

The asymptotic behavior of defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations

En-Lin Liu

October 10, 2016

Abstract

This article is concerned with the scattering problem for the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS) with a power nonlinear $|u|^p u$ where $2/n < p < 4/n$. We show that for any initial data in $H_x^{0,1}$ the solution will eventually scatter, i.e. $U(-t)u(t)$ tends to some function u_+ as t tends to infinity.

We consider the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLS)

$$iu_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = |u|^p u, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad (1)$$

where u is a complex value function $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $u_0 \in H_x^{0,1}$, and $\frac{2}{n} < p < \frac{4}{n}$.

There are many papers on the scattering theory for the NLS. For both focusing or defocusing problems, it is well known that for $p \leq \frac{2}{n}$ there will be no scattering[1]. For $p > \frac{2}{n}$, it is known that $U(-t)u(t)$ converges weakly in H_x^1 for any finite energy solution of NLS[7], if we assume additionally that $u_0 \in H_x^{1,1}$, then it is known that $U(-t)u(t)$ converges strongly in L_x^2 [11]. For the asymptotic completeness problem, when $n \geq 3$, for any free solution in L_x^2 or H_x^1 there exists a solution of NLS which approaches the free solution in the same space as t tends to infinity[6]. In the defocusing case, if $p > 8 / \left(\sqrt{(n+2)^2 + 8n} + n - 2 \right)$, then we have the asymptotic completeness in $H^{1,1}$ [4, 10, 8]. In present paper we combining methods used in [11, 5], which gives similar result for a wider class of solutions. When $u_0 \in H_x^{0,1}$, we have $U(-t)u(t)$ converges strongly in L_x^2 and converging rate $t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{np}{4}}$ which was implicitly indicate in [11]. Our main result follows:

Theorem 1 : *Consider the equation (1) with $u_0 \in H_x^{0,1}$, then there exists a unique global solution u with regularity $U(-t)u(t) \in C(\mathbb{R}; H_x^{0,1})$, and a function $u_+ \in L_x^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|U(-t)u(t) - u_+\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{np}{4}} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Notation:

Let $\mathcal{F}\varphi$ and $\hat{\varphi}$ be the Fourier transform of φ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}\varphi(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} \varphi(x) dx.$$

Let $U(t)$ be the free Schrödinger group defined by

$$U(t)\phi = (2\pi it)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i|x-y|^2/2t} \varphi(y) dy.$$

Note that $U(t) = M(t)D(t)\mathcal{F}M(t)$, where $D(t)$ is the dilation operator $D(t)f(x) = i^{-\frac{n}{2}}t^{-\frac{n}{2}}f\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)$, and $M(t) = e^{\frac{i|x|^2}{2t}}$. Hence $U(-t) = M(-t)\mathcal{F}^{-1}D^{-1}(t)M(-t)$.

Let $P_{\leq N}\phi, P_{\geq N}\phi$ be the Littlewood-Paley projections:

$$P_{\leq N}\phi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{X}\left(\frac{\xi}{N}\right)\hat{\phi}(\xi), \quad P_{\geq N} = \phi - P_{\leq N}\phi$$

where \mathcal{X} is a Schwartz radial symmetry bump function.

Let $H^{m,k}$ be the norm define by

$$\|\varphi\|_{H^{m,k}}^2 = \left\| (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} \varphi \right\|_{L^2}^2 + \left\| (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi \right\|_{L^2}^2, \quad m, k \geq 0.$$

1 Well-posedness and energy estimate.

The equation (1) is locally L_x^2 well-posed with $u_0 \in L_x^2$ by Strichartz estimate for the linear inhomogeneous problem

$$\left(i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\right)u = f, \quad u(0) = u_0,$$

which gives us

$$\|u\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|u\|_{L_t^a L_x^{2+p}} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{L_x^2} + \|f\|_{L_t^{a'} L_x^{(2+p)'}},$$

where $a = \frac{4(2+p)}{np}$ satisfying the equation $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{n}{2+p} = \frac{n}{2}$. Applying Hölder inequality to the inhomogeneous term we obtain the unique local solution via the contraction principle in the space $L_t^\infty(0, T; L_x^2) \cap L_t^a(0, T; L_x^{2+p})$ provided that T is small enough. The global well-posedness of u is due to the conservation of the mass $\|u(t)\|_{L_x^2} = \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$.

