

**DO PRIME NUMBERS OBEY THREE
DIMENSIONAL DOUBLE HELIX ?**

P. Bissonnet

P.O. Box 1624
Crosby, TX 77532. U.S.A.
peterkey@ev1.net

Received April 8, 2006
Revised May 30, 2006
Revised July 22, 2006

Abstract

When a subset of sequential integers is arranged in a specific way, there appears a paired set of slanted straight lines along which prime numbers seem to naturally arrange themselves in a repeated fashion. This arrangement can further be observed to be a two dimensional surface applicable to the cylinder. If this arrangement is on a piece of paper, then one can fold the paper in the form of a cylinder, and the paired set of slanted straight lines unite at the page edges to form a double helix winding down the cylinder. Paired primes are thus seen to be an association between primes residing on these two paired helixes, and further analysis shows that there appears to be two types of paired primes. Is the **prime number double helix** nature's secret for jump starting life (DNA) and thereby defeating entropy (at least in the initial stage) by creating **order from order** instead of order from disorder? The prime number trends examined exhibit right handed chirality; left handed chirality is obtained by symmetry considerations.

Discussion

The purpose of this paper is to present a technique of looking at sequential integers which seems to bring out a hidden structure of the prime numbers. A seven column array of integers is set out as in Tables 1a and 1b. The prime numbers are highlighted in bold black. The non-primes are then deleted leaving only the primes. There results an unmistakable diagonal trend in the primes, which can be resolved into two trends for two sets of primes. This set of paired trends is then repeated and repeated for different primes. One can draw trend lines under each of the trend sets of diagonal primes as depicted in Tables 2a and 2b. Tables 3a and 3b are included to show that this diagonal trend continues into higher order primes, even though the density of primes along the trend lines fluctuates: sometimes increasing, decreasing, and sometimes disappearing altogether.

If Tables 2a, 2b, 3a, or 3b are printed out on a sheet of paper, the paper can be folded into a cylinder with the left side folding from front to back and joining with the right side which is folded from front to back. The trend lines then join on the back side of this cylinder as one then perceives a set of paired three dimensional helixes winding down the cylinder. Paired primes are thus seen to be an association between primes residing on these two paired helixes.

Table 1a:
Seven Column
Array of
Integers

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31	32	33	34	35
36	37	38	39	40	41	42
43	44	45	46	47	48	49
50	51	52	53	54	55	56
57	58	59	60	61	62	63
64	65	66	67	68	69	70
71	72	73	74	75	76	77
78	79	80	81	82	83	84
85	86	87	88	89	90	91
92	93	94	95	96	97	98
99	100	101	102	103	104	105
106	107	108	109	110	111	112
113	114	115	116	117	118	119
120	121	122	123	124	125	126
127	128	129	130	131	132	133
134	135	136	137	138	139	140
141	142	143	144	145	146	147
148	149	150	151	152	153	154
155	156	157	158	159	160	161
162	163	164	165	166	167	168
169	170	171	172	173	174	175
176	177	178	179	180	181	182
183	184	185	186	187	188	189
190	191	192	193	194	195	196
197	198	199	200	201	202	203
204	205	206	207	208	209	210
211	212	213	214	215	216	217
218	219	220	221	222	223	224
225	226	227	228	229	230	231
232	233	234	235	236	237	238
239	240	241	242	243	244	245
246	247	248	249	250	251	252
253	254	255	256	257	258	259
260	261	262	263	264	265	266
267	268	269	270	271	272	273
274	275	276	277	278	279	280
281	282	283	284	285	286	287
288	289	290	291	292	293	294
295	296	297	298	299	300	301
302	303	304	305	306	307	308
309	310	311	312	313	314	315
316	317	318	319	320	321	322
323	324	325	326	327	328	329
330	331	332	333	334	335	336
337	338	339	340	341	342	343
344	345	346	347	348	349	350

Table 1b:
Seven Column
Array of
Integers (con't)

