Concepts Behind Aleodeology © 2009-2015
Compiled By Mark S. Cater

Because many people do not comprehend mathematical terms related to physics this online book attempts to describe equations and relations in layman terms, no single contribution and update goes without such, and as a general rule all such contributions should be understood in terms which any reader can empathize with - so that each person may understand them without having to resort to library books or manuscripts detailing what mathematical terms indicate.

In general the original author, placates testing, which is the ultimate scrutiny for any hypothetical date related to this book. The book does not support zero point physics and crank inventors. All information should be coherent and interesting to readers.

The purpose of the contents is to advance the goal of FTL, teleportation, time travel and related potentialities within the framework of the book, multiverses, treknology; it may or may not support Einstein Relativistic relations, quantum dynamics, many world hypothesis, EPR thought experiments, Klausa-Klein dimensions, etc.

Beginning Concepts

```
live stage with a seated audience (as in an auditorium or movie theater) but
can include TV.  Author refuses to appear in/on interviews or shows dealing
with New-Age material. Use of this material is subject to author approval.
Proper emails will be followed up -please allow for time for responses. The
author has no public speaking experience in front of live audiences (stand-
up)
```

- New Discoveries/Material Has Added in the section **Updates 2015**
- General Concepts of Spacetime Automation
- Review
- Space (Time) Smaller Than Quanta
- Contact me @ markcater3@gmail.com for inclusions to the Updates 2015 section if you have any theories which may be useful. Images and materials must be extrapolated from winword and be explained in layman`s terms. **COMMON CORE WILL BE REJECTED**. **SOLICITATIONS ARE NOT A GUARANTEE OF INCLUSION - IF YOU WANT TO BE NOTIFIED OF INCLUSION PLEASE STATE IT IN YOUR PAPER.**

Understanding the Concepts

Original 2009 Front Cover

- Introduction
- Aleodeology
- Part 1
- The IF Factor
- Positional Relations
- The Impossible Nature of an Exact Science
- Research
- Tones
- Review of The High-Low
- Spacetime Inversion
- Back To The Beginning
- Position-less Motion
- Concepts of Aleodeology
- Spin the solution to it all?
- NanoQuanta
- Arguments
- Part 4
- Real Time Travel
- Quantum Time Travel
- Is it by Travel?
- Quantum Nanotechnology
- Transportation
- Lessons In Strangeness
- Starcraft Design
- Re-Review

Contributing to this book

If you would like to contribute to this book, you are strongly encouraged to do so! There are a couple of things you may wish to do.

General Concepts of Spacetime Automation

Contents

PURPOSE:

THE PURPOSE OF THE ADDED MATERIAL LOCATED IN UPDATES 2015 TOOK ME AROUND 6 YEARS TO FINALIZE. FOLLOW THE LINK BELOW TO READ PART 2. YOU WILL FIND THE SOLUTION TO OVERCOMING THE INHIBITION TO RAPID SPACE TRAVEL IN THE UPDATES SECTION; HOWEVER IT IS ADVISABLE THAT YOU FIRST READ AND RE-READ THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HERE TO GET A CLEAR CONCEPT OF THE INFORMATION PRESENTED HERE AS THEY WILL BE INVALUABLE TO YOU NO MATTER WHO YOU ARE. IT IS MY OPINION THAT SCIENCE AND SCIENTISTS HAVE DELIBERATELY WITHHELD THIS INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC AS A COVERT OPERATION UNDER DIFFERENT GUISES. WHILE I HAVE NOT DISCOVERED THE EXACT MECHANISM THROUGH WHICH THE SYSTEM CAN BE IMPLEMENTED APART FROM ALCUBIERRE`S UN-ATTAINABLE WARP-DRIVE CONCEPTS AFTER READING THE INFORMATION IN QM, YOU SHOULD THEN READ THIS SECTION. I HAVE PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION OVER TIME AS I DID MY RESEARCH OVER THE YEARS, COMPILING THIS AND COMPILING THAT MAINLY THROUGH TRIAL AND ERROR, AND BUILT-UP AND PROVIDED AS MUCH INSIGHT INTO THIS INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE AT EVERY POSSIBLE ANGLE CONCEIVABLE. YOU MAY FIND IT SOMEWHAT

GENERAL CONCEPTS OF SPACETIME AUTOMATION

Abstract:

The age-old problems of achieving hypothetical technologies, which produce those phenomena that mimic or duplicate phenomena corresponding to the imaginary, discourage achievements because they suggest impossibilities that yield more toward conjecture as experiments occur from the quantum and dissipate at larger scales. However, when different disciplines of automation come into play conventional views may be thrown to the wind.

Postulation (A)

Energy is the transfer of energy resultant from an applied force whose origin is the establishment of manual energy.

Postulation (b)

Force is an application of energy transfer from a source of energy.

Where;

$$F = E$$

Postulation (c)

Potential energy and kinetic energy are not merely interchangeable components one of another, but are in fact one and the same.

Postulation (D)

Rapid rotation of mass-less energy in 360 degrees constantly changes direction. Constant change-in direction is conservation of energy by definition, this definition means that the potential energy is excessive, where the energy is postponed by the constant change-in direction, thereby conserved.

Postulation (E)

Input originating from manually induced external energy (force) to produce THE speed or velocity to the output of a body is the repetitive action of input to maintain the output; the input as well as the output is in resistance between the output of energy in debt to gravitational attraction through potential energy; the changes-in () input from manually induced energy (force) to produce changes-in to the output of a body is a also a repetitive action, the only difference being that more power is necessary to maintain changing speed or velocity.

Postulation (F)

Any energy, which is manually induced, is in-debt to gravity through potential energy.

Concept 1).

Energy is the product of output conduit by the input of any external force induced by manual establishment. Therefore force is energy that transfers it's output through manual input, and cannot overcome the thing through which it is enabling.

Concept 2).

Energy input repeats with respect to one outcome out of an equidistant set of all potential outcomes. The repetitious input is necessary balancing speed/velocity and change-in velocity from succumbing to to the force of gravity.

Concept 2a).

Since energy input is repetitious, constant change-in direction would serve to conserve energy. As an example, constant change-in direction transpires in the rapid rotation of a Tipler Cylinder and a causality light ring, thereby arcing. Energy is conserved (postponed) through constant change-in direction; renewal is the entire result of rapid rotation, and the result of renewal is identical to repetitious input in a line-segment.

Concept 2b).

Change-in (delta)d is the re-turn of energy to potential energy, whereas gravity acts primarily through potential energy from established energy. It stands to reason that by definition that if the presence of constant change-in direction in straight-line motion occurs, (oscillatory) that energy will positionally be conserved as it is with the constant the Change-in (delta)d of the rapid rotation of a laser light ring.

Concept 2c).

Assuming constant Change-in (delta)d in repetitious input, we obtain the result of equal and opposite Change-in (delta)d, and therewith possess conservation of energy in straight-line displacement absent of displacement outside of the line segment. With equal and opposite Change-in (delta)d the presence of Change-in (delta)D (distance)is absent, and therefore there is no Change-in (delta)t.

Thus:

$$delta \; d \neq delta \; D = t$$

Concept 2d).

Excess conservation of energy approaching absolute zero is the product of change-in (delta)t. This ingredient requires the complete distance of the line-segment, more precisely those distances between any two positions for every change-in direction.

The reason for this is due to the resulting change-in vt or st by ΔD as would be in the circumferential change-in distance that could occur when altered, thereby changing the RPS, simply stated unequal changes to the distance changes the values of velocity time.

Were u indicates unequal changes-in direction, we have:

$$delta \; d_u = delta \; D = delta \; t \quad or \; delta \; vt$$

Also, when there is an absence of change-in D, there is no change-in time, the only change-in is direction, and therefore we have:

```
                    delta d ≠ delta D = t
                              or
                    delta d = -delta D = t
```

With reference back to a 360⁰ rapidly reciprocating light ring we have change-in t, and without that we only have constant change-in direction, which is only travel through THE time, from the present, through THE past, to THE future of THE now, and then again via leaving and arrival.

```
              delta dᵤ = delta D = delta RPS
```

And

```
              delta d ≠ delta D = RPS
```

Therefore, constant change-in distance change-in D unequal to the second or first change-in D in repetitious input, gives the full means through which time travel is applicable. Without this, there is merely passage through time; is the same as going nowhere in a circle.

Concept 3).

Because energy input can never overcome energy output, there remains only one way through which to cause this changes-in distance to change time. To do this we would have to avoid repeats?

The remaining problem would then be how to avoid arriving in THE past or THE future in a space different from leaving, or merging with a past or future position.

Repetitious energy input is evident in the incrimination-(s) of energy from uniform motion to a new input.

Where;

```
              delta v2 -v1 = a
```

Concept 3)$^{(a)}$.

A mass composed of energy is bound to repetition within an equidistant set of degrees of freedom after completing all outcomes equidistantly combination-(ally) and per-mutative-(ly); respect by it's outcomes in manual displacement.

(b)

The completion of a single, equidistant repeat of a body out of a set of all it's repeats within these equidistant degrees of freedom corresponding to an equidistant change-in direction at any position throughout the re-peat's revolution.

(c)

The completion of all equidistant degrees of freedom are all the outcomes that the body can assume, they can not be assumed together in opposing directions because of change-in direction. When all *df* are assumed, (completed) these same outcomes repeat equidistantly, combination-(ally)

and per-mutative-(ly) where simultaneity is absent. Dd is therefore responsible for degrees of freedom.

Equidistantly, probabilistic repeats of a body possessed of energy, using all possible outcomes by manually induced energy run into relativistic into relativistic inhibitions.

As with the constant delta d (change-in distance) of a 360 degree reciprocating ring (or cylinder) of laser light formed via magnetic proximities (+ -), is conservation of energy, where potential energy is excessive depending on the circumference of the circle.

However, if a pull simultaneously coinciding with a push is induced, weight approaches negligible levels. (Weight not mass). Because the path differentials between THE outcome and an outcome, such inducement can result in an outcome and not THE outcome provides that leaving and arriving are not on the same path.

Concept 4).

Space (time) is automated. Establishment and uniform maintenance of energy by energy is manual, repetitive input to output in automated space (time). To require intervention, to be self-sustaining, space (time) must possess a perfect feedback, which has no external path to loop.

Concept 5).

It stands to reason that from the general knowledge associated to concept 4), that energy, certain quanta and matter which are accommodated in automated space (time), **are not fully automated** to the way whereby space (time) is automated, energies are manual; affected by the full automation of space (time) with respect to the subject concerned, and thereby do eventually succumb to cessation. (Non-existence, death, or a going back to a potential state).

While our own biological clock gives us the impression of time, the categorical list of energies and substances temporarily existent under the full automation of space (time) are as follows:

(A). FULLY AUTOMATED SPACE (TIME)

Accommodated energies subject to;

1.0)All forces that produce output via input visa via; energy transfer; are manually induced to be-come energetic or to be ongoing. (Inanimate bodies, intelligent designs: quanta, virtual particles, and hypothetical particles).

2.0) Automation-(s) under the classification(s) of temporal automation-(s). (Biological multicellular organisms, viruses, etc.

3.0) Partial automation-(s): are automation-(s) exemplified in everyday life; possessing partiality, being automated (Programmed, life-span) and ending in cessation due in the main to any inherent ability to be automated enough whereby the concerned avoids being affected by automated space (time). Such as that in enhancing an organism with nanotechnological abilities whereby it can be immortal and be ongoing. For various life-forms and/or intelligent particles/designs , cessation translates into terms where the condition is death. Regeneration therefore, is the ability to overcome this seeming flaw where the lack of nanotechnological enhancement would substitute for this absent feature.

4.0) Semi-automation: To cease or be remain on the verge of cessation, to dry up, and then again be replenished. Virtual particles and a few microorganisms possess this ability.

5.0) Cessation: The absence of full, partial, and semi-automated capabilities. Cessation and it's anti-term, indicates a process whereby energy sustains it – for a pre-prepared time, until it can no longer be sustained either by age or by loss of energy, it then recedes back to a potent state.

Concept 6).

A limited set of equidistant degrees of freedom applies to everybody and energy universally.

Because manual and partial energies repeat through equidistant outcomes with respect to Δd, all equidistant outcomes that the energy or body can, potentially assume, are all the possibilities that it can possess and therefore like a program, it, like a panel constituting 55 numbers corresponding to 5 morphological determinants are all eventually constrained to repeat permutatively and combinationally.

The distinction comes as a differentiation between THE time and A time, or THE outcome and AN outcome. THE outcome is the product of an event that occurs in THE now; whereas an outcome is a precursor to one outcome out of all possible outcomes that are possible, with respect to it being the result in THE now, which differs each time or is a repeat when prediction of the event is attempted. Thus, for the body or the energy concerned, equidistantly, all outcomes are repeats and have repeat times due to it, equidistantly, being limited to a set of degrees of freedom.

The extrapolation comes from a 360 degree laser light ring, where leaving and arrival are measured off as repeats indicated by an imaginary reference point or dot on the outer circumference. The repetitions, tallied as Revolutions Per Second (RPS) depend on the distance about the entire ring but are a function of time vs. space. Because the reference is imaginary, arrival vs. leaving never really occurs. Traversement constantly changes direction, leaving from THE present, winding through space, moves back through time, moves from the past returning back to the future of THE now. According to Global Causality Violation (GCV) (Frank J. Tipler – Physical Review D-1974), the massless energy travels back through time.

However no arrival back in time has occurred nor has it arrived to A future- the entire ring altogether remains in THE present. Thus because it never actually arrives back through time, the energy never actually arrives to the present from the future. (weird). Initially it means that it's path never changes except for divergence –it remains on THE same path and therefore it travels to and from each time on THE same path it went from. The path never changes it is THE path that leads to and from THE now.

Because massless energy is naturally limited to the speed of light by the absence of conserved energy none of these alternate time-lines are accessible, due to the path of each repetitive outcome arriving back to the now.

That is even with the incorporation of all df, equidistantly, (factoring in a push-pull system to reduce weight to nearing zero) those outcomes would utilize GCV, repetitively leaving from an equidistant imaginary reference point from the now, go back, come back to THE now.

Thus even at the speed of light these potential outcomes, equidistantly are all the possibilities that the energy can assume. Displacement to new areas of space, equidistantly the same becomes evident. All other possibilities are time travitic.

__Concept 7).__

Generally, space (time) is interdependent by virtue of THE/A past present and future.

…is feedback, is automated. Dualism suggests manual inducements – the more a thing emulates or becomes automated, the less that thing is affected by space (time)`s contingencies of automation away from cessation.

The less automated, the more that thing is affected by the automation going toward cessation, because it, itself is less and less automated.

What this suggests that given the space race as well as cure-alls, nothing short of automations can securely provide the answers to long sought after solutions to age-old problems that appear seeming insurmountable…is accomplishable better with automated techniques substituted for manually based solutions and inquiries.

Unlocking extra possibilities not accessible using conventional equidistant df would be beneficial to begin the real goals toward the evolving of man to the level of civilization III.

Concept 8).

Postulation 1). Zero is an inhibitor. Postulation 2). Space (time) is infinitely finite.

Explanation:

By way of analogy assume any normal gambling table. All have their advantage over a player by percentages. Percentages correspond to fractionations in the sense that they are based in rules. Rules, physically are boundaries whereby a player is restricted or constrained to a particular set of conformities that regulate the differentials between winning and losing. Breaks in rules are deemed as cheating and therefore chance is avoided. Zero is a subject of interest to many. But does zero exist apart from it's definition based in values. Let us consider zero as being imaginary as it is similarly with an imaginary reference point to indicate RPS. Defined solely through mathematical reference, let us consider the opposite sides of a number set with assignments of positive and the other negative, values mediated by zero.

Weather one half is positive and the other negative or reversed by sides zero remains fixed in it's position. Two of these assignments are evident. The first supposedly depicts our positive/neutral spatio-temporal universe.

The other depicts a deficit corresponding to the negative (less than zero) – depending on which side the negative assignment is placed on either side of a number and where it begins. Where negative energies are concerned, debt is established – incontrovertible, as is energy to Pe when it is manually established.

Both examples include zero, but with respect to the negative, the reference here will be to one.

In order to comprehend this we will need to review the High-Low as it corresponds to space (time).

Review

While in other papers and investigations I have used the High-low to reference various phenomena, it's value has many uses. The High-Low begins with and initial number set:

$$> 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 <$$
$$0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5$$

The First Half of the "high-Low"

The upper part of the "High" is depicted as a count of the "spaces-apart" from one number to the next. "1" has no space-apart from itself to itself and is therefore zero spaces apart to differentiate it from identically counting with an identical set underneath the initial number set.

1 to 2 is one space apart. *1 to 3 is two spaces apart and so on to the end to the right.* (Eq 2)

$$> 1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 <$$
$$0 \quad 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5$$
$$1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 0$$

The High-Low

The lower half of the High is the Low, which runs in the opposite direction to the High, beginning with 6. Now we have the "High-Low" underneath the initial number set.

Various anomalies are associated to the High-Low, however here our concerned with them. (Eq 3)

1) ...+ 3 + 2 + 1 0 - 1 - 2 - 3 ...

2) ... - 3 - 2 - 1 0 + 1 + 2 + 3...

zero one zero

Zero indicates the value of nothing, neutrality or cancellation. Zero as it is concerned with in particle physics is a simple identity equation, which reveals neutrality; neither dominant one over the other.(Eq 4)

Therefore it follows logically that when $0 = + 1 - 1, + 1 - 1 = 0$ . . .

Let zero be absent from the number set, removed. The following raises a peculiar question as to why the nature of zero is present. (Eq 5)

Cannon:

0.1)

... + 3 + 2 + 1 - 1 -2 -3...

0

Omission of Zero

As can be seen (+1 − 1) equate to zero regardless of it's presence. Initially, then, we can assume that zero is unreal or imaginary with respect to value-based subjects, because even though the number set appears equilibrated by the presence of zero, it's removal does not indicate that it is required to equilibrate both the positive and negative assignments of both sides of the number set. Where in it absence, the remaining numbers neutralize to create zero. Assuming that + 1 − 1 = 0, the presence of zero in a number set (representing energy accommodated in automated space (time) and/ or neutral space (time) with the possibility of an exotic space (time) similar to negative energy densities), would not zero be canceled by it's parallel counterparts (+1 − 1) on either side of 0? i.e. …+ 1 <-- --> 0 <-- --> -1…

The second anomaly in this odd paradox, is the apparent fact that assuming zero is indeed absent and substituted by the presence of (+1 – 1), the positive and negative values on either side appear to nullify half of their opposing counterparts of (+2 – 2).

The second anomaly in this odd paradox, is the apparent fact that assuming zero is indeed absent and substituted by the presence of (+1 – 1), the positive and negative values on either side appear to nullify half of their opposing counterparts of (+2 – 2). The reasoning behind this is illustrated as follows:

Assume we have an initial number set as in 1). and 2). We have, then, the embodiment of zero regardless of weather or not zero is referred to or is expressed as (+1 – 1) Generally is such an oddity originating from +1 – 1 = 0 is debunked as being negligible, then we might define weather zero indicates cancellation or weather +1 – 1 indicates neutrality.

Where is the line drawn? Certainly is cannot be all cancellation because that would not make sense in light of it's presence in the numbers set and it's pals on either side of zero. Irrespective of what it could be, by definition, the positive and negative values of what is supposedly defined as zero on either of it's situated position, becomes canceled with respect to it's opponents – crumbling the notion of what exactly zero is and it's purpose aside from it's definition underpinned in values which originate from measurements based in manual energy establishments in automated space (time), and of which according to Peter Lynds has no tangible base because there is no measurement for static time.

So even in assuming that zero is present in the initial set, zero, by definition, is not only the cancellation between +1 – 1, but also undergoes infinite cancellations; these after or while +1 –1 cancels. (Eq 6)

i.e.

A) Initial.

...+3 +2 +1 0 -1 -2 -3...

B) Omission.

...+3 +2 +1 - 1 - 2 - 3...   +1 - 1 = 0

0

C)

...+3 +2 0 -2 - 3...   +2 - 2 = 0

0

Table 1

In other words, in the absence of zero +1 – 1 becomes 0, and then or while +1 – 1 becomes zero, +2 – 2 becomes zero as do all other numbers. Assuming a chain-reaction…

Thus to the left of positive one (+1) and to the left of zero is negative one (-1). (Eq 7)

D)

(+1 0 - 1)

(+1 - 1)

Positive Negative

Ideally like charges repel and opposites attract.

But these polarities usu. transpire between magnetic phenomena and their associated relationships with electric phenomena (Faraday). Would not this also apply to zero or positions with respect to space (time)? Assuming zero to be present, the positive and negative sides of zero of what equates to zero are equal and opposite to the positive and negative components on either side of the "present" zero are equal and opposite and therefore the first half of zero that consists of +1 will cancel-out by the negative component outside of this zero, while simultaneously the secondary half of – 1 also consisting of zero would as well be canceled by it's opposing counterpart of +1 on the outside of zero too. Generally +2 – 2 are present. (Eq 8)

...+ 3 + 2 + 1 0 -1 -2 -3...   Stage 1

...+ 3 + 2 + 1⓪ -1 -2 -3...   Stage 2 (absent zero)

...+ 3 + 2 (+1)(-1) 2 -3.....   Stage 3 (cancellation between remainers)

...+2 +1 -1 + 2...   Stage 4 (cancellations continue...).

Stages One to Four

With zero absent, +1 – 1 cancels and zero again? Or possible continued cancellation of +1 – 1, + 2 – 2… etc? (Eq 9)

... + 3 + 2 + 1 - 1 - 2 - 3...

0

Cancellation

What remains is a paradox. Is +1 – 1 transmogrifying into zero, compensating for the absence of zero, or is infinite cancellation occurring with the absence of zero?

The paradox with respect to automated space (time) and value-based number systems, which do not relate to energy and material subtractions. (Such as those when a loss ends in nothing left, cessation, potential energy, values and bets that end with nothing).

(Compare automation to manually-based energies) which, continues again on either side of +1 – 1and the other numbers producing a chain-reaction of self-cancellations pertaining to either side in opposition to the newly formed zero evident by +1 – 1 in the set. Thus it appears that value-based numbers are misinterpreted, at least here.

Thus after the first cancellation of zero (Assuming it is actually present in the first place), comprising the embodiment of +1 – 1, this zero is it's opposites, leaving …+ 2 + 1 – 1 – 2.

Each higher number above +1 – 1 cancels + 2 – 2 by half leaving +1 – 1. From either side of +1 –1, +2 – 2 is evident and here + 2 canceled by – 1 leaving +1. While – 2 is canceled by + 1 leaving –1.

The initial paradox is that either 0 as the embodiment of +1 – 1 is it, itself zero, or that +1 – 1 is indeed zero. + 3 – 3 do not remain as do all other higher numbers existing throughout the set, but are transmogrified into lower numbers as cancellations go outward add infinitum. (Eq 10)

Embodiment

In the absence of energy where space (time) is zero? (Eq 11)

Number Sets

Is cancellation evident therefore of automation? If so then there is no such thing as nothingness, zero does not exist.

Space (Time) Smaller Than Quanta

Contents

Aside from value-based measurements and energy, the smallest definition of what is supposed to be nothing, does not sufficiently explain the finiteness of space (time) and how far it might go.

A strange ambiguity in velocities corresponds to this. The speed of light is 186,242.8 mi/second. That is to say that light speed as a four-velocity massless energy form, which creates speed is an oddity. But this is no different than asking how chemicals can possess life, much more particles – suggesting intelligence. But an organism is composed of chemicals and it has life.

The speed of light is to say that light travels over a distance of 186,282.4 miles in 1 second. Because the speed of light is a fixed constant neither increasing nor decreasing in speed – it remains unchanging apart from external interventions (input) to increase or decrease it's speed so it would be applicable to assume that any increase in it's speed would produce a shorter traversal time over vast distances. Naturally at c light travels over it's normal distance in 1 second.

Double the distance (372,564.8 miles), the speed of light travels over this distance in 2 seconds. With more distance, a longer arrival time and hence this translates into light years.

In essence on a clear summer night when the stars are out you can see the light from those stars, which are billions of miles away. That light, which you can see is actually light that has come from the past – light you see now, traveled millions of light years to appear to your retina, it is light from the past, not light which you can see now, although it has taken that long just to reach us.

Now the reference here is to distance, and 186,282.4 is the marker of measurement indicating arrival. The arrival as is leaving are imaginary references as is a point on the outer circumference of a spinning object indicating RPS. However for this argument let us alter the distance for the speed of light to half the amount while leaving the value of the photon's traversal-time unaltered.

The arrival now is 186,282.4 / 2 = 93,141.2 miles, which is approximately equal to ½ second. Further descriptions are not necessary because the point becomes obvious to the fact that time changes with respect to alterations in distance. Supposedly zero is the equilibrator between the negative and the positive realms, one, which runs a deficit, such as that in exotic energies in Quantum Tunneling.

The negative energy borrows against the positive to tunnel it's way through a small barrier. Which can be taken to mean the limits of space (time) with respect to energy. With Potential Energy and Kinetic Energy the two are un-isolatable yet interdependently interchangeable provided a manual energy source to stimulate them into actualizations of each other temporarily. This must mean then that energy, manually established, is operated in whole amounts and according the High-Low space (time) is fractionated. Just like playing a game with wagers, we bet in whole amounts, therefore anything above $1 is considered as a whole amount.

This suggests, then, that we are going about things all wrong we should be betting in fractionations not whole amounts! Why? Because the game is based on fractionations not whole numbers, just as the High-Low indicates corresponding to the manual establishments of energy.

And zero is the limit, right?

The instance is that of manually based energy, it's limitation at the most finite of distances marks off a value ending at zero except where compression signals further compromise. Let a line-segment correspond to actual space (time).

Twelve positions constituting the relationship of imaginary references indicating value-based energy measurements with respect to space (time) are defined at c. (Eq 12)

$$1 - 2 - 3 - 4 - 5 - 6 - 7 - 8 - 9 - 10 - 11 - 12$$

Initial Number Set

With respect to space (time) two positions as they are defined by energy cannot possess "space" between them; instead two positions are interpreted as two possible outcomes or potentials for energy statistically. The indication here is that of manual induction being one source for the explanation of those positions. The entire explanation is that positions are simply just measurements that come about when energy becomes established and have no real basis in space (time) itself except when energy is present. With respect to space (time) the indication from the imaginary reference point does not hold where energy is absent to show "position" in space (time) with respect to energy.

In the absence of feedback energy is manual, excluding the lives of particles and corresponding phenomena. Manually based energy, like exotic energy in Quantum Tunneling is in debt to it's origin, potential energy and perfect feedback DO NOT go outside the - they are automating. From the interdependent relationship between kinetic energy and potential energy degrees of freedom are putout (output) by the input of manual energy absent of a feedback.

Momentum in a photon's four-velocity motion reveals that in it energy originates from the rapid interchange between the two indicated at the crests and troughs of the photon's wave/particle duality. (That is from kinetic energy to potential energy and back again in an arc). That is to express that all manually induced motion in straight-lines are inter-dependencies on the remaining three, and not just appearances of one with the disappearances of the others.

While such rapid interchanges between kinetic energy and potential energy are in an arc to produce momentum, to produce wavitic, straight-line motion, we have then the avoidance of potential energy by constant change in direction. (delta d). Conserved. Stops and starts in delta d produce potential energy while in arcs it is conserved or avoided. Which essentially indicates that it would be better to travel in arcs to get from one place to another over vast distance. But can one go in an arc while traveling in straight lines? No. Why? Because debt to potential energy is still present in manually based energy establishments. Time travel is not present and this is the only true road to FTL.

Do you remember our base in fractionations? What does this indicate?

Although curved, a faster interchange does not indicate FTL since light is limited to this speed in the first place according to Einstein absolutes. Energy accumulation is the rate of accumulation per unit time. Accumulation can be defined by the repetitive inputs of manual energy to produce the outputs duplicating the inputs.

If it were feasible to introduce a feedback into the "rate of delta", the rate of change would, timitically reduce to a minimum the time of accumulation to almost zero. At least for mass-less energy. But and even so it would still be "trapped within it's own equidistant degrees of freedom.

Let a line-segment possess two df below each enumerated position as it is indicated by the High-Low. (Eq 13)

High-Low Directions

Positions 1 and 12 by definition of

Manually based energy in debt to it's origin are the df that energy has the potential for at 1 position and therefore 1-df or 1 possibility. At 1 and 12 df has no space-apart from itself-to-itself and is therefore indicated as zero.

Let a space-apart be the possible directions energy can assume and to 1 position and back parallel. Reading from the primary upper half of the High-Low from 1 to 2 is therefore one space-apart, 1 to 3 is two spaces-apart. 1 to 4 is 3 spaces-apart and on through to the right to 12 in this set.

