if $v_{fw} = c$.

Eq. (24), (25) and (26) mean that every particle (even the photon) has a 'rest action', 'rest energy'. Eq. (27) shows the fast wave-wave-particle triality in one equation. m is the mass of a particle, if it has [15], f is the frequency of the wave or fast wave, h_{rest} is the rest action, rest energy part of h, $h_{kinetic}$ is the kinetic action, kinetic energy part of h.

$$E = m \times c^2 = f \frac{h_{rest} \times h_{kinetic}}{h}. \qquad (27)$$

Eq. (27) shows that Eq. (26) remains true in the case of particles with mass, too. Eq. (26) is true at every velocity, not just in the case of the fast wave.

Fast waves propagate in a different space compared to normal waves and not in a different (optical) medium. Remembering the fast light experiment carried out at the University of Rochester USA, fast light uses light as space. The statement can be expressed in a more general form. Nowadays the barrier is seen just as a barrier made out of matter. But in barriers, photons and electrons travel faster than light. They travel in the barrier as fast waves. In this case, they use a matter as space_M, and in space_M they have new forms—fast waves.

Knowing Eq. (26, 27), there is more than one space, and the Planck constant remains true in every space. Using the de Broglie formula and Planck's law in different spaces, we have a passage between known particles and fast waves. So there is a 'fast wave–wave–particle triality' instead of the 'wave–particle duality'.

6.2. When can particles or waves turn into fast waves?

Particles or waves turn into fast waves if the particle-space relation compels this state. A fast wave comes into being if the space is made out of matter. In this case the densities and the energies of the particle and the given space are specified by Eq. (28)-(30).

If the density of an object is smaller than the density of space, this object can act as space from the viewpoint of a third object, and can act as matter from the viewpoint of another object. The tunneling works this way. The barrier is made out of matter, but electrons and photons use it as space_M. According to our Space-Matter Theory [12], the density of space D_{Space} can be calculated. It has the biggest density; every matter has a lower density D_{object}, cf. Ref. 12.

If

$$D_{object1} < D_{Space} \;\; and \;\; D_{object2} < D_{Space}\,, \tag{28}$$

then both objects are matter in space. If Eq. (26), (27) are true:

$$d_{\min} \geq \frac{D_{Matter\,1}}{D_{Matter\,2}} \geq d_{\max} \;\;, \tag{29}$$

then $Matter_2$ can use $Matter_1$ as $space_M$. The values of $d_{\min}$ and $d_{\max}$ will specify the relationship between matter and matter—making space out of one matter, if Eq. (28) is true

$$e_{\max} \geq \frac{E_{Matter\,1}}{E_{Matter\,2}} \geq e_{\min}\,, \tag{30}$$

where $E_{object1}$ and $E_{object2}$ are the energy of the $Matter_1$.and $Matter_2$. The values of $e_{\max}$ and $e_{\min}$ will specify the interval.

This relationship between the wavelengths of space is not involved in the de Broglie wavelength, see Eq. (3). Actually we had to calculate with the wavelengths of space waves λ_{space_wave}, but in our normal circumstances Eq. (31) is always true,

$$\lambda_{space\_wave} << \lambda_{paticle}\,, \tag{31}$$

so Eq. (31) is enough to know studying the de Broglie wavelength. In tunneling (and in some other cases), Eq. (31) is not true; here we need to calculate with the wavelengths of space(s), too.

Particles and waves turn into fast waves, if Eq. (28)-(30) and Eq. (32) are fulfilled:

$$\lambda_{space\_m} \geq \lambda_{paticle} \text{ and } \lambda_{space\_m} \geq l_{paticle} \tag{32}$$

In Eq. (32) $space_M$ is made out of matter and its wavelength is λ_{space_m}. Eq. (33) shows it in a more general form,

$$\lambda_{space} \geq \lambda_{paticle} \text{ and } \lambda_{space} \geq l_{paticle}\,, \tag{33}$$

where any kind of space made out of space or matter appears as space for the given particle (or set of particles). The fast wave-wave-particle triality makes it possible to understand how matter can suit itself to the given space.

7. HIDDEN STRUCTURE OF MATTER

Today's physics doesn't use the expression 'rest energy'. In physics, the 'rest mass' of an object is the inertial mass that an object has when it is at rest relative to the observer (in the given inertial frame of reference). As the speed of the object is increased, the inertial mass of the object also increases, while the rest mass remains unchanged. In the given inertial frame of reference, the value of the rest mass of an object cannot change. [16-17].