Denoting $L_x u$ be the vector field $L = x + it\nabla$, which is the conjugate of x with respect to the linear flow, $L_x = U(t)xU(-t)$. Naturally we have

$$\left[i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta, L_x\right] = 0$$

and the equation of $L_x u$ has the form

$$\left(i\partial_t + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\right)L_x u = \left(1 + \frac{p}{2}\right)|u|^p L_x u - \frac{p}{2}u^2 |u|^{p-2} \overline{L_x u},$$

which is the linearization of (1). The well-posedness of the $L_x u$ equation is also obtained by the same Strichartz estimate and conservation of the mass. This shows the globally well-posed for initial data in $H^{0,1}$. See [3, 4]. Denoting

$$w(t, v) = t^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-it|v|^2/2} u(t, tv), \quad (3)$$

we have $it^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-it|v|^2/2} (L_x u)(t, tv) = \partial_v w(t, v)$, hence $w \in C(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}; H_v^1)$ and also globally well-posed.. It can also be written as $w(t, v) = i^{-\frac{n}{2}} D^{-1}(t) M(-t) u$ and gives the differential equation

$$i w_t + \frac{1}{2t^2} \Delta w = t^{-\frac{np}{2}} |w|^p w \quad (4)$$

for $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Multiplying (4) with \bar{w}_t and takes the real part, this leads us to the following equation, the formal calculation of which can be justified by the regularizing technique of Ginibre and Velo [3]

$$\partial_t \left(\frac{1}{4} \|\nabla w\|_{L_v^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2+p} t^{2-\frac{np}{2}} \|w\|_{L_v^{2+p}}^{2+p} \right) = \frac{4-np}{4+2p} t^{1-\frac{np}{2}} \|w\|_{L_v^{2+p}}^{2+p} \quad (5)$$

and use the relation $\nabla w = -it^{\frac{n}{2}} L_x u(t, tv)$ to rewrite (5) into the form

$$\frac{1}{4} \|L_x u(t)\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2+p} t^2 \|u(t)\|_{L_x^{2+p}}^{2+p} = \frac{1}{4} \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2}^2 + \int_0^t \frac{4-np}{4+2p} s \|u(s)\|_{L_x^{2+p}}^{2+p} ds. \quad (6)$$

Hence by Gronwall's inequality we get the growth

$$\|L_x u\|_{L_x^2} = \|\nabla w\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim_{\|xu_0\|_{L_x^2}} t^{1-\frac{np}{4}}, \quad (7)$$

and

$$t^{\frac{np}{2}} \|u\|_{L_x^{2+p}}^{2+p} = \|w\|_{L_v^{2+p}}^{2+p} \lesssim_{\|xu_0\|_{L_x^2}} 1. \quad (8)$$

Note that $0 < 1 - \frac{np}{4} < \frac{1}{2}$.

2 Wave packets and the asymptotic equation.

To study the global decay properties of solutions we use the method of testing by wave packets developing by Ifrim and Tataru [5]. A wave packet is an approximate solution localized in both space and frequency on the scale of the uncertainty principle. We define a wave packet Ψ_v adapted to the ray $\Gamma_v := \{x = vt\}$ and measure u along Γ_v by considering

$$\gamma(t, v) = \int u(t, x) \bar{\Psi}_v(t, x) dx.$$

The test function Ψ_v is of the form

$$\Psi_v(t, x) = \mathcal{X} \left(\frac{x - vt}{\sqrt{t}} \right) e^{i\phi}$$

where the phase function $\phi = \frac{|x|^2}{2t}$. Here for the computation purpose, rewrite γ as

$$\gamma = P_{\leq \sqrt{t}} w,$$

which is the same definition as the original one.

A direct computation yields

$$i\gamma_t = \mathcal{F} \left[D\mathcal{X} \left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \cdot \frac{\xi}{2t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2t^2} \mathcal{X} \left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] \hat{w} + t^{-\frac{np}{2}} P_{\leq \sqrt{t}} |w|^p w := I_1 + I_2. \quad (9)$$

We apply the similar argument of Tsutsumi and Yajima [11] by computing the decaying rate of $\|\gamma(t) - \gamma(s)\|_{L_v^2}^2$ when t, s goes to infinity to prove that γ converges to some function. Since

$$I_1 = \mathcal{F} \left[D\mathcal{X} \left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \cdot \frac{\xi}{2t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2t^2} \mathcal{X} \left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \right] \hat{w},$$

and \mathcal{X} is a Schwartz function, we get

$$\|I_1(t)\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\xi\| \|\hat{w}\|_{L_\xi^2} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|L_x u\|_{L_x^2}. \quad (10)$$

For the nonlinear part

$$I_2 = t^{-\frac{np}{2}} P_{\leq \sqrt{t}} |w|^p w,$$

by using (8) and Hölder's inequality, we have for any $s \geq r \geq 1$ and any $T \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \int_s^r I_2(\sigma) d\sigma, \gamma(T) \right\rangle \right| &= \left| \int_s^r \sigma^{-\frac{np}{2}} \langle P_{\leq \sqrt{\sigma}} |w|^p w(\sigma), \gamma(T) \rangle d\sigma \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_s^r \sigma^{-\frac{np}{2}} \|w(\sigma)\|_{L_v^{2+p}}^{1+p} \|\gamma(T)\|_{L_v^{2+p}} d\sigma \\ &\lesssim \int_s^r \sigma^{-\frac{np}{2}} \|w(\sigma)\|_{L_v^{2+p}}^{1+p} \|w(T)\|_{L_v^{2+p}} d\sigma \\ &\lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} s^{1-\frac{np}{2}} - r^{1-\frac{np}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