The secondary half (the Low) is identical to the upper half of the two, only reversed by direction to indicate 2 directions, running to the left. Probabilistically at a current position indicated by an outcome by some chance, say 10, (square), indicates the next possible outcomes that the current numeral 10 can go into by the two numbers below it, underneath 10 (square) in the initial set.

10 (square) therefore possesses 9 (triangle) it can go into, to the left, while underneath 9 (triangle) 2 (circle) indicates that 9 (triangle) possesses two possible numbers it can go into from 9 (triangle) to the right. Since 9 (triangle) is greater than 2 (circle) the greatest potential for 10 to go into either set, next, lies with 9 (triangle), which we shall term the range.

While corresponding to the next outcome 10 can deviate from the range, from 9 (triangle), into those numbers to the right with less potency, plus one repeat depending on which number appears as the current number throughout the set. Basically this is a prediction indicator, which I have found is perhaps the closet thing to predicting the next event next to predicting events with 100% accuracy. Be sure that the High-Low cannot create an exact science. (Eq 14)

High-Low Directions2

For this particular number set corresponding to a line-segment with respect to actual space (time) upper and lower halves of the High-Low invert at the mid-section where a dashed-line indicates the inversion point. (Eq 15)

The Inversion Point

Dissimilar number sets reveal the inversion point as being on either side of a central number in the initial number set. R to D is different here. How does this explain the dual nature of a single line-segment possessing two degrees of freedom? Two in one? The reason behind this is that due to fractionations. An intelligent design that says that the whole is greater than the sum of it's parts. In other words the whole is made up of fractionations, while the fractionations constitute the whole. (Eq 16)

View From Either Side

Assume that the twelve numbers representing twelve positions (originating from energy, corresponding to space (time)) with respect to (space (time) are determined by a photon's shortest timitic traversal.

Between two positions from A and B for photon 1. A finite time differential exists between the two possibilities preventing simultaneity. "Barrier". Since the finite time between the two is equal and opposite, and delta D (change-in distance) would result delta t and hence changes in outcomes, signifying possible travel time via malleability of space (time) distances. If we were to attempt just this without resorting to negative or exotic materials through manual inducement we might proceed to create delta D to produce delta t via millions of magnetic reversals throughout an independent beam of photons operated by feedback unto automation. Precise. Equal and opposite delta D succeeding prior delta D.

Previous delta D are eliminated so that each are diverse one to the other. The unequal delta d begins in one direction unequal to the next delta d and continues. Delta d to cause delta D excludes the entire distance in both directions, but one can be used if the other is unequal as a rule. Assuming delta d transpires at position 10 out of a set of 12. The primary spaces apart are 11 to the right and left for a total of 22. Successive delta d must now be unequal to the previous delta d to constitute 1 delta D.

The secondary Δd therefore transpires at position 4, by/for the energy itself and not a consequence or misaligned argument to assume that positions exist apart from any manifestation of energy. The next Δ continues to be unequal to the last; either shorter or longer as do the others that go on to produce ΔD by Δd. Since ΔD are influenced, affecting space (time) by duplication, changes in t correspond to changes in outcomes which may be interpreted as the production of FTL.

We may therefore gather that gravity is inherent to energy by change Δd with respect to E. Provided this, if the energy were enabled for sending (and arriving) via feedback to a prior time at the same time it left, the energy could go FTL provided each trip back to the same time arrives in a new space.

I refer to this as *Aleo-de-logical* travel. (We Will Expound on this in Preceding Chapters)

Therefore:

```
delta d = delta D = delta t
```

Direction change would be constant throughout the line-segment and therefore potential energy by gravity would be avoided, but repetition rates would still prevail absent of simultaneity. The first set of unequal transitions goes to the right beginning from 1 where delta d = delta D; are unequal changes in D resulting in multiple delta t.

Sets A) and B) avoid equivalent interactions if at all feasible.

A) Right

1,2. 1,3. 1,5. 1,6. 1,7. 1,8. 1,9. 1,10. 1,11. 1,12.
2,3. 2,4. 2,5. 2,6. 2,7. 2,8. 2,9. 2,10. 2,11. 2,12.
3,4. 3,5. 3,6. 3,7. 3,8. 3,9. 3,10. 3,11. 3,12.
4,5. 4,6. 4,7. 4,8. 4,9. 4,10. 4,11. 4,12.
5,6. 5,7. 5,8. 5,9. 5,10. 5,11. 5,12.
6,7. 6,8. 6,9. 6,10. 6,11.6,12.
7,8. 7,9. 7,10. 7,11. 7,12.
8,9. 8,10. 8,11. 8,12.
9,10. 9,11.9,12.
10,11. 10,12.
11,12.

T =66

B) Left

12,11. 12,10. 12,9. 12,8. 12,7. 12,6. 12,5. 12,4. 12,3. 12,2. 12,1.
11,10. 11,9. 11,8. 11,7. 11,6. 11,5. 11,4. 11,3. 11,2. 11,1.
10,9. 10,8. 10,7. 10,6. 10,5. 10,4. 10,3. 10,2. 10,1.
9,8. 9,7. 9,6. 9,5. 9,4. 9,3. 9,2. 9,1.
8,7. 8,6. 8,5. 8,4. 8,3. 8,2. 8,1.
7,6. 7,5. 7,4. 7,3. 7,2. 7,1.
6,5. 6,4. 6,3. 6,2. 6,1.
5,4. 5,3. 5,2. 5,1.
4,3. 4,2. 4,1.
3,2. 3,1.
2,1.

T=67 66+67=133

For 12 positions an estimated 17, 689 possibilities exists in one line-segment via Δd equidistantly and unequidistantly.

II.

Accordingly all forms of energy possess the same qualities of being repetitive in an equidistant number of df through the relation:

```
delta E = Ndf / Ndelta df
```

We can envision all material forms and photons as being able to reciprocate within its own equidistant degrees of freedom from the axis or center of gravity. Generally all energy possesses a split-decisional dependency relationship within space in a probabilistic, repetitive set of df with respect to current time. Equidistant repetitive outcomes to each event where energy is present, separated only by delta E, delta d - delta D simultaneity. Timitically, taking all these equidistant df might mean time (travel) *Aleodelogically*.

While **Global Causality Violation** (GCV) (Tipler,Frank J., Physical Review D,1974) suggests traversal through time – it does not suggest that arrival to THE past will occur due to THE path of the interdependent energy remaining in THE now.

Is interdependency therefore automation due to communication? The differentials between "A" time and "THE" time. (Interpreted from GCV)

Perceivables indicate THE past as not being A past. A present (The now) following is current and up-to-date and thus is not A present. From THE past, this is clearly THE future. THE future next is potential time under causality and be-comes A future out of all possible. We have then THE, THE and A with reference to the split. Thus the fracture here between THE time and A time is split at current time between THE now and A possible now. Initially it is this instance that gives the impression of parallel universes, which are actually alternate time-lines.

If therefore time is indeed interdependent as exemplified by reciprocating energy at specific distances, then it would tend to indicate that in order to travel and emerge through time – all elements of time pertinent to THE outcome are required to arrive in THE past, THE present or THE future. Otherwise any claims of time travel are skewed by the existence alternate time-lines and outcomes.

As we have learned from this investigation space (time) does not require any outside intervention to function and thus by whatever assigned feedback (Perhaps by communication, perhaps interdependency) or communication it is automated. Therefore those measurements that correspond to space (time) which originate from manually based energies do not completely describe space

(time) in terms of automation annated hence are manual – which are accommodated in space (time) but has little to do with it. That is – how can a value-based measurement depict space (time), which originates from energy – which is manually induced – when space (time) is automated? Can an autonumeric system with new operators explain space (time) properly?

With respect to GCV and a light ring independent from it's source, time is interdependent, where RPS represents t about a variable D, leading via repetition back to THE now (i.e. the path has not changed) from the future appearing to arrive but always leaving. The question surfaces as to weather time (travel) (If it is by travel) only are possible in fractionations up to +1-1? Repetitions indicated by an imaginary reference point on the outer circumference of a laser light ring in GCV shows arrival as not actually occurring and therefore arrival never transpires before leaving even at the tiniest scale where one photon is left.

Thus, a causality light ring following the path of a 360 degree circle never diverges to A past, A future or A now. Mass-less energy decidedly whips through space, time leaving from THE now on THE path (Reader comprehend) back, back to THE now. The path begun is therefore the path's end. And because the repeat-ion is equidistant spacially and timitically – mass-less energy goes nowhere, spacially or timitically, except on the same path.

While I have repeatedly pointed out the same subjects in different lights, it is necessary to give more insight to the subject matter at hand for a very special argument, which I will get to soon.

This suggests that energy as well as matter are constrained within a countable, equidistant number of degrees of freedom where it is as well as we are subject to them and unable to go beyond them under normal relativistic circumstances even at the speed of light, almost as if we are it were a program in it, as that corresponding to the Matrix film trilogy.

Since all these "possibilities", equidistantly are repetitive uniformly as well as with the input of more energy – obedient to probability (And absent of simultaneity) repetitiously, the only way to escape these constraints and permit new "possibilities" is with time travel.

The suggestive nature of this is that time traversing cannot be accomplished using manually-based inducements and cannot be done in whole amounts – since the High-Low representing an actual segment of space (time) indicates that space (time) is fractionated as well as automated. But can be done in fractionations up to but not beyond +1. Give or take +1-1. (99.9%). Duplicating the differences between THE outcome and An outcome.

What appears to result is an "uncertain condition", "from" 0 to 99.9% of +1, where at the condition below +1 or whole when established. 100 / 100 = -1. Here zero is avoided as it too is a whole integer and, as a game based on probability cannot win with whole numbers – only fractionated numbers up to 1 cent can win in the long run, only here we are referring to unequal changes in direction to cause changes in distance to cause changes in time, which is the only way to cause changes in time

because, initially we are not starting with time to cause changes in distance, but can be reversed once the proper process is satisfactorily done.

III

Assuming all value-based quantities, there is a persistent satisfaction in the gathering of knowledge to comprehend the components of a subject matter's properties. A line-segment corresponding to space (time) possesses two-dimensions – which are the directions of the line-segment. The sum of it's constituent one, a whole equivalent.

The whole constitutes fractionations that summarize the whole. These fractures corresponding to opposing directions (and not that they are in any way opposed), from the High – Low examples give way to probables.

As this relates to energy, any difference between any two positions, (established through the establishment of energy), in relative proximity one to the other are represented by the High – Low, (Remember, no space can exist between any two positions established through the establishment of energy) are indicated by fractionations resulting in two possible directions/outcomes from one position.

(The inference here is moot with respect to two positions having no space between them when energy is established).

The finite timitic differential in A and B exists to undermine the possibility for simultaneity, respectively. Which means nothing more and nothing less than indicating that externally based, manually operated energy cannot cause FTL, rather, that automated energy can. Which further signifies, that at present, mankind is doing this all wrong concerning interstellar travel and teleportation. Because FTL and teleportation cannot be accomplished by using manually - based energy forms to establish Faster Than Light Travel nor bring about isolated forms of exotic energy and matter including those pertaining to negative matter. Direction may be chosen equally or unequally is determined, at first, through manually based energy and then automation takes over through feedback input.

Sub-dividing the fractionations that represent the opposite directions of the line – segment, as a whole to the finites that equate to zero. (Here is where things start getting weird). (Eq 17)

```
1-2-3-4-5-6     1-2-3-4-5-6        1-2-3-4-5-6
0  1  2  3  4  5   0  1  2  3  4  5      0  1  2  3  4  5
5  4  3  2  1  0   5  4  3  2  1  0      5  4  3  2  1  0

1-2-3-4-5       1-2-3-4-5          1-2-3-4-5
0  1  2  3  4     0  1  2  3  4        0  1  2  3  4
4  3  2  1  0     4  3  2  1  0        4  3  2  1  0

1-2-3-4         1-2-3-4            1-2-3-4
0  1  2  3        0  1  2  3          0  1  2  3
3  2  1  0        3  2  1  0          3  2  1  0

1-2-3           1-2-3              1-2-3
0  1  2           0  1  2            0  1  2
1  2  0           1  2  0            1  2  0

1-2             1-2                1-2
0  1             0  1                0  1
1  0             1  0                1  0

1               0                  1
0               0                  0
0               0                  1
```

Strange Inversion of Spacetime

As one can see the High – Low h up by it's upper portion three strange precursors that are set up toward potentiality. Which one do you suppose is the correct one? Panel (A) cannot be the correct one because when it goes to zero, the High-Low would then go into the negative range.

Panel (B) is not correct either, because 1 comes before zero, and has not transpired yet. Panel (C) on the other hand, is it correct.

The answer is no.

What?

Now you might say that that is impossible, that one of the panels toward inversion is correct, however in panel (C), zero is still present in the High – Low and therefore it too would become negative once going into zero. Thus the correct answer is 1, 1, 1. Then when 1, 1, 1 become 0, 0,0 0 will be positive. Also these three panels would lead to negative positive zeros, and one cannot have positive zeros in negative realms, especially where an inversion of space (time) takes place.

The differentials between (+) positive and (-) negative, cancellation as would be with neutral results obtained between particles of opposite assignments where $0 = +1 - 1$. However the logic in assuming that subtraction in this manner leads zero is unmistakably flawed.

The fraction related to the High – Low constituting the whole unit of 1 originates as a fraction along with it's neighboring counterparts is unequal. (That is that an initial number set marked by an underlying High – Low, is, fractionated. Thus subtracting numbers from an initial set toward inversion, would appear to be done to a whole number – which of course cannot necessarily be – because of fractionation).

Therefore the sub-divisions by subtraction do not result in equal positive and negative integers for both halves of a fraction. One side after sub-dividing arrives at a positive zero, while the other in the assignment of a positive integer above zero.

Where 0/1 thus is, because positive zeros cannot exist in negative realms, it would be appropriate to assume a (- 0) as existing before complete inversion takes place in the negative. Here although we will overlook this rounding off important numerals. As inversion occurs (and assumably space (time)) -1/0 transpires and the negative world surfaces and therefore -2/-1. However at 0/1 or 1/0, 1 represents the final unit the sub-division as does the fractionations that constitute the original 1 before sub-division was initiated and therefore must undergo further sub-dividing that constitute this 1 arrived at by the original sub-dividing, but does not begin at 0/1 or 1/0 rather 1/2 or 2/1. There is no 1/1 here.

Thus 0 in this is never ascertained as such appears to continue corresponding to the High-Low finitely, add infinitum. The second half of the fraction remains table, at 2 and only changes with the other side of the fraction's transformation by whole amounts.

Thus if one half at 1 is undergoing sub-division, then until that half again reaches 1/2, 2 for the secondary half will not transform into 1 until primary half begins to undergo sub-division of 1.

```
    * ½ --> + 9/10/2…    ½ /2 --> 9/8/2 / 9/7
```

*Example only, does not indicate proper math.

Obviously at 1, 99.9 positions cannot be "laid-out" in a High – Low for every change. The other facet in this is that 2 does not undergo a change into, 1 after it, itself sub-divides into 1 by the sub-division of the other half of 1.

```
    * ½ --> 9/8 /19.6… --> 9/8/2 /1
```

*Example only, does not indicate proper math.

However logically, none of this makes sense. Sub-dividing fractionations already fractionated work by whole numbers, so to sub-divide this complicates matters. Does each position constitute a repeat where df constitute repeats? Change(s) in time, (delta t). Changes in distance (delta D) equate to changes in time delta t. delta D = delta t. I suppose the next question might be when or where? By delta d? What therefore does delta t equal? delta t determined by change-in (delta) the size of repetitions. How do repeats occur?

If we have a matrix consisting of 55 numbers, and 5 numbers with each of it's own df are spread out across 5 panels, we may assume that individually there are 55 webs (df for each number) thus 55

combinations with 3, 025 permutations. For a total of around 9,150,625 combinations and permutations for each number which possesses a web. An imaginary reference for repeats here is difficult unless we assume that the 5 numbers move as a unit.

Thus repeats occur in 5`s even though all numbers do eventually repeat. If, in that repeats occur in 5`s, then there are 55 total repeats and therefore if we desire to find the imaginary reference, where, timitically repeats can be found, we must thus divide 55 by 5. 55 / 5 = 275 and 275 = 11 = 250. Yet if we build a web for all 55 numbers, we see that a number exists in every web up to 15 or 16. It is plausible to assume that delta d for energy is control to causing delta D = delta t.

Frequencies make up everything, while information constitutes the essence of all finite energies. Obviously to control the things that make up these frequencies means that the information that causes it in the first place must be known. That would be an ultimate form of control because just about everything that consists of energy communicates, some of these are automated and some are partial, thus to control the information that makes up a thing, be that quanta or no, the information in it must first be controlled.

First magnetic reversal is the essence of rapidly shifting dualistic poles. Changing these poles via reversal, inversion or shifting them is the result of equidistant shifts in direction throughout all positions in a line-segment.

This, it is equal and opposite. With such magnetic reversals are no delta D. And like the circumferential distance of a causality light ring's distance contracting and expanding, these reverberations so to speaks are duplicated by space (time) causing changes in distance by changes in direction resulting in changes in time.

Therefore:

$$P^{22} = delta\ d = -delta\ D$$

Where P^{22} or P_{22} are the positions of value-based energy with respect to space (time) throughout a High-Low as are measured initially in a line-segment by energy. Feedback to continue the process in an automated manner. Unequally are the changes in distance.

The issue of the source is not one where manual interventions play a role to dampen the ability of the magnetic reversal's competence to acquire simultaneity via time travel or*Aleodelogically*, but entirely automatic by feedback, and then perhaps *Aleodlogically*. Thus specifically the unequal delta d, which results in delta D are designable to cause delta t.

In this case we may tackle the obstacle of nullifying even isolating E and strengthening gravity in Pe.

Conclusions

What relation then may we establish a reference whereby delta t can be measured so as to indicate the proper change in time in unequal delta D by delta d that give the delta t toward a specific goal such as to that of Aleodelogical travel. Unlike a causality light ring, the distance is fixed. Here the distance is constantly changing, unequally. Direction in a light ring constantly changes; here it is only assigned when time travel is acquired without necessarily bypassing, as does the mass-less energy in the causality light ring.

Thus the reference for equal repetitions are assigned at a fixed imaginary reference point(s) from which it left depending on the controlled combination it will permutate to after returning to the imaginary reference point(s). If it is construed to be entirely random, then the shifting will be constantly changing.

For mass-less energy the origin of position with respect to space (time) has no relationship to space (time) apart from that as it is measured from manual energy bases. We might assume that through the incorporation of automated magnetic reversal(s) equal delta D are all that would be necessary to cause delta t for concerned purposes that reflect these goals.

Properly equal delta D succeeding prior delta D and previous delta D are eliminated in the processes so that each are unequal to one to the other. The general automated process of the unequal delta d begins in one direction unequal to the next delta d and continues. delta d to cause delta D excludes the entire distance in both directions, one can be used provided the secondary one is unequal to it. The automated, mass-less energy of delta d would start at positions 1 0 out of 12. With respect to the High-Low, the primary spaces apart are 11 to the right and left for a total 22. A succeeding delta d must be unequal to a previous delta d to constitute one delta D.

The secondary delta d therefore transpires at position 4. The next continues to be unequal either shorter or longer up to the repeat where a combination completes for a shift in the imaginary reference(s) with respect to the df and moving for a new permutation. Since delta D are influential, affecting space (time) duplication, changes in t correspond to changes in outcomes, which may be interpreted to produce FTL in conjunction with Aleodelogical time travel.

Where a trip back (even in the most finite amount) is arranged to arrive in THE past (must be on the same path to do this, or outcome will be A and not THE) (but in a new space). (space (time), new space, Aleodelogical).

```
delta d = delta D = delta t.
```

Direction change would be constant and therefore potential energy would be avoided (conserved) not simultaneous, unequal but repetition rates would still prevail. Hence to go beyond equidistant df constraining energy and matter, time travel is necessary to create new possibilities.

The first set of transitions go to the right beginning with 1, where delta d = delta D are unequal changes in D resulting in delta t, sets A) and B) respectively avoid equal interactions.

A) Right

1,2. 1,3. 1,5. 1,6. 1,7. 1,8. 1,9. 1,10. 1,11. 1,12.
2,3. 2,4. 2,5. 2,6. 2,7. 2,8. 2,9. 2,10. 2,11. 2,12.
3,4. 3,5. 3,6. 3,7. 3,8. 3,9. 3,10. 3,11. 3,12.
4,5. 4,6. 4,7. 4,8. 4,9. 4,10. 4,11. 4,12.
5,6. 5,7. 5,8. 5,9. 5,10. 5,11. 5,12.
6,7. 6,8. 6,9. 6,10. 6,11.6,12.
7,8. 7,9. 7,10. 7,11. 7,12.
8,9. 8,10. 8,11. 8,12.
9,10. 9,11.9,12.
10,11. 10,12.
11,12.

T=66

B) Left

12,11. 12,10. 12,9. 12,8. 12,7. 12,6. 12,5. 12,4. 12,3. 12,2. 12,1.
11,10. 11,9. 11,8. 11,7. 11,6. 11,5. 11,4. 11,3. 11,2. 11,1.
10,9. 10,8. 10,7. 10,6. 10,5. 10,4. 10,3. 10,2. 10,1.
9,8. 9,7. 9,6. 9,5. 9,4. 9,3. 9,2. 9,1.
8,7. 8,6. 8,5. 8,4. 8,3. 8,2. 8,1.
7,6. 7,5. 7,4. 7,3. 7,2. 7,1.
6,5. 6,4. 6,3. 6,2. 6,1.
5,4. 5,3. 5,2. 5,1.
4,3. 4,2. 4,1.
3,2. 3,1.
2,1.

T=67 66+67=133

Understanding Aleodeology

1.

PURPOSE:

THE PURPOSE OF THE ADDED MATERIAL LOCATED IN UPDATES 2015 TOOK ME AROUND 6 YEARS TO FINALIZE. FOLLOW THE LINK BELOW TO READ PART 2. YOU WILL FIND THE SOLUTION TO OVERCOMING THE INHIBITION TO RAPID SPACE TRAVEL IN THE UPDATES SECTION; HOWEVER IT IS ADVISABLE THAT YOU FIRST READ AND RE-READ THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HERE TO GET A CLEAR CONCEPT OF THE INFORMATION PRESENTED HERE AS THEY WILL BE INVALUABLE TO YOU NO MATTER WHO YOU ARE. IT IS MY OPINION THAT SCIENCE AND SCIENTISTS HAVE DELIBERATELY WITHHELD THIS INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC AS A COVERT OPERATION UNDER DIFFERENT GUISES. WHILE I HAVE NOT DISCOVERED THE EXACT MECHANISM THROUGH WHICH THE SYSTEM CAN BE IMPLEMENTED APART FROM ALCUBIERRE`S UN-ATTAINABLE WARP-DRIVE CONCEPTS AFTER READING THE INFORMATION IN QM, YOU SHOULD THEN READ THIS SECTION. I HAVE PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION OVER TIME AS I DID MY RESEARCH OVER THE YEARS, COMPILING THIS AND COMPILING THAT MAINLY THROUGH TRIAL AND ERROR, AND BUILT-UP AND PROVIDED AS MUCH INSIGHT INTO THIS INFORMATION AS POSSIBLE AT EVERY POSSIBLE ANGLE CONCEIVABLE. YOU MAY FIND IT SOMEWHAT SHOCKING THAT THE INFORMATION YOU THOUGHT YOU KNEW WAS CORRECT AND/OR ABSOLUTE, TURNS OUT TO BE FALSE THROUGH QM. YOU ARE FREE TO REPRODUCE THIS INFORMATION BUT ARE NOT AT LIBERTY TO SELL OR RESELL THE INFORMATION. THIS INFORMATION IS COPYWRITTEN MARK CATER, QUANTUM NANOTECHNOLOGY 2009-2015.

Introduction

At first you may have thought this news story was true, none of it is real, I made it up.

But it brings up a good point, what would happen if it were true? What would happen to our world after all the news reports and debating ended and we began to move into the the new era of Nanotechnology? If you don't know what it, is you're in for a shock, because it is a two-sided superpower.

With the advent of such a discovery, what worldwide consequences would such technology bring upon mankind? Would it help, or would mankind incorporate it into yet another commercially viable product, ready for packaging to sell instead of freeing men from the ills of debt? Can you imagine all

the commercials and products available as though a charlatan was going around promoting a new cure-all elixir?

Or perhaps, the government conspiracy theories were right after all, and all those enthusiasts on the Internet and radio were right,and they planned all this out a long time ago to control mankind for the bidding of some sick, perverse agenda, worse still what might transpire if such techniques fell into the wrong hands and everything went completely haywire?

People would then know how to compose it, passing on "the formula" like in the movie minority report, enabling themselves to use it for purely evil intents or greed. Many examples of this include simply brushing by someone, who in turn could be afflicted with some morbid transformation into a Hulk, werewolf, or unimaginably, a grotesque insect mutant-like creature, animal or combination thereof, with no trace from the perpetrator-(s), nearly impossible to destroy, and sadly no cure.

Since Nanotechnology is literally the power of shape-shifting, the mere fact of Nanotechnology`s realization would have physically immortal implications, and this would fan a repugnant fuel to the fire, in the wrong hands.

That being said, would in turn give rise to more super powerful beings, supervillians, superheros, immortals who cannot be stopped, and a host of other superior benefits with pathetic consequences.

In biblical terms, this would translate into the equivalent of a nanowar, the very product of which would be the hay-day for demon possession in every vile and disgusting form-- here on Earth, ending in Apocalypse with the return if Jesus. However, who is to say that this is the way it will all go down?

On the other hand, the benefits would far out weigh any possible mis-usage ending disease, automating whole societies, freedom from impoverishment, and a complete utopia of cities, governments, advanced concepts and a "new age" for all based on automation and spiritual growth.

To the uninitiated, the realization of Nanotechnology`s success and it's implications, would, bring flyaway consequences upon the world - literally. It is no joke because it is right on the horizon, will you be a dupe? Benefits, or major problems hang in the balance.

Ordinary people out and about, when asked, about the ideas behind Nanotechnology, do not comprehend it's initial meaning, what it is, and how it will work. Literally, most people do not have the slightest clue concerning Nanotechnology`s premise, or the growing scientific revolution, much of it funded by governments.

When we think of Nanotechnology, we usually consider scientists, people like Richard P. Feynman (Deceased) who first noticed that molecules could be made into some sort of machines-that that they should do something. But it was Eric K. Drexler in his book, (Engines of Creation) who introduced to the world the concepts of molecular manufacturing.

Researchers know exactly what is meant concerning the manufacturing of molecules to create "chemical machines". Let's break this down a bit so the medicine won't taste so sharp and be easier to swallow.

Molecules are the basic building blocks of life, when certain molecules are "fashioned" in specific ways they can, given the proper combination, give way to emulating moving parts, machines, tubes, and mandibles purposely designed with the intent to move, assemble and disassemble. Ultimately capable of rearranging adjacent or nearby molecules that compile one form of matter, literally transmuting it into another, chemically diverse form of matter than it was previously, and in perfect order.

So accomplished, the concept behind molecular manufacturing is to take the chemistry of one thing - such as H^2o, rearrange it – (add, subtract and divide) precisely - into another such as methane or a cedar block.

Having the acquired ability to do so imposes other examples of how to do so better and more efficiently. That is where the speculation of Nanobots has come about, self-replicating systems. Instead of the usual incorporating of a mere few to do the actual handiwork of these little buggers, innovative people have come up with ideas that are already out on the drawing table when Nanotechnology is actually realized as a verifiable application; visa-vie "swarms" of Nanobots accelerate the process in mere seconds.

The available uses range from merely altering the color of paint on the interior walls of the home, cleaning up trash on streets, eliminating pests or constructing entire civilizations all within a quick and easy time frame.

This particular feature puts the word fear back into scary, because of the potential uses that could transpire if used for evil, just as much if not more than any other superpower in recorded history. The fact alone as well as others, combined with physical immortality and super humans, would make for a poisonous combination, one that would definitely make such an individual impervious to nearly any assault not to mention vast armies.

However, considering the fact of it's benefits, Nanotechnology can enhance mankind just as well, therefore as with any superpower, the use of it for the wrong intentions is not the purpose of Nanotechnology, as are many innovations, such as cars, electricity, and weapons.

I mean why would you want to ram your car headlong into a large tree at ninety miles an hour? Better yet, quit your job, leave your family and become homeless. Here's what you should do – strip naked and run down the street screaming at the top of your lungs with your arms in the air for no reason whatsoever, never mind that cop - he won't shoot you when you don't comply. See where this is heading? (By the way don't do any of the above – it's just a pun).

The main benefit would be that of total automation, (we will discuss this concept further) from molecular transporters (like on star trek), food assemblers, and an end to natural resource consumption, a virtual utopia could come about from Nanotechnology provided that together we work for a utopia where everyone is accommodated.

