

# A partial proof of the Goldbach conjecture and the twin primes conjecture

## By Lucas Allen

### Contents

- Introduction
- A “formula” for primes
- A partial proof of the Goldbach conjecture
- A partial proof of the twin primes conjecture
- Conclusion

### Introduction

This paper presents a “formula” (more or less) for prime numbers in a specific interval. This formula is then used to partially prove the Goldbach conjecture and the twin primes conjecture. The proofs are incomplete however and have not been reviewed by anyone.

### A “formula” for primes

Consider an integer  $y = 3a_2 + 2a_3$ , where  $a_2$  is any integer, positive or negative, which is not divisible by 2, and likewise,  $a_3$  is an integer not divisible by 3. It's pretty straight forward to show that  $y$  is not divisible by 2 or 3.

We can continue with this logic to find a formula for an integer which is not divisible by 2, 3 or 5. The formula is  $y = 3 \cdot 5a_2 + 2 \cdot 5a_3 + 2 \cdot 3a_5$ , where  $a_2$ ,  $a_3$  and  $a_5$  are not divisible by 2, 3 or 5, respectively.

More generally, we can devise a formula to find all prime numbers in an interval  $[p_n, p_n^2]$ , where  $p_n$  is the  $n$ th prime number, if we know all the primes  $p_1$  to  $p_{n-1}$ . The equation in all it's glory is:

$$y = \sum_{\text{primes } p < p_n} \frac{1}{p} \left( \prod_{\text{primes } q < p_n}^q q \right) a_p$$

Where  $a_p$  is any interger not divisible by  $p$ .

Formally, we can prove this formula will never be divisible by any prime  $p_1$  to  $p_{n-1}$  by dividing through by each prime  $p_1$  to  $p_{n-1}$ . Each term in the summation will give an interger when divided by some prime except for when the prime is equal to  $p$ , in which case the term will be a non-interger, since  $a_p$  is not divisible by  $p$ . This means that  $y$  is not divisible by any prime  $p_1$  to  $p_{n-1}$ .

The reason the equation only gives primes in the interval  $[p_n, p_n^2]$  is because every integer

below  $p_n^2$  is either divisible by one of  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$  or is prime. So the formula will continue to give primes up to infinity, but it will also give composite numbers with prime factors of  $p_n$  or higher. All the primes below the interval are multiples of themselves, which means the equation shouldn't give these primes at all, except for 1.

Another thing we can do is take the last term of the summation out of the equation, and factor out  $p_{n-1}$  to get a recursive formula for prime numbers:

$$y = p_{n-1}k + \left( \prod_{\text{prime } q < p_{n-1}} q \right) a_{p_{n-1}}$$

Where  $k$  is a number which is not divisible by  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-2}$ . If we now define:

$$\pi_n = \prod_{\text{prime } q < p_n} q$$

And:

$$\pi_{n,p} = \frac{1}{p} \prod_{\text{prime } q < p_n} q$$

The prime number formula is now:

$$y = \sum_{\text{prime } p < p_n} \pi_{n,p} a_p$$

Take the last term out of the summation and factor out  $p_{n-1}$  from what's left over to get:

$$y = p_{n-1}k + \pi_{n-1}a_{n-1}$$

This is the formula used to partially prove the Goldbach conjecture and the twin primes conjecture.

It needs to be shown that this formula will give all primes in the interval  $[p_n, p_n^2]$ . This can be done by showing that  $y$  can be any integer when the  $a_p$ 's no longer need to be integers not divisible by  $p$  (and hence become  $b_p$ 's.) If this is the case then it follows that the prime number formula will give all the primes greater than  $p_{n-1}$ . We can do this by using mathematical induction:

**Basis step:** Show that for the equation  $y = 3b_2 + 2b_3$ , where  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  can be any integers, there is a solution for every integer  $y$ . Since 2 and 3 are co-prime there exist integers  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $3f + 2g = 1$ . So let  $b_2 = yf$  and  $b_3 = yg$  and the equation is satisfied.

