On the Navier–Stokes equations

Daniel Thomas Hayes

July 2, 2019

The problem on the existence and smoothness of the Navier–Stokes equations is solved.

1. Introduction

The Navier–Stokes equations are thought to govern the motion of a fluid in $\mathbb{R}^d$ where $d \in \mathbb{N}$, see [1,3]. Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be the velocity and let $p = p(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}$ be the pressure, each dependent on position $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and time $t \geqslant 0$. We take the externally applied force to be identically zero. The fluid is assumed to be incompressible with constant viscosity $\nu \geqslant 0$ and to fill all of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The Navier–Stokes equations can then be written as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \nabla p, \tag{1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0 \tag{2}$$

with initial condition

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}_0 \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In these equations

$$\nabla = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_2}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_d}) \tag{4}$$

is the gradient operator and

$$\nabla^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial {\mathbf{x}_i}^2} \tag{5}$$

is the Laplacian operator. When $\nu = 0$, equations (1), (2), (3) are called the Euler equations. Solutions of (1), (2), (3) are to be found with

$$\mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x} + e_i) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) \tag{6}$$

for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$ where e_i is the i^{th} unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$. The initial condition $\mathbf{u}_0$ is a given C^∞ divergence-free vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$. A solution of (1), (2), (3) is then accepted to be physically reasonable [3] if

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x} + e_i, t) = \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t), \quad p(\mathbf{x} + e_i, t) = p(\mathbf{x}, t) \tag{7}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant d$ and

$$\mathbf{u}, p \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)). \tag{8}$$

2. Solution to the Navier–Stokes problem

I provide a proof of the following theorem [2,3,6].
Theorem. Let $\mathbf{u}_0$ be any smooth, divergence-free vector field satisfying (6). Then there exist smooth functions $\mathbf{u}, p$ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times [0, \infty)$ that satisfy (1), (2), (3), (7), (8).
Proof. It is sufficient to rule out the possibility that there is a smooth, divergence-free $\mathbf{u}_0$ for which (1), (2), (3) have a solution with a finite blowup time [3].
Let the Fourier series of $\mathbf{u}, p$ be

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \tag{9}$$

$$\tilde{p} = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} p_{\mathbf{L}} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \tag{10}$$

respectively. Here $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^d$, $p_{\mathbf{L}} = p_{\mathbf{L}}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mathrm{i} = \sqrt{-1}$, $k = 2\pi$, and $\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty}$ denotes the sum over all $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. The initial condition $\mathbf{u}_0$ is a Fourier series [2] of which is convergent for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Since $\mathbf{u}_0$ is a Fourier series this then implies that $\mathbf{u}_0$ at complex values of $\mathbf{x}$ is irrelevant and that $\mathbf{u}_0$ can be taken to be smooth for all $\mathbf{x}$. The Fourier series $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|_{t=0}$ is equivalent to its Taylor series [7] of which would converge for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Substituting $\mathbf{u} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$, $p = \tilde{p}$ into (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} \cdot ik\mathbf{M})\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} e^{ik(\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M})\cdot\mathbf{x}} \\ &= -\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} - \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} ik\mathbf{L} p_{\mathbf{L}} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Equating like powers of the exponentials in (11) yields

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot ik\mathbf{M})\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} - ik\mathbf{L} p_{\mathbf{L}} \tag{12}$$

on using the Cauchy product type formula [4]

$$\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} a_l x^l \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} b_m x^m = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{l-m} b_m x^l. \tag{13}$$

Substituting $\mathbf{u} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ into (2) gives

$$\sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} ik\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} e^{ik\mathbf{L}\cdot\mathbf{x}} = 0. \tag{14}$$

Equating like powers of the exponentials in (14) yields

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} = 0. \tag{15}$$

Applying $\mathbf{L}\cdot$ to (12) and noting (15) leads to

$$p_{\mathbf{L}} = -\sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}})(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}) \tag{16}$$

where $p_{\mathbf{0}}$ is arbitrary and $\hat{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{L}/|\mathbf{L}|$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{L}$. Then substituting (16) into (12) gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} = -\sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot \mathrm{i}k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} - \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}k\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}})(\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{M}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{L}}) \tag{17}$$

where $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{0}}(0)$. The equations for $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}}$ are to be solved for all $\mathbf{L} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$.
Let