Truly then, Nanotechnology has the capability to save life or harm it, extreme caution is advised. Presently, Nanotechnicians are still under intense research to find a revolutionary way to upgrade our world with it. However, Nanotechnicians strive as much today to unearth such an answer comparable to the medieval alchemist's fruitless hunt to crank out the secret to turning lead into gold…almost.

But suppose that we go even deeper, into the quantum realm and do the same thing that Nanotechnicians are attempting to do, only that we do the rearranging with the basic building blocks of atoms, could you imagine the tax write-offs? There is no coin to this idea, because it doesn't sound right.

But what have we at such a thought? What does one assume it would give rise to in light of molecular manufacturing harnessing the powers of transmogrifications; it is not much different now, is it?

These two words Quantum and Nanotechnology, together are indicative of the assemblies of particles and/or atoms at a Quantum level, using some form of magnetics or complex fields - far in advance of anything presently understood. Before introducing you to this hair-raising field, this author makes one thing perfectly clear - it is not what you believe it to be, and it may surpass the dreamiest speculations.

For now the astounding "other" benefits that were forged out of the handiwork of these concepts. You may be interested to learn, that we may soon be able emerge into this new field faster than you realize.

Remember: There are always dangers involved with any superpower, for evil and good the point being is that the original purpose is not designed for destruction and this author does not promote it nor condone it.

2.

Aleodeology *(aleo-de-ology)*

Do effects that might appear as indistinguishable from magic look as if they are constrained to the laws of physics?

The general field for causing certain criteria to be defied, which Relativistically are prohibitive by their degrees of freedom (df), then liberating those constraints is here coined as Aleodeology. (Aleo-de-ology) having the origin in the Greek or Latin.

Aleodeology has to do with travel (If one can call it that) between positions by going back to the exact time in which one left, but in a new position or outcome. i.e.; in t_1 (The instant or static instant in time for energy to manifest) for df_1 *(Degree of freedom 1)*, df_2, etc. Let us imagine a stationary photon, and that we fill up all (*df*) (all outcomes backward and forward equidistantly) with the same photon at P_1 (*Position or place 1*) at the same time, (or before) we unify them all in all possible outcomes at t_1 within the available *df* for the photon.

The idea here is that once all outcomes occupy all possible *df* at t_1 at P_1, they could all then be gyrated in multiple *df*. Initially this is comparable to simultaneity where causality is violated.

Assuming this were possible, (which it is not due to the inability for simultaneity to transpire for all outcomes in the opposite directions) (NOTE: if a body were spun in all possible (df) equidistantly, we get the impression of a sphere, even if the body is spinning, and then spun in new directions!

The body can do this because it is the same body, but can only be done in 1 direction).Displacement from df_1 to another (df) now becomes easy because with this new found freedom via **time travel, (**that is to mean, time travel at the same time - leaving and arriving in the same space) the intervening distance between the two is eliminated, and if I were in it, I could go into any one of them dimensionally.

For the reader this may be difficult to envision but just imagine it as taking multiple exposures of an object in all possible degrees of freedom 3 dimensionally (all angles equidistantly). That said, a body would then take-up all possible outcomes at space 1 by continually arriving to t_1 in that space. In other words we are only arriving at the same time we left.

These are all one space, cross-sectioned at the precise center of the first face (That is a relative view from the front as opposed to moving off to another view to the side) in a Cartesian coordinate system. Now what of 2 two or more beams of equal measurement an equal distance apart?

Of

df_1 and df_2?

*A Degree of Freedom May be defined as an outcome that occurs in an instant of time

$$\frac{t_1}{p_1} \qquad \frac{t_1}{p_2}$$

Separate?

Are they both united?

Indeed they are, in the same time (t_1). Once again intervening distance is eliminated because the time is identical, only the outcomes are different.

Thus travel between the two is equal to t_1. However we have not considered the same photon beam. If each time photon beam A is sent back to df1 and df2 and an elapsed time t_2 is allowed to occur and is sent back to df_3, df_4, df_5...to t_1, travel would be instant by t_1 no matter how far the distance.

Diverse photons can exist simultaneously in multiple df via time travel; sent back to a different df photon A exists in df_1 at t_1, while photon B sent back to t_1, is in df_2. Both exist in t_1 in df_1 and df_2 (not "or"). The df between the two does not therefore exist, the two become one only that the outcomes are different in t_1. As an analogy, this is similar to dice becoming merged for a single result where 5 OR 9 become 5 AND 9.

coordiantes

This is the general concept of Aleodeology.

That is, to purpose travel by time travel without having to go very far back *through time*, and arrive at the destination in the past -where light originates - as it takes millions of years for light to travel to reach our atmosphere depending on the distance.

Section 1

Can a real apparatus manifest non-physical data into real quantities? Can an imaginary apparatus de-materialize physical quantities into non-physical data?

For decades science has been tabulating systematic descriptions of fundamental, complex and concrete quantities of information, which possess substance or mass-less energy.

Scientific conceptual frameworks presuppose abstract objects, as non-physical data for there is little if no way to quantify it. As an apex point in scientific research, the tenancies for science to be dispositional to hard, physical evidence, given the correct information that objects and quantities possess certain types of behavior because of the various quantities of the object; does phenomenalize, and while natural laws govern the properties of the actual quantities, but any physical possibility or possible quantity.

We necessitate the requirement for defining the difference between the possible and the probable. A possibility is not identical or the same as a probability.

Potential proceeds what is possible. A potential for information and energy to be established is prevalent before it becomes possible. Metaphysical abstractions originate in a potential state or condition; with a capacity for becoming actual - in an manual form.

Before possibility can be expressible into the probable, weather these are thoughts or expressions of thought, there must first be a potential for such a possibility. Akin to G. Spencer Brown's paper on The Laws of Form.

This is to suggest that all thought has a tendency to be transmuted into it's physical equivalent provided much repetition. Assuming also a real basis in some sense exists that matches the potentiality of those thoughts being probable. Let us define the potential for something such as an abstraction that exists in a state of potency for developing from a state of potentiality into a state of actuality. The definition of potentiality, is potency of potentiality, that is Something which is potent expresses possibility - it possesses an undeniable capacity for acting or being acted upon, and hence for undergoing interchange.

This means that interchange exists as a potential state, however we did not indicate here where that potential state exists at. We assume that the potential state of it could become any number of possible states, probabilistically speaking. However, if we assume energy as having the capability to actualize into multiple states, probabilistically, then constraints would not hinder faster than light speeds, time travel and various phenomena as relations tell us of teleportation, folding space-time,gravity, etcetera.

An identifiable potential state is definable as a potent state of information; a possibility in it's own potential form is a "potential possibility".

What does/is the requirement for a potential possibility to become possible and thereby transmogrify into actuality via establishment? (this is not to mean the imaginary) A possibility can be drawn as the definition so eloquently from Claude E. Shannon's Information Theory (1948) - as one possibility out of a set of all probable possibilities probable by potential possibilities.

Therefore what is possible, potentially, is potentially possible by a limited set of potentially probable possibilities, and while this mights sound weird at first it makes sense because possibility is the expression of potentiality. What is not possible is not probable; it does not exist, and therefore cannot be possible. The number that do are the number of outcomes these possibilities are constrained to these these limits, as a contingency of them all being equally likely. One might ask at this juncture, what is it that is causative of the delimitation?

Strikingly, we reveal this as being gravity, and while this may not make complete sense now, but the author will explain it as we gain insight through out this book.

The less probable, *then something is, the less likely it will be for it to manifest, just as 2 and 12 in a pair of dice. The more probable, *then something is, the more possible it will be for it to become manifest. Hence the more probabilities, that exists for something, the more it is for it to be possible from a potent state.

LY=PSC=NM

G ·········· F
  B   C
  A   D
H ·········· E

spacetime

A ———
B ———
C ———
D ——— communication
E ———
F ———
G ———

where a=limit @ c

The Assumed Nature of Space (time)

*Then indicates time

$$l/axc^3$$
$$a/l = c^3 \div l = a$$
$$a = \Delta v = nambla{-}v \text{ or } \Delta{-}v{-}v$$

This is the assumed nature of space-time as it moves forward morphologically.

The limitations of potential possibilities are the constraints set upon "them" (The reference here to "them" or those is only an inference) by all probable outcomes of the potential thing/body/energy or information equaling the future outcomes of all it's capable states in actuality. That is to say with respect to time, the future equals all possible outcomes; all outcomes therefore equal all possible futures that "might result" as opposed to what currently occurs to what can transpire. This is what sets apart simultaneity; literally the differential between THE now and THE future.

Physical existence as it is perceived though our five senses, are four-dimensional according to Einstein relativistic flower children. 4D space-time delimits the possibility of time travel emergence no matter how you slice the pie, and while mainstream science insists that relativity tenants are absolute, it ceases in light of extra dimensions.

Einstein rubber-stampers usually debunk exciting and new concepts drawing it back to relativity and four disabling dimensions, consisting of three spatial dimensions known as the material plane, or physical realm. Zero-point enthusiasts claim quite the contrary deriving their observations from energy teeming with life at the potential level and quite rightly so. Like so many others they never take the time to fully analyze mathematically or physically their ideas to be entirely applicable or commercially salable.

So, the laws governing forces, phenomena, and the materials within the material plane continue to be known as conventional physics, and the dreamer's dream dies with the dreamer.(But not all, as some have come forth with amazing theories and are beginning to sway the scientific community).

Matter and conventional energies exist within the physical only. These possess no existence in any other conjectured or actual plane hypothetically or not.

As a consequence of this, conventional energies and all forms of matter are limited to influence or be influenced by objects and forces only within the reality of the limits of the physical realm. The known spatio-temporal dimensions together with matter and energies that occupy these, and the laws governing them constitute the whole of this reality.

We Therefore exist in a spatio-temporal environment where positional relations become actual only when energy is manually established, "in-debt" to gravity via potential energy, and setting upon motion degrees of freedom.

In other words gravity is the cause of degrees of freedom, acting through energy, therefore the following identity equation:

$$G = df$$

We will estimate here that Parallel Universes are not necessarily parallel universes but are rather alternate time lines existing/co-existing parallel to what are generally termed as current events. That being said is the difference between THE past THE now and THE future as opposed to A past, A Now and A possible future.

The choice that being a change-in direction (Δd), means that gravity acting through energy must come to a halt (in-debt) where the potential for the possible event branches out for multi-probabilistic outcomes to determine it through energy interactions with space-time. In other words we are stuck here because gravity acting through energy, delimits us to a limited set of degrees of freedom.

To illustrate, the product is degrees of freedom that in fact is gravitation (df) only establishing when "manually" elicited via mass-energy or pure information, which of course all comes back around to the physical.

Parallel Universes are therefore alternate time-lines, the interpretation comes when one sees that it is only by time travel procedure in relationship to the degrees of freedom to multiple outcomes at an instant in time where t1 returns to the same position multiple times imagining them all in different outcomes in all degrees of freedom. From this we get the impression of a sphere but absent of the opposing directions because a change-in direction is pre-required in order for all to be occupied and this therefore is not applicable due to them.

A time-line that is THE time, is one where everyone and in the main, everything is effected. That meaning, that we assume to send a photon back to t1 at the same space in all possible directions - then those will be all the possible outcomes.

Conversely, A time-line that is A time, is one where everyone and in the main, everything is effected. That meaning, that we assume to send THE photon back to t1 at A same space in all possible directions - then those will be all the possible outcomes for A time weather past, present or future. Now while all this may seem increasingly funny to you, you will soon learn that it is not from several example and most importantly why.

The number of possible outcomes for a single event in THE now - as opposed to it's alternates - out of all possible outcomes - transpire at THE potential level given by positions (again Δd) with respect to energy in-debt to gravity resulting in df. i.e.; [††]the less space available, the less possibilities that the potential can assume when actualization occurs by energy over time.

Let us distinguish the difference of an alternate time-line from the current time-line as the difference between traveling to THE future or past as opposed to travel to 'A' future or past - given that emergence is indeed by travel.

Which might require manual energy, however energy is in debt to gravity through potential energy, and that THE future equals all possible outcomes with respect to degrees of freedom, which is gravity; dictating whenever present by positions through establishment(s) of energy.

Non-contingent motion although has more complexity to determine. Simultaneity is the estimation to be the unison between two places A and B, separated by intervening space, C to a single possibility created, by definition, by 2 positions-without the intervention of intermediate space.

We might envision how this could be accomplished, but one should know about rapid rotation involving mass-less energy. Can it be applied to straight-line travel?

According to Frank J. Tipler, whenever we create a rapidly rotating object we in effect create a time traveling device. However, this is partially true as will soon reveal to the reader.

(The need for repetition in many of these statements is to give more insight to information's given to the reader at every possible vantage point).

One of the first things to understand about rapid rotation of a beam or cylinder of laser light bent into a 360° configuration, and independent from the source, is that repetition is occurring in 1 direction (1d) through a fixed (not stable) circumferential distance at c. (186,282.4 mi/sec) usually rounded off to 186,000 mi/second, per second.

The revolutions center about an axis and repeat. This recurrence is measured in **R**evolutions **P**er **S**econd (RPS) at a point is designated on the radius of the outer circumference to indicate the returning position for measurement.

The RPS is the curve or "curl" by which the velocity or speed of light completes 1 to several revolutions about the fixed distance in 1 direction. It does this by "constantly changing direction", and this constant change in direction is in an arc, the arcing reduces loss in energy by avoiding stops and restarts, (corners) which is the avoidance of deceleration and re-acceleration this same arcing transpires in wave motion - more specifically the crests and troughs of a photon's snakelike four velocity motion.

This depends for the most part, on the length of circumferential distance beginning at the point of origin back around to the other side of the ending point's point. The reason of which will pivot on the next ingredient.

Although c has not undergone an increase or decrease, RPS has. Depending on this distance, the value of c with respect to the RPS, will drastically change the time of arrival at the point more rapidly

with less circumferential distance, while altering the distance with more length, the beam's will take more time to arrive at the point with respect to relativity.

For the value of c to complete these revolutions with a considerably large circumferential distance is the same as light traveling from the past of some nearby star, the longer it is the longer it will take to arrive to it's destination. Thus the value for RPS, depending on the distance will be "unequal" to the velocity or the speed of light.

When mass-less energy conveys itself in such distances where the value of RPS reveals that the energy arrives at the same time as leaving, or would we assume it to do so before leaving by the length of the distance, (D); there are some assumptions that suggest that the energy renews itself before it is lost,although the time of arriving and leaving is identical. However this is not true, even if we want to believe it it just ain't happening.

Again, that is that through the distance alone the velocity or speed of c, RPS is traveling again and again at the point on the radius so fast that it avoids loss in E by renewal in E by arriving at the same time it leaves via change-in direction (Δd). Applying the situation to straight-line travel becomes impossible because the 360° ring possess no limits.

To show how this applies we can depict any ordinary circle. The radius is at a point on the circumferential distance and this is the renewal position by Distance in 1d. (one direction).

Circle Sectioned down from the Radius

Lets assume that Issac Asminov is right, that the precise center of a spinning object does not move, to all appearances would seems like a sound suggestion that he is correct in stating in his science books that at the precise center nothing is spinning. However if we take a graphite pencil, and apply it to the flat-top center of a solid spinning cylinder, the graphite marks it - suggesting that a solid object's axis spins altogether, while other bodies rotate about the axis. It seems that the precise center is indeed spinning somehow and that Asminov has misjudged.

When the circle forming the rapidly rotating light ring is broken, a section of intermediate space develops from the break - equal to c between two limits A and B, so that A and B as defined from the reference point as no longer being one component, but now "AB".

With the evidence of limits, the energy's renewal has a break, and must now come to a halt, reverse direction (Δd) and oscillate from limit A to limit B, repetitively assuming a tangible barrier. Or

disengaging in the absence of two barriers because renewal and therefore returns to the reference point cannot continue as arrival and leaving occur depending on the distance to the RPS.

Circle Separated creating an oscillation

Thereby we can clearly see that limits result in Δd, and Δd are degrees of freedom which is gravity acting on manual energy in the form of debt through potential energy.

Seperated Circle Transforms to a line-Segment

We straighten the broken circle revealing the final formation of the two barriers into a straight oscillation between two limits.

Near - Simultaneity (leaving and arriving) could have it's way in the absence of 1 direction, but energy can not establish without potential and potential exists at position and a position requires gravity to result in any Δd no matter what the distance is. As far as we are concerned gravity is not absent, it is still present in the ring - it is only conserved by constant change in direction, it seems that there is no way to get around the delimiting effects of gravity in energy and again we are at a stix.

One cannot cause constantly changing direction while moving in straight lines - it is impossible, due to the presence of gravity. But what about the light ring itself, can it overcome the inhibition of gravity seeing that it possesses no mass? What purpose would it serve to cause time travel for macroscopic bodies from the Quantum realm?

The IF Factor

An unknown being the uncertain nature of something questionable, begins the wheels of thought to turn. Often deductive reasoning inquires into the physical nature of the subject at hand. Such as an ordinary cedar block, what is it, what does it do? What the thing consists of, what are it's measurements?

Once certain facts accumulate together through calculus, logic, and accumulative research the weight, size, chemistry, color and physical characteristics a final report is drawn up meticulously depicting it for a goal. The paper is then examined under various authorities where conclusions determine the fate of the author's work. These conclusions lead to an educated interpretation of it's physical nature.

The interpretation then goes through the ultimate test under scientific scrutiny to ascertain proof of the author's claims by experimental verification under laboratory conditions. If the results match the theory's claim - it is correct going on to additional ideas to further the cause of the paper and if not, then the author of the theory needs to rework it or it become completely invalid.

When one takes into consideration that all results obtainable from "if - then" propositions, like the combinations to permutations involving probable relations - there can only be so many results, (and thereby limits) whereby one out of them all corresponds to the correct solution.

And at some future point must repeat, (like the two die) because they are bound to degrees of freedom through energy in debt to gravity. Commonly this is known as a split decision, that meaning that given any two outcomes both cannot result together, either one or the other can be the result. Similar to that of two possible choices between 0 or 1. It is not unite, nor involves simultaneity - and does not count in this, while or * fractures.

Likewise, one or the another answer or choice can be taken to determine correct choices of actions throughout all physical laws, which accordingly suggests that everything we do depends on one choice or another that further indicates that space time is fractionated by choices made through energy in debt to Pe Where Pe is required to make the choice by changes in direction. And like the combinations and permutations of two die the outcomes are limited (by positions) to the number of combinations and permutations available - of which by df at some future point MUST repeat. Breaking this information down indicates that the df in space time are the total combinations and permutations of the system.

*(see: Review of the High-Low).

If what one seeks to control in space - time were physical, it must ultimately consist of energy, and energy is principally composed of physical information with respect to it's occupancy in space-time.

We can make the connection between the two where space-time possesses the properties of automation (non-mechanistic) while energy retains the properties of actualizing manually by an

external source we know as force. **It** is the impression of violating causality that we get which makes us assume that it can go beyond the dimensional, when in fact gravity is behind it.

Energy is **the only force** ending in nullification, finalizing at zero when intervention is withdrawn from the transfer of energy to a body of mass. Force as it is currently defined, is the **manual intervention** of energy manifestation to an applied object.

*Gravity is the automatic degrees of freedom of automated space-time which delimit manually based, external energy. This begins positionally, where change-in direction corresponds to potential energy. A single position (of) space may be defined as A multi - directional degree of freedom constraining the influence of manually based energies from/to automated space-time, giving rise to the possibility of partial and semi-automated energy forms.

*Energy formulations that can establish are manifest through the manual intervention of one or more external forces between or by any two positions (of) space-(time) accountable for a single possibility.

*Time is dimensional; as there are many outcomes in THE space-time, there are also outcomes in A space-time, with perhaps more definitions that are unknown The product of dimensional travel is applicable only when energy and mas are automated, as space-time is automatic. Whereas negative energy densities cannot come about except through time travel. Energy is a value-based measurement, calculated by displacement across space over time. Liberate energy from manual intervention to one of automation and you free the body from degrees of freedom.

*The manual incitement and/or accumulation of energy transfer with respect to a line-segment is one which repetitiousness is put in to produce the put out, originating from the external that when input establishes a displacement. Renewal of the energy input is required in equal, lesser or more quantity in order for the output to prevent the force of gravity to act upon the body to bringing it back to zero through energy in debt to gravity through potential energy.

For accumulation of force producing an accumulation of energy motion, a repetitive input of increasing force must be fed to the body to accumulate more energy and acquire changing velocities.

Where:

$$f = \mathrm{E} = f$$
$$\Delta f = a = \Delta \mathrm{v} = a = \Delta \mathrm{E} = \Delta f \dots$$

This is normal non-relativistic Cause and Effect under standard experimentation, except that with large inputs resulting in relativistic situations. The only thing "new" about the input-to-output scheme is that where input is open there has been a change in force to produce a change-in energy or velocity more diverse timitically, than it was previously.

It is the same energy but with more or less "power" by reason of input. Energy and potential energy are one and the same thing. That one originates from the other makes no difference, they are considered as one with the only difference being that whenever one interchanges into the other one is in debt to the other by gravity and un-isolatable either.

Yet with the light ring - independent form the source - whose circumference is fixed at a finite distance, mass-less energy avoids loss in energy by renewal of energy.

Dependent upon the circumference the finite distance couples energy renewal at the point on the radius. The oddity here is that although this is true, it is that the energy's leaving and arriving transpire with 99% of the same interval when measured by a point on the radius. That is that it almost simultaneous but cannot do so owing to the fact that no real emergence to another time, THE past or THE future is truly taking place except by arrival back to the present or THE now.

For the researcher and the layman it may seem difficult to comprehend how this can be since causality is being violated. Yet it is imperative to understand that such a ring of laser light can in no sense disappear from one time frame, and then reappear at some earlier or later date. This is because where there is time travel, the path the energy takes merely moves through time and never emerges into that time.

Therefore it altogether remains in current time. This is because it is using the past and the future to return or re-return back to the present. The Measure is at the point on the radius; constantly changing direction in 1 direction.

This change-in direction - in 1 direction - corresponds to how gravitation dictates these degrees of freedom constraining us via potential energy in debt to gravity with the incorporation of energy.

df example

However, when constantly changing direction - in 1 direction is transpiring – repetitively, (and depending on the distance) the time for potential energy to become established becomes less.

Would this therefore indicate that position is in fact time? Does the above statement therefore apply to the following identity equation?

$$P = T$$

If we assume this to be true then it would contradict the previous concept of two or more positions creating a single possibility, but then again energy manifests positions. So:

Would the following hypothetical equation then apply?: (**Hypothetic Equation**)

$$\frac{P_1}{P_2} = T?$$

If a single position were assumed as time it would in turn indicate that the given ability of energy to choose direction by position would give possibility and that would mean that 2 positions do not give 1 possibility, which when quantified makes absolutely no sense as to what position consists of other than that it is established through energy in debt to potential energy. But then considering that with 2 positions giving 1 possibility, does the question still remain a mystery?

Much less where is at?

Interestingly enough this is a practical question. If as an interested reader of this book are considering just what position is, one should know that position is the direct result of the manual establishment of energy in debt to potential energy.

And so

$$P \neq T$$

This is the equivalent of saying that kinetic energy and potential energy are opposites, therefore being opposites the differential between the two debts is what manifests gravity.

But wait a minute! Did we not just identify that gravity is from without and not related to energy? Keep in mind that this circumstance transpires only when that energy is manually based and externally operated, and not when energy is automatic.

This is the equivalent of saying that kinetic energy and potential energy are opposites, therefore being opposites the differential between the two debts is what manifests gravity.

But wait a minute! Did we not just identify that gravity is from without and not related to energy? Keep in mind that this circumstance transpires only when that energy is manually based and externally operated, and not when energy is automatic.

In theory when energy is manifesting the two positions act to regulate *df* or to determine what is possible from what is not, most likely whenever a change-in direction is prearranged to occur for

energy, chosen by manual input; and while input constrains to probabilistic repeats by gravity. Similar to the way in which a person goes in and out a doorway.

The constraint also prohibits change-in direction while propagating in line-segments.

We therefore have it for automated space-time, that one position is not truly separate from any other position, that when energy is present, literally p1 to pN are one, and hence simultaneity or communication thereby…to manifest potential for possibility. Similar but not identical to - to the way in which dolphins use ultrasound.

This would tend to clarify the logic involved in interpreting what position alone is, for how can two positions be separate to create space between them? Many experiments in Quantum dynamics appear to point to this indication, in the main energy corresponding to non-locality.

Such as those that began with the ever famous Einstein-Poldolsky-Rosen (EPR) thought experiment, (1939) the Alan Aspect group (At that time in Paris) reversing the spin of a particle finding that another particle six miles away communicated and reversed it's spin also.

From EPR then came the now famous teleportation of light Quanta at IBM. The list continues as they all seem to be touching the tip of the iceberg pertaining to energy, and "talking" with space-time. According to Peter Lynds: There is not a precise static instant in time underlying a dynamical physical at which the relative position of a body in relative motion or a specific physical magnitude would theoretically be precisely determined.

Reality or our external environment of space-(time) by definition does not appear to have a static basis. The reason for this is simple, and it is not a hard concept to grasp. In the absence of energy a static instant cannot be measured, because the measurement we seek to define is forged out of energy in relationship to space-time.

Whereby measurement (As opposed to a cipher) could answer our questions as to it's comprehension. How is it automatic, malleable applicable to use for dimensional and interstellar travel?(Physical Review Letters Vol. 70 March 1993)

Again 2 positions account for 1 possibility, or possible directions. These are equal and opposite according to Euclidean Geometries where line-segments are basic to understanding geometry. But contrariwise unequal and inverse according to the spaces apart in distance-direction corresponding to the High-Low representing a line-segment representing space-time, so what is going on here?

In this respect position along with energy possesses properties of dualisms or a dual nature. Thus zero being position accounts for the possible direction outcome taken in a line-segment via choice corresponding to changes in E in debt to Pe as it is necessitated to change position. While simultaneously these positions constituting the two possible outcomes in opposite directions

(The line-segment) of a line-segment, distance is estimate of two (with more) dual positions constituting fractures constituting the whole by the percent. It is not meant here to steer clear from our understanding of classical physics but to raise some questions in light of my own insights.

When two or more dimensions (distance-directions) come into play, cross-sectioning the original in a Cartesian coordinate system these positions are where energy must absolutely originate. Still the more, static, timitically when we factor in Peter Lynds hypothesis.

Provided that conservation of energy is by change-in direction, constantly changing direction about a specific D is by curl (Q), or δ, then it stands to reason that change-in direction (Δd) avoids df to a minor extent.

Positional Relations

Accordingly:

$$P = \frac{df_1}{df_2}$$

Where *P* is position and df_1 and df_2 are opposing direction. What then would P_1 and P_2 equate to seeing that 2 or more constitute a single possibility?

$$\int P_1 P_2 = \left(E + \sqrt{x, y, z, u}\right)^{x, y, z, u^2}$$

Where *x, y, and z are 3 dimensions of space and* u *is time, according to Einstein's Four-dimensional relativity (which excludes Klausa-Klein dimensions and quantum many worlds hypothesis).*

Now positions are *df* so that:

$$P = df = G$$

Where a line-segment expresses 2 dimensionality the additional dimensions converge with the abstractions of actual space-time there also at position. Where up, down, left, right and depth are perceived.

Visually we may experience space-time conceptually through anyone of our five senses or any combination thereof to what is actual, but we ourselves incorporate energy being delimited to only a certain number of freedoms of which eventually repeat.

In a 2-dimensional diagram we can depict a dot to represent a position of space-time and intersection it with as many lines as would fit on the diagram.

Face **1**

Imagining the front face of equidistant degrees of freedom

Without pictorially filling up all the cross sections, (All the faces) we can imagine the result, while co-existing with any other position.

However this is just the first face. If we complete all faces by rotating them all in all degrees of freedom absent of the second direction, (a mass weather spinning or not, can take up several circular degrees of freedom, but cannot do so in the opposing

direction near simultaneity without having to forfeit the first set of directions to embark on the second set) we get the impression of a sphere. They would account for all directions, possible, for a position, provided a change in direction requires a change in position and a change in position means going back to *df* to change position.

$$\Delta d = \Delta p$$

Stopping and/or restarting, Ending and beginning. Again space-time indicates that it is automatic, and therefore reveals that position with respect to energy is automated. As far as we know when a body is at rest, space-time is still present and automated again suggesting that even at rest for the body, energy is still present and that goes down to the Quantum. Assuming absolutely no energy whatsoever, we see then position evaporating and the mass can move anywhere (or nowhere) with absolutely no resistance and at any speed.

However the criteria do not match up to the information as to why space-time is automated. An event may be described as the establishment of a finite amount of energy created by externally induced force.

We could assume that 1 position allows for an end for E while 2 allow for a beginning and an ending which, extrapolated from the High-Low would be 0 0. (zero, zero is read vertically, one atop the other). (Sorry, this was impossible to create on wikibooks).

