Dynamic Universe Model predicts the Trajectory of New Horizons Satellite up to start of 2017 and predictions are compared with NH official web results.

SNP.GUPTA[1] ,
AGM (C&IT), Bhilai Steel Plant, Bhilai, INDIA.

Abstract:

In this paper, Dynamic Universe Model is used for prediction of trajectory of New Horizons (NH) satellite from 3rd Jan 2009 to 1st Jan 2017, taking trajectory ephemerides data of Jan 1&2, 2009 from official web of NH as basis. The New Horizons official web gives data up to 1st Sept 2015 as on 20 Jul 2014. The present calculated data from Dynamic Universe Model is given up to 1st Jan 2017, which is 16 months more than available data. And further data also can be calculated. It may please be noted the error percentage in predictions went to a maximum of 0.239356 %, -0.00086751 %, -0.0493872 % of NH NASA's web in XYZ coordinates, where as error percentages started from -0.00225 %, 0.000159 %, -0.00029 % for XYZ coordinates respectively.

Key words

Dynamic universe Model, SITA programming, New Horizons (NH) satellite, Pluto Mission, Satellite Trajectory calculations, Rocket Trajectory calculations, Pioneer Anomaly, singularity-free cosmology

1. Introduction

1. 1. Using Dynamic Universe Model for New Horizons Trajectory:

Dynamic universe model can explain Pioneer anomaly, i.e., the higher gravitational attraction forces experienced towards SUN after Jupiter, in a similar way it can also explain NH trajectory for few more years than the present predictions.

New Horizons (NH) is NASA's artificial satellite now going towards to the dwarf planet Pluto. It is the first spacecraft to go near and study Pluto and its moons, Charon, Nix, and Hydra. NH is expected to go near to Pluto in 2015. Later NH will be used for studying Kuiper Belt. The behavior of NH is similar to Pioneer Space craft as NH traveling in a similar manner. Gravity assist was taken by NH at Jupiter in 2007.

[1]snp.gupta@gmail.com, Phone 00917882224670, Mob +919644096888

The New Horizons spacecraft was successfully launched on January 19, 2006 in a three-stage rocket, took a Jupiter-gravity-assist (fly by) in 2007 to go into a trajectory to Pluto. ref [22] The spacecraft will encounter Pluto on July 14, 2015 after a 9.5-year journey from launch. On 14 July 2014, mission controllers performed a sixth trajectory maneuver (TCM) correction since its launch to enable the craft to reach Pluto at the mission specific time on 14 July 2015. When NH started its journey, Pluto was considered as one of the planets. Now Pluto is one of the dwarf planets near the Kuiper belt. Now NH has crossed orbit of Uranus. On 25 Aug 2014 the probe will pass Neptune's orbit. This will be the fifth planetary orbit the spacecraft crosses. These ephemerides data is taken from their web as on 28th June 2009 at ref [22].

The ephemeris data of NH from JPL as on 02.Jan.2009@00.00:00 hrs was taken. The initial configuration of solar system in HELIO CENTRIC ECLIPTIC XYZ VALUES of solar system, nearby stars, Glob Cluster Groups, Galaxy center, Milkyway parts , Andromeda and Triangulum Galaxy as on 02.Jan.2009@00.00:00 hrs in xyz Cartesian coordinates in meters were collected. This data is shown partly in Table 1. And Table 2 shows Velocities of planets and other bodies. By using this initial data, the 9 years data from 03 Jan 2009 was calculated using Dynamic Universe Model's SITA software. Here I used the time step of "one day" for all iterations. There were 3285 years iterations up to 1st Jan 2014. The output of all the iterations data is shown in Table 3. In the output files only selected data was shown. The output for each of iterations consists of large amount of data viz., new positions, velocities, accelerations in 3 xyz axes for each of 133 bodies. But all these data were not shown here. Only selected data were shown like input data of NH, its additional pull towards Sun as mission progresses, the output positions and velocities. The output data viz., Table 3 was shown in the attached files. All the column heads were shown in the first row of both the files. The output data is shown as separate row for each of daily iterations in the attached file for Table 3 "Vak NH Table 3 output values.xls",

Table 1 is given in the annexed file "Vak NH Table 1.xls". It shows full table of input values. The Cartesian coordinates of xyz velocities of planets of solar system were collected from JPL ephemerides and shown in Table 1. Velocities of other bodies were taken from different sources. Even if the velocities are unknown for some of the bodies the model will calculate according to positions of other bodies in a few iterations and stabilizes.

The data from 03-Aug-2009 was calculated and this predicted data was compared with 03-Aug-2009 ephemeris and so on. The predictions for NH by Dynamic Universe