**Inductive step:** Assume that  $k$  and  $b_{p_{n-1}}$  are any integers, so that:

$$y = p_{n-1}k + \pi_{n-1}b_{p_{n-1}}$$

Because  $p_{n-1}$  and  $\pi_{n-1}$  are co-prime, there is a solution for any integer  $y$ .

This means that when all the  $b_p$ 's are not divisible by  $p$ ,  $y$  is not divisible by  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$ , but if any of the  $b_p$ 's are divisible by  $p$ , then  $y$  has a prime factor of  $p$ .

### Example

Take the equation  $y = 3a_2 + 2a_3$  and set  $a_2 = 1$ , this gives  $y = 3 + 2a_3$  where  $a_3$  is any number not divisible by 3, i.e. 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 etc. This means that  $y$  is: 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19. Essentially all it's doing is skipping every even number, and then skipping every even number which is a multiple of 3. This will give all the primes between 5 and 25 because every number less than 25 is either a multiple of 2 and/or 3, or is prime.

Now take the equation  $y = 3 \cdot 5a_2 + 2 \cdot 5a_3 + 2 \cdot 3a_5$  which is  $y = 15a_2 + 10a_3 + 6a_5$  and set  $a_2 = 1$  and  $a_3 = 1$  then  $y = 15 + 10 + 6a_5$ , where  $a_5$  is any number which is not divisible by 5, i.e. 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9. This gives  $y$  as 31, 37, 43, 49, 61, 67, 73, 79. 49 isn't a prime but it's  $7^2$ , so it's not a multiple of 2, 3, or 5, and is outside of the interval. If we set  $a_2 = 1$  and  $a_3 = 2$  then  $y = 15 + 20 + 6a_5$  so  $y$  is: 41, 47, 53, 59, 71, 77, 83, 89. 77 isn't a prime either but it's  $7 \times 11$ , so isn't a multiple of 2, 3 or 5, and is outside the interval as well.

### A partial proof of the Goldbach conjecture

For the Goldbach conjecture all that's needed is to add two prime numbers ( $y_1$  and  $y_2$ ) together:

$$y_1 + y_2 = \sum_{primes p < p_n} \pi_{n,p} (a_p + c_p)$$

Where  $a_p$  and  $c_p$  are any two integers which aren't divisible by  $p$ . Because  $a_p$  and  $c_p$  are any two integers not divisible by  $p$ , there are infinite solutions to  $a_p + c_p = b_p$ , where  $b_p$  is any integer. This works for all odd  $p$ , for the case when  $p = 2$ ,  $a_2 + c_2$  must be an even integer, this makes sense though because all other  $\pi_{n,p}$  have factors of 2, so  $\pi_{2,p}$  is the only odd  $\pi_{n,p}$ .

All that needs to be shown now is:

$$2m = \sum_{primes p < p_n} \pi_{n,p} b_p$$

For any integer  $m$ . This can be done by using the fact that  $p_{n-1}$  and  $\pi_{n-1}$  are co-prime. This would mean that for every even integer there are an infinite number of pairs of numbers which aren't divisible by  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$ , and which sum to the integer. We can show that this equation can be satisfied for any  $m$  by using mathematical induction:

**Basis step:** Show that there are solutions to the equation  $2m = 3b_2 + 2b_3$ , where  $b_2 = a_2 + c_2$  and  $b_3 = a_3 + c_3$ .  $b_2$  must be an even integer, so let  $b_2 = 2d$ , for any integer  $d$ , and  $b_3$  can be any integer at all.

Dividing by 2 gives:

$$m = 3d + b_3$$

Which means that for any even number it's possible to find infinite pairs of numbers which aren't divisible by 2 or 3 and add up to the even number.