The fast wave-wave-particle triality shows that the 'rest energy' exists in the case of electrons and photons too. According to above-mentioned, the 'rest energy' can change in the given inertial frame of reference.

If the 'rest energy' reduces, how is it possible that the object remains the same? It seems that the 'rest energy' is not the particle (wave) itself. What is the particle itself?
The dimension of h action is Js . Js is also the dimension of the angular momentum. In physics, angular momentum is the rotational equivalent of linear momentum. The changing 'rest energy part' of h is supposed to mean that the 'rest energy' of the elementary particle is created by a smaller unit of energy (action) that rotates inside of the elementary particle. This smaller unit of energy is supposed to be the "information capsule" of the elementary particle that defines the elementary particle as a particle. The distance from the center of the elementary particle changes depending on the velocity of the particle. The faster the particle is, the shorter this distance is. This makes it possible that the 'rest energy' of the particle can decrease and increase without changing its information.
The dimension of the "information capsule" can be given as (eV/c^2). In the case of electrons it is obvious, and it can be also accepted in the case of the photon, since photons can have mass in a quantum nonlinear medium [18].

8. INDICATOR OF MOVING

$$ő = \frac{h_{kinetic}}{h_{rest}}, \tag{34}$$

where $ő$ is the indicator of moving. Pronunciation of $ő$ is *ɜ:* (like *her*). If we know the value of $ő = \frac{h_{kinetic}}{h_{rest}}$ written in Eq. (34), we know how fast the particle travels—compared to the given space. It means that we are able to detect that our inertial frame of reference moves—without using other inertial frames of reference. If we study the $ő$ of a photon of our inertial frame of reference, we can establish that our inertial frame of reference moves in the space or in a $space_M$.

$\H{o}$ shows that the Planck constant has a very close connection to the special theory of relativity. If $\H{o} < 1$, that is $h_{kinetic} < h_{rest}$, so ($v_{fw} = v < c$), we can write the well-known formula (Ref. [15-17]) this way :

$$\sqrt{1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}} = \sqrt{1-\H{o}} = \sqrt{1-\frac{h_{kinetic}}{h_{rest}}}, \tag{35}$$

Eq. (35) shows that there is a discrete jump in the velocities of masses, since we speak about light travelling with *c* velocity in *the* space, if $\H{o} = 1$.

This discrete jump at this "big" scale is surprising, but we know other surprising discrete jump like this. Physicists at the Ludwig-Maximilians University and the Max Planck Institute of Quantum Optics in Germany created an atomic gas in the laboratory that nonetheless had negative Kelvin values. This negative Kelvin values came into existence out of positive Kelvin values. The atomic gas had no zero Kelvin value. There was a discrete jump on the Kelvin scale. [19]

If a particle with mass (e.g. electron) or without mass (e.g. photon) has a superluminal velocity, then $\H{o} > 1$. In this case we are speaking about a fast wave that has no measurable mass.

9. CONCLUSION

Nimtz, Enders and Spieker have measured superluminal tunneling velocities since 1992. The tunneling electrons travel seems to violate the special relativity, states Nimtz [20]. How can particles with masses travel with superluminal velocity? The question cannot be answered using the special theory of relativity. According to fast wave–wave–particle triality, the tunneling particle doesn't violate the special theory of relativity; tunneling is out of the scope of the special theory of relativity. In the case of masses there is a discrete jump in the velocities, and after $v < c$ occurs $v > c$. Here $\H{o} = 1$.

In tunneling $\H{o} > 1$, a barrier made out of matter works as space$_M$. Space$_M$ is made out of matter, out of the barrier. In this space$_M$, the particles (for example photons, electrons) travel faster than *c*. Tunneling particles use the fast wave–wave–particle triality. Via tunneling, the value of Planck's constant *h* doesn't change, but it has two changing parts. The two parts of the Planck constant work together. The values of these parts depend on the velocity of the fast wave. Comparing only these two parts, we are able to say how fast the particle (fast wave) travels compared to the given space. If $\H{o} < 1$, we find the closest connection between the Planck constant and the special theory of relativity by Einstein.

References

[1] Kirilyuk AP. 75 Years of Matter Wave: Louis de Broglie and Renaissance of the Causally Complete Knowledge. Kiev, Inst. Metal Phys. 1999. http://inspirehep.net/record/512413?ln=en, https://arxiv.org/ftp/quant-ph/papers/9911/9911107.pdf

[2] Planck M. Über das Gesetz der Energieverteilung im Normalspectrum. Annalen der Physik 1901, 4: 553-563. DOI: 10.1002/andp.19013090310. German.