351	352	353	354	355	356	357
358	359	360	361	362	363	364
365	366	367	368	369	370	371
372	373	374	375	376	377	378
379	380	381	382	383	384	385
386	387	388	389	390	391	392
393	394	395	396	397	398	399
400	401	402	403	404	405	406
407	408	409	410	411	412	413
414	415	416	417	418	419	420
421	422	423	424	425	426	427
428	429	430	431	432	433	434
435	436	437	438	439	440	441
442	443	444	445	446	447	448
449	450	451	452	453	454	455
456	457	458	459	460	461	462
463	464	465	466	467	468	469
470	471	472	473	474	475	476
477	478	479	480	481	482	483
484	485	486	487	488	489	490
491	492	493	494	495	496	497
498	499	500	501	502	503	504
505	506	507	508	509	510	511
512	513	514	515	516	517	518
519	520	521	522	523	524	525
526	527	528	529	530	531	532
533	534	535	536	537	538	539
540	541	542	543	544	545	546
547	548	549	550	551	552	553
554	555	556	557	558	559	560
561	562	563	564	565	566	567
568	569	570	571	572	573	574
575	576	577	578	579	580	581
582	583	584	585	586	587	588
589	590	591	592	593	594	595
596	597	598	599	600	601	602
603	604	605	606	607	608	609
610	611	612	613	614	615	616
617	618	619	620	621	622	623
624	625	626	627	628	629	630
631	632	633	634	635	636	637
638	639	640	641	642	643	644
645	646	647	648	649	650	651
652	653	654	655	656	657	658
659	660	661	662	663	664	665
666	667	668	669	670	671	672
673	674	675	676	677	678	679
680	681	682	683	684	685	686
687	688	689	690	691	692	693
694	695	696	697	698	699	700

Table 2a :
Prime Numbers As
They Order Along
Paired Straight Lines

	2	3	5	7
			11	13
		17		19
	23			
29		31		
	37			41
43				
			53	
		59		61
			67	
71		73		
	79			83
				89
				97
		101		103
	107		109	
113				
127				131
			137	139
	149		151	
		157		
	163			167
			173	
			179	181
	191		193	
197		199		
211				
				223
		227		229
	233			
239		241		
				251
			257	
		263		
		269		271
			277	
281		283		
				293
				307

Table 2b :
Prime Numbers As
They Order Along
Paired Straight Lines
(con't.)

				293
				307
		311		313
	317			
	331			
337				
			347	349
		353		
	359			
		367		
	373			
379				
			383	
			389	
				397
	401			
		409		
				419
421				
			431	433
				439
	443			
449				
	457			
463				461
				467
		479		
			487	
491				
	499			
				503
			509	
		521		523
	541			
547				
			557	
		563		
	569		571	

Table 3a :
Larger Prime Numbers
As They Still Order Along Paired
Straight Lines

				18493
	18503			
	18517			18521
18523				
	18539		18541	
	18553			
			18583	
18593	18587			
			18617	
			18637	
				18661
	18671			
		18679		
18691				
			18701	
	18713			
18719				
				18731
			18743	
	18749			
		18757		
				18773

Further Discussion

The most obvious question to be asked is whether or not nature actually utilizes such a seven column array to create the prime induced geometry of this double helix so as to be used as a blueprint for the further creation of the physical DNA double helix upon which life is based. Does the key to finding the secret of living systems reside in how quantum mechanics deals with prime numbers? If true, this would then mean that either ordinary quantum mechanics can somehow distinguish prime numbers in order to create animate from inanimate matter, or else there is a new type of quantum mechanics based solely or in large part upon prime numbers, specifically for the design and maintenance of living systems.

It is also possible to work within the two dimensional framework. Since the paired straight lines seem to be repeated in a regular fashion, one can find the equation for the first two straight trend lines and then add integral values to obtain the others. The way this spreadsheet is set up, it is assumed that one is working in the fourth quadrant. The equation for the first line is $6x - 35$ and the equation for the second line is $6x - 49$. If one gives the designation of '1' for the first line of any pair (helix 1) and '2' as the designation for the second line of any pair (helix 2), then the general equations are as follows:

$$P_{1(n)x} = 6x - 35 - 42n_1 \dots\dots\dots (1)$$

$$P_{2(n)x} = 6x - 35 - 14 - 42n_2 = 6x - 49 - 42n_2 \dots\dots\dots (2)$$

for $x = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$ or 6 and $n_1, n_2 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\dots\dots \infty$

$$P_{1(n)x+1} - P_{1(n)x} = P_{2(n)x+1} - P_{2(n)x} = 6 \text{ for contiguous primes.}$$

Since these equations are assumed to be in the fourth quadrant (see note),

$P_{1(n)x}$ and $P_{2(n)x}$ are negative. One can set up spread sheet formulas to determine n for a prime candidate as follows for the following examples:

Table 4:

P1 =	-509	-19013	-431	-69371	-479	-19001	-881
X =	5	1	4	1	3	3	6
n1 =	12	452	10	1651	11	452	21
P2 =	-898423	-112303	-433	-99991	-18793	-18787	-883
X =	1	2	6	3	5	6	1
n2 =	21390	2673	10	2380	447	447	20

where, for example,

$$n_1 = 12 = (B1 - (6*B2) + 35) / (-42) \text{ for } B1 = -509 \text{ and } B2 = 5 \text{ and}$$

$$n_2 = 21390 = (B5 - (6*B6) + 49) / (-42) \text{ for } B5 = -898423 \text{ and } B6 = 1$$

(Note: One can also pretend to be in the third quadrant, in which case the intercept is a bit easier to visualize, since column 7 becomes the 0 column or the vertical axis. The equations then become

$$P_{1(n)x} = 6x + 7 - 42n_1$$

$$P_{2(n)x} = 6x - 7 - 42n_2$$

In this case $x = -1, -2, -3, -4, -5, \text{ and } -6$)

The main assertion of this paper is that all of the primes, except for 2 and 3, obey the three dimensional double helix presented or, in the alternative, the repeated set of two dimensional paired straight lines presented. Unfortunately, non-primes (composites) have not been completely eliminated from these trend lines, but the vast majority have. Furthermore, paired primes have been shown to be of two types from Table 4. Namely, in the case of (431, 433), prime 431 resides on helix 1 with prime 433 on helix 2, both from the **same** complex of $n = 10$, while, in the case of (881, 883), prime 883 resides on helix 2 of the complex $n = 20$, while prime 881 resides on helix 1 of the next complex of $n = 21$.

Type I paired primes:

$$n_1 = n_2 = n$$

$$x_2 = x_1 + 2$$

Examples of Type I are

(17, 19), (59, 61), (101, 103), (149, 151), (18911, 18913)

Type II paired primes:

$$n_2 = n$$

$$n_1 = n + 1$$

$$x_1 = 6$$

$$x_2 = 1$$

Examples of Type II are

(41, 43), (419, 421), (461, 463), (18521, 18523)

Could paired primes have a physical correspondence with base pairs in the

double helical structure of DNA?

The equations for this helix are $x = r \cos \theta + 3$, $y = r \sin \theta$, $z = k \theta$ where r = cylinder radius centered at $x = 3$ with the helix wrapping around in a clockwise direction making θ negative, and k is a constant. The attempt will be made to give an estimate of k based upon the difference in the intercepts of equation (1).

Let $b_{10} = -35$ and let $b_{11} = -35 - 42$. Then $\Delta b = b_{11} - b_{10} = -42$

But $\Delta z = k \Delta \theta$, and $\Delta \theta = (-\theta - 2\pi) - (-\theta) = -2\pi$

$$\Delta b = -42 = \Delta z = k(-2\pi) \quad \text{or } k = \frac{21}{\pi}$$

As an aside, let us consider the story related by Edward Kasner and James R. Newman in *The World of Mathematics* (which was related from W.W.R. Ball, *Mathematical Recreations and Essays*, 11th ed., New York: Macmillan, 1939) in which the great French amateur mathematician Fermat was sent a letter:

"Accordingly, it is still a source of wonder that Fermat replied without a moment's hesitation to a letter which asked whether 100895598169 was a prime, that it was the product of 898423 and 112303, and that each of these numbers was prime."

Fermat said that 100895598169 was not a prime, but let us use Table 5 to investigate whether or not this non-prime falls along the trend lines.

Table 5:

P1 = -100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169
X = 1	2	3	4	5	6
n1 = 2402276146.19	2402276146.33	2402276146.47	2402276146.61	2402276146.76	2402276146.90
P2 = -100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169	-100895598169
X = 1	2	3	4	5	6
n2 = 2402276145.85	2402276146	2402276146.14	2402276146.28	2402276146.42	2402276146.57

Therefore, this non-prime falls along the trend line of helix 2.

Let us now investigate the primes 898423 and 112303 using Tables 6 and 7.