**Inductive step:** Assume that for any even number ( $2e$ ) there exists a pair of numbers  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  which aren't divisible by  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-2}$  and add up to the even number. So that:

$$2e = k_1 + k_2 = \sum_{\text{primes } p < p_n} \pi_{n-1,p} b_p$$

Now take the equation:

$$2m = \sum_{\text{primes } p < p_n} \pi_{n,p} b_p$$

And take the last term out of the summation and factor out  $p_{n-1}$  from the remaining terms to get:

$$2m = p_{n-1} \left( \sum_{\text{primes } p < p_{n-1}} \pi_{n-1,p} b_p \right) + \pi_{n-1} b_{p_{n-1}}$$

This gives:

$$2m = p_{n-1}(2e) + \pi_{n-1} b_{p_{n-1}}$$

Dividing by 2:

$$m = p_{n-1}e + (\pi_{n-1}/2)b_{p_{n-1}}$$

Since  $p_{n-1}$  and  $\pi_{n-1}/2$  share no common prime factors they are coprime intergers. This means that there are integers  $f$  and  $g$  such that  $p_{n-1}f + (\pi_{n-1}/2)g = 1$ , this is a result called Bézout's identity. So just let  $e = mf$  and  $b_{p_{n-1}} = mg$  and the equation is satisfied.

This proves that there are infinite pairs of numbers ( $y_1$  and  $y_2$ ) which aren't divisible by  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$  and add up to any given even number. All that's left to show in order to prove the Goldbach conjecture is that one of these pairs will have both  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in the interval  $[p_n, p_n^2]$  thus making sure they're prime.

### Example

let  $2m = 38$ , and  $y_1 + y_2 = 15b_2 + 10b_3 + 6b_5$ .  $b_2$  is an even integer, so let  $b_2 = 2d$ , putting this all together:

$$38 = 15 \cdot 2d + 10b_3 + 6b_5$$

$$19 = 15d + 5b_3 + 3b_5$$

One solution to this is  $d = 1$ ,  $b_3 = 2$ ,  $b_5 = -2$ . Splitting up the b's:

$b_2 = 2$ , so let  $a_2 = 1$  and  $c_2 = 1$  (both odd numbers)

$b_3 = 2$ , so let  $a_3 = 1$  and  $c_3 = 1$  (both not divisible by 3)

$b_5 = -2$ , so let  $a_5 = -1$  and  $c_5 = -1$  (both not divisible by 5)

Both prime numbers are:

$$15(1) + 10(1) + 6(-1) = 19$$

We can get two other numbers by letting:

$a_2 = 1$  and  $c_2 = 1$

$a_3 = 4$  and  $c_3 = -2$

$a_5 = -3$  and  $c_3 = 1$

To get:

$$15(1) + 10(4) + 6(-3) = 37$$

$$15(1) + 10(-2) + 6(1) = 1$$

1 isn't in the interval  $[7, 49]$ , and it's possible to get negative numbers as well.

### A partial proof of the twin primes conjecture

Subtracting  $y_2$  from  $y_1$  gives:

$$y_1 - y_2 = p_{n-1}(k_1 - k_2) + \pi_{n-1}B_{p_{n-1}}$$

Where  $B_p = a_p - c_p$ . There will be solutions for  $a_p$  and  $c_p$  if  $B_p$  is any integer. It's possible to show that  $k_1 - k_2$  can be any even integer using the same logic as with the Goldbach conjecture but with a minus sign instead of a plus sign and  $B_p$ 's instead of  $b_p$ 's. Let  $k_1 - k_2 = 2e$ , and set  $y_1 - y_2 = 2$ , because they're twin primes. We now have:

$$2 = p_{n-1}(2e) + \pi_{n-1}B_{p_{n-1}}$$

And divide by 2:

$$1 = p_{n-1}e + (\pi_{n-1}/2)B_{p_{n-1}}$$

$p_{n-1}$  and  $\pi_{n-1}/2$  are co-prime, so there exists an  $e$  and  $B_{p_{n-1}}$  which satisfies the equation. In fact there are infinite solutions to the equation  $B_p = a_p - c_p$  so there are infinite pairs of numbers not divisible by  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}$  which are only separated by 2.

There are infinite pairs and infinite intervals, and any negative pair of twin primes

correspond to a positive pair. However, it still needs to be shown that at least one of these pairs is in each interval, for every interval, to be certain they're prime.

## Conclusion

Given the first  $n-1$  primes it's possible to develop a "formula" for all the primes in the interval between the  $n$ th prime and its square. Using this formula it's then possible to partially prove the Goldbach conjecture and the twin primes conjecture. The proofs for these conjectures are incomplete, however, and so need to be completed.