author : Nikos G Alexandris <u>nalxchal@yahoo.com</u>, <u>http://nalxchal.blogspot.com</u>

Abstract

The prediction of the magnetic field of Sgr A* by the algorithm of a star's core rotation is presented in my book "Modified Hawking field" 2010 .In the present article we can see the way .We can calculate the electrodynamic parameters of the nucleus of stars and black holes like Sgr A*

author : Nikos G Alexandris <u>nalxchal@yahoo.com</u> , <u>http://nalxchal.blogspot.com</u>

Introduction

The following article is based on an idea of Alvfen Carlqvist¹⁰ that we can predict the parameters of super massive stars and black holes by electrodynamic parameters .Gravity energy is equal to electrodynamic energy and the magnetic field is produced by an L C circuit. The basic hypothesis of my book is that electric charge is equal to mass by a coefficient($\mathbf{k}_{5.1a}$), different from Stoney's coefficient as well as Hawking's coefficient but it is in agreement with Hawking's theory .In my mathematical method I introduce two mathematical parameters β and t_c . These parameters are necessary to extract a coefficient which is related to the 2.73 K of cosmic background radiation ⁹

Article

The prediction of magnetic field of Sgr A* by the algorithm of a star's core rotation is presented in my book^{1,9}. We can input to algorithm the mass of star **m** and the radius $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{l}_c$, $\mathbf{l}_c =$ length of Coulomb law

$$\rho_m = \frac{m}{V}, \rho_c = k \rho_m, (1)$$

 ρ_m :density of mater , m: mass , V: volume = $(4/3)\pi$ $l_c{}^3$,

 ρ_c :density of electric charge

 $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_{51a} = (G/2\pi K_e)^{1/2} = 3.43745 \times 10^{-11} \text{ C/Kg},$ $k_{51a} = \sqrt{2G\varepsilon_0} t_c = K_e m \theta_v \rho_c, (2)$ Finally the t_c parameter is independent of density of matter and it is depended on the radius (R^3) of the star K_e : Coulomb constant , $\theta_V = (4/3)\pi$, t_c : mathematical parameter

 $\tau = k t_c$, (3)

 $\tau:$ elastic coefficient of Hook law ,

$$k = \beta t_c, \beta = \frac{k}{t_c}, (4)$$

We can find β mathematical parameter

$$\beta = \frac{k^2}{4\pi F} l_c, F = \frac{k^2}{4\pi \beta} l_c, (5)$$

 $l_{\rm c}$ is the radius(R) of the body , we find F force of oscilation 9

$$g = \frac{F}{m}, (6) , Newton law$$
$$\tau = C \frac{g_x^2}{k^2}, C = \tau \frac{k^2}{g_x^2}, (7)$$

we can find C capacity

$$C = k \frac{Q}{2g\lambda}, \lambda = 2\pi l_c, Q = 2g\lambda \frac{C}{k}, (8)$$

we can find \mathbf{Q} , the electric charge The period of rotation is :

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{g}}, (9)$$
$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{LC}, L = \frac{T^2}{4\pi^2 C}, (10)$$

L: self-induction coefficient

So we can find the physics parameters of the star or black hole

g : acceleration , C : electric capacity , Q :electric charge $\ ,\ T$: period of rotation and L : self-induction coefficient

From that values we can calculate the electromagnetic field of the core of a star or a black hole energy of electric currents :

$$E = UQ = k U_m Q = Q \frac{Gmk}{R}, (11)$$

U : electric potential , Um : gravitation potential⁹ , G : gravitation constant , m: mass of star , $k=k_{5.1a}$

and
$$I = \sqrt{\frac{2E}{L}}, (12)$$

I: intensity of current , L : self-induction coefficient

author : Nikos G Alexandris <u>nalxchal@yahoo.com</u>, <u>http://nalxchal.blogspot.com</u>

so
$$B = \frac{E}{I 2\pi R^2/n}, (13)$$

Transformation of Lorenz-Laplace force F = B I $2\pi R/n$, E = F R

m: mass of star or black hole, I : intensity of current, R = radius of star .n=R/Rcore, Rcore : radius of core of star,

For black holes n=1, for Sun n=5 and for Earth n=2

The results are very good for Earth-nucleus , Sun and Sgr A*.Also we can write the function of magnetic field as following:

$$B = \frac{E}{2\pi I R R_{core}}, (14)$$

For black holes R = Rcore.