Table 6:

P1 =	-898423	-898423	-898423	-898423	-898423	-898423
X =	1	2	3	4	5	6
n1 =	21390.33	21390.47	21390.61	21390.76	21390.90	21391.04
P2 =	-898423	-898423	-898423	-898423	-898423	-898423
X =	1	2	3	4	5	6
n2 =	21390	21390.14	21390.28	21390.42	21390.57	21390.71

Table 7:

P1 =	-112303	-112303	-112303	-112303	-112303	-112303
X =	1	2	3	4	5	6
n1 =	2673.19	2673.33	2673.47	2673.61	2673.76	2673.90
P2 =	-112303	-112303	-112303	-112303	-112303	-112303
X =	1	2	3	4	5	6
n2 =	2672.85	2673	2673.14	2673.28	2673.42	2673.57

Thus we determine that both of these primes also reside on the second helix.

For $P_{2(n)x}$ we now have

$$-P_{2(21390)1} = 898423$$

$$-P_{2(2673)2} = 112303$$

Conclusion

Is the **prime number double helix** nature's secret for jump starting life (DNA) and thereby defeating entropy (at least in the initial stage) by creating **order from order** instead of order from disorder? If any of these speculations are true, then it would make sense for there to exist another type of quantum mechanics, or at least a new level or layer of the present one, simply for the sole purpose of organizing large sets of molecules by using the double helix of prime numbers as a blueprint for creating DNA. Of course, this would necessitate a new type of wave field surrounding these molecules in order to introduce the concept of 'biologically active'. This new field would probably not be based upon probability, per se, but one based upon feedback of information from the surrounding environment at the very large multi-molecular level.....an 'awareness field' if you please. Clearly, there must be an objective field which would operate

in conjunction with a new set of 'quantum mechanical type' laws/rules acting in partnership with this prime induced geometry; integral equations, for example, allow feedback mechanisms to exist quite naturally.

The chirality of the prime number double helix in this paper is right handed; however, if one flips the entire table of numbers (assumed to be in the fourth quadrant) around the x axis up into the first quadrant, while still keeping the rotation of θ clockwise, then the slope of the double straight lines change from positive to negative giving left handed chirality. Instead of considering just left handed DNA, perhaps this region of left handed chirality could also be utilized by the presumed new type of quantum mechanics to process other life- necessary molecules, such as amino acids. It is entirely possible that this prime induced double helix geometry, giving right and left handed chirality, could contribute to an understanding of the problem of homochirality in biological chemistry.

The density of primes seems to vary on either helix with an apparent tendency towards decreasing as higher order primes are encountered. A proposed explanation for this may lie in a curious observation which is directly related to the previous Fermat example; namely, that the product of primes results in a composite number which also lies on one of the two helices (it is totally uncertain if this is true in the case of every prime). Could this be one of the contributing factors as to why the density decreases with increasing order of primes; namely, because of the curious mathematical necessity that the double helix is compelled to make extra room for these composites formed from the multiplication of two previous primes? These extra composite slots force the primes and any groups that they are associated with further away from each other; thus, decreasing the prime density. Another curious attribute of these two helices is that one can possibly predict on which helix the product of two primes, thus a composite number, will fall. Using the formula for the n^{th} term in an arithmetic series $a_n = a_1 + (n - 1) d$, where a_1 is the first term and d is the common difference between successive terms in the sequence or progression, one has for helix 1 (H_1) $a_1 = 5$ and $d = 6$ and for helix 2 (H_2) $a_1 = 7$ and $d = 6$. Thus for H_1 , $a_n = 6n - 1$ and for H_2 , $a_n = 6n + 1$. These two formulas simply pick out the primes and the composites for each of the two helices. If we consider the product of primes, then symbolically $H_1 \otimes H_1 = H_2 = H_2 \otimes H_2$ and $H_1 \otimes H_2 = H_1$.

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M. and Stegun, I. A. (Eds.) (Seventh Printing, May 1968), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions: With Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, p. 870. Washington D.C.: U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards, Applied Mathematics Series 55.
- [2] Kasner, E. and Newman, J.R., *The World of Mathematics*, "Pastimes of Past and Present Times", 4th paperback printing, Vol. 4, p. 2437, New York: Simon and Schuster (1956)

- 612 -

ERRATA CORRIGE

**THE RECEPTION DATE OF THE ARTICLE BELOW IS CORRECTED
TO READ:**

Received February 16, 2006

HADRONIC JOURNAL 29, 387-400 (2006)

- 387 -

**DO PRIME NUMBERS OBEY THREE
DIMENSIONAL DOUBLE HELIX ?**

P. Bissonnet

P.O. Box 1624
Crosby, TX 77532. U.S.A.
peterkey@evl.net

Received April 8, 2006
Revised May 30, 2006
Revised July 22, 2006