If we assume a body of mass at rest (assume a square block) then we say that that body at rest is in a state of potential energy aside from the fact that the quanta comprising it are in an energetic mode. (Wave-forms)=information. It is then said that the mass at rest has potential energy, which is stored energy, ready for use by an externally controlled, manually induced force. Potential energy is said to be therefore energy of position. However a single position can not be possible without the possibility for another position.

Thus according to the interpretation of potential energy it is energy all of position-stored, or by virtue of position. Even though the mass's quanta occupies billions of positions. A single position alone cannot possess the seed for a single possibility. Not 'any' possibility but "A" possibility. A single position of distance-direction does not possess the property of or for the potential for a single possibility.

For this reason, a position of itself not possessing the potential for a possibility to become actual without another position to manifest a possibility (with respect to energy) it follows that potential energy for a single position of distance-direction is NOT energy all energy of position alone. Since a mass is macroscopic it occupies

more than one position can be actual and can therefore possess the potential for becoming actual kinetic energy.

(Hence if we were to have a mass that occupied a single position (of) distance-direction it would then not be actual.) If in so being 2 positions relate to what can "be-come" actual, does this indicate that with less energy between these 2 positions or their very occupancy where energy exists from potential energy, or their very properties-corresponds to in-actuality or that in-actuality manifests that which can be actual in some exotic state?

Where:

$$\frac{1P}{M} = i$$

The interpretation here is from the viewpoint of energy in relationship to position. The manifestation of it in space-time corresponds to positions when E is present. No energy, subsequently relates to no degree of freedom since nothing is being used, but is ready to be used. Two positions in terms of energy, defined as Δd, are indistinguishable as separate one from the other. How can two positions be separate to create "space" between each other? A single position cannot therefore be "actual" as would be defined by:

$$\Delta d = -v + P_e - P_e + K_e$$

It is only our perception that positions exist in light of energy in debt to Pe that gives position. When we omit or avoid potential energy in the use of energy, position becomes in-actual.

The proper equation is as follows:

$$\frac{1P}{G} = PNi(E \div (-E))$$

Where N is an indeterminable number of uncounted positions. We reveal that positions are *imaginary due to the fact that E is in debt to gravity through potential energy or visa versa.

- (MC-that is that positions and therefore degrees of freedom (of) space-time are nothing more than the expression of energy in debt to gravity through potential; energy manually operated with externally influence in space-time.)) In the absence of potential energy in debt to E, positions are in all truth are or cannot be-come actual.

Therefore a body that incorporates an exotic form of displacement across space-time would go unaffected by the constraints of positions because that body does not utilize manually induced energy that is in debt to potential energy. The operation $(E \div (-E))$ is the only method I know of to reveal how E is in debt to gravity to cause positional prohibitions (limits, df) defined from Δd. $(E-(-E))$ is not respective of -1 only, where i is an imaginary number corresponding to PN caused by the indebtedness of energy to gravity through potential energy.

The Impossible Nature of an Exact Science

Assume a line-segment with six positions of unequal and opposite directions represented by the High-Low:

```
1-2-3-4-5-6
0 1 2 3 4 5
5 4 3 2 1 0
```

High -Low

If we were able to incorporate this into some gambling system, it would necessitate the ability to predict outcomes with 100% accuracy, resulting in what might be termed as auto-values. However this is just the same as assuming that all outcomes repeat, which one is to repeat next is the problem.

To accomplish some task or end by the production of work through applied force are not auto-values and in no way are induced through sheer brute force. Manual intervention is equal to input. Would an exact science then consist of value based measurements or information itself? If it were information, and that at the Quantum level, it could be considered as predicting future intervals, instants and/or event.

Why?

Because according to Claude E. Shannon information is that which reduces uncertainty. Shannon's hypothesis is that information is a message out of a set of all possible messages. What is interesting about this are the outcomes of events in space-time corresponding to Shannon's statement has similarities to how gravity is to degrees of freedom that repeat almost identically. Measured as a physical quantity that accomplishes work in the form of a bit. If this is true then what are signals comprised of?

Shannon's theory relies heavily on probability and is exhaustive. Yet in comparison to the win/loss outcomes involved in the probabilities of the combinations and permutations of gambling, there are so many possibilities that when they have all run their course-or any where in between must repeat according to the set's parameters, which are again the degrees of freedom and again are due to gravity.

Unless infinite, there are just so many combinations and permutations within the set, that it appears that the set itself constitutes the limits of the parameters, and thereby repetitiveness. It would seem strange to assume that everything including ourselves as organisms, exist in such a universe? Signals comprise messages and messages are the carriers of information.

Not information in the sense that Shannon's theory describes it to us, but true information that regulates and comprises all matter and energy forms.

This shows that these parameters according to the High-Low are the total probabilities of the system. That is to mean that all fractionations constituting them determine outcomes unequally; the whole by the percent. In more direct terms prediction with 100% accuracy is not applicable because it is splintered into many variable outcomes. It would be ridiculous to assume that all of space-time would have to be taken on just to predict the future as opposed to "**A**" future.

If we were to attempt to do so the sets would amount to being unpredictable. If we were to try and continue with it from the High-Low there would be approximately 217,165 (estimated) outcomes with a 12 number set. Just for this line segment alone if not more. Now imagine how many more are up, down, left, right and diagonally, with segments consisting of 12 positions.

There would be approximately 1,085,780 (estimated) different outcomes! $\Delta D = \Delta t$ would not even begin until distance-directions change in size to alter *vt* Timitically. Imagine how many alternate time-lines are possible via time travel with all directions accounted for and all with only twelve positions!

If we incorporate the random df greater distance to lesser distance E is established in ΔD the time for it's establishment in one distance will be greater or lesser than another thus one will "miss" the other-lest time travitic.

Hence for E any $\Delta D = \Delta t$:

Ecclectic Graph

If all degrees of freedom were usable, then each manually established energy form in all ΔD would have a completely different "time" than all the others. Establishing the correct time would be like having diverse times on different watches at grand central station. It would be very difficult to establish the proper time. While a warping effect will transpire whenever $\Delta d = \Delta D$, Δt will occur, but only dependent upon Δd.

Research

We have, in light of all manual efforts attempted to arrive at a common denominator whereby it might be practically feasible to enable Faster than light travel, emergence through time, exploration of alternate time-lines (Parallel Universes), teleportatics and the such like.

None although to date have seen it nor discovered it through energetic interactions with respect to space-time at mesio-scopic (in between micro and macro)or macroscopic levels. All attempts to satisfactorily overcome such prohibitions have either fallen short or have failed.

The reasoning behind these failures lies in the fact that such goals might not be achievable to the Quantum from the macro. Because the Quantum makes up the macro while the macro consists of the Quantum. These indications lean more toward information.

So communication would serve as a key. There are two schools of thought on the Quantum realm; the first of these has to do with the photoelectric effect. Where discrete 'packets' (now called photons) of light quanta originating from the motions involved in rapid friction produce heat.

The heat, like our sun emits rays known as radiation. Whose luminosity or intensity (candela) depends on wavelength, the more compact these wavelengths the more intense the frequency and the higher the absorption of the packet of rays occur to electrons. Photons are electromagnetic.

That is they consist of an electric fields and their frequency is charted in a chart known as the electromagnetic spectrum. In the low bandwidth of this spectrum electrons absorb these photons and experience an excitation or jump (commonly referred to as a Quantum leap).

When the electron emits the photon it returns back to it's normal orbital about the atom. In higher bandwidths the wavelength is high enough to be completely absorbed by the electron or knocks it out of the atom, ionizing it. This is called ionizing radiation and can lead to radiation poisoning in living tissue.

Through the magic of time consuming mumbo-jumbo we have probability and statistics, where through numerous and exhaustive perplexing calculations we can attain exotic and seeming impossible calculations never dreamed possible in the macroscopic world-many completely laughable…until demonstrated. Insanely enough this is nothing more than repeats of the same things in different colors.

The second school of thought on the Quantum world rests on the shoulders of the famous Everett-Wheeler interpretation of Quantum dynamics. For those of you who don't know about it-this theory is generally surrounded with defamations in it's character. Referred to as the many worlds hypothesis, the many-worlds interpretation dismantles orthodox approaches devised from Planck and others.

But wait a minute there can't be two theories! Yet there are, and that comes back to probabilities.

Basically the concept of the states of quanta exist in "many-worlds", Parallel Universes, is to some paramount and with that is difficult to debunk as invalid. It's precepts still stand out today as acceptable. It makes sense…a lot of sense. It has yet to be disproven except in the corridors of the avid angiosperm children of Planck's followers, who swear by it's absolutions. Both interpretations are although valid and worth investigating. But the point here is that every thing can be just a matter of interpretation.

Tones

Since physical information constitutes the heart of q-matter and energy, communication with information indicates that messages must be heard - and for it to be heard, that information must possess intelligence.

Quanta must therefore be intelligent. It is automated to a certain degree, except where decay occurs or entropy ensues. We all recognize this intelligence when attempting to instill discipline into a cat. Such as when it leaps up on top of the dinner table when company arrives. Spoken, commands such as that of "get down" don't mean anything to the cat's mind if unaltered plainly.

The cat may sit starring at you as if to mock you. Whatcha ya going to do meanie? Even though the cat at first may not get the message, the animal has heard it before and when the words are spoken and "meant" semantically, via your 'tone of voice' and a possible thwarting action such as the raise of the arm to put a stop to… and a "GET DOWN"!!

The cat then associates by the tone and response mechanisms through much repetition that this signifies to move elsewhere other than where the cat presently is. The action of raising one's arm may instill the message further with a sense of not to do it again and away than relocating to someone's lap or begging for scraps off the table.

And so the cat **receives** the message and it scats away quickly around the corner almost as if to indicate to you ha-ha that was funny. Naturally when the guests have left and everything quiets down, the cat does not retain the incident and seek revenge, the cat does not instinctively hate you to plot in the middle of the night when you are asleep to scratch your eyes out.

A good master is like a good parent if you show the cat kindness love food (survival) and caressing (perhaps with a prickly hairbrush). It will come to associate you as the leader of the pack and hence sleep with you on the bed. Lie on your chest or instinctively be there perhaps due to a chest cold and purr endlessly.

Scientists have yet to figure out "why" cats purr-but if you own such well mannered cat and it is purring near you move your head to the cat and you can almost guess why-the vibrations set up a

wave or cycle a type of serene healing, which is why at times there is an ease in sleeping if the cat is there unless you of course are just an insensitive person and can't associate yourself.

However this is not a lesson on cats. The instance is with tones and how we approach situations. Touch is another matter that may be "felt". Some of these tones are "trouble tones" and we "feel" them on a day-to-day basis as opposed to what we normally hear such as traffic.

There are tones of anger. Hate and love. We have all heard these 'tones' and just about all of these communications can be felt, and some, like music can effect us psychologically-literally making us via our own subconscious sick because when music is heard it goes through your ears into your mind and straight to your heart. But the mind of discretion can differentiate and go unaffected by such music.

The tones of love are naturally the best (we are not talking out of sin, such as fornication) and can aid in healing if a person may have experienced hardship or a sour relationship. The others that remain are repugnant. We can categorize these tones into two classifications good, bad or positive and negative. The third is an order or command under service or military instruction. The forth and final are passive tones-those we hear everyday or work related.

What do these tones have to do with the world of the Quantum? First provided that the Quantum has or possesses intelligence, then these tones can be felt when information is communicated to it (or them so to speak) via message components or signals. Provided intelligence, a real, tangible connection exists between communication and "speaking" to the Quantum.

Why?

Because it has or possess intelligence controlled by information. Maybe not an intelligence as we understand it, or an intellect in relationship to it bearing a thinking mind but an intelligence that differs from that of normal precepts. It therefore must speak a language. (Complimentary.) Where particles and space-time agree, to function or be automated and affect things and phenomena. That language, then, can presumably be communicated with and even commanded and controlled.

The quanta referred to here as having or possessing the properties of intelligence constitutes consciousness. To possess a conscious and know enough not to rage an assault or attack (know what to do from what not to do) to have an intelligence and be conscious it must be self aware and aware of it's surroundings. It must be able to decipher correct from incorrect to a certain degree.

Thus may be the automation in reference. To have intelligence means to possess life. As differentiated from being intelligent. (Intelligent-life, artificial-life, self-aware) Aware cognizant or conscious (of), informed, mindful, apprised.

The dictionary definition defines this as; automatic, uncontrollable. Alive; to exist; being, live, energy. The key!

The term here is difficult to explain since quanta are assumed by status-quo to not have life. What is odd is that this intelligence corresponds back to the disturbing of quanta wherein attempting to measure the system disturbs it-it seems to know what is happening and even scarier when! Perceptions may vary.

When we ponder upon something that possesses intelligence it usually is by definition to mean mind or consciousness. But can it be said then that matter possesses mind or spirit?

I am not one to say how to go about communicating with quanta; perhaps it in all truth creates the actual.

Review of The High-Low

A set of limited numerals has distances apart consisting of one position apart to the next. In the initial number set we 'count' the spaces apart from one numeral (or symbol) to the next in the direction to the right.

The High-Low Numbers

Indicated below the initial set (shown by the black dots) is the first half of the count running to the right, the High.

We start with "1" in the initial set. 1 possess no space-apart from itself-to itself, therefore the indication below 1 is 0 spaces-apart, otherwise the count would be identical to the initial number set above the High.

From 1 to 2 the count equals 1 space-apart, and the count continues in this manner from the number 1 to the ending numeral in the initial set. i.e; 1 to 2 = one space-apart, 1 to 3 = 2 spaces-apart, 1 to 4 = 3, and so on in the direction toward the limit in the set to 6.

The High-Low showing directions

In the opposite direction to the left, and below the high count below the initial number set is the low count. As the spaces-apart began with 1 in the initial number set, we now count in the reverse direction beginning with 6.

6 like 1, possess no space-apart from itself to itself and therefore it too is 0 spaces-apart identical as is 1 in the high count only that the count will go to the left. 6 to 5 equals 1 space-apart. 6 to 4 = 2 spaces apart and so on down the line to positive 1. Together the High with the Low constitute the High-Low.

What the High-Low shows here is that the numbers representing the initial number set constitute a line-segment, running in opposing directions and thereby is 2-dimensional.

The numbers throughout the set are references to positions. One may attempt to rearrange the High-Low or substitute the numbers of the initial set with letters or symbols.

But the author of it has found that the results reveal that no matter how it is reconfigured the positions of it remain constant and cannot be extrapolated to predict future events with absolute 100% accuracy. If the outcomes in the set were morphological and time travitic, it would more than likely be 100% accurate.

This is to say that the High-Low expresses direction, available from one position in one direction to another position below the first in the opposite direction.

Indicated Directions

Under the initial number 5, which we assume is the current outcome at the current position, is 4. 4 possess 4 spaces back to 1, while under 4 is 1 - it runs opposing to the number 4, possessing 1 space back to 6. As a prediction indicator in a game of chance, the incorporation of the High-Low into any betting scheme might work out and be fairly handy when the highest number indicator is chosen, but only temporarily. Prediction, as exemplified above goes within the greatest probability of which the current number in the High-Low representing the current number-position above it can go into next.

In the case above the greatest number is 4, while the least is 1.Catastrophe will inevitably set in as a result of the inability of the High-Low to predict future events with 100% certainty.

We have then a fair but accurate means through which to predict succeeding outcomes from a current event, unfortunately the number of possible outcomes is the available number of positions.

Aside from some benefit in gaming, the correspondence is to gravitation delimiting mass and energy through debt, that is it's manual establishment, catalyzes space-time positions to manifest under the control of gravitational constraints, which are of course degrees of freedom.

We might assume that it is energy itself causing this, that lies in it's own polarities coupled to space-time. That is to mean that energy and potential energy are in fact one and the same thing, only that interchange is actually interdependency – meaning both energy and it's origin are not merely in debt or straining against gravity.

Because they are opposites, rather we could interpret these opposites as polarities, as attracting to create that debt, and therefore between the tow is the manifestation of gravity, and thereby degrees of freedom. It would seem then that the debt between energy and potential energy might make sense as the real cause for gravity and not some imaginary part of space-time, but does not make sense when dimensions are considered.

Since the current outcome number is 4, 1 below possesses the highest probability to go from itself (or to repeat) into 4 remaining outcomes to the left plus a repeat, to 1. Below 4 is 1, from 5, the least probability to go from itself to deviate from the higher range to go back to 4 remaining outcomes to the left plus a repeat, to 1. We refer to 4 as having a range of 4 numbers to go into, while 1 possesses 1 number back to 6 to deviate from the range. Hence, the Range to Deviation.

Moving backward from the current position to the left towards 1 in the initial set, we discover that the range to deviation inverts. Where the range transmutes into the deviation and the deviation becomes the range.

Inversion Point

Why does this happen?

Drawing a dotted line down the center of this number set we can see clearly in the High-Low to the left is 2, 3 under 3, while under the number 4 is 3, 2. As we spread out on either side one can view the process as it continues to the left and right ending at the extremes of the two limits of the High-Low representing a line-segment. This line is called the inversion point.

With this particular number set and it's corresponding High-Low the inversion point is drawn down the midsection parting the corresponding spread-out.

In respect to the High-Low it simulates a typical prediction indicator where the spaces- apart between positions represent the space of space-time, and where the probability of a previous or new outcome represents time.

Once again we are uncertain on how to predict future outcomes with 100% accuracy. Positions relate to energy and energy is in debt to position by gravity. Therefore to predict future outcomes using the High-Low with 100% accuracy, is to solve the High-Low.

In relation to it the High-Low does not translate into morphologistics-because the spaces- apart (and hence the positions) between one number to the next in an out of order set are identical to the distances apart in an orderly set. And no matter what represents the High-Low; be it letters or symbols, the positions altogether remain fixed especially in consideration of space-time with respect to energy.

Let us take another look at the High-Low. If you haven't noticed it before, you can see that the numbers representing the initial number set possess dual properties running in opposing directions, inverse and opposite to the other.

With absolute perfection the High-Low depicts a line-segment-exactly. A line-segment represents a 2-dimensional segment of space-time (that is two directions instead of 1-dimensional). Being that a line-segment representing actual space-time possesses the property of one thing (that being a single line) in real space-time, how then can a line being possessed of singleness consist of two directions in one?

That is, how are 2 directions combined in a single line? If we refer back to the High-Low representing the initial number set, we can see that from the range to deviation where the inversion point is shown, that the numbers constituting the upper and lower portions of the High-Low running in opposite directions are all fractionated for each position.

How all of this works out to 3D space with the forth being time, i.e.; x,y,z,u is related to what is simply called distance-direction or distance-time substituting the word for space-time. Assuming that for instance that an amount of space is equal to an amount of time in an identity equation similar to that of $E=mc^2$.

Where:

$$D=cT$$

Indeed if the numbers of the High-Low representing the positions of the initial number set to indicate the spaces-apart, and representing a line-segment in relation to space-time are fractionated, how would this account for 2 directions being in fact one or one distance?

The answer lies in two facts:

1)Energy in debt to gravity whenever manual energy is established and present.

2)Fractionations make the whole and visa-verse corresponding to time's probability in space.,p>

3)And this indicates the following:

$$D \neq cT$$

Because

$$D1D2 \neq d2d1$$

Since

$$D1 \neq D2 \neq d2 \neq d1$$

But

$$D1<>D2 <>d2<>d1$$

However in traversement, a body cannot displace in probabilities nor combinations and permutations.

If we were to do so the mass would be able to arrive at any destination and end up just about anytime? No actual sense of direction would then be possible until the mass acquires it's destination upon reaching a position. Like outcomes of two die never actually possessing the knowledge of what position would be the result.

And with more intersecting line-segments more probable outcomes add to the already existent positions of actual line-segments give many more possible directions. Hence that much more vast space-time to compete with. Therefore the fractionations that make-up the High-Low and therewith constitute distance-direction are both inverse to the other (except the inversion point) make-up the whole from the percent. In more direct terms the fractionations make-up the line-segment as a whole. Considerably too, visa-verse.

Thus both:

$$D = cT$$

And

$$D \neq cT$$

Are incorrect while the following applies

$$D <> cT$$

Morphologically spaces are considered as chaotic via time from the present to the un-equivalent in the fractionated numbers of the High-Low. Therefore at each position in distance there exists with any other position 1 possibility by 2 directions. Thus the more space, the more distance, the more

distance, the more positions (Usu. via Δd), and the more possible outcomes that can actualize for manual energy to gravity.

Hence the more distance-directions the more chaotic it can actually be via time, and with it the hidden fractionations extrapolated from the High-Low making up the whole-and making time as unpredictable from a current position at a current event as there are positions.

However Δd determines these outcomes in time and their in their absence or avoidance time is transcendent because Δd is the establishment of position via loss in energy toward gravity to potential energy. (By virtue of position here) Since E is always in debt to gravity, positions are the result of E in mass whenever the manual operation of it is present and above zero.

Space-time Inversion

That the holy grail of all potentiality exists in 3 states from a line-segment and more with multi-directionality, suggesting as it were alternate time-lines taken at face value. Thus the key originated from the imaginary. We will now proceed to correct these observations.

Understand that inversion of the High-Low corresponds to a possible **space-time inversion** and not that it can in all fairness be accomplished. If it were, then we might assume that in a specified area where the inversion is administered, that there would, as a result, be many weird and strange effects in association with such a manifestation. Many of which could even transport things and people halfway across the universe or even cause them to be transposed to a parallel world in another time. But this is pure speculation as of now.

```
        p64              Intermediate          Correction
                          correction
   1-2-3-4-5-6         1-2-3-4-5-6          1-2-3-4-5-6
   0 1 2 3 4 5         0 1 2 3 4 5          0 1 2 3 4 5
   5 4 3 2 1 0         5 4 3 2 1 0          5 4 3 2 1 0

   1-2 3 4 5           1-2-3-4-5            1-2-3-4-5
   0 1 2 3 4           0 1 2 3 4            0 1 2 3 4
   4 3 2 1 0           4 3 2 1 0            4 3 2 1 0

   1-2-3-4             1-2-3-4              1-2-3-4
   0 1 2 3             0 1 2 3              0 1 2 3
   3 2 1 0             3 2 1 0              3 2 1 0

   1-2-3              1-2-3                1-2-3
   0 1 2              0 1 2                0 1 2
   2 1 0              2 1 0                2 1 0

   1-2                1-2                  1-2
   0 1                0 1                  0 1
   1 2                1 0                  1 0

   1                  1                    1
   0                  1                    1
   0                  1                    1

   0                  0                    0
   0                  0                    0
   -1                 0                    0

   -1                 -1                   -1-2
   0                  -0                   -0-1
   -2                 -1                   -1-0

   -1-2               -1-2                 -1-2-3
   0-3                -0-2                 -0-1-2
   -3 0               -1-0                 -2-1-0

   -1-2-3             -1-2-3               -1-2-3-4
   0-3-4              -2-3-4               -0-1-2-3
   -4-3 0             -3-2-1               -3-2-1-0

   -1-2-3-4           -1-2-3-4             -1-2-3-4-5
   0-3-4-5            -3-4-5-6             0 1 2 3 4
   -5-2-1 0           -5-4-3-2             -4-3-2-1-0

   -1-2-3-4-5         -1-2-3-4-5           -1-2-3-4-5-6
   0-3-4-5-6          -4-5-6-7-8           -0-1-2-3-4-5
   -6-3-2-1 0         -7-6-5-4-3           -5-4-3-2-1-0

   -1-2-3-4-5-6       -1-2-3-4-5-6
   0-3-4-5-6-7        -5-6-7-8-9-10
   -6-4-3-2-1 0       -9-8-7-6-5-4
```

Space-time Inversion

The first thing you should notice is that positive values **cannot exist** in negative realms. In the main, the positive 0 within the negative half of the space-time inversion represented.

We have intentionally omitted compression densities that would normally result in negative energy densities because they are of no use here. A space-time inversion related to the High-Low space-time inversion may yield an energy inversion, which in turn would enable FTL, and other spin-off transportation goals.

An energy inversion indicates turning something upside-down or inside out. Now is we start such a process with E, it is very likely that positional relations will result because whenever E becomes manually established, space-time enables it with a limited possibility by it's indebtedness to gravity especially where repetition is concerned with itself or quantitatively. How then does one create an equation that has nothing to do with energy? The answer is considerably simple in the first sense; it would start by increases in potentiality/gravity.

That is one would begin from zero itself, but not necessarily zero pint energy and their assumed perpetual motions, as they are, at present undetermined equationally and experimentally any augment or cultivate it, is unfounded. That would begin from gravity without the aid of E. Such increase in potentiality might be from a space-time inversion.

In the second aspect this is a very difficult problem to solve, because again one cannot start with energy unless energy might be inverted first? Third in this second respect the question arises as to how exactly to cause a line-segment to invert, to cause an energy inversion. Is there a sourceless source? Of course once inverted, how would one find a way to scavenge such negative energies back to the positive sections of space-time? The reasoning as to why such an inversion-into positive space is a rather interesting story; for one, cancellation would wipe out all existing energies - destroying the positive.

Finally, with space-time inversion are there 3 or more potentialities related to gravity as the above image indicates, and what the does this logically reveal, seeing that something here is amiss?

- Universes as we comprehend them.

Next, relativistically, a thing that has less positive space to pass through possess fewer possibilities to go through. Not timitically, but relativistically. As we know the distance between two points (not positions) shrinks timitically with acceleration depending on the distance involved to a specific velocity involving heightened energy-which of course results in positional prohibitions. At c for h2 of mass-less energy, v is fixed by 4-velocity motion. And can go no faster except where Quantum Tunneling may be introduced; induced (high density compression invoking negative energies to emerge temporarily) or destroyed and recreated elsewhere with the aid of Quantum communication (Quantum Teleportation).

- According to string theory time is the same in all Parallel Universes.

When D is adjusted to be unequal to vc, Dt increases as it would be with RPS of a light ring of 360° . All we are doing is changing our viewpoint from straight to linear. Less space, less time. More space v would have to be increased to compensate for the adjustment in more distance.

So when E or mass-less E is incorporated into accumulation, prohibitions occur to limit any further progressions by positional relations corresponding to energy in debt to gravity. Understand that whenever we attempt to define positions by energy relations we shortchange ourselves on how to overcome FTL, because these positions are the consequence of energy in debt to gravity. But NOT for anything else that has nothing to do with energy as it is when it is in debt to gravity. Thus positions are the consequence of the manifestation of any form of energy which is naturally in debt to gravity and it's subsequent shadow, potentiality - energy's opposition through gravitation or in free fall a friend to the end.

Space-time therefore has no positions apart from energy, and does not consist of energy itself as interpreted from the fact that all measurement of energy with respect to displacement, with respect to space-time, originates from value -based measurements. Therefore space-time positions are illusionary, because positions result for energy whenever energy formulates-but these positions do not give the possibility for E, it is E that manifests them. Space-time although does give the possibility for energy to be-come. Generally this means that positions are established whenever ever energy is established.

Thus;

$$E=P$$

Accordingly if something is less than zero in positive space-time it can go beyond c. However according to the inversion of the corrected version of the High-Low this may suggest that a space-time inversion is impossible.

Because:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \hline -1-2 \\ -0-1 \\ -1-0 \end{array}$$

The point before Space-time Inversion

Again scavenging negative mass back into the positive, suggests a reversal in Quantum inequalities.

For something to do this according to the High-Low it would have to be there and not there. A cancellation and then a space-time inversion by (0 - (-1) = -1) which finally indicates that we do indeed have to start initially with mass-less energy.

The only other means through which this this problem might be solved to the actual accomplishment

of FTL would be to close the gap on the Δv that equals a. because like our light ring example loss in E is avoided by renewal of E within specified distances.

With this it seems to indicate the secret to FTL.

And because a=Δv, the "Δ" for v is a repetitive act where v2-v1=v3. When the value for Δv is increased, the time of renewal occurs faster and then arriving and occurring would be before leaving.

Back to the Beginning

We are stuck again. No thanks to positional prohibitions, the absence of simultaneity in straightway travel degrees of freedom, relativity, Quantum unknowns time and of course failure after failure.

As we know a mass existing at a single position cannot be actual

Where:

$$\frac{1\mathrm{P}}{M} = i$$

If we attempt to delude ourselves and assume that we can cause FTL using anything within the physical there is a 100% sure chance that energy will ultimately cause that failure because it just won't succeed.

For a moment let us try to incorporate the principles of simultaneity from a light-ring to line segment traversion. When we do this, $\Delta\nu$ is either increasing or decreasing. Positive, gaining additional velocity, which is non-uniform. Now the light ring constantly changes direction in 1 direction and is repetitive but no $\Delta\nu$ is apparent unless of course some other force may cause it to become compressed. So to cause repetition to $\Delta\nu = a$, would mean that $\Delta\nu$ must become uniform.