MAGNETIC FIELD OF SAGITTARIUS (Sgr A*) BLACK HOLE

The event horizon experiment could prove Hawking – Bekenstein - Kerr theory^{2,8}

The mass of sagittarius black hole found, but we do not know the horizon surface and radius.

 $M_{\rm BH} = 8.22 \, x \, 10^{36} \, \rm kg$

The radius of black hole arises from Hawking – Bekenstein - Kerr surface of horizon

So $R_{BH} = 1.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}$

Using the algorithm in my book "modified Hawking field" page 55-56 we get the following values:

| $Q = 4.4 \times 10^{28} \text{ Cb}$ | C = 190 F |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| $I = 4.9 \times 10^{15} \text{ A}$ | B = 0.02 Tesla |
| $E = 7.6x \ 10^{34}$ Joule | $g = 5.7 \times 10^4 \text{m/sec2}$ |
| L = 6200 H | $T = 6.9 x \ 10^3 sec$ |

 $V=2\pi~R/T=1x10^7~m/sec$, 3% of speed of light or 0.03 C

This rotation function is appropriate for stars like the sun For this function rotation we use some of the six hypotheses .For a black hole it is better to use the following function :

Velocity of surface

$$V = \sqrt{2 g R} = 3.55 \times 10^7 \text{ m/sec},$$

11% the speed of light or 0.11xC

$$V=\omega R$$
, $\omega=\frac{2\pi}{T}$, $T=1950~sec$, period

All equations arise without relativity.

Using the known mass of Sgr A* Black hole and the radius of Bekenstein – Hawking - Kerr function we can find the magnetic field of Black hole near the horizon without relativity⁸. The same algorithm is used for the Sun.

For the Sun

the function is:

$$B = \frac{E}{I \, 2\pi \, R^2 / 5}, (15)$$

Transformation of Lorenz-Laplace force

I = intensity of current , R = radius of Sun , R/5 is the radius of the core of the Sun , E = energy of the Sun's currents , B/10 = surface magnetic field , 10 is the analogy of rotation between surface and core .The result for the core is 25 Gauss and for the surface 3.8 Gauss.

For the Earth

function (1) for $Il^2 = IR^2$ gives 78 Gauss, for $Il^2 = I(2\pi R)^2$ gives 2 Gauss, for $Il^2 = I2\pi R^2$ gives 12 Gauss, for $Il^2 = I2\pi R^2/2$ arises **24 Gauss** which is the experimental⁶ value of 2010, R/2 is the radius of the Earth's core. Also the algorithm gives rotation 1.3 days.

For neutron stars a few are in agreement with those functions like burst nebule

Sgr A*

The algorithm gives 0.12 Tesla for $B = \frac{E}{IR^2}$, but the

best function is : $B = \frac{E}{I 2\pi R^2}$, so

the result is 0.02 Tesla , without relativity.

author: Nikos G Alexandris nalxchal@yahoo.com , http://nalxchal.blogspot.com

I choose the relativistic coefficient γ^3 , $\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{2}}}$,

v = 0.11c, $\gamma \approx 1$

I choose this coefficient for $I = \frac{q}{t}$ and R^2

For an observer faraway from the horizon $B = \frac{B_0}{v^3}$,

 $B_0 = B = 0.02 \text{ Tesla} = 202 \text{ Gauss} \quad (16)$

this value 202 gauss is 500 times the Earth's magnetic field³.