[3] Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott D,. Abernathy MR, Acernese F, Ackley K at al. Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2016, 116(6-12): 1-16. https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102 DOI:https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102

[4] Planck M. Zur Theorie des Gesetzes der Energieverteilung im Normalspectrum Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft 1900, (2): 237-245. DOI: 10.1002/phbl.19480040404. German.

[5] Easton R. SIMG-455 Physical Optics SPSP-455 Optical Physics, Chester F. Carlson Center for Imaging Science Rochester Institute of Technology pp. 94-95 (2008) https://www.google.hu/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=2ahUKEwiOg9Pq7L7aAhXR_KQKHW1-B5AQFjAAegQIABAt&url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.cis.rit.edu%2Fclass%2Fsimg455%2FSIMG-455_notes_20073b.pdf&usg=AOvVaw3gndBAngBSEq1jyGzzhE8Q

[6] Gauthier DJ, Boyd RW. Fast light, Slow light and Optical Precursors: What does it all mean? Available: Photonics.com 2007. http://www.photonics.com/Article.aspx?AID=27833.

[7] Univ. of Rochester Light's Most Exotic Trick Yet: So Fast it Goes ... Backwards? 2006. Available: http://www.rochester.edu/news/show.php?id=2544

[8] Boyd RW, Gauthier DJ. "Slow" and "fast" light (in Wolf, E. Progress in Optics 43, Elsevier Science B.V.) 2002.
http://www.optics.rochester.edu/workgroups/boyd/assets/pdf/publications/Boyd_PIO_02.pdf

[9] Nimtz G, Enders A, Spieker H. Photonic tunneling times. J. Phys. I France 1994 4: 565-570 http://jp1.journaldephysique.org/articles/jp1/abs/1994/04/jp1v4p565/jp1v4p565.html.

[10] Nimtz G. Tunneling Confronts Special Relativity. Foundation of Phys 2011 41(7): 1193-1199. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10701-011-9539-2 .

[11] Nimtz G. Tunneling: From Milliseconds to Attoseconds 2018.
Available https://arxiv.org/pdf/0903.2582.pdf

[12] Lajtner T. Four Mysteries Solved: Double-Slit, Spooky Action, Tunneling, and Accelerating Universe. Intern Research J of Pure and App Phys 2016 4(3): 13-40,
http://www.eajournals.org/journals/international-research-journal-of-pure-and-applied-physics-irjpap/vol-4-issue-3-july-2016/four-mysteries-solved-double-slit-spooky-action-tunneling-accelerating-universe/ .

[13] Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott D,. Abernathy MR, Acernese F, Ackley K at al. GW151226: Observation of Gravitational Waves from a 22-Solar-Mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2016, 116(6-12): 20-32.
https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.241103
DOI:https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.241103

[14] Nimtz G, Stahlhofen A. Universal tunneling time for all fields. Annalen der Physik 2008, 17(6): 374-379.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1002/andp.200810293
https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.200810293 2008)

[15] Einstein A, Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper. Annalen der Physik und Chemie 1905, 17: 891–921. German.

[16] Einstein A, Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig? Annalen

der Physik. 1905, 18: 639–643 German.

[17] Einstein A, Über die spezielle und allgemeine Relativitätstheorie. Springer, 2001, German.

[18] Liang QY, Venkatramani AV, Cantu SH, Nicholson TL, Gullans MJ, Gorshkov AV et al. Observation of three-photon bound states in a quantum nonlinear medium. Science 2018, 359(6377): 783-786. http://science.sciencemag.org/content/359/6377/783.full, DOI: 10.1126/science.aao7293

[19] Braun S, Ronzheimer JP. Schreiber M, Hodgman SS, Rom T, Bloch I, et al. Negative Absolute Temperature for Motional Degrees of Freedom. Science 2013, 339(6115): 52-56. DOI: 10.1126/science.1227831 http://science.sciencemag.org/content/339/6115/52/tab-pdf

[20] Nimtz G, Enders A, Spieker H. Photonic tunneling times. J. Phys. I France 1994 4: 565-570. http://jp1.journaldephysique.org/articles/jp1/abs/1994/04/jp1v4p565/jp1v4p565.html.