If "a" were uniform, then $\Delta\nu \neq \Delta\nu$ because no increase would be present by a positive change-in (Δ) or decrease by negative change-in ($-\Delta$). Similarly, renewal in RPS changes, (the outer circumference distance) the value for RPS changes to compensate respectively. However in the sinusoidal propagation of a photon, deceleration leads to momentum where a very rapid interchange is; $-\nu =$+Pe-Pe+Ke.

If we assume this to be true then excess momentum is the residual amount of G retained in every increase in ν when $\Delta\nu$ is induced. Because of the difference in $\nu 2 - \nu_1$every "time" an increase in ν by Δ is intended. Thus to cause a repeat-like the light ring-and intentional

disengagement of F, in ν is initiated temporarily (not in the opposing direction) or a slight drop-back to manifest a rapid interchange between Pe and Ke and hence uniform forward motion for every $\Delta\nu$.

Now we have a repeat going in straight-line motion but only initiative after $\nu_1 - \nu_0$. We could go back to ν_0 but what would this purpose serve lest to accomplish inversion.

$$\frac{\Delta\nu_2 - \nu_1}{-dv_2 + \Delta\nu} = (-a)\nu_2 - \nu_1$$

Now we have a repetition in straight-line propagation and if $\Delta\nu$ is unequal to the temporary disengagement of F then it might be assumed that the changes in ν increase ν in percentages past ν_2. The uniform motion-being repetitive now may be assumed to be equal to ν_2 where no increase in ν_2 maybe assumed to be entirely absent. Thus acceleration is eliminated by the repetitive act.

Henceforth this is an equal and opposite interaction. So we want this repetition to be as close as it can be made to be to the renewal of E in the light ring. Not necessarily that one must be unequal to the other but that one occurs faster than the other-timitically. However what has been intentionally done, is reversed the process of acceleration to restart it anew.

We have therefore:

$$\nu_1 - \nu_0\nu_2 - \nu_1 - \nu_2 = \nu_1\Delta\nu_1 = \nu_2$$

Initially then what we have done is caused a repeat in ν_2 -– an oscillatory one. And unlike the light ring is constantly changing direction back upon itself. An oscillation is a change in direction (Δd) back upon itself. An oscillation is a change in direction but not constantly. In $-\nu - \nu_2$ and $\Delta\nu - \nu_1 = \nu_2$.

Now then we have to make these changes in direction very, very small so that in continuing the process the repeats will be fractionated taking up as it were the very positions themselves.

Quantum.

Do you recall what we were saying about a mass at 1 position?

$$\frac{1P}{M} = i$$

What this shows is that it possesses no energy as well. Because energy in debt to potential energy can only be possible with the manifestation of 2 or more positions but is manifest by E.

Then it is equally true that:

$$\int v\Delta v = \frac{1P}{E} = i$$

Thus:

$$\int v\Delta v = \frac{1P}{E} = -E = i$$

Where:

$$-E\frac{PN}{i} = \infty$$

One position only because energy when established, is established via 2 positions that constitute 1 possibility. There can be no other way. And even though the positions themselves are not present without energy this is the way it works. If in assuming that such an interaction could be constrained to 1 position at a time and much more mass itself comprising a ship, how then would one move from position to position?

The answer lies in the fact that E automatically disintegrates to a state of in-actuality for the mass if it were to occupy a solitary position. Suggesting that E becomes actual only with 2 or more positions while inversely 2 or more df become actual in the presence of energy actualizing. (2- dimensional line segment) In other words:

```
E =Δd ÷2P
      OR
E=ΔP / d
```

Position-less Motion

As was referenced, a mass existing at a solitary position cannot be "actual" and exists in a potential state where E is all potential. It doesn't mean it ceases to exist but rather that m is interpreted as being all potential with respect to gravity. This tends rather to suggest that when motion of a body of mass transpires and is accelerated, both the *source forcing the mass to be pushed and the object itself DO NOT displace from position to position but from positions to positions.

*MC - *The source is always a problem in the goal of FTL, without it, displacement is N/A, the only way to do so would suggest omitting the source of the force, and this can only be done through automation, as it is with space-time, or an automated force.*

Hence the proper equation is as follows:

$$\frac{1P}{M} = \frac{(E \div (-E))}{PN^1}$$

Where N is an indeterminable number of positions. We have therefore just revealed that positions are imaginary due to the fact that E is indebted to G to manifest positions. And that without G in E Position(s) cannot become actual. (*This may appear similar to potential energy, but gravitation is behind Pe, which is really kinetic*).

The operation (E/(−E)) is the only method I know of to show how E is in-debt to G to cause positional prohibitions (limits, df) defined from Δd. (E-(−E)) is not respective of −1 only. Where *i* is an imaginary number; corresponding to PN created by the indebtedness of energy to G.

Concepts of QN

Imagine the implications of a new science depicting the real nature of the Quantum. As opposed to those current efforts still underway that now appear to retard advancement.

Although Einstein held a peculiar distaste towards the Quantum revolution developing around him (something which he started with the photoelectric effect) and something by which he attempted to debunk in the now famous paper on spooky action at a distance (The EPR thought experiment – 1939 - which led to the Quantum Teleportation at IBM), however Einstein still stuck to his 4 - dimensional relativistic-(s), rather than being inclusive of more dimensions, as the Klausa-Klein theory clearly demonstrates when it finally reached his office. Additionally Einstein also tried to unify near his death, where it remains incomplete.

(Initially, Einstein contradicted himself on the matter several times).

Einstein held that physical matter was nothing more than a concentrated field of force or forces. Force as we know is in fact manually operated energy. In other words what we know to be physical, being possessed by the properties of substance is an intangible concentration of waveforms. What these waveforms consisted of exactly was not clear but further evidence is known by the celebrated equation:

$$E=mc^2$$

Inversely:

$$m=Ec^2$$

Energy and matter are equivalent; one can produce the other. We might assume that Quantum Nanotechnology starts with the **rearrangement of particles**, but as we probe deeper into the insights of this QN you will comprehend it's real meanings. If in reading this manuscript you do not comprehend these concepts, **re-read** them again and they should become clear to you.

With particle rearrangement it would be practical to cause Quantum manufacturing, except that no Quantabots or simile mechanizations would be necessary to shift particles that constitute the atoms of molecules.

In other respects particle creation would enable the solutions to Quatraneons, GUT theories and similar spin-offs. All of which lead to ultimate control spaces for scientific endeavors but please don't blow up the universe by destroying all forms of energy.

FTL would also result as a by-product, through the creation and elimination of them.

Gravitons are false assumptions for the simple reason that whenever energy is or becomes established in space-time degrees of freedom automatically produce positions.

Using a transportation method, which does not incorporate energy results in the manifestation of no positions. In other words positions are the direct result of the establishments of energy in debt to G and traversal in it's absence corresponds to their disappearance.

Where:

$$dE = \sqrt{P}$$

Hence we treat the photon as a conveyor of the Gravity field perhaps as a cross-product of the interaction of electric and magnetic fields where E being present in the electric and magnetic fields or electromagnetic field is in debt to G-being that position of the entire particle as opposed to the conserved energy of the particle's spin.

Electric and magnetic fields obey the laws of induction. From rest, in an unexcited state, Δv in any direction would result in an increase in the inducing fields across the particle as a whole. The increase in speed would cause these fields to increase inducing greater Gravitational force, improving it's stability and hold the particle together with greater force. These fields collapse to smaller size as accelerated:

-a priori therefore

Stationary or accelerated (The establishments of E along with the E in the fields) manifests as mass:

Cross product would then be applicable to GUT theories but not fully developed;

Thus:

$$\frac{E \times B}{T} = G$$

Where T is the spin of the particle's Electromagnetic or Electric and Magnetic fields.

Where G equates:

$$G = \left(\frac{E}{(-E)} \right)$$

There is no telling how the spin of such photon waves translate into 360° rapid rotation but there lie a percent of the answer. Depictions 2-dimensionally are difficult to grasp and any spheroid shape may omit spin.

Spin the solution to it all?

However we can explain how the spin direction is simultaneity. Spin direction 2 of the photon is considerably finite and the speed of light is equal to 186,282.4 miles per second per second.

That is it is a constant and it neither increases nor decreases without some outside intervention to aid it in becoming sluggish or faster. Limited to c = 1 second over which this speed covers. Over half this distance 93,141.2 the mass-less energy covers this distance at c in ½ second and on down the line to 1 mile and 1 inch. Commonly these distances are covered with the use of lasers. Rectilinearly, although mass-less energy from lasers bent into a 360° hoop or cylinder by electric or magnetic fields to it repeats in a circle at c over and over again.

The repetitious rate is measured by choosing a point on the inner or outer circumference to determine in how many seconds the speed of c (in this case angular velocity) (ω) (speed may differ from velocity in that it has magnitude) takes to complete 1 revolution (or many) in 1 second. The RPS is completely dependent on the distance of the circumference. Thus like our prior example v=c covers 186,282.4 miles in 1 second from point A to point B.

The point on the radius however is both point A and B because NO LIMIT exists in a 360° circle-it is perfectly uniform. So an RPS for the angular velocity of c about a circumferential distance of 186,282.4 would be 1 second.93,141.2miles would be ½ second. At 1 mile would be 0+1 second. At 1 inch-1 would be absolute zero seconds. And this is approximately equal to 372,564.8 RPS! Now that is fast!

Relativistically,,and provided the source is dismissed a ring of light could be observed. Accordingly the light ring created through optics and the usage of a section of a cylindrical Potassium Di-hydrogen Phosphate Crystal (KDP)and laser tech, does this without disappearing from current space-time- it remains here, in current space in current time. It does this through what is known as Global Causality Violation. (GCV for short). (again, Frank J. Tipler) Physical Review D 1974)).

That is a cylinder or ring of light in this case, travels so quickly that the mass-less energy winds around the axis, goes through time, curls through space, arriving at the exact position (on the radius) from which it left, *at a little less than the same time it left.

*MC - *we can see here that gravity is the inhibition here preventing the simultaneity of the GCV of the speck, otherwise it would most definitely emerge through time.*

That is a return to the point on the radius arrives and leaves simultaneously. It is assumed that arriving occurs before leaving. However this is not the case and does NOT depend on the circumferential distance. Only the energy does this through RPS to renew energy before losing it timitically corresponding to the finite distance. This may tend to go against the grain but as we shall see later the evidence will become clear.

Now imagine how finite a particle is. It is moving about itself so rapidly that GVC is occurring. It is doing so timitically via the initial distance inductive of RPS. Thus the RPS is timitically dependent upon the distance and hence simultaneity, in 1 direction. In another term the RPS rate of the constant c about the axis uses **THE** past returning to **THE** future to remain in current time.

As opposed to time travel (That is if travel is required to move through time, since obviously the ring is going "nowhere"), to **A** past or **A** future. Supposed "travel" to **A** past or **A** future suggests that Parallel Universes are nothing more than alternate time-lines, dependent upon current time to the number of possible outcomes that can become actual to the number of degrees of freedom imposed via positions that a physical object or organism can assume through energy. And you know that energy is the only reason why positions exist and that without the usage of energy to move,positions cannot formulate.

Thus time is an illusion because we perceive prohibitions from a standpoint that FTL can be accomplished by virtue of energy, which is of course is ridiculous in the spotlight of a manually based external force, the force can never overcome the thing to which it`s energy transfers.

Positions and the constraints of these degrees of freedom in light of these positions; manifestations caused by energy in debt to G, *is because that is where Potential Energy and Kinetic Energy originate*.

So to incorporate a method of travel of Trans-positioning through intermediate space-time, that does not require energy, would eliminate the prohibitions caused by energy establishments resulting in FTL by time travel, alternate time-line exploration, and other spin-offs including teleportation.

From this alone you should know by now that relativity is based on energies in relationship to it establishing degrees of freedom, which are positions, and therefore should a method be unraveled whereby travel is accomplished to other destinations and/or times relativity would fall!

NanoQuanta

Interested readers might skim through the contents and leap to this page to discover what it means. Every educated person is aware that energy and matter are equivalent. We also know that energy and matter are interchangeable. We understand this to be true because everybody is aware of Einstein's famed equation:

$$E = mc^2$$

This then being true,(or absolute) then the opposite is true where matter is equal to an amount of energy:

$$E \ = \ 2mc^2$$

These two equations have been verified in particle accelerators using pair production *and* mutual annihilation.

Powerful experimental bombs have shown this to be true, as well as successful experiments conducted in huge particle accelerators. But what do we have?

Now that we have a good interpretation of particles and what some do, let us explain something here; something we barely touched upon earlier is the creation of particles. Assuming this to be true then particles could not only be created from spinning photons, they can also be destroyed and/or even replaced.

But what do we indicate when we express "by created and destroyed"? By "create" it is meant to cause photons to gyrate in a certain direction through electric and/or magnetic forces.

By destroy it is meant to cause or create a limit in a particle or un-hitch it to straight way propagation through external electromagnetic force fields.

Now there are a multitude of examples to create and destroy certain particles constituting these finite little buggers but all in all they are never accomplished through such methods or trials and errors. The creation and destruction of spinning photon particles are not done via speed nor velocity of the particle itself.

Since we have already explained that c is constant, and that E branches out so to speak to prohibit or limit our actions to a limited set equidistant degrees of freedom from an initial axis - by the very instance of manually established E, then it follows that if we try to create and/or destroy these particles with anything out of the ordinary, that starts with energy, we will eventually discover that we are going through the same old tire tracks we did before in trying to overcome faster than light travel by using manually based energy.

When in all fairness it is energy that causes these limitations by it being in debt to G - to manifest positions in the first place - so we need to discard it (in a manual respect too) as unacceptable if we are to ever accomplish this goal…forever.

Considering that an RPS rate revolving about a central axis at c, of the circumference:

$$\frac{D}{c} = RPS$$

Altercations in D mutate the value for RPS. If it is bigger than a certain value, the RPS of D by c will slow - arriving at the position from which it left (a point on the radius of the outer circumference) later and later; which is normal causality where a thing arrives to another destination after leaving. But usually in another position crossing intervening space-or position to position.

Intermediate Space-(time) (Einsteinian)

But when D is altered to become more finite, RPS arrives at the same position at the same time it left, and then reduced more finitely the arrival to the same position would *seem to* occur *before* leaving - if that were possible, arrival would be earlier than leaving. This is the causality violation we have mentioned to you before - it occurs globally. In normal situations arrival transpires **after** leaving. Supposing that the point is relocated to any other part of the circumference the same difference is evident.

Why?

Distance; (D).

Say for instance that a particle's distance is expanded to a fixed distance where the value of RPS leaves and arrives at the same position at the exact same time. Will this then indicate that it can now be displaced from P_1 at t_1 to P_2 at t_1?

The answer is NO it does not mean this. Initially, because the energy is returning or re-turning to **THE** present - from **THE** past in the same space - it therefore is going absolutely **nowhere** by retaining the value of remaining in the **present**.

And this is why it **<u>cannot</u>** arrive before leaving with respect to gravity.

While, in comparison, the exact opposite is true for vast distances unequal to 186,282.4 miles, in 1 second of travel for mass-less energy - for such increases via Quantum Tunneling past c, every expanse (of real space) past this value of D, in straight lines, a corresponding increase past c would be necessary to compensate in the increase of the distance to match 1 second or below.

Literally in straight-line motion to adjust the value of RPS, as would be by rectilinear traversement the value of c would be for the inverse situation - only this applied to straight lines between positions.

Once again we find ourselves back with our old enemy - energy - with it we are doomed to eternal failure in trying to incorporate it into the problem of causing FTL.

Causing more potentiality through gravity might be keyed into negative particles, provided they are timitic or time travitic. Incidentally negative particles and negative matter therefore are not created by forcing them to become smaller via *compression, forcing the energy to *borrow* against positive energy, and thereby be in-debt - (ed) to energy, because potentiality and E are interdependent, as is four-velocity motion being one and the same. The only other way is to cause it to avoid loss in E by renewal in E.

To manifest such a particle through Increased Deceleration designed to be 1 whole percentage above that of the initial velocity (Relativistically) would also be incorrect, although indication has it that in doing so 2 and even 3 or 4 times above an initial velocity, and depending on the distance also, a (−0) will become apparent.

The reason why this won't work is again due to the energy requirements necessary in causing an unequivalent increase in deceleration as much as accumulation to an initial velocity. Rest here is immaterial because it is due to gravity when force is applicable.

Timitically, although energy could be avoided while potential, becomes fully manifest in a particle.

But what is happening here? Where are the extremes? First a particle is produced by means of one or more spinning photons or rectilinearly.

The finite distance of it is small enough so that the energy goes from a leaving point on the radius - back in time to return to the present - by coming back from the past - through the future - but **NEVER** in another position other than the one measured by the point on the radius and never altogether for the entire particle since that would mean it is duplicating the action of the light ring remaining in the present.

Always by (**ω**) (angular velocity or speed) at c. Always in the same positions when the point is relocated to any other place on the outer circumference.

Timitically - by RPS determined by c over the distance, over and over again, E goes back but does not stay back in time. Because coming back to the present requires that it must come from **THE** past - to **THE** future - to arrive in **THE** now.

The more finite although, the more E goes back in time as if having to push it there relying on THE future. But ΔD also causes Δt where expansion and contraction of the circumferential distance is available.

*Proper compression of light Quanta forces it to borrow against negative energy to pass through a barrier. Various experiments have yielded in Quantum Tunneling successes of light becoming faster than light and in one 300 times faster.

Since it would be arriving back - before it left, it (the speck) would arrive back before it left in the same position - if the position where different than that referenced, to the point on the radius or the entire distance it might then be feasible to reverse E avoiding loss in E by renewal in E, so as to bring about E as avoided by total renewal in potential.

Nominally if a thing goes back in time to a position equal to it - leaving - a finite duration of time after it arrives - will allow it to proceed back to the present by going to the future from the past to/or at the present position from which it left.

What's interesting about this odd reciprocal behavior, is in that, the reciprocation of the particle in being so finite - is that the value of RPS moves backward *through* time - to the past coming back to the present to deceive the mind that it is supposedly arriving before leaving, when in reality this deception is only transpiring to the energy renewal in RPS, avoiding loss in energy (that is stopping and restarting (corners), arced)) due to the finite distance about which it traverses.

Did it not already go to the past and come back to the future to the present - only to arrive once again in the past before leaving?

Twice?

It is again traversing through the past of the same position. What this shows is that the energy - by value of RPS - is traveling more and more to the past from the future the more finite D actually becomes.

So, the trip to the future the energy uses in RPS to return to the present begins to become smaller for every return before leaving - comprising as it were, any equilibrium that would be occurant if D were fixed at a circumference where leaving a position and arriving at a position were both at the same time, Aleodelogically.

When (and I mean this figuratively) the trip to the future decreases to 0 by the return trip - to the same position, travels or arrives in that past.

But because we are programmed to believe that zero is the limit, we assume that when D approaches 0 distance, no distance, that the whole process comes to a screeching halt and either ceases or discontinues.

(MC - (*But does not cessation come from result of partially automated systems with respect to automated space-time?*

Indeed))!

But is this the case seeing the evidence laid out before us?

The answer to this is a definite NO.

Why?

The reasoning behind this is due to outcomes. In conjunction with this do you recall that loss in energy is being avoided by the renewal of energy about the distance at c by RPS? It is these outcomes (at least for energy) that determine the future (or possible result) for energy.

So if energy possesses no outcome - to result in, then how then can it be in the present?

And without a present or now there can be no df. Perhaps this sounds a bit confusing to the reader and I do not mean to wander so let's explain what this means. In the presence of energy there are enough positions throughout the universe that account for degrees of freedom, any one of them are possible for E.

But for these degrees of freedom to come out in an outcome they must possess a past also to emerge from, (under normal circumstances) one that can go to THE future to be present in **THE** now.

Thus all energy in whatever form - formulates by emergence from **THE** past to **THE** future and into **THE** now.

This statement alone should be clear to you.

The result of energy formulating from the past to remain attached to the now, is degrees of freedom by retainment of E in the present - all equivalently but never in the same position.

These degrees of freedom incurred by energy to time prevent travel beyond the "now" so that now-retainment of energy creates *df*, **rep-i-tit-i-cally** - (that is we can move in so many df and then they eventually repeat) past to future to now equilibrium. Relativistically all three (past, future and now) interdependently "stretch", where vast distance compensation in speed at c is needed to compensate for the extensive distance to reduce time-being unequal to c to D.

So in establishments of E, the interdependency of time is set up (so to speak) to prohibit E into the "now", so that the now-and consequently the inability to go beyond it - (which would collapse the df via time travitics) acts as or is the df itself - thus preventing travel beyond the now by retention in it through the establishments of energy in debt to G in this repetitive past to future to now -- equilibrium.

Therefore this interdependency for E is df in the retention of E in the now.

Arguments

But let us assume, although that our doctrine is considerably skewed as this would lead to the author's brainwaves having a couple of screws loose. In that being that the order of progression is incorrect and that I have only extrapolated from causality violation involving a rectilinear mass-less energy form of said distance and applied it to normal causality (cause and effect) situations where relativistic effects are considerably negligible even in situations of walking and resting.

AH-HA! He's a crank!

That's how energy becomes established to grow in time in the order described - from the future to present is incorrect. That order of establishment of energy in time as it progresses from position to position, does not occur in the now, that energy is not established in coming from THE past into THE present by coming to THE future to THE present; Which, by all accounts would make absolutely perfect sense.

But rather by classical interpretations and reasons of thought that energy in being established in time, transpires by growing from THE past to THE present and that the future remains uncertain. Prediction has no bearing here. Then if this is assumed as absolute for nominal cause and effect situations involving all types of experimental bodies that incorporate energy to work and accomplish things - then of a surety I am wrong. And I admit it plain and simple.

But it still leaves our discoveries unaltered, the past present and future are still interdependent to create the now-to evolve limits for energies incorporated in the now by the now. Also whenever energy does become established in whatever degree, in situations where causality (RPS) is not reciprocal, that the now is responsible for the constraints for/of energy being prohibited to the relations of positions (that is they are created by them) which are the degrees of freedom created by definition.

The conclusion where energy then would not be generated from the past - but instead is always in the now, or that it comes from the past only to the present and that in so doing the future does not lead to the present. Hence on one side of the fence we have the modernists who argue that the past leads to the present, while on the other side you have me and my discoveries and these writings.

It appears then that we have a double standard resulting in a disagreement, which can be argued as uncomplimentary. However before everyone laughs and walks away, let's take a closer look at this two-sided coin with the use of an imaginary time traversion machine.

And let us assume that in a straightaway manner, we transcend time to the same place back in time to approximately 1 hour beforehand. Upon emerging into THE past at the same spot we check our surroundings and realize we are indeed in THE past of 1 hour before initiating the event to go back. (We are outdoors of course).

Now we desire to return to the present from the past in which we are in-in the now, currently. To return via our machine from the past to the present- we must now journey to the future from the past of which we currently reside in. When we travel (if you can call it that) to the present from the past we are, in essence traveling also from the past to the present, by travel from the past to the future and the future leads back to the present from which we left. While inversely, we would travel from the now from the present; while also we would from **THE** future to **THE** past. And we emerge into the past it becomes the now.

Thus both interpretations are correct and neither is wrong! Therefore the future does lead to the present while also the past leads to the present. Now this might appear a tad perplexing but the differentiation comes between leaving and arriving. While it can be stated that the past leads to the future-it does, provided infinite travel in it is exercised. Reversed toward the Big Bang. And it does not matter whether that travel through time is reciprocal or in a straight-line. The past leads to the now and the future leads to the present. Confused? If you are, re-read again.

Part 4

Real Time Travel

With enough said here surrounding the semantics of time related to establishments of energy in space-time it becomes clear that time is the key to unlocking the secrets to particles and exotic forms of matter. Now all this is leading to an important pinnacle of which we have not gotten to yet because there are dire moments of scenery that need to be crossed over to solve the riddles behind these secrets in order to expose them and use them correctly.

After all if we miss that scenery the road trip will be missed. With this we can estimate that D approaches 0 whenever arriving occurs more than leaving does. Or to put it meaningfully one part of interdependency would have to be to be avoided. That being when arrival before occurs more than the interdependency of coming back to the present from the past by way of the future. It literally must avoid it, as does loss in E by renewal in E.

When arrival occurs so much so that going back before leaving transpires more than coming back via the future from the past space collapses where t overrides D. Now comes the hardest part how to cause the particle to do this and do it in another position at t_1.

Now that we understand what is going on here any reader might be able to see where this is heading to bring about Quantum Nanotechnology. One should comprehend that there exists an interdependency between past and future in constraining energy to the present-the very reason for

df - it becomes clear that the expansion in D of a particle will result in a change in RPS of the particle.

Matching arrivals with leavings at the same time to the exact position(s) – is not actually going back - back in time to the exact position from which it left because there is a dependency for E to remain locked in the present by the interdependency between past and future. So every instance where the RPS value arrives at the same time it leaves via a return to the future to the present insinuates in-actual time travel to the past, instead.

This gap needs to be closed by arrival more. Once the value of arriving occurs more than leaving approaches a full value, say for instance 1, the dependency for coming back to the present from the past by way of the future approaches 0 so that E is enabled to go back through time.

Quantum Time Travel

Now the only problems left are how to do this. Understand, here, that compression to cause contraction of the particle's distance or expansion does not affect the interdependency either way.

At every position on the circumference leaving and arrival are occurant. To force the circumference to be more finite by altercations in D to effect the RPS - at c - will only cause the interdependency to occur faster – no time travel – no nothing. It still retains the value of being in the present at any mark-off point indicating RPS about D at c.

Affecting the ω of c won't do any wonders either because at each position it will go to the past faster to arrive in the now faster. What we have here is a crux where neither ΔD works to cause time travel, nor increments to the value of c because the past leads to the present. And as a note, notice that all visible matter you see macroscopically… remains in the now. Do you understand what is causing it Quantum dynamically?

Why? (I love this Question)

What is the reason for this? And why the lockout by the particle`s mechanics to cause time travel?

Let us refer to this interdependency of time to habitually lock matter into the now by acting as a unit as Transciprocal (trans-reciprocal) motion. Transciprocal motion always leads to the present, no matter how finite the circumferential distance of a thing may be and always at every position on the circumference. Timitically at a macro level this is the reason for positions. Otherwise they would be transcendent via time travel.

That is that arrival NEVER arrives at any position before leaving and cannot no matter the distance, because the values of leaving to the past and arriving to the present are both equivalent. If the value for arrival were to be increased above the value for leaving - arrival would be assumed to transpire before leaving - or at best at the exact time of t_1 at all positions but because reciprocation is

interdependent to remain in the now, that interdependency would flagrate and cancel like the interdependency of 4-velocity motion, one portion of the wave that results in v by acceleration to c cannot exist without the other; Thereby locking arrival to leaving to arrival making it not possible to transcend the now.

We can now estimate that the arrival of energy before leaving or exactly is in the now of the past and this raises some more interesting subject matter.

Fortunately and yet unfortunately this is the only way to do this, to force arrival to transpire before leaving - because the RPS in leaving from the present goes back to THE past returning to the present from THE past to THE future.

In assuming that a particle is electric, magnetic- it would be erroneous to pacify any argument that suggests that it is not coupled with Gravity. We may model a particle to any typical configuration of spin-direction, which follow patterns of non-interference.

However being that RPS of D of c is interdependent in GCV where arrival and leaving are equivalent any position via uniform circulation is of course a measurement pertinent to only the imaginary, hence in reciprocation no actual position is really evident. With no position essentially present, the loss in energy aside from decay is avoided by renewal in E occurent by D of c in RPS.(At) an exact time where leaving and arrival unite in a present position in the now.

Attempts to isolate to/for **THE** past to return back to the now invites futility as much as it is impossible to isolate Pe from Ke. It just cannot be done. One can only avoid the other. Where position throughout space-time is contingent when energy in all forms are established - without such used to move would be irrelevant. E, in of itself, in it's normal manifestations can in no sense result in FTL, for in it and by it do constraints result.

Exactly, the reason why the RPS of c about D can traverse to THE past and come back to **THE** present is entirely due to the fact that ω of c/E about the finite D in RPS terms is because the finite circle possesses no position to contend with differentiated from that designated by a mark-off point indicating the RPS by measuring it's v=c about D.

Making any placement thereof to purpose a base of actual position, as a real base of measurement is purely imaginary and has no basis apart from indication. Motion - back and forth- to and from the present is repetitive or uniformly repetitive, and thus time travitic. The heading of which goes absolutely nowhere - by the interdependency of repetition in the now.

Because space is variable in such laser circles to a fixed speed, indication has it that with less distance (D) in traversion by mass-less energy, by time as it is measured in RPS and straightway changes in response to the variation one with more finiteness to quicken- and with much expanse speed must compensate for the compensation of more space that becomes more unequal to the speed as if to signal a variation above c to variable energy establishment(s) that leave from one

position through intervening space (That is assuming intervening space exists between positions) to any other position. (Quantum).