The algorithm uses coefficient k_{51a} of all the above functions without strong participation of relativity.

The problem of that algorithm is that does not give the rotation of black hole like the rotation of the Sun or the Earth. In 2013 88% the speed of light of the gas was observed

So the gases are falling and rotating under an acceleration g which is the same as the index

A good approximation could arises in the following way using relativity⁴:

$$V(t) = \frac{g.t}{\sqrt{1 + g^2 \frac{t^2}{c^2}}} = 2.4 \times 10^8 \text{ m/sec or } 0.8 \text{ C or } 80\%$$

the speed of light, T = t

If we use the constant of **Stoney**^{8,9} $k_{51b} = \sqrt{\frac{G}{K_{5}}} = \frac{k_{51a}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

we get for currents 0.3 C,

B=200 Gauss and for rotation velocity 0.96 C. Stoney gives a great value.

Conclusion

The algorithm can predict the basic parameters of a super massive star especially the nucleus and some planets like the Earth .The Stoney coefficient is appropriate for solid bodies where gravity and electricity are equal⁵ . The coefficient $\mathbf{k}_{5.1a}$ is appropriate for states in nucleus matter of stars and some planets like the Earth .The bodies are different by an index n=R/Rcore which includes the analogy between the total radius of star and nucleus .The

THE PARADOX OF THE HAWKING THEORY

prediction is very good for magnetic field and rotation.

It is known that the Hawking-Bekenstein theory about black holes contains two basic equations. One of the equations is that of Bekenstein (1972) which correlates the surface of the horizon of a black hole to the mass of a black hole. Specifically, the surface is proportional to the square of the mass. The other equation is Hawking's (1971) which connects the temperature of the horizon of a black hole with the mass. Temperature is inversely proportional to mass. These theories correlate fundamental theories of physics: the general relativity theory (not completely proven, due to our ignorance about gravity), quantum, thermodynamic and mechanical theory.

The paradox lies in the fact that no astronomical body gave the Hawking radiation or else the temperature which is monstrously 10^{-14} Kelvin small, weaker than the temperature of the universe, as Susskind protests in his recent book (2011).

Sagittarius A*

Contemporary astrophysics has understood and photographed very dense objects, neutron stars.

author : Nikos G Alexandris <u>nalxchal@yahoo.com</u> , <u>http://nalxchal.blogspot.com</u>

There has never been observed any body more dense than these, and the name black holes is attributed to them, without being able to confirm the Hawking-Bekenstein equations. The temperature(T) detected is much greater than

 $10^{-14}K$. Kelvin and corresponds to X-ray and γ radiation. However, it is believed that it comes from clouds around the horizon rather than the horizon itself.

Mάζα Sagittarius A* (m) : $1.2 \times 10^{42} kg$ and for Black Hole BH $8.2 \times 10^{36} kg$

Radius (lc) : $5x10^{14}m$ and for BH $1.1x10^{10}m$

Using the above values in the equation of page 48 which gives the temperatures of the cores of the stars, the result is temperature(T) similar to the one observed:

Radiation (T): 9.1×10^{6} Kelvin or $6A^{0}$ and for BH 5.8×10^{9} Kelvin or 0.5MeV

$$m = \frac{8.928 \times 10^{-4} V T^2}{\theta_v l_c} = \frac{8.928 \times 10^{-4} l_c^3 T^2}{l_c} = 8.928 \times 10^{-4} l_c^2 T^2 , \quad (21)$$

$$T = \sqrt{\frac{M_{SagitA}}{8.928 \times 10^{-4} l_c^2}} = 7.33 \times 10^7 \, Kelvin$$

and

for BH 8.7×10^9 Kelvin=0.75 MeV

COLLIDERS

This equation also gives the crushing length(lc) of the particles in the buffers. This is not odd because the crushing length(lc) corresponds to the wave formed on the particle which receives the energy of the collision (mass m). The particle becomes a crushing length sized star.