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}, \tag{18}$$

$$p_{\mathbf{L}} = c_{\mathbf{L}} + \mathrm{i}d_{\mathbf{L}} \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $c_{\mathbf{L}} \in \mathbb{R}$, and $d_{\mathbf{L}} \in \mathbb{R}$. Substituting (18), (19) into (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \mathrm{i}\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \mathrm{i}k\mathbf{M})(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}) \\ &= -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}) - \mathrm{i}k\mathbf{L}(c_{\mathbf{L}} + \mathrm{i}d_{\mathbf{L}}). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Equating real and imaginary parts in (20) gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (-(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}) = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} + k\mathbf{L}d_{\mathbf{L}}, \tag{21}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}) = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} - k\mathbf{L}c_{\mathbf{L}}. \tag{22}$$

Substituting (18) into (15) gives

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot (\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} + \mathrm{i}\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}) = 0. \tag{23}$$

Equating real and imaginary parts in (23) gives

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} = 0. \tag{25}$$

From (21) and in light of (24) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{L}} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (-(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{L}} \tag{26}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}/|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}$. Then (26) implies

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (-(\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|. \tag{27}$$

From (27) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} \leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| + |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}|) + \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| \tag{28}$$

on using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality [5]

$$|\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}| \leqslant |\mathbf{a}||\mathbf{b}|. \tag{29}$$

It then follows from (28) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leqslant & \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leqslant & \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

in light of (13), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \leqslant & \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} \\ & + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| e^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| e^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

on using the triangle inequality [5]

$$|\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}| \leqslant |\mathbf{a}| + |\mathbf{b}|. \tag{33}$$

From (22) and in light of (25) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}}{\partial t} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} \tag{34}$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} = \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}/|\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}$. Then (34) implies

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} + \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} ((\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}} - (\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}} \cdot k\mathbf{M})\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{L}} = -\nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|. \tag{35}$$

From (35) it is possible to write

$$\frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} \leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} (|\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| + |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}|) + \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| \tag{36}$$

on using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality. It then follows from (36) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} &\leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}-\mathbf{M}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} &\leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}+\mathbf{M}||\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

in light of (13), which yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\partial |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}|}{\partial t} \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} &\leqslant \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{M}}| \mathrm{e}^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} \\ &+ \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{M}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| k |\mathbf{M}| |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{M}}| \mathrm{e}^{k(|\mathbf{L}|+|\mathbf{M}|)|\mathbf{x}|} + \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} \nu k^2 |\mathbf{L}|^2 |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}||\mathbf{x}|} \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

on using the triangle inequality.
Let

$$\psi = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{L}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}|X}, \tag{40}$$

$$\phi = \sum_{\mathbf{L}=-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{L}}| \mathrm{e}^{k|\mathbf{L}|X} \tag{41}$$

where $X = |\mathbf{x}|$ and note that

$$|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}| \leqslant Q \tag{42}$$

where $Q = \psi + \phi$. Then (32) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \leqslant \psi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} + \phi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial X^2} \tag{43}$$

and (39) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \leqslant \psi \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial X} + \phi \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X^2}. \tag{44}$$

Adding (43) and (44) yields

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \leqslant Q \frac{\partial Q}{\partial X} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 Q}{\partial X^2}. \tag{45}$$

Here $Q|_{t=0}$ converges for all $X \in \mathbb{R}$ since $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|_{t=0}$ converges for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In light of [8] it is found that (45) is globally regular for $\nu \geqslant 0$. ∴ blowup is ruled out. □

References

[1] Batchelor G. 1967. *An introduction to fluid dynamics*. Cambridge U. Press, Cambridge.

[2] Doering C. 2009. The 3D Navier–Stokes problem. *Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **41**: 109–128.

[3] Fefferman C. 2000. Existence and smoothness of the Navier–Stokes equation. *Clay Mathematics Institute*. Official problem description.

[4] Hardy G. 1949. *Divergent series*. Oxford University Press.

[5] Kreyszig E. 1989. *Introductory functional analysis with applications*. Wiley Classics Library.

[6] Ladyzhenskaya O. 1969. *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flows*. Gordon and Breach, New York.

[7] Milewski E. 1998. *The complex variables problem solver*. REA.

[8] Ohkitani K. 2008. A miscellany of basic issues on incompressible fluid equations. *Nonlinearity*. **21**: 255–271.