As radical as this appears - we blind ourselves from these concepts because all relativistic measurements originate from the value-based measurements of Pe and Ke. Incidentally for certain Quantum relations of spin may be time dependent at certain finite distances or negligible.

We may refer to such levels of distance expansions and contractions effecting the RPS of c about different finite distances below 1 inch-1 and the compensation required in altering c to compensate for vast distance stretches unequal to c as the difference between the finite and infinite as subspace differentials below mass-less energy or infinite finiteness and infinite in-finiteness.

But position still remains present with energy whenever that energy retains a value approaching 0 and above. Nothing represented by 0, is, at least something while less than nothing (-1) can be estimated as either position-less, avoided by energy renewal in a circle in finite distances of energy or is only applicable in time travel.

Again where:

$$\sqrt{-1} = i$$

Or as an alternative or perhaps an analogy negative mass has no position that can be said of as existing in/with or to energetic relations because energy being related to mass is valid $E=mc^2$, in relationship to position whenever either are incorporated in attempts to circumvent FTL.

Therefore because positional relations manifest with the use of energy, negative mass must possess no positions to contend with also. Which means it is THERE and exists - but it is going to take either time travel to generate it or some other thing of highly advanced technological capabilities.

At every instance where energy is used a block results to cause or violate causality in avoidance of these position(s) to cause time travel in straight - lines even if induced in barrier-less oscillations at the Quantum level. So it becomes immediate to cause it (Or in causality violation effect it) to find it through an absolutely different approach other than energy to manifest the proper means of contending with positions to result in actual time travel. Then Aleodeology.

But how would one generate an equation that does not incorporate energy when all measurement stems from energy in the first place? To get it we are going to need something that does not contend with position(s), similar to the RPS of c about a finite D, but absent of reciprocation. That being almost like converting the rapid rotation of a particle to straight-line displacement.

But would this entail renewal of E? Does not this hint at position-less travel? And does not this indicate the omission of travel altogether? Yes, this is true. First, Let's investigate what is what in it. One reason why circulation proceeds "nowhere" is because in it's position-less travel it has nothing

to grapple with to go. Such as the rapid rotation of a tire in the absence of a flat surface to travel across as it moves backward to propel a vehicle forward.

With nowhere to go, it is simply a matter of comparison, similar to everyday world examples, such as an organism trapped in quicksand, with nothing for it to grab onto the mass effortlessly sinks or floats as in outer space. No foothold on position.

So what is the answer here?

A spin absent of repeating inputs of increased energy that causes accumulation?

A rapid rotation that does not go nowhere?

Would it be something that doesn't contend with positions as in a closed time-like line but also does not repeat?

Like two die on felt, all animated objects must repeat within their own degrees of freedom. The organism possesses only so many degrees of freedom and will always find it impossible to extend beyond them without time travel, just as all things do that are possessed of energy and can *willfully move or be forced to move.

So if we are to assume a closed time-like line and move it in all possible degrees of freedom as fast as can be - while reciprocating – it will eventually end all those df by going through all the combinations and permutations to begin repeating them all over again maybe in a different manner than before but eventual unto a repeat just the same. None united and all separate one from another due to Δd with respect to G.

The point here being that each new spin, diversified for all df is done, accomplished and occurs in the now. Light speed or no. Finite distance for a particle or not it does not matter. Does this therefore indicate that a thing, which does not repeat within the degrees of freedom available to it, does not use or access positions?

Degrees of freedom (Positions established with the use of energy and in debt to Pe) surround us everywhere in space-time - a choice you make determines the outcome in the now and this necessitates Δd. As opposed to an alternate choice you could (or could have) made in the now but did not. (An alternate outcome in space-time (different positions)) – but ONLY in relationship to energy corresponding to establishment in it required to do so in space-time. Thus indicating no energy to be established for the second half of the conclusion. If no df is taken then positions are not contended with corresponding to E in space-time. Thus signifying, again no energy to be established for the first half of the conclusion. What then would not take df that is not energy corresponding to space-time?

In this oscillatory reference omitting repeats is the omission of returning to an original position - all new or existent? Leaving to a new position? Which is not a return to a prior position, because returning to a previous position in such rapid rotation of a finite particle would indicate a timitic return to the now, provided mass-less E.

Leaving then would be travel (from) the now - to continually leave - omitting repeats. What then of the new position at c? Is not this arrival…? How then does the energy leave without returning, our goal being to cause FTL?

- Again choice and again Δd.

In that being leaving without arrival to any new position (straightway) not involved as a repeat to a previous position would fail? To avoid "arrival" indicates constant leaving. But what does this mean? Is this descriptive? To accomplish this E should constantly leave from itself, by-pass or a reduction in/of arrival must occur to leave? In particular this would have to be from the same position,which is a return back to a prior position. Would not then a total ΔE have to transpire in relationship to position? Loss in E? Reverse?

First, would not the position itself have to be not contended with? To do this repeats would have to be involved, because loss in E is necessary where renewal in E is causative of it. Hence we are again blocked in straight-line, oscillatory energetic motion. If E goes back – it arrives – forward – it arrives. Position to position is made sure. Arrival is at position B back to A, while leaving is A and from B with respect to E.

Blocking

We could (assuming that this were constantly applicable), speed up in leaving by pinpointing the leave time at c. In order to cause the speed-up in leaving to arrival, we would consider a photon as one in which there exists a timitic response to electromagnetic induction.

Consider a photon leaving from position A while arriving at position A opposite in propagation direction. Depiction of the same photon is separate, indicated as in the same position P_1. … The related positions it has come from.

Finite Timitic Differential

There would be assumed a cancellation between the two photons, determined by equal and opposite polarizations in the electric and magnetic fields of each.

(Phase conjugate, yet in phase). However no cancellation can happen given that one transpires at a finite time that is different to/from that of the other's arrival. (Unless from the opposite positions from which they do come - they occur at the exact same instant measured by a *static interval of time).

So cancellation is not seen because of this tiny timitic differential. Then again if the photon, as it is depicted were exactly precise it would indicate simultaneity, and this is not possible without a loss in E as in the renewal of E in a rapid reciprocal process-therefore if there were no differential then cancellation would transpire as well as simultaneity.

So in an oscillation there are two differentials of time – but coming from different directions. The electric field producing the magnetic field incorporates 4-velocity motion, which allegedly produces the speed of light as magnitude. The 4 - velocity motion originates from the fact that the energy produced exists as a rapid interchange between deceleration into Pe to curl into momentum resulting in Ke. The underlying cause - gravity, again.

Thus:

$$\nu + Pe + m - Pe + Ke = a$$

Notice although that momentum is not a result of energy, but stems from potentiality due to G, which means degrees of equidistant freedom.

Acceleration (a) leads to a top velocity equal to c where repetitiveness again is engaged. If we could increase this rapid interchange between Pe+ m+ Ke is the effect of increasing a, v, −v in the waves of the photon from which the interchange is produced and propagates. And this is done in a particle accelerator. However once we consider the source then it is obvious to see that the source can only function in the present and cannot extenuate itself beyond this speed to venture past light's (through time) because it operates in the now also by energy where F=E.

- A precise static instant in time underlying a dynamical physical process at which the relative position of a body in relative motion or a specific physical magnitude would theoretically be precisely determined. Time and Classical and Quantum Mechanics: Indeterminacy vs. Discontinuity -Peter Lynds

[In rapid reciprocation about a finite distance in RPS at c, whenever there exists a non-contention of position for a particle spin or spinning photons where acceleration does not exist because of this non-contention, energy that arrives – arrives in an "imaginary position" to an indicated point in the now – with nowhere to arrive to – in the now and no more arrival from the past leaving occurs.]

With this then being true of arrival because the energy has no actual position to contend with then the opposite is true for travel to the past.

[Arrival in THE past DOES NOT occur where no position exists to contend with. (Arrive to/at)

Therefore without a "past now" to arrive to by leaving from the now, arrival to the now must transpire.]

The same photon in the same position (arriving from opposite directions) (Not "A" position) does NOT cancel. What the photon is, as was depicted, are just the two halves of a circle split down the center and straightened.

Circle split

If we attempt to take 1 photon and invert it at either end there is still a Δd prevalent. Even if done at the precise center. Thus, for the rapid reciprocation of such a particle of a finite distance the actual position that exists for the particle – devoid of position is of course compensated for as the reciprocation itself. Because it goes back upon itself. Hence the position is the entire renewal back to the same location or at most the position of the particle in space-time as it spins.

And every imaginary position measurable about the entire circumference, arrives and leaves in precisely the now. No real position but always in the now. But as depicted before leaving would be travel (from the now) to continually leave – presumably omitting repeats. And arrival to the new position by leaving is arrival – which in turn is a return to a new position minus the old position.

So if the mass-less E goes back to itself – it is arrival – and forward (to another assumed position) it is arrival (straight). The "positions" here are imaginary because a line-segment can stretch add

infinitum. So without causality violation leaving in a line-segment can catch up with arrival, pass it up and move back through time. But again a problem of mass interferes with particles and speed is un-incremental because the energy source cannot extenuate itself out of the now.

This is in relationship to energy corresponding to leaving and arriving. The positions are imaginary,and we have a quite complex problem associated with rapid reciprocation where positional relations do not or are not dealt with, except where renewal itself is manifest as the entire position itself. Hence in any case we wind up with an prohibition with energy in relationship to space-time.

With vast distance it is difficult to say how fast, fast is because at c for mass-less energy, it never compensates itself to match the now because it must come from the past. That also of starlight one sees in the night sky is light coming from the past. Although that light is seen in the now as it arrives to the eye it has been displacing over thousands if not millions of years and that travel from there to here does not occur in now. To cover such great distance in the now photons would have to be increased in velocity to compensate for the length of the distance to be unequal or equal to it.

But the distance is really far and unequal to the speed of light so c never approaches now although it remains in the now as it travels.

Weird huh? Very long circular distances of laser light would also take approximately the same time to arrive to the eye – in an arc and then return to it's point of origin and then again. So we might assume that either the distance involved must be altered to compensate for the lack of speed or alter the speed to compensate for the distance.

However since the distances involved in interplanetary travel are so vast anything that can approach the or even attain the speed of light will not be efficient enough to travel to other world properly because that velocity will be too slow to travel throughout space and visit other worlds with life on them now - and therefore any star ship able to travel at speeds approaching light speed will be found to be too slow for the demands required for interplanetary travel and therefore is worthy only of the garbage.

If induced in the "now" as does the speed of light retains a grip in all possible answers fail in light of energy. Because whatever source is used to do so cannot also extend beyond the now – the force uses energy to generate the force and therefore force is energy.

Thus the solution to *i* in the equation:

```
√ −1 = i;
```

is:

$$i = P$$

Travel in/through time is position-less – arrival and/or leaving in time necessitates position.

Space?

Arriving without position leads backward in time. Arrival back in time (previous nows) leads back to the present. Because position is inherent to deceleration and without it in renewal of energy position is nowhere to be found in actuality. So position is compensated for in renewal of E through leaving(s) and returning (s) to present time.

No choice or at.

The common denominator in this IS loss in E or in reciprocation, the avoidance of loss in E, the absence of position therefore is related to stopping or halts to a position which is of course energy in debt to Pe. Or Pe itself. Initially then avoidance of Pe/G is required to not originally (cause) by definition time - (travel) – but by definition it's absence in renewal but to arrive through the avoidance of position in a diverse time – apart from the now necessitates that it be in that now to arrive,otherwise it CANNOT.

Thus E in order to time travel, the avoidance of loss in E must be encouraged to avoid position consisting of Pe/G. I believe that it may in fact be the case to transcend positions by renewal in E to avoid loss in E and there are reasons for this, namely because of matter and mass. But who among us having investigated the subject can explain to you what position consists of apart from automated or pre-programmed information? We know that energy ends a position of Pe by G acting upon the mass.

Whenever energy is established in space-time to propagate in 1 direction, positions naturally and automatically become established due to the fact that it is in resistance to it and that that energy in being established by an external force does not undergo renewal. But what have you in such an oscillatory process? As we know there exists a tiny timitic differential between a photon that arrives at an identical place from opposing directions.

How much more an oscillating laser beam between 2 barriers? With an oscillation involving mass-less energy the result is evident as non-cancellous, and no-closed time-like line can result because an oscillation travels 2 dimensionally (2 directions) thereby prohibiting the avoidance of loss in energy related to position by renewal in energy.

How, mind you, even if one could unite the timitic differences would the oscillation be useful toward interplanetary travel when it may prove impossible to create such an oscillation without two 100% silvered barriers? And further still, the jittery travel would look awfully funny. More coffee? We could

although begin such a barrier less oscillation at the Quantum level, very near to an imaginary reference point.

Everything would naturally work out fine until more distance is used provided the energy is forced to become larger. As it does the process breaks down as it approaches the mesio-scopic and the macroscopic. This because the timitic differences in the same space widen, growing farther and farther apart and thereby necessitating that the renewal be sped-up to compensate for every growth in energy to distance. The indication is that for a spinning photon or particle a position in the past would have to be present for the energy to arrive in **THE** past.

It is safe to assume that all that would be needed to do this is a slow-down of the position-less rotation at precise intervals (pulses, etc?) in/of time when the energy proceeds to go back to past nows from **THE** now after arriving occurs to **THE** now and has nowhere else to arrive.(Reader comprehend)

This is against the rapid revolvement of the particle- in the opposite direction to the direction of spin. The best part in this is that this can be done from the imaginary positions in the now.

Is It by Travel?

Let us assume, although that our doctrine is considerably skewed as this would lead to the author's brainwaves having a couple of screws loose. In that being that the order of progression is incorrect and that I have only extrapolated from causality violation involving a rectilinear mass-less energy form of said distance and applied it to normal causality (cause and effect) situations where relativistic effects are considerably negligible even in situations of walking and resting.

That's how energy becomes established to grow in time in the order described - from the future to present is incorrect. That order of establishment of energy in time as it progresses from position to position, does not occur in the now, that energy is not established in coming from **THE** past into **THE** present by coming to **THE** future to **THE** present.

Which, by all accounts would make absolutely perfect sense. But rather by classical interpretations and reasons of thought that energy in being established in time, transpires by growing from **THE** past to **THE** present and that the future remains uncertain. Prediction has no bearing here. Then if this is assumed as absolute for nominal cause and effect situations involving all types of experimental bodies that incorporate energy to work and accomplish things - then of a surety I am wrong. And I admit it plain and simple.

But it still leaves our discoveries unaltered, the past present and future are still interdependent to create the now-to evolve limits for energies incorporated in the now by the now. Also whenever

energy does become established in whatever degree, in situations where causality (RPS) is not reciprocal, that the now is responsible for the constraints for/of energy being prohibited to the relations of positions (that is they are created by them) which are the degrees of freedom created by definition.

The conclusion where energy then would not be generated from the past - but instead is always in the now, or that it comes from the past only to the present and that in so doing the future does not lead to the present. Hence on one side of the fence we have the modernists who argue that the past leads to the present, while on the other side you have me and my discoveries and these writings. It appears then that we have a double standard resulting in a disagreement, which can be argued as uncomplimentary. However before everyone laughs and walks away, let's take a closer look at this two-sided coin with the use of an imaginary time traversion machine.

And let us assume that in a straightaway manner, we transcend time to the same place back in time to approximately 1 hour beforehand. Upon emerging into **THE** past at the same spot we check our surroundings and realize we are indeed in **THE** past of 1 hour before initiating the event to go back. (We are outdoors of course). Now we desire to return to the present from the past in which we are in-in the now, currently. To return via our machine from the past to the present- we must now journey to the future from the past of which we currently reside in.

When we travel (if you can call it that) to the present from the past we are, in essence traveling also from the past to the present, by travel from the past to the future and the future leads back to the present from which we left. While inversely, we would travel from the now from the present.

While also we would from **THE** future to **THE** past. And we emerge into the past it becomes the now.

Thus both interpretations are correct and neither is wrong! Therefore the future does lead to the present while also the past leads to the present.

Now this might appear a tad perplexing but the differentiation comes between leaving and arriving.

While it can be stated that the past leads to the future-it does, provided infinite travel in it is exercised. Reversed toward the Big Bang. And it does not matter whether that travel through time is reciprocal or in a straight-line. The past leads to the now and the future leads to the present.

Confused?

If you are re-read again.

Quantum Nanotechnology

the outset of actually causing time travel with particles these discoveries may shock the entire world. However we are not finished just yet because the formula is not complete and it needs to be furthered to be favorable Aleodelogically. This is to mean that when Aleodelogically done, the energy arrives in **THE** past it arrives before it left. Initially this was the assumption I made early on in my research.

Though I had previously devised Aleodeology (and named it) in many of my writings, I did not fully grasp how it could be done until I came across this particular information, which I am sharing with the entire world.

What needs to transpire now is how to do it to the particle. Because the spin of the particle is position-less and any point indicating position on the outer circumference is an imaginary reference point measures the RPS of the particle dependent on the velocity of light about the distance of the circumference. Thus the angular velocity of the light is dependent on the distance of the circumference.

The Value of Revolutions

The reference point on the radius is imaginary and in no case can depict actual position. If the reference point is relocated anywhere else along the circumference there is no difference in RPS.

Moving the Revolutions

Therefore at every reference point RPS is still the same and this tells us that arriving and leaving in the now is transpiring at every position indicated by the reference point. Thus at all imaginary

positions on the outer circumference must the slow-down be. Generally speaking a sub-division in the RPS in arriving in the now to leaving.

The slow-down can be done magnetically, electrically or with other forces involving light rings and Potassium Di-hydrogen Phosphate Crystals (KDP). Once a slow-down from **THE** now is induced to create a position in THE past it can then arrive in **THE** past before leaving. Thus accomplished it is assumed as being in **THE** past in the same position. Now it can be done in a different position or degree of freedom. The time t_1 is the same it can move in all possible df without any prohibition from any other df whatsoever. It can even be spun in multiple df.

We can even cause it to travel faster than light and arrive in t_1 at point **B** from point **A** without any troubles. Taking this to the biological level and to matter control is to deal with atoms. Atoms constitute molecules. Similar to molecular manufacturing Quantum manufacturing consists of the rearrangement of particles constituting atoms through Aleodeology to rearrange the basic building blocks of the chemicals involved. Initially, the process is, understandably applicable.

Tarasov V.E., "Quantum Nanotechnology" International Journal of Nanoscience. Vol.8. No.4-5. (2009) 337-344

Transportation

Can a single atom compose an entire ship for interplanetary travel? The answer thanks to Aleodeology applied to atoms, is yes. Assume we have a single atom or groups of atoms.

Group of Atoms Example

The particles of the atom are affected via Aleodelogic techniques and can exits in all diverse outcomes at t_1.

Outcomes by Aleodeology

Done properly it can take up so many positions it can actually form the structure for a craft – one that can support life oxygen and travel faster than light Aleodelogically.

Altogether the craft can be flown at incredible speeds repair damage, from any collisions with particles it may encounter while in FTL mode and even induce simulated Gravity. This "craft" can assume any feasible shape and alchemical structure possess food processors add infinitum and caress the inner reaches of imagination.

Occupants

Occupants (people or organisms) may not travel independently of the craft. They to must be temporarily permeated with the craft until arrival at destination.

Then reconstituted.

The reason is quite simple unless you and any objects surrounding you want to be destroyed.

Various Spin-off Transports

Predictably with such an ability to transport to distant places there are going to arise transport systems that emulate those from popular science fiction television shows and I am sure that I am going to get lectured on this area since so many authorities in these areas have either debunked the possibility of such transports from being a whimsical fantasy or too far into the future to even consider currently. However with Quantum Nanotechnology and Aleodeology that dream and it's

realization could become a reality within the next hundred years instead of the ongoing search for answers to FTL *by energy* which results in fruitless research efforts.

A plausible suggestion since it may come to pass one day that our own sun may go nova. Just where would we go to at such a time, and how would Earth be evacuated? It will be a necessity to eventually, just as cars have become a necessity. But such cool transport systems do not make slower modes of transport obsolete unless those modes of transport are immaterial in light of the new transport system by

- Popular consensus.

Eliminating the need to land a ship and having replaced that with a transport system that avoids this is of course a brilliant idea-it's real name however is called teleportation. Obviously we have extrapolated these ideas from automation and certain themes from fictitious depictions.

- From sci-fi comes the most popular of these … our friendly space-crew from the 60's. Universal owns the rights to the fan-based show and from time to time they produce new versions of this show. However the techno-feasible concepts behind them extended far beyond T.V. fantasy of possible life out there, The impact of the show resulted in far reaching implications that went well beyond the fantastical aspects of outer space life in the future and seared the status-quo of outer space life and it's military operations into the minds of scientists, laymen, theorists and enthusiasts the world over.

But what have you in the face of a malevolent race bent on evil intentions and that in their possession having highly advanced technology to boot? Perhaps even advanced by millions of years? Such transport systems entail Quantum Nanotechnology and details Aleodeology.

At this point in this book I am not able to compile the proper methods to execute such a system, however it will be necessary to create them in positions-less travel in the craft/ship to be designed otherwise the inner walls will have to do the job instead. I am sorry to lift your hopes up on this particular subject but more time is needed to detail the schematics of it so that even a kitten will come out unscathed.

Lessons in Strangeness

Aside from consolidating chronic pain out of existence, what would happen if we were to do the same with thoughts, dreams and ideas? Thought (especially familiar dreams) can be considered or viewed as position-less or imaginary. Perhaps intangible as thoughts are things. Which means that all thought has the tendency to be transmuted into it's physical equivalent. Yet I am convinced that dreams have a definite connection to alternate dimensions.

Those of you who have had these types of dreams know what I am referring to – because they are familiar. People, places and events that you have seen before. Inexplicably you know that you know that you know it and somehow, in someway, you know that that place actually exists even though you cannot verify it. Sound familiar? But what can I assume about these dreams? Are they actually linked to alternate time-lines? Yet in the real, in our imagination, marked states can be considered as the super-quantum dynamical of all information.

If matter can neither be created nor destroyed then it is in reference only to non-time travitic applications uninvolved in Aloedeology. Yet again, matter has been created and destroyed, in Pair Production and Mutual Annihilation in particle accelerators. A=A. An identity. A thing is itself. A leaf cannot have the same side on both sides. Existence exists therefore non-existence does not exist. Then of course non-A=non-A. It follows then that in order for A to become non-A. There must be an element of subtraction or negation; A – A = ( ). And A – A = 0. If it is true that ( ) = ( ) but if ( ) +A = A, then there is a transition form non-existence to existence with A being existent in reality. Therefore ( )+A = ( )A.

Where non-existence = non-existence, ( ) +A = (A). A transfer process of thought into actual could be existent as (A)-(A)=A. Thus "subtraction" of non-existent "(A)" into existence can only bring about non-existent (A) into existence. Or (A) –(·) ≠ A. Deleting non-existence itself would not do this but deleting non-existence might occur as ( ) – ( ) = ( ).

Therefore non-existence cannot be deleted because there may not be anything to delete. So to delete something or nothing by subtractive measures would not bring it into the real.

The fundamental method, then, by which A in non-existence to become existent is (A)+A=A.

Again this sets things at a loss because "A" in non-existence does not become existent by "A" in reality – presupposition. (A) –A - ( ) = A. Where non-existent A is subtracted from non-existence. This explains the basic transfer of thought into the real but does not explain how it occurs. Perhaps (A) +A – ( )=A. For if (a)_ -A-( )=(A), then (A) is subtracted from nothing as ( ) –( )= ( ). Therefore (A) + A – ( ) = A. representing existence symbolically is measured as a dot.

(•). (A) + (·) A – (A). Is this it? Where non-existent (A) + existent A – non-existent A = A existent.

A subtraction from inexistence while simultaneously becoming an addition to existence. Further we can reduce the length of the equation provided that it's transmutation is expressed in terms of simultaneity in Aloedeology: (A)+ - • A (A) =A There are several inexact(s) to these equations and I do not assume them to be absolute. As with the first case A – A = (A). Therefore (A) should invert (A) – (A) =A. Again this appears not to be the case since subtraction from or to – it not in existence and the (-) part is in existence. Hence one cannot subtract from non-existence when that subtraction is executed in existence.This would also lean toward being the case with addition and other operators. So can these operators be non-existent so as to bring about a non-existent (A) into the real and actual?

The reason why A – A = (A) was because it first started in existence to make A non-existent as well as subtraction which was existent and this is why it worked. If subtraction and other operators are non-existent is it's existence in no-existence be existent? The answer might appear contradictory but if it is existent in non-existence it cancels not itself but be thought of as hierarchical dimensions it only cancels it's surrounding cancelers/inexistors and this cancels it from non-existence into a form of existence hypothetically.

Thus:

(A) (-) (A) = A.

Or

A – A = (A) (-) (A) = A.

The first half of the equation suggests that those who "forget" certain ailments are canceling that ailment out, out of existence. This is a good argument as to why certain cures of the mind work and heal bodily ailments. Remembering or repetitive dwelling on troublesome phenomena associated with repetitive addictions and feeding it (especially in one's mind) indicates,conjuring from a very real vantage point.

Because after calling it to mind – repeatedly it's essence is forgotten temporarily and this manifests the phenomena more readily. However this does not always work with all ailments and chronic pain such as a tooth that has gone abscess. For one reason or another when the equations are used for thought transfer into the actual they do and do not work all of the time at least what I have found – perhaps another catalyst is needed.

Starcraft Design

Internal Structure:

We all know about the status quo for interstellar life and the humanitarian vision. But in all actuality space travel may be quite boring and adventure-less. Exploration on other worlds – similar to Gravitation equal to that of Mars (1/3 of that of earth) could be fatal. A walk in the dunes could lead to death, Gravitational differences will become more than evident in such circumstances. Therefore jogging would be walking. But the excitement is there and everyone has a reason to be the captain of the ship.

But things are not as they would be on television or rocket ships. Even with simulated Gravity using Aloedelogical techniques objects and things isolated from the composition of the interior of the ship itself (i.e.; cups. Lamps, chairs keys, etc) will possess more than a tendency to become displaced, smashed, flattened or even killed. Because they are not a distinct part of or created "from" within the ship's interior then damage to these items may occur in FTL mode and will have to be replaced.

A craft's doctor then would, when introduced to his/her office at first might be perplexed as to why he/she is walking into an empty metallic – looking room with perhaps a console protrusion extending out from a nearby wall. This is because everything that the doctor may or may not need is right at his or her disposal because it has to be created from the*ship's interior, Aloedelogically.

That is, when properly used, the console would generate tables, chairs and other items separate from the ship from the floor or another base. And would still be melded with the floor while protruding from the floor. Unless mobile the necessity to move things about should be as limited as much as possible due to FTL modes so that items do not get tossed about and destroyed. Not in use those items meld back into the floor. Everything is available from the ship's interior.

Individuals may require a room where they too can become a part of the interior while in FTL mode, temporarily, so as to avoid being crushed or killed. The entire ship is like this right down to the captain's chair. Anything not in sync with this may become damaged. Out of FTL mode, such as orbit, everything returns to normal and operates as if nothing transpired with normal routine.

The bridge is of course something to behold, it is all worked in a mini stellar cartography room. All navigation to and from destinations is done from this bridge. The personal assigned to the bridge, work in a pit area with the captain's chair elevated to the center. Somewhat dark is the upper dome where maps of stars and various solar systems can be seen above, directions are calculated and orders are given- all information is detailed here.

The captain navigates from his swivel chair using a series of keys to choose the destination and alerts crew members of the oncoming trip. The various maps that can be charted depend on the captain's directives and he may choose his destination at random for exploration purposes or with

the aid of his/her crew may get input as to where next he/she may venture. Unless on a scheduled flight and orders to destinations are given to the captain he or she may choose where it is the crew may go to explore new places.

Consequently, the incorporation of tables, chairs, and the such-like being generated from the interior, was reported by the now famous UFO abduction case concerning Travis Walton.

Re-Review

1) Space is the ability to accommodate energy. Real space where the home of the real vacuum exists, possesses limitations created by energy. Prohibition is to a finite propagation velocity and finite impedance. Space (time) as it is perceived through our five senses is four dimensional.

Consisting of three dimensions of space and one for time. The laws governing forces and the materials bound in obedience to them are known as physics. Matter and conventional energies exist within the physical in actual space. Energies and all forms of resulting matter are limited to influence or be influenced only within degrees of freedom. Degrees of freedom are the result of energy established in a spatio-temporal environment that is expressed as positions.

These positions with respect to G with respect to energy in debt to it- is manifest because the energy is not avoiding any loss in energy by renewal of energy (circular) in a simultaneous manner of which is G and Pe ends in position.