From (21) arises
$$l_c = \sqrt{\frac{M_{collision}}{8.928 \times 10^{-4} T_c^2}}$$
, (22)

For $M_{collision} = 7 TeV/c^2$ and $10^{11} Kelvin$ arises $l_c = 10^{-21} m$

HAWKING THEORY

But what is the connection to the Hawking's theory?

If we correlate the above equation with the two Hawking-Bekenstein equations we might solve the paradox of the black hole temperature(T).

On the equation of page 48 which gives the temperature(T) of the core of the stars we put the Planck temperature and the mass of Sagittarius $A^*(M_{sagitA})$. The result is the radius of an atom, which is very small.

From (22) arises:

$$M_{SagitA} = 8.928 \times 10^{-4} l_c^2 T_{plank}^2$$
 then
 $l_c = \sqrt{\frac{M_{SagitA}}{8.928 \times 10^{-4} T_{plank}^2}}$ (23)

$$l_c = 2.58 \times 10^{-10} m$$
, for BH

 $l_c = 6.76 \times 10^{-13} m$

If this length is imported as a horizon radius of the black hole facts we will take the $mass(M_B)$ of the black hole in the Bekenstein equation. This mass

author : Nikos G Alexandris <u>nalxchal@yahoo.com</u>, <u>http://nalxchal.blogspot.com</u>

in the Hawking equation($M_B = M_H$) will give the Hawking radiation(T_H) which is about 12-20 times smaler than the observed.

Radius of Horizon of Black Hole of Bekenstein's¹² function : $R_s^2 = \frac{4G^2 M_B^2}{c^4}$,(24) Rs = lc $M_B = 1.74 \times 10^{17} kg$, for BH $M_B = 4.55 \times 10^{14} kg$

Radiation of Black Hole of Hawking's function :

 $T_{H} = \frac{hc^{3}}{16\pi^{2}k_{B}GM_{H}}$,(25) $M_{B} = M_{H}$ $T_{H} = 7x10^{5}Kelvin$, for BH $T_{H} = 2.7x10^{8}Kelvin = 22.7KeV$ This value I in egreement with the observed values (2011 Fermi Symposium) This is the way that a paradox seems to be solved, but there is another one added. The black hole has a size of an atom . Mass MB must be singularity.

The theoretical paradox of the Hawking theory

The general theory of relativity which is the gravity theory has given little evidence for 100 years, such as gravitational lenses galaxies. There has not, however, been proven that inertia is equivalent to gravity, or that acceleration of bodies produces gravitational waves.

For the same reason we do not yet accept that collisions of bodies and particles enhance gravity. In a few words we have not understood the nature of gravity. In the existing weakness of general relativity the Hawking theory introduces another assumption, that general relativity which applies in the macrocosm also applies in the region of quantum phenomena. Thus, either quantum effects apply in the macrocosm, or general relativity applies in the microcosm. The above calculations give an explanation. The great mass regards general relativity and small length of the black hole regards quantum. Therefore, perhaps the two theories become compatible. Although, we must not forget that paradoxes of black holes started from mechanics long before the appearance of relativity.

Paradox says that the escape velocity in a heavy body can reach the speed of light, so the star will be dark. In fact it will be so dark that it will also be completely cold. The latter has been a matter of controversy for the past 40 years. The problem is that it is not certain that a law of mechanics applies everywhere and in the same way. For example, logically it is not prohibited that the escape velocity is always less than the speed of light, so that the light escapes always.