By the relation $\gamma(T) = \gamma(1) - i \int_1^T I_1(\sigma) d\sigma - i \int_1^T I_2(\sigma) d\sigma$ which directly gives

$$\gamma(r) - \gamma(s) = -i \int_s^r I_1(\sigma) d\sigma - i \int_s^r I_2(\sigma) d\sigma. \quad (12)$$

Since $\|\gamma(T)\|_{L_v^2} \leq \|u(T)\|_{L_x^2} = \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$, and by (7), (10) display

$$\int_s^r \|I_1(\sigma)\|_{L_v^2} d\sigma \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} \int_s^r \sigma^{-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{np}{4}} d\sigma \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} s^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{np}{4}} - r^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{np}{4}},$$

and (11) which gives us

$$\langle \gamma(r) - \gamma(s), \gamma(r) - \gamma(s) \rangle \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} \|\gamma(r) - \gamma(s)\|_{L_v^2} \left(s^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{np}{4}} - r^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{np}{4}} \right) + s^{1-\frac{np}{2}} - r^{1-\frac{np}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

From above equations there $\exists g \in L_v^2$ such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\gamma(t) - g\|_{L_v^2} = 0$, moreover we have $\|\gamma(t) - g\|_{L_v^2}^2 \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{np}{4}} \|\gamma(t) - g\|_{L_v^2} + t^{1-\frac{np}{2}}$ which gives us

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\gamma(t) - g\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{np}{4}} = 0. \quad (14)$$

At last, if we take $u_+ = i^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}g$, then there is the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U(-t)u(t) - u_+\|_{L_x^2} = \|i^{\frac{n}{2}} M(-t) \mathcal{F}^{-1}w(t) - i^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathcal{F}^{-1}g\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & \lesssim \|M(-t) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(w(t) - \gamma(t))\|_{L_\xi^2} + \|M(-t) \mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma(t) - \mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & \quad + \|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma(t) - \mathcal{F}^{-1}g\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & := R_1 + R_2 + R_3. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It's obvious that $R_3(t) = \|\gamma(t) - g\|_{L_v^2}$. For R_1 , by direct computation which yields

$$R_1(t) = \|w(t) - \gamma(t)\|_{L_v^2} = \|P_{\geq \sqrt{t}} w(t)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{np}{4}}. \tag{16}$$

Use the Taylor expansion of e^{ix} we have that

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(t) & = \left\| \left(e^{-\frac{i|\xi|^2}{2t}} - 1 \right) \mathcal{F}^{-1}\gamma(t) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \lesssim \left\| \frac{|\xi|}{\sqrt{t}} \mathcal{X} \left(\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{t}} \right) \hat{w}(t) \right\|_{L_\xi^2} \\ & = t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla w(t)\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{np}{4}} \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Together by (14), (15), (16), and (17)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|U(-t)u(t) - u_+\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim \|xu_0\|_{L_x^2} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{np}{4}} = 0. \tag{18}$$

By the time symmetry property of NLS, we have the same result when $t \rightarrow -\infty$.

From coservation of mass we have $\|u_+\|_{L_x^2} = \|g\|_{L_v^2} = \|u_0\|_{L_x^2}$ and (14), (18) display $\|\nabla \gamma\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim \|L_x u\|_{L_x^2} \lesssim_{u_0} t^{1 - \frac{np}{4}}$, $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{np}{2} - 1$

$$\|\langle x \rangle^\alpha u_+\|_{L_x^2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\langle \nabla \rangle^\alpha \gamma\|_{L_v^2} \lesssim_{u_0} 1. \tag{19}$$

References

- [1] J. E. Barab. Nonexistence of asymptotically free solutions for a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *J. Math. Phys.*, 23:3270–3273, 1984.
- [2] T. Cazenave. *Semilinear Schrödinger equations, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. 10. New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, AMS, 2003.
- [3] G. Velo J. Ginibre. On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. i: the cauchy problem. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 32:1–32, 1979.
- [4] G. Velo J. Ginibre. On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. ii: Scattering theory, general case. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 32:33–71, 1979.
- [5] D. Tataru M. Ifrim. Global bounds for the cubic nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension. *arXiv:1404.7581*, 2014.
- [6] K. Nakanishi. Asymptotically-free solutions for the short-range nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 32 No. 6:1265–1271, 2001.

- [7] W. Strauss. *Nonlinear wave equations*. 73. CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. MATH. Providence. RI, 1989.
- [8] F. B. Weissler T. Cazenave. Rapidly decaying solutions of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 47:75–100, 1992.
- [9] T. Tao. *Nonlinear dispersive equations: local and global analysis*. 106. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, AMS, 2006.
- [10] Y. Tsutsumi. Scattering problem for nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.*, pages 321–347, 43(1985).
- [11] K. Yajima Y. Tsutsumi. The asymptotic behavior of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.)*, pages 186–188, 11(1984).