2) In light of avoidance in loss in E by renewal of E, any exotic form of non-energy that may be implemented to leave and arrive to any destination in outer space can be prohibited by degrees of freedom with respect to position- established only through the application of non-renewing energy. Hence with respect to ordinary energy manifestations positions, with respect to space-time are an illusion.

3) Gravitons are false assumptions- they cannot exist outside of simulated Gravity. Gravity is inherent to particles in the form of energy in debt to Gravitational potential energy.

3a) Particles may be composed of one or more gyrating photons that constitute electric and magnetic fields which in turn create Gravitation (energy in debt to G) as a cross product of the two being potentiality.

Where;

$$\frac{E \times B}{T} \neq G$$

But Rather:

$$\frac{E \times B}{DT} = P$$

Where:

$$DTP = N - S$$

Initially we are not concerned with the composition of particles with respect to Quantum engineering or FTL and it's by-products – all that is needed to know are the dynamics of the particle's spin properties in relationship to space-time, FTL, and the such like.

4) The speed of light for a photon propagates through space-time from A to B in 1 second over a distance of 186,282.4 miles. Over twice this distance, 372,564.8, the propagation is approximately 2 seconds. Over a distance of 93,141.2 miles propagation occurs in ¹⁄2 second.

At 1 mile –1 the propagation is equal to 0. At 1 inch-1 the propagation occurs in less than 0 seconds. All of the above is for ω of c about a distance in 1 direction. And applies to straight-lines too. Inversely where Quantum Tunneling is applicable, v is unequal to D. While reversed, D is variable to a fixed v=c.

However when mass-less energy remains constant and distance is vast an increase in v must be executed for every expanse that is unequal to v, to compensate for the propagation time it takes to cross from A to B as would be in subspace situations where leaving and arriving transpire in less than 0 seconds at 1 inch-1 and below 1 mile-1. This is the reason why Quantum effects break down on larger scales.

5) The RPS of a particle's spin is dependent upon the distance through which the angular velocity of light reciprocates about. vc=ω. The angular velocity, ω, conserves energy and is entirely momentum and always constant.

6) Weather or not the spin is generated from electric or magnetic forces are not considered, but only the GCV.

6a) The RPS is measured by a point on the radius on the outer circumference, where spin is inductive. The point or dot indicating the RPS is entirely imaginary because the reciprocation in reality possesses no actual position(s).

6b) No matter what the circumferential distance is – below 1 mile-1 or 1 inch-1 the leavings and arrivals of the angular velocity of light about the distance always leaves from the now and arrives back to the now. Arrival never transpires before leaving while leaving never arrives before arrival. Because the GCV of the particle's spin always leaves and arrives in the now, one can see why all energy and substance surrounding us remains affixed in the present. Equivalent.

6c) Therefore equivalent, the leaving to THE past transpires because arrival to the present has no actual position to arrive at in the now – because the energy as it is measured by an imaginary reference point to indicate the RPS is avoiding loss in energy by renewal of energy. With no position to arrive at in the now it cannot come to a complete halt – having nowhere to come but back through past nows.

6d) Likewise, such a finite particle, with no position for the energy to arrive at in a past now to THE past – the energy must re-turn to the now via the future (which by the way is probable) to THE present where everything transpires again and again at all reference points indicated throughout the circumference.

7) In no case can energy be used to cause FTL, time travel or the such-like, because energy when incorporated into the problem of surmounting these obstacles is bound to df and therefore positions by energy being in debt to Pe. Only when Pe is avoided in a closed time – like line can such even be considered as possible.

8) Oscillatory processes fail also to cause FTL even when started from the Quantum level, because for every increase in the energy consumes more space vs. time so it must compensate for it because it is un-equivalent. Also a finite timitic differential in the oscillation exists at the same space coming from opposing direction ending any possibility for GCV or unition (simultaneity) renewal of E and avoidance of position.

9) The solution to *i* in the equation:

$$\sqrt{-1} = i$$

Is:

$$i = P$$

Where:

$$-P = \frac{\pi^{r2} - P_e E_{c2} \pm T}{E \times B}$$

10) Time travel for a spinning particle is applicable from the now where the RPS is subdivided to create position in THE past when the spin is systematically slowed.

11) The systematic slowing in the now as energy travels back to previous nows results in an available position for energy to arrive in THE past.

12) Angular acceleration (α) then may enable a means whereby travel back from the past may be seen or disengagement of slowing.

12a) Means through which done.

13) Quantum Nanotechnology is accomplished via the systematic slow-down to provide for arrival in THE past via Aleodeology.

14) Aleodeology is the means of avoiding position in propagation by continually sending a particle back in time before it left (t_1) but in a different outcome P_2, P_3, P_4 … So as a result the particle can travel along at t_1 in a line in P_2, P_3 etc, etc…

A quick-zip effect to the intended destination without trouble.

14b) Pre-selected destinations enable rearrangement of particles constituting atoms of molecules.

(Literally the power of shape shifting) we refer to this as Quantum manufacturing.

15) Other benefits of Aleodelogical processes involving time travitics is the unique ability to take an atom or group of atoms and cause them to exist in different outcomes at t_1 *or the particles and with them the atoms.

With this knowledge, entire star ships can be designed to support crews for interplanetary exploration. And not that much dissimilar from those star-craft extrapolated from popular sci-fi classics.

16) Simulated Gravity may be accomplished on board a craft by revolving 2 or more atoms about each other in different outcomes of the floor surface – a bit more complex but worth the effort.

17) Elimination for the need to land a craft to explore a planet, moon or asteroid is done Aleodelogically to and from the ship and can come in handy. It will also be used to safeguard occupants and objects inside the craft that are not a part of the ship and be tossed about or crushed by FTL travel modes.

18) Emergence into alternate time-lines (AKA Parallel Universes) is seen as arriving in an outcome that is differentiated from THE past or THE future to A past or A future.

* *The particles can exist Aleodelogically to form the atom without having to actually cause Aleodeology to the atom itself. As it would be to manifest a star craft.*

Negative Energy

According to Quantum theory there exists a distinct, albeit small probability that a particle can tunnel it's way through a barrier. That probability exponentially declines with the barrier's thickness.

A particle tunneling has to borrow energy to overcome the barrier it is penetrating. In effect the particle's energy runs an energy deficit while it is tunneling – it possesses a negative kinetic energy. Classically Ke is related to the square of the speed, thus if energy is negative, the speed will be the square root of a negative number.

Few if any results could come about to bring about negative matter from a Quantum mechanical investigations of Gravity alone. Gravity is inherent to a particle as energy in debt to G. Accordingly there should be two types of mass - the first type positive mass, which retains all the properties of ordinary mass.

The second type; negative mass differs only in that it's mass is an inherently negative quantity. Consideration of the Quantum mechanical effects of the existence of these two types of mass is erroneous because their separate existences are not of an *isolatable* nature with respect to a single particle.

Hypothetically speaking negative mass cannot be plausible without time travel, especially where isolation is concerned, salvaging that back into the positive would prove difficult.

Why negative mass has never been "observed" (not particles) is due to the same reason why Ke and Pe are interdependent, is because of gravity.

The Ingredients For Reciprocal Loss in Energy

Consider a block of cedar. Attach a durable string securely about the block. A sudden jolt up will result in the downward force of Gravity on the mass of the block, snapping the string and hence according to Newton:

$$F=ma$$

Consider a door. A knock on the door heeds the attention of a tenant to open it to a familiar guest.

He or she swings the open the door for reception. The door itself is fairly weighty so opening it may prove a bit of a minor problem in opening it, but if timed properly the visitor can push on the other side aiding in the pull of the door by the tenant aiding in the weight of the door being opened to be reduced to 0 by equal push and pull forces. Whenever such a push- pull system is in play in the propagation of an object – through outer space is FTL then considerable.

Initially this is the production of a free-fall geodesic except in the former case the free-fall of an object from a prearranged height by Gravity has no push-pull only a pull from Gravity. In another spotlight one can assume with absolute correctness that time travel is the key to this push-pull interaction– and that without it FTL is not possible because energy possesses no pull.

Potentiality, then, may be said to obtain this key because negative particles either are time travitic or are the expression of avoidance in energy reciprocally. A probabilistic possibility that can still be further defined as reciprocal loss in energy by renewal of loss in energy.

These possibilities for reciprocal loss in energy diminish by confinement to a set of limitations for every time one becomes established by the interaction of energy with space-time. Without much elaboration we may assume that such a particle that is all potential avoiding energy by renewal of, are not bound to such prohibitions and may therefore be at them and even exceed them and therefore:

$$-E \ = \ - \ mo^{\infty}$$

$$-\omega E \ + \ \omega \ - \ E \ = \ m \ - \ o^{\infty} \ or \ m \ - \ 1^{\infty}$$

Reduction of E to produce reciprocal G or potentiality is presumably devoid of position as is reciprocation of energy. The effect would be fascinating anomalies. To get the odds so to speak we must divide by a negative equivalent to the positive.

i.e;

$$186{,}282.4 \ (\div)- \ 186{,}282.4 \ = \ -1$$

This tells us that in order to bring about the avoidance of energy to result in potential reciprocation renewing to avoid E we must divide the velocity of energy causing RPS about a finite D in 1 direction by a negative energy either present or derived.

Or

$$(E \div (-E) = -1$$

The division of the negative is one of time.

Where:

$$(Et \div (-Et) = -1$$

Solutions to The Impossibility of Push-Energy Causing FTL_[

We know Ke and Pe are interchangeable and neither is isolatable either from another. All measurement originates from one form of energy or another that has the property of materialism. If it is physical, it possesses energy in one form or another, And energy is equivalent to mass.

Where:

$$E=mc^2$$

Light speed without intervention in vacuum is mass-less energy with zero mass. Hence it is limited by it's four velocity motion to the speed of light and no more. Energy, relativistically induced by an immense power source is simply the sum of a push in 1 direction absent of a pull. Distance plays it's part in time as a constant varies in ETA by how much it is varied.

Short=quick.

Long=eventual.

E is always established from potentiality but never automatically.

A degree of freedom is a positional relation in which Pe is necessitated to Δd (change in direction).

MC: Gravity and Pe seems to be united in some way.

E in it's establishment and further development necessitates distance over time. Pe simulates Gravity in propagation where E is used by mass. Disengagement of a force is falsely assumed to be Gravity acting on the mass to bring it to rest by virtue of position. Pe or potentiality would then seem to indicate that it is Gravity where:

$$G = Pe$$

However:

$$Pe \neq G$$

Pe can only equate to two things, itself and energy or kinetic.

$$PE = Pe$$

Therefore:

$$Pe = Ke \text{ or } E$$

Thus:

$$G = E$$

The term "kinetic energy" stems from the theory of gases wherein it is or starts out at thermodynamic equilibrium. Hence energy of motion, in that being kinetic. Whenever E is established by an external force (which also originates from Pe and therefore is E) (F = E). Pe in it's establishing's is never absent and therefore can in no sense omit itself. Thus E with the presence of Pe – from which it originates – possesses position (Δd) although Δd may never be implemented in constant v it is always there due to Pe being present with E.

Where:

$$\int EF = Pe/P$$

Now while all this originally stems from gravity, the oversight comes from the fact that Pe and Ke are not actually interchangeable where one disappears in the presence of the other, but in relationship to either's establishment of motion over time one is in debt to the other. Since F = E also then it becomes easy to say how can energy overcome energy to cause FTL?

It cannot and especially when that motion indebted to it's counterpart is a force that all consists of a push absent of any pull. Hence relativistics. Thus due to Ke indebted to Pe in a push, relativistically,

Ke is always in debt to Pe and Pe is all potential by virtue of position(s). This is evident from consideration of rapidly rotating rings generated from laser light. The analogy is seen in $\omega = c$ about a fixed D. As you can see a reference point placed on the outer circumference indicates the RPS that the ω of c repeats at in so many seconds –

Reference

depending on D in 1d. But no actual position exist in the rapid repetitions of the light ring – hence any actual position that may exist in the light ring's energy is purely one of conjecture and therefore purely imaginary. Constant change in direction occurs for c to give angular velocity. Tangents transpire for slower objects not duplicating the repeats and eject. If the circumference of the light

ring's distance is shrunk the angular velocity of c traverses D faster and with it the loss in energy reduces depending on the RPS of E renewing itself about D.

As it becomes more finite at specific distances the loss in E becomes negligible and then proceeds to become null by renewal but always remains in the present or the now via global causality violation (GCV) because the RPS of the energy's renewal avoiding loss in E possesses no actual position. So initially, because no actual position exists the constant change in direction avoids position by renewal of E about the finite D in 1 d. So it is one of time. If the light ring is broken two positions are created which at the same v would cause a change in d at the ends forcing and oscillation provided two barriers.

When the bend is straightened out we can see that the renewal ends because positions were created to form a line-segment. Now two directions exits.

Straightened Bend

Because renewal has ended and oscillation is evident renewal back upon itself cannot occur because ends split at either end causing a timitic difference – that no matter how finite still exists.

Removal of one barrier allows the oscillation to escape in one direction and since the propagation is non- re-establishing, it cannot avoid position because the energy is not avoiding loss in energy by renewal in energy, which is timitic. Deriving from RPS to the line- segment, infinite chains of them are not appropriate. Hence we have no RPS in altering our perspective so we have no RPS – but we have t and E.

And even with an oscillation at a finite distance a small time in the same space coming from opposite directions that is not equivalent and therefore no simultaneity and thus no renewal in energy.

Thus:

$$\frac{mc^2}{Es} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Pe \longrightarrow P}{t_1 - t_0}$$

We conclude therefore that energy is prohibited by itself by non-repetitious renewal of energy in

a straight – line and that because renewal of E cannot avoid Pe/G in a straight – line and hence positions in relationship to Pe/G result. Hence FTL cannot transpire and therefore we conclude that whenever energy is established in space-time which does not renew to avoid positions, degrees of freedom result to constrain the energy from going beyond them-timitically. In other words energy causes positions.

This energy in straight-line traversement cannot cause time travel. In it's absence however positions do not result and therefore energy in relationship to space-time positions are an illusion.

Updates 2015 -Revisions

Contents

Primer to Aleodeology

I.

PURPOSE:

THE PURPOSE OF <u>THIS ADDED MATERIAL</u> TOOK ME AROUND 6 YEARS TO FINALIZE. THE ORIGINAL MATERIAL WAS CAST IN 2009. FOLLOW THE LINK BELOW TO READ PART 2. YOU WILL FIND THE SOLUTION TO OVERCOMING THE INHIBITION TO RAPID SPACE TRAVEL IN THE UPDATES SECTION; HOWEVER IT IS ADVISABLE THAT YOU FIRST READ AND RE-READ THE INFORMATION PROVIDED HERE TO GET A CLEAR CONCEPT OF THE INFORMATION PRESENTED HERE AS THEY WILL BE INVALUABLE TO YOU NO MATTER WHO YOU ARE. IT IS MY OPINION THAT SCIENCE AND SCIENTISTS HAVE DELIBERATELY WITHHELD THIS INFORMATION FROM THE PUBLIC AS A COVERT OPERATION UNDER DIFFERENT GUISES. WHILE I HAVE NOT DISCOVERED THE EXACT MECHANISM THROUGH WHICH THE SYSTEM CAN BE IMPLEMENTED APART FROM ALCUBIERRE`S UN-ATTAINABLE WARP-DRIVE CONCEPTS AFTER READING THE INFORMATION IN QM, YOU SHOULD THEN READ THIS SECTION. I HAVE PROVIDED THIS INFORMATION OVER TIME AS

Part I.

The Idea has come up of lately that space has time only with respect to energy. Energy as it stands is manually based and its measurements rely primarily upon values, which differs extremely from symbols based with respect to the true source of information itself. This form of information encompasses massive amounts of data that cannot be handled with conventional means and differs from knowledge.

What is being measured is velocity/speed with respect to space over a predetermined distance in an amount of time over this distance; as the manual push-action propagates the mass or system of masses **THROUGH** space interaction with space is negligible. That is all that is occurring. Time then is more a function of energy with respect to space rather than a property of space, although space accommodates energy to operate through it timitically.

Force as depicted by Newton is merely energy transfer from a manually based source and therefore the source is the force. This source cannot compensate for potential energy, which instantly becomes established with the establishment of manual energy input.

With respect to the mass, potential energy impedes the mass from self acceleration, thereby pre-requiring the input of energy transfer from the manual source to be repetitious – otherwise absent of this repetitious input potential energy would result bringing the mass back to rest. Irrespective of whether the mass experiences uniform velocity/speed or acceleration manual input of energy to the mass must always be repetitious to avoid the feedback of potential energy when manual energy is applied to the system, the only difference being with acceleration is that of more "power" being pre-required to be put in to the system to perpetuate to output of the system incrementally.

Thus manual input of energy to a system to produce the output through the manual input seen by an external observer is plagued by the automatic result of feedback of potential energy from the system, necessitating repetitious input of energy to evade feedback (**Feedback** refers to **Pe** if you didn`t catch that) from the system`s energy input.

"Feedback" as it is seen is related to the mass itself with respect to gravity in that it can be deemed as gravity, for there is no identification as to where it originates. We can comprehend this when we

imagine a system at rest and it`s buoyancy in outer space, apart from the rotations of the planet and sun. So we can estimate to a small degree that the rotation of Earth and the sun are at least "partly" responsible for this, while in outer space the system is detached from the "pull" associated with the larger mass's elliptical revolution as well as the sun`s own pull upon Earth, leaving the system to float or be constantly pulsed forward, producing slower than light velocities but eventual breakdown of the system.

All measurements are value based originating from energy manually established observations. Because these value based measurements of energy are manual, they are therefore self-referential and are not dependable for realizing highly advanced technologies that can be accomplished. Thus there is no real "interaction" with space; there is only timitic propagation of the energy or the system propagated by manual energy **THROUGH** space. Thereby space is not a function of time; rather time is a function of energy with respect to it as it is manually established.

If the world-line (a path in Einstein space-time (a term used by Government hired scientists in a variety of ways to "unify" two components into one idea representing very different phenomena, similar to unifying the words Grandma with a Cyborg into Grand-Borg., i.e. energy and mass can be unified into one word as energy-mass or mass-energy to describe "their" interpretation of energy transfer without looking at the two phenomena as interdependent, (much of which is false and should be rejected regardless of scientific seniority or credentials. For example, Gravitons; another false word-unification of particle and gravitation)) of a moving particle is parameterized thus:

$$(t, x(t), y(t), z(t))$$

Paramertized moving particles

We can obtain the "4-velocity" of the particle:

$$(1, dx/dt, dy/dt, dz/dt)$$

Obtained 4-v

The t-axis or time-axis velocity component is 1, a dimensionless number. Now there are relativists who will insist that it is perfectly acceptable to express velocity in time with a dimensionless number but the rest of us with our head on our shoulders, know that it is not true. We know that a dimensionless number such as 1 has absolutely no meaning in as far as expressing velocity or any sort of change. Velocity must be given in units of velocity such as meters per second or whatever standard units are being used. For this reason, there is no motion in space-time.

[There are people who will insist that there is nothing wrong with saying that motion in time occurs at the rate of 1 second per second. First of all, dt/dt does not equal 1 second per second. The units cancel out. Second, dt/dt is always the same (1) regardless of the actual rate of velocity.]

Note that I put 4-velocity in quotes above. This is because it is not a velocity at all since nothing can move in space-time. There is only 3-velocity in 3-D space: (dx/dt,dy/dt,dz/dt), t being a mere evolution parameter. True 4-velocity (dw/dt,dx/dt,dy/dt,dz/dt) would require a 4-D manifold having 4 spatial dimensions and no time dimension. Now that is an interesting idea, four spatial dimensions, an idea I certainly would not object to.

But time-travel? Absolutely not!

One of the amazing things about this time travel business is that a position in Einstein space-time is usually represented by (ct,x,y,z). What this means, is that every second a body moves exactly 299792458 meters, or a light-second in the fourth dimension. (This tool is convenient in explaining what is called a particle's light cone because it allows the sides of the light cone to slope at 45 degrees.) However, note that, using this convention, the fourth dimension is no longer a temporal dimension but a spatial one.

Why?

Because ct resolves to meters, not seconds. Does this means that time travel is suddenly allowed? Of course not since c is a constant and *t* is not a variable. It is just that most relativists cannot bring themselves to the point of accepting a fourth spatial dimension. They are forever stuck with space-time for historical reasons.

There is an unyielding mental barrier that I am still in the process of identifying. I wonder if it is just intellectual inertia or a vestige of the historical origin of relativity, kind of like the way an atom is not really an atom in the literal sense of the word. Somehow, I don't think so. By acknowledging the unchanging nature of Einstein space-time, many relativists would have to admit that they have been teaching crackpot science (the teaching of geometry as an explanation of gravity) from the beginning. That is unacceptable, of course. Still, it is no excuse to conjure up all sorts of voodoo nonsense and retard progress in our understanding of gravity for close to a century.

Note: the representation of a position in Einstein space-time is conventionally given by (ict, x, y, z) where i is the square root of -1, an imaginary number (the crackpottery never ends). However, this is a mere detail, one which does not take away from the changeless nature of Einstein space-time.

In addition to this, feedback **THROUGH** space disables simultaneity timitically as it is THE cause for degrees of freedom (abbreviated *df*). For example, take a metal rod representing a line segment representing a section of space.

If we were to enable the rod to self-rotate through a 360 degree circle and continue to rotate the rod through every circle it could possibly occupy equidistant from it`s center, in one direction, it would then occupy all possible outcomes forming a sphere with the exception of the opposing direction, which would mean that the rod would have to come to a complete halt to begin the identical procedure.

With this single direction, occupancy of all possible outcomes, the sphere formed by the rapid rotations will go through all combinations and permutations. Once they do this they then repeat, delimited by feedback. These repetitions parallel the repetitions of dice only that the number of combinations and permutations until repetition transpires is much greater. Remember, this is equidistant from the center – any repeat can be induced at any point through the combinations and permutations, so like our example of dice, all numbers repeat.

Repeats therefore are seen not only in repetitious input caused by feedback, but also in the instance of the rapidly spinning rod taking up residence in all circles that form a sphere in one direction equidistant from the center. Simultaneity therefore cannot be accomplished in both directions because of feedback, and no matter how we move the rod and rotate it equidistant from the center the presence of feedback is evident to cause these *df* regardless both in repetitious input and the sphere.

Assuming that feedback is closely related to gravity with respect to the system, indication has it that it is automatic and cannot be identified with mathematics because the measurements are self referential and based on values stemming from manual energy observations derived from the source, which is also manual that forms the manual input to the system that results in repetitious input caused by feedback.

This "repetition" extends to the example of the sphere equidistant from the center created through the rod. Thus we can say that within our three dimensional space (time is a function of energy) that feedback and/or gravity is the cause behind degrees of freedom where everything repeats at some point from the motions of a biological organism right down to the eye movements how chemicals, atoms and quantum energies interact. Everything repeats.

Because this is evident, it would be an impossibility to isolate potential energy from its inter-dependency with energy, especially without time travel with respect to that barrier as it applies to energy **THROUGH** space.

From this it is understood that because of the instantaneous production of feedback with the establishment of energy to a system, that self-referential measurements will not identify inexactly what gravity is whether or not it is potential energy or associated with potential energy as it relates to mass powered with manual input that results in repetitious energy.

It can, therefore be possibly understood only through an automatic or automated symbolism or a new logic system disassociated from self referential math based on manual energy observations. In addition to this the other difficult problem about this is that manual input of energy or energy itself cannot be automated in light of feedback.

> In more direct terms, and infinite system of energies similar to the infinity mirror would have to be devised to solve such a problem even if the intent were to produce faster than light travel. If the manual input that turns to repetitious input via feedback were automatic, it might work but this too resembles the infinity mirror of infinite energies, each backing the other up to the first.

The final consideration in this is that of somehow causing energy or the system powered with energy to be time travitic, if it could be it would then be practical to cause such automation because the energy could leave and arrive in a different space at the same time (which is also based self referential values i.e., (An imaginary reference point indicative of position to mark of the arrivals and leavings about a rotating circumference)) as opposed to arriving and leaving "at" the same position at the same time.

So nothing but automated energy or some other unknown force (not tachyons or gravitons- they do not exist) can abate the manual input resulting in repetitious feedback that might cause the phenomena of advanced technologies capable of enabling superluminal transport, teleportation and the like or how it can come about **THROUGH** an automated/automatic symbolism/system.

Information is not four dimensional only, bound to probabilities concerned with the delimitations of Einstein space-time conforming to sets (information theory –Shannon 1948). If it were then all information with respect to 4-d space and higher echelons of space, would of course be manually based and thereby bound exclusively to energy. Since this is true it too can only be understood with respect to its origin (where it originates as opposed to knowledge) via automation. And that means codes, automatic codes that in intent relate to hyperspace in one sense, and converted into meaning that can be decrypted into a useful employment in 4-d space for the applications of highly advanced technologies.

Throughout my research the convictions I have come across have not lined-up with gravitomagnetism, nor and known so-called "force" apart from energy, manually driven. However some insight has come via Thoth`s Emerald tablets (although no verifiable evidence as to the actual existence of these tablets have come to light) The writer indicates that space is "conscious" or has a consciousness probably associated with intelligence or an intelligence which differs from our perceptions of what consciousness indicates (the common definitions via mathematical scrutiny). Perhaps, in the writer`s awareness consciousness includes a time-space automatic in the conscious phenomena.

The writer also seems to signify that space is "divided-by" time (which makes sense) as measurements of time by absolutists of mathematical infallibility are substantiated according to how energy moves **THROUGH** space 1 dimensionally. (a manually based push-action). That being said

no interaction with space is happening apart from the calculus of displacement **THROUGH** the accommodating space.

Logic Note: {*Assuming the aspect and possibility of alien tech; (THE) transport method to cover the vast distance of space could in no practical sense be realized using any manual form of energy basis. This tends to suggest the usage of a fifth or higher dimension access to link the vast distance to a conformable and acceptably easy transportation bridge. This further indicates that with such awareness that the aliens either originate from one (or many aliens originate from them) of these dimension or have direct access to and from them interstellar speaking***}**.

NOTE: (*Before continuing on let us point out how ridiculous Einstein`s space-mass warp effect really is; now Franken-Einstein`s theory states that so-called Gravity is warped or bends in the presence of Mass. Say Earth. If this were true, the people on the bottom of Earth would be squashed and the people at the top would float away. The reason why this hypothesis is so idiotic is simply because of a verse in Job which says God hangs the Earth on* **Nothing***. Specifically Job 26:7. (we will come back to this later as well).*

This means if we are to believe God -(and not men) then space is a void; it is **a nothing** and therefore you cannot **bend** space, because there is nothing there to bend. If we are to believe these **TARES** who are controlling this world alongside us, they will have you believing that up is sideways, green is pink and white is purple.

Einstein`s theories are not what I would call factual science, they are Masonic theories and Einstein`s theories are being exposed for what they truly are -- complete and utter rubbish*).

Primer to Aleodeology Part II

II.[edit]

Potential-(ity) is spacial (of or pertaining to space), **NOT** a function of the displacement of mass from the manual input of energy that produces that output. This is because the resultant output of velocity or change-in velocity (more power) is timitically self-regulating by potential to the manual input in the form of so-called gravity (attractive force/pull) executing through the potentiality. (The false term of potential associated as energy, i.e. "potential energy") Potentiality does not possess properties of "energy" until actualized in opposition to manual input of energy to a mass, and thus with more manual input of power to the mass. The timitic feedback of potentiality requires repetitious input to evade the draw of potentiality, increasing exponentially with exponential input of energy to the mass. Because it is self-regulating it is a function of space and NOT a function of any energy establishment, but is coupled to it through manual input making "Feedback" automatic.

"i" is input of E to mass which is any manual energy.
"o" is the output, v or Δ v that timinically results in repetitious input by Pe.

Potential NOT a function of E, a self regulating timinic function of the energy's mass transitioning THROUGH space, acting from space as the value of df p/e requiring repetitious input to evade the draw of the mass back to equilibrium. Where energy is not transferred to mass manual input.

diagram 1-click to enlarge

Generally this is due to the oversight that the imaginary reference of a line-segment (also based on energy) represents a section of distance that is 2-directions-in-1.

I do not know if this distance is fractionated without respect to the involvement of energy **THROUGH** space, since the math also is self-referential -originating from energy based observations. This differentiates itself from Potential, it being the cause of degrees of freedom, because manual input of energy to/for a mass or set of masses requires repetitious input to evade feedback (Potential/G). Thus the self-referential math derived as well from manually based energy observations, measures the displacement of the input to output **THROUGH**space, and additionally reveals delimitation to a set of degrees of freedom equidistant to the center of the mass, circulating in paths of 360 degrees that conforms to a sphere. No matter what new area the mass may be relocated to in space, it will always be constrained to this sphere, which it can take up through energy and adopt all rotations within it equidistant from center of the mass or set of masses manually driven by energy , because the df are the result of potential in output as well as at equilibrium.