Solar Dynamo

The equations resulting from the assumptions can extract the rotation of stars and in particular of their cores, specifically the first 40 equations. Regarding the Sun's rotation of the core it is 10

author : Nikos G Alexandris <u>nalxchal@yahoo.com</u>, <u>http://nalxchal.blogspot.com</u>

times faster than the surface, page 56. The fact that rotation concerns the core is shown by the fact that the same equations give the core's temperature of sun $7x10^7 Kelvin$, page 48. Confirmation of equations and of coefficients is very important for the rest part of the project. The coefficient of the equations selected so that they match with the empirical cosmological types. For the calculation of the magnetic field(B) the equation which gives the force is selected Laplace(F):

$$F = BIl_{c} \quad \text{then} \quad Fl_{c} = BIl_{c}^{2} \quad (26) \text{ so}$$
$$E = BIl_{c}^{2} \quad \text{arises} \quad B = \frac{E}{Il_{c}^{2}} \quad (27)$$

The calculations of page 56 should be modified in order to take into account that the core of the sun has radius the 1/5 of the sun and that currents are not rectilinear but circular.

(27) arises
$$B = \frac{E}{I \frac{2\pi l_c^2}{5}}$$
,(28) with $I = 2 \times 10^7 Ampere$

Energy (E) is proportional to the square of the angular speed, like the Laplace force:

 $E \approx \omega^2$.The product $Il_c = Qv$, (29) speed (v) is proportional to the angular speed (ω), thus the intensity of the magnetic field (B) is proportional to the angular speed ω .

$$B\approx \frac{E}{\omega}$$
 so $B\approx \frac{\omega^2}{\omega}=\omega$, (30)

Therefore, the magnetic field's (B) intensity is inversely proportional to the rotation period

(T): $\omega = \frac{1}{T}$.If the rotation of the surface is 10 times smaller, and therefore the magnetic field will be 10 times smaller, i.e. 44Gaus/10 = 4.4Gauss.

This verification shows that the coefficients of equations 1-47, pages 14-17 were selected properly. This verification enhances the accuracy of the temperature equation of the core of the star which is extracted from the same equations, pages 47-48. It is the equation that agrees with the radiance of Sagittarius A* and it is combined with the Hawking-Bekenstein equations. It also gives very good results to the collider regarding the length of particle breakage.

The special feature of the constant (CR) which gives the solar nuclear rotation of the star is that it depends on the constant $k_{5.1a}$ and not on the classic constant $k_{5.1b}$ of Stoney.

I should remind that the quotient of the electrical charge to the $k_{5.1a}$ gives $M_{eg5.1a}$ which is associated to the Planck mass and the Hawking and Stoney masses. The verification of the constant $k_{5.1a}$ is fundamental for the analysis of the book.

Bibliography

1."Modified Hawking field" 2010 , Lambert

author : Nikos G Alexandris <u>nalxchal@yahoo.com</u> , <u>http://nalxchal.blogspot.com</u>

academic publishing ISBN 978-3-8433-6512-3

,page 55

2. 2011 Fermi Symposium

3. Astrophysical Journal Letters. May 30, 2013 or

https://www.sciencenews.org/article/magneticfield-black-hole-measured

4. Book "Gravity and Cosmology "Theodoros N Tomaras page 39 for seminars in free web of Creta 2013-2014

5. Book "from Quark to Universe " E. N. Oikonomou , University of Creta publishing , (2012)

6. Buffett, Bruce A. (2010). "Tidal dissipation and the strength of the Earth's internal magnetic field".Nature 468

7. "Modern Physics " R.A Serway , C.J. Moses , C.A. Moyer , University of Creta publishing,(2000)

8. "Paradox of Hawking Theory", list of authors ,Alexandris G Nikos ,(2012), <u>http://gsjournal.net</u>

9. "electromagnetic interaction of gravity", Zlatarov University,AOIJ,200604),vol.17,p2, <u>http://www.acadjournal.com</u> or list of authors : http://gsjournal.net

10.Stepanov-Zaitsev ,plasma theory of Sun : <u>http://astronomy.ege.edu.tr/~rpekunlu/GBDG/L</u>

FModulation/LRCCrntCryngLoop.pdf

11.The Black Hole War ,L.Susskind 2010 katoptro

12.Black Holes and Universe by General

Relativity, I.Haini 2010 Symeon ,ISBN 978-960-18-3