Diagram two -click to enlarge

Imagine this as analogous to a die going through all combinations and permutations equidistant to the center of its mass, when is completes a set of permutations there are repeats.

Systems science, contextually, refers specifically to self-regulating systems that are "*self-correcting*" through feedback; systems science is analogous to space without respect to energy`s pass-through it and would qualify as a self-regulating system or dimension-ally interdependent system-(s) to which is analogous to systems science. It can be viewed as space deserving of a meta-language that would describe it and it`s connectivity toward identifying how potential determines the pre-required repetitious input of manual energy that actuates potential from space through energy/mass and exponentially with more power (acceleration). A meta-language not constrained to manually-based input, which not only results in df caused by potential/G, as it relates to space through energy transfer to mass or a set of masses, but also necessitates repetitious input to evade potential/G. That being repetitious input is the result of potential and potential causes *df*.

Remember however that the product of potential in manually established energy transferred to mass in 1 direction is of course a self-regulating system, one that differs substantially from manual, repetitious input and is thereby automatic. Therefore to assume that it is the result of energy

manually transferred to a mass or set of masses is to incorrectly suggest that potential is just as manual as the manual input of energy to a mass or a set of masses that necessitate repetitious input to evade returning to an equilibrium state.

Potential/G therefore is the origin of *df* from 2-in-1 directional 2D-space, represented by an **imaginary** line-segment representing an actual section of space as it is measured through energy input to mass to travel **THROUGH** space coupled to manual input of energy to mass and NOT a function of the mass with respect to energy. The time factor is distinctly a function of the measurement of mass conveyed THROUGH space in an amount of time that is self-referential because it is manually input, which result in repetitious input to avoid returning to and decelerating back to a state of equilibrium or so-called rest as it would be defined.

III.

To be convinced that space is anything more or less than a void is to admit to lunacy. Scripture gives the answer and God reveals the true answer to us, and makes it very clear and simple. Job 26:7: 7. ***He stretcheth out the north over the empty place, and hangeth the earth upon nothing***. Scientists are led to believe that Einstein's **theory** of relativistic absolutes are fact; proof backed in mathematical evidence, logic and tripe embedded in impossible deductions which cannot possibly be real; contradictory to the the truth. Falsehoods contrived by idiots who distort the truth of scripture and discredit believing what God says in the bible through the men and women he has chosen to express them. In essence, they suppose that blue is pink, white is black and green is purple. If we are to believe men as opposed to what God indicates in his word, scientists will disregard these truths because they fly in the face of Einstein rubber-stamp beliefs that reveal the secret of space: and that secret is simple to understand because it says exactly what it is- simple. To which as I have shown is a misconstrue of time, not that space as a dimension is not timitic, but rather it is mass-energy that self-refers itself as functioning timitically with respect to its passage **THROUGH** space.

Believing these self-referential absurdities, is to believe that a straight-line is not the shortest distance between two points, and that the Earth squashes those who live on the south end of the Earth and strangely affects those who live on the north end of the Earth all because the mass of the Earth somehow miraculously warps space in the it`s magnificent huge presence.

Space cannot be bent or warped any more than the shortest distance between two points is an arc or a zigzag, because **space is a void**, and nothing cannot be bent or warped from the establishment of energy, regardless of its base or manipulative abilities. Therefore it is complete garbage to accept these scientists and the lies they perpetuate when God says it cannot, period.

Our answer then does not rest with manual energy **THROUGH** space or space itself, but rather with automated energy. Repeats are the product of potentiality, without it repetition could not "happen".

```
However, there has been evidence that TIME may be tampered with through
electrodynamics and or consciousness - I will attempt to clarify this later.
```

In the field of Quantum Tunneling (aka the Casimir effect) Quanta (in general photons) "borrow" energy from negative energy running a deficit to tunnel its way through a not so lengthy barrier to achieve passage through the barrier popping out the end, or so to speak. Commonly this relates to what is known as negative energy densities, a weird kind of realm of exotic so-called energy similar to anti-matter. But its not really anti-anything to say the least. Think of negative energies with the following analogy: Zero is at least something, but less than zero is less than nothing.

Assuming this (and one should never assume anything) all sorts of faster than light phenomena would be possible using negative energy densities, however isolation of exotic forms of energy from is conjugate, energy is an impossibility. Sad but true, at least according to mathematics. This has led

to all sorts of discoveries in science from EPR to quantum teleportation to wormholes to other impossible time travitic theories.

However, according to the scientific community, potential energy is not connected to negative energy densities or exotic energies. As we have stated potential energy is not a form of energy and the mathematics behind these entire weird bizarre hypothesis are self-referential.

Now in assuming that logic tells us that potentiality has a lower realm below zero, and that is possesses no energy in it since is less than zero. Yet according to science it is connected to energy and therefore unisolatable.

If we go back to the imaginary reference of a line-segment we have 2d/D in 1. It would basically indicate that not only does energy have the potentiality to become actuated (actualized or actual from potential) but also includes lots of other phenomena as well. Which isn`t uncommon since it has been observed in various causes from people having potential to be better people or the potential of making a great catch.

First, to understand this concept somewhat more, we must disassociate the term energy from potentiality.

For example, let us assume that for every potential outcome of mass over a predetermined travel route or system of routes, that at each position (position is also an imaginary reference) the potential exists for something to occur, only that in our comprehension, that potential corresponds with displacement or transmogrification of energy into motion through the transfer of manual input of energy, which as we know is automatically accompanied by potential pre-requiring repetitious input to produce the output.

It is the potentiality itself that cripples energy from going beyond FTL as it necessitates repetitious input, with more energy more potentiality.

We can estimate here that potentiality with respect to space gives the ability for actualization. But it is not subject to the rule of one particular system, so how input occurs is just as important to how output will be resultant. We say "will be" with respect to the concept of time as a function of energy.

Energy however is not the only "possibility" for actualization into real terms observed through the senses…there exist other potentials, which once accessed properly can be materialized into technologies similar to magic. So our approach must be different to actualize something from potentiality into observable phenomena.

This differs significantly from the potentiality or potential outcomes on probability and permutations, which relies heavily on information theory. Probability also depends on repeats, outcomes may seem "unknowable" but they are limited to a set of possible outcomes –one outcome results out of a set of all possible as Shannon has insinuated in his theory. Adding more "probables" gives more sets and with more sets more possible outcomes with respect to human factors and manual energy put into the system or systems against potentiality.

Each outcome is a single result, as is information representations, for example on a crap table Big 6 and Big 8 are clearly labeled on the layout. 6 and 8 cannot result simultaneously, 6 or 8 however can. The meaning is the decision, but it is unknown which result will transpire even though all numbers in the set will eventually repeat at some point over time with respect to manual energy input.

Like our previous example the degrees of freedom are the cause of potentiality. This potentiality, like a rod representing am imaginary line-segment can go through all combinations and permutations, once gone through repeats occur and they can occur randomly but with our rod the transfer of energy gives the direction, and all possible rotations can be gone through space in 1 direction, forming a sphere.

Unlike probabilities weather random or directed, potentiality dictates their degrees of freedom with respect to energy in opposition to potentiality causing it. Hence it differs from potentiality because the potentiality is below zero, while possibility is +1 adding more energy related observations with respect to energy. So the approach is wrong as it is with manual energy input.

Yet the fact that there is an unknown factor before actualization suggests that potentiality functions on different axioms that can actualize into being. The problem then is the approach, to actualizing what is required and this cannot be through manual input or the result will be inhibited output.

When we differentiate between possibility and potentiality, potentiality separates itself from probability because it is below zero, we can estimate that the unknown factor is the potential for actualization, but what is possible for this actualization to become possible through potentiality will depend on the approach used to actualize what we see fit, and that can be anything.

In other words if we assume that we use an input which is automated as is potentiality, it would then be feasible to actualize an energy (hypothetical) that could abate, supersede potentiality. Or could even manifest completely new forms of phenomena; phenomena that could do things never dreamed of.

All of course originating from potentiality, and why because with potentiality there is an unknown "before" it is actualized. This factors in that given a different approach, such as automated input, the result would be different and the outcome would be different and as such programmable.

Potentiality is the instance of manifesting that which may be possible as it differs from the probable.

The differential corresponds to the input producing the output. For instance, if the input is value-based, then it is manual with respect to energy and therewith the output will always relate to repetitious input against automatic feedback of (pe/g), further hierarching, out to reciciprocal (not necessarily in 360 degree arcs) repeats constrained to a set of df also repetitious by the input to output values of manually-based energy against automatic feedback that creates repetitious input producing that repetitious output.

The differential may be further defined by information theory where: "information is a message out of a set of all possible messages". Where the "set of all possible" messages are probable – as in a probabilistic set of letters or values that repeat (like 2 die for example- (i.e. the set is finite)) (chance/gambling is repetitive because the sets repeat at some random point) and again we re-turn back to value-based manual energy.

Exposing that potentiality differs from probability in that that which may be possible is not probable. Hence the impossibility of possibilities which correspond to phenomena exemplified by faster than light, teleportation, etcetera, is not possible in probability because the possibility for such phenomena is not possible using probability especially with sets related to energy input to systems incorporating manual values.

For something such as these, lie in producing output with an input which may be viewed as automatic and or disembodied from energy based on manual values or manual input of energy.

IV.

Potentiality is Automatic Potentiality (*Pe*) is automatic. That is to mean it is an automatic response with respect to the establishment of *Ke* by manual input; which is repetitious input, in turn producing repetitious output.

(because it is manually based with respect to the interdependence between Pe and Ke AND thus whenever Ke is established, Pe naturally follows and with accumulation Pe grows acting as a valve to regulate the degree of freedom by which energy is delimited **THROUGH** space).

(*are you getting the picture now*??)

The transpiration is established with the establishment of energy (*Ke*).

It therefore follows that energy is an automation associated to MASS, and mass only. Energy or its automation apart from manual input to mass would be impossible without mass. That is to mean there is no way to separate mass from its partner mass (the phenomena associated with it), isolate it and fiddle around with it to see how it behaves.

This leads to the assertion that space has nothing to do with so-called gravity as it is a nothing, and nothing cannot be affected by something. So-called gravity is the result of potentiality automatically established when energy is put into any system constituting mass. (Fig. 1). It is erroneous to consider anything else outside of this, because it just doesn't add up and blanks will keep appearing as long as scientists refuse to consider this.

Instead of concocting false beliefs that time can be traversed with fantastical space warps that can never be acquired, the focus can be aimed at mass and its manipulation with respect to feedback or redirecting energy in an automatic fashion consistent with behaviors that actually yield superluminal transport. A language perhaps performed on matter that will force it to be automated as potentiality is automatic when energy becomes established, for as we know repetitious input and its resultant hierarchies of repetitious behavior is due to potentiality conjoined with the establishment of energy inhibiting mass to achieve super speeds over vast distance.

The more input of energy – the more potentiality acts to regulate the acceleration to a velocity, requiring more energy and with it more input exponentially until a limit is reached where the energy required is beyond that capable of actual viability.

However if mass is manipulated where it can loop or be automated in a way that diminishes potentiality, perhaps through a feedback loop or automated feedback loop-(s), the mass would behave differently wherein when energy is input into the system, the system`s response will abate potentiality, and actually acquire the desired goals of super-speeds and even teleportation, a pre-programming of you will.

Mass is the thing which inhibits FTL. This is evident in the establishment of energy when the input of energy from and external manual force transfers through a body unto repetitious input. The inhibition is resultant by mass itself in the form of Pe as an automatic response balancing or becoming grater with the input of more manual energy to the body, exponentially causing an opposing drag (so to speak), and NOT a result of any unidentifiable gravitational force from so-called space. Gravity is nothing more than this. In simpler terms the mass gains weight with more input of energy from any manual source.

Space is a void and cannot bend. Time is an illusion stemming from the improper examination of energy as compared to space.

The solution then only lies in isolating mass from energy; for mass is the reason behind repetition of all physicalities. (That is to mean spherically). Mass is also the reason why 2 directions cannot be used simultaneously, for to take one and appropriate the other requires that the mass come back to an equilibrium position and be re-accelerate to a velocity.

If we "*isolate mass*" from energy, the disembodiment would fail to hold living organisms so seen as a future representation of people in a spaceship – no mass infinite energy equates to death. Simple logic here; if instead the mass is held in a self-sustaining equilibrium state at zero while energy becomes or exponentiates to infinity (infinity here means endless measurement or never-ending distance (as in Tipler`s cylinder)) such a system would ultimately fail due to an outer mass also of infinite proportions sustaining the original mass in an attractive state like that of masses on Earth, which would also result more infinite masses holding those two in place exponentially add infintum. This creates another problem even if such a system could work, it`s preposterous nature itself could not benefit in either case, since mass and energy are intimately interdependent.

The interdependency is seen in wave motion of a photon; one can no more isolate *v*, *a* and *-v* from *Pe*, *Ke* and momentum.

The only real, conclusive answer then is to assume that the output is the fruit of the input, the meaning that the output for all exemplifications is manually based and therefore would necessitate an infinite series of infinite manual forces backing the original force transferring the force`s energy to push a body composed of in a single direction accumulating energy unto ever increasing velocities to a sustainable velocities to achieve rapid interstellar travel or any spin-offs.

Since this cannot possibly be done in any reasonable aspect the solution resides in altering the way in in which manual input produces repetitious input that the mass duplicates in output. Where the manual input itself is the force of repetitious input that produces the transfer of repetitious input to output by manual input.

In more direct understandable terms manual input (which is repetitious input) is entirely dismissed and substituted with automated input (self-sustaining) input by, to and from the mass itself. Now while this may seem at first absurd, it is not, and can be accomplished via a feedback from the mass itself.

The feedback or feedback loop can only originate from one source of the mass and this source lies in momentum. By feeding momentum, m, from the mass back into the system, one obtains the correct formula for a self-sustaining feedback loop which regulates the 4-velocity displacement candidate for control positively and negatively.

Momentum as in a photon is the arc that transpires between the rapid interchange of ke to pe and back again into ke. Arcs, like rotation, are constant changes in direction in a single direction – but in such an arc of a photon they exemplify crests and troughs rather than completing a full repeat back upon itself.

Such "arcs" are connected to acceleration and deceleration, and in a photon two opposing photons do not cancel when passing through one another lest polarized. All that needs to be done here is agitate the system into constant change-(s)-in direction to stimulate the other motion categories, and feed that back into the system absent of a complete repetition as would be in rotation.

This might be similar to an ignition key starting a car, the rest, if properly adjustable, begins the wheel of self-sustainment for the mass and from here can be amplified for that goal of superluminal transport.

Supposing photons have no mass, change-in direction would indicate mass with change-in direction seemingly induced externally with refection at 100%. If mass is 1, It being neutral positionallly) energy is 0 (at rest) (not manually induced via transfer of energy from an external source unto repetitious input against mass vs. equilibrium). Mass is never zero and energy zero transfer.

Energy at rest means that the energy transmogrification (establishment) from rest enables mass to change its current position to position, here from zeron to one. But with repetitious input mass acts to become heavy, a dragging behind energy –the potency to come to light speed with more input against itself. (Mass). If mass did not change position with the transfer in energy to the mass – it would still become heavy. "Position" differentiates by energy perpetuating displacement to mass. A special adjustment in energy then would necessitate that it compensate for change-in position of mass by energy to equilibrate mass with energy rather than mass against energy.

If we assume this compensation for mass by energy anything less than self-administered (automated)/(although initiated manually) it cannot function, since potentiality is mass itself – repetitious input would work to oppose accumulation to elite displacements – dragging as it were mass behind it exponentially like a ball and chain. The input to output scheme would then have to be systematically altered to divorce itself from repetitious input via manual inducement unto repetitious input. Omitting repeats in input to output exclude it.

If done via automatic positive feedback, the initiation of it, manually begun would have the issue of partiality since feedback loops take a portion of the output and feed it back into the input, and this therefore is a repetition, which conflicts with omitting repetitious input of any sort to produce a

satisfactory output absent of mass opposing energy in the opposite direction to the direction of travel by potentiality, which is the mass itself.

Indication has it that mass coupled or backed by manually driven energy is the source behind or cause of all repetitious displacement, weather this displacement is inductive of repetitious input unto hierarchical repetition forming spheres equidistantly from the axis, mass causes repletion regardless of external input.

Therefore estimating this, the absence of repletion as any level, weather to establish motion or no, would then suggest that removal of repeating is the key to liberating energy from mass even if timitically in the energy spectrum, since energy is the only thing which is timitic.

Since the opposite of repetition is instance, this indicates that the control lies in the very establishment of a repetition when applied energy to transfers to a mass to establish repetitious input to produce the output of what displacement appears to do rather than what is actually happening. This, in effect would mean that during input of energy to a mass (from an external source also having energy to push or pull or both) a repeat in pre-required in replacing energy back into the system to evade the natural equilibrium the mass has to return back to rest—the input itself must be rapid enough to evade or inhibit the tendency to return to equilibrium –to initially disable the opportunity for the mass to return to equilibrium by the input disengaging it – evading the requirement for the energy transfer to input again when the mass acts to naturally return to equilibrium by the first input. The secondary input is required because mass is weighted to return to equilibrium requiring energy to be put in again to continue displacement either by pushing or pulling.

5. **Conclusions:** my conclusions on the topic is that to travel the vast distance of space, *mass for a craft and it`s occupants would have to remain at absolute zero with accumulation to a specified velocity*. Space can in no sense be *warp-able*, since there is **nothing there** to grasp in the first place – it`s a void as I have been saying.

While I have been unable to deduce *exactly how* to implement this; I have a **backburner theory** that it is related to a language akin to any previously known. While Edward Snowden has indicated a Shadow Government – it is not too far from truth now to suspect that science too has misaligned truth to cover up secondary projects.

6. Solutions:

Much of my research over the past 24 years has been written out and then transferred digitally. I don`t think it would be wise to publish this information since no-one will actually believe it without a thorough investigation.

The systems and proposed theories to overcome the delimitations of FTL and/or rapid travel over vast distances today have been half-assed,half complete or incomplete - some are are half-done as we will explain here.

```
Many of the sciences try to solve the problem of mass being halted by Pe as
something which may be accomplished through a PUSH-system devoid of any PULL-
system.
```

This, basically, **is the solution**.

In addition to this, so-called scientists use mathematical mumbo-jumbo to explain **Gravity** as *something out-there, everywhere*. Nothing could be further from the truth; in fact I suspect a distinct cover-up as well as lies. ***NOTICE I DID NOT SAY CONSPIRACY THEORY***. There is no so-called graviton and Pe inherent to mass is the cause of Gravity.

They got it wrong; and I say this with the utmost confidence.

No PUSH-system will <u>overcome</u> mass and keep the opposition of *Pe* to *Ke* at zero in accumulation to a velocity; nor in any un-equivalent oscillation. Only a PUSH in 1d along with a PULL system will enable mass to retain an equilibrium state wherewith it can supersede previously un-ascertainable velocities. The only problem left in this is what exactly would do just this.

I have yet to uncover it, and such disinformation and debunks are out there it is difficult to lay claim to what it might be.

Second, is some information with respect to the Delta-T Antenna; initially I understood that it will take more than probability to overcome the obstacles involved in starship travel and exploring galaxies, to a level akin to fiction or treknology, or even to begin it. The Antenna and some of the related footage I reviewed on the a tour of the Montauk base did in fact reveal that something strange was actually going on there.

But with various indications I got an epiphany that suggested that to do these as well as time travel, etc, it would involve conscious interactions – that is through thought amplification and transmittal of those into actual reality. Like at the Montauk Project seat. The concept is phenomenal and it gave me clues to how the aliens might have done it themselves – **they too apparently were stumped on space and time travel, with no real solutions, until they understood it had to be through acts of creation**. When you understand this idea, you begin to see how that by creating it via the thought amplification process – it could in essence could be plausible.

The Antenna, perhaps, is just **one piece of that total puzzle**, but supposedly **the aliens built a centralized chair driving thought through the chair, into a thought amplification system transmitting it into reality. That is how they do it did it to achieve the technologies to move about through space and time.** Perhaps from here reverse engineering took place and the system was intermingled or used to advance their society. Naturally this would have drawback as well as benefits and would have to be carefully used.

My favoritism in both these discoveries, would be the second one, since after I realized it; it gave me an insight into star trek TOS as I watched it in conjunction with how such technology might be applicable in such a future – how it all might work. Even though the ship and her crew encountered alien unknowns and through the use of the chair could wipe out an enemy with the flick of the switch, the crew rather dealt with the problems unless the seat was needed in dire cases.

Now the only problem left is to develop a system or axioms which can provide insight into extrapolation the necessary information to piece the puzzle together for the goal. Not mathematical, nor necessarily linguistic – but perhaps a language which might enable it`s user to acquire the information rather than spending millennia on research; like getting the precise information about particles and what exactly they are and getting the right information back. This might be with the bounds of probability and statistics but it may go much deeper or even beyond that, since uncertainty play such a big role it is culminating.

Units of Measurement

TABLE 1

"Absolute" system of units

- Quantity Defining Relation mks (SI CGS) British m • ft
- Mass (m) fundamental (kg cm lb
- Time (t) fundamental (s g s
- Velocity (v) v=L/t (m/s s ft/s
- Acceleration (a) a=v/t OR Δv=a (m/s2cm/s ft/s2
- Force (F) F=ma OR *E=F, E=ma (1N-1kg • m/s2= cm/s2 1Pd=1lb•ft/s2
- Work (W) W=FL (1dyne =1g•cm/s2
- Power (ρ) ρ=W/t (1erg=1dtne•cm lb•ft/s
- Momentum (p) p=mv (1W=1J/s erg/s
- Length (L) fundamental

- In this respect some equations may be "substituted" with various symbols, which possess the same meaning or be more meaningful.

1. Such is that with force. Force is assumed as an external energy created by the manual input of energy. I.e.; The displacement of a coffee cup across the table with one's finger.

With no energy to produce the force there can be no displacement of the coffee cup, hence F is interchangeable with energy because it originates from Pe. Hence E=ma where E=F, F≠E, lest causality violation.

2. Acceleration, *a*, is nothing more than the consequence of increased inputs of force behind a body of mass (pushing) (the input of more energy to produce work or to impart power) in a repetitive manner to result in a "change-in" (Δ) velocity to a top velocity, new velocity, or constantly changing velocity. The repetitiousness involves the repeat in positive changes-in force by positive increases in the manual amount of input of energy.

The output is the incrementation of the energy's power to cause the force to do more work in pushing the body of mass in 1 direction in the absence of a pull – and not in resistance to Gravitation but in resistance to it's own potential energy…timitically.

Hence:

$$\Delta v = a, \quad EP = mc^2$$

The result is, then one of repetitiousness energy enhancements bound to position timitically, forthwith because in 1 direction the energy does not renew itself to avoid loss in itself.

Although suggestive of Quantum time travel in pushing in a straight-line the increase in speed results in the source becoming disabled from it itself moving outside of the now.

Hence a manually established limit for both. Automatically perhaps via communication provided some program of attributes of unknown origin. Oscillatory maintaining futility also due to arrival at the same space possessing finite timitic differences coming from opposing directions.

We have therefore an equation that suggests that in the establishment(s) of energy, position results to prohibit FTL not alone due to PE, but also in the fact of an absence of pull in externally induced push through the manual inputs of repetitive energy with respect to force.

$$\frac{\Delta v_1 - v_0}{EtDd_1} = f_{(E)} \int_{D\infty}^{t} m \frac{\lambda = h/v}{\sqrt{\Delta E_k \div (-E_p)}} = p$$

While this particular equation may be incomplete what it essentially means is that the energy propagating a mass (m), relativistically over time in Δv, forces a push absent of pull to be indebted to potential energy at a Quantum level, thereby producing positions by the establishments of energy indebted to Pe.

If E were not in-debt to Pe/G (that is to insinuate a pull with a push or a complete avoidance of Pe via renewal of E - timitically, then the assumption of Gravitation being in fact indebtedness to Pe/G would cease and positions related to it would automatically or otherwise disappear. Since positions is a constraint set upon the degrees of freedom upon a mass it follows that without the indebtedness of E to Pe/G that in it's presence energy establishments correspond to the manifestation of positions directly related to *df*.

And that in its absence the manifestation of positions directly related to df cannot constrain a body of mass to slower than light velocities revealing that in light of mass energy in-debt to Pe/G manifesting positions, positional relations prohibiting FTL via relativistic energy establishments are nothing more than an illusion.

Free Fall

Free fall is different. An object released from a considerable height is reversed to that OF A FORCE. Where a push is a pull. If both a pull and an equal push, m would equal 0.

Outer Space

In the example of free-space a body of mass is weightless. However free to wonder upon the application of an external force projects it into various stages of tumblings.

Once the action is introduced it may do so add infinitum provided it does not re-enter the atmosphere of a larger mass. Or it may be accelerated as it passes by certain spheres. Pulsed, it can ascertain rapid velocities with minimal energy input. However with nothing to grapple with in free space an ending position does not present itself as an opportunity for it to end Pe in position. Or come to rest. But Pe is still present only that now deceleration is required to bring it to a stop once the mass's energy has become initialized – neither to deceleration or acceleration. It remains constant in v provided no other external forces are applied to it. Δd is present or it could not tumble in various outcomes.

So an oscillation about a circumference would still experience Δd indicating position and hence Pe/G and thereby *df*. So the same physics apply only that it is maintained without the intervention of either –E or +E. warping space would then be easier in pulses – from the now where ΔD = Δt is concerned.

Key to Symbols Used

- *a* = Acceleration
- v = Velocity
- -v = Deceleration
- *-a* = Increased deceleration above an initial velocity by one whole percent. Also beyond.
- ac = centripetal acceleration
- B = magnetic field, bulk modulus
- E = Energy
- E X B = Cross product of electric and magnetic fields or electromagnetic field(s) (right hand rule)
- c = (lower case) Speed of light in vacuum (300 x 108 m/s) or 186,282.4 mi/sec per sec
- D = Distance
- *d* = Direction
- 1d = Travel in one direction, dimension.
- F = Force, where E = F
- *h* = Planck's constant (6.63 x 10-34 js)
- I = Moment of inertia
- *i* = imaginary number
- L = angular momentum, length
- P = Position or momentum
- m = mass
- m = momentum
- T = absolute time
- *t* = time
- ω = Angular velocity
- α = Angular acceleration
- RPS = (revolutions per second) about a fixed circumferential distance as measured by an imaginary

reference point ot dot.

- Δ = Change-in
- ∇ = Nambla
- Ke = Kinetic energy
- Pe = Potential energy
- s = speed (magnitude of velocity)
- vc = velocity of speed of light

- G = Gravitational constant (push by force, pull by free fall) (6.67 x 10-11 Nm2/kg2)
- W = work
- ev =energy, electron volt (1.6022 x 10-19
- J = Joule
- *df* = Degree of freedom corresponding or with respect to positions
- *-i* = is not imaginary or equal to 0.

- -0 = A regulatory zero assumed to exist before –1. i.e.; (-1 -0 0 +1). Usually, -0 can only exist in negative numerals such as that in a High-Low inversion. And appears to*be-come* manifest only when 2 negative positions become established. i.e.; 2 positions possess the seed for a single possibility (while a solitary position cannot) Thus 2 negative positions are the seed for a single potential…

- µ = Dimension of time in 3 dimensional space. x,y,z, or masses.
- √ = square root
- _____ = Line segment, separator

-P = A dimensionless constant almost always present in closed time-like lines at specific distances.

i.e.; position does not exist for the spin of a particle whose v=c. The position that indicates the RPS about a fixed distance is an imaginary reference point (a dot) and possesses no actual substance or reality indicating actual position in such a closed time-like line where uniform reciprocation at c about D conserves energy avoiding loss in thereof.

- ∫ = Integral operator. The sum of all the little bits of…

(E ÷ (E−)) = A constant showing that whenever E is established by a manual, external force (a push absent of a pull or a pull (free fall) absent of a push)) E is in-debt to Pe and in relativistics.

Where: (Et ÷ (−Et)) = -1. In effect the indebtedness of E by Pe/G in it's establishment is a negative value undetectable in E as it would seem to cause Pe/G to be assumed as "disappearing", when in actuality Ke and Pe/G are not isolatable from each other.

By way of various examples throughout this book and from examples involved in rapid reciprocation at c about specific distances it be-comes apparent that energy in debt to G is in fact the source of

Gravitation. Although the term itself is a misinterpretation to locate Gravity in relationship to mass in space-time there is none in space-time itself but only results in establishments of E when it is in debt to G. When E is not in-debt to G, position is eliminated and therefore the pull upon mass when force becomes or is applied in opposition to a pull or visa-verse.

In light of E in-debt to G whenever E becomes established, accumulated, uniform, increased, position(s) and therefore degrees of freedom (the absence of time travel) are interpreted as apparent as being illusionary with respect to E in-debt to G in normal relativistic establishments in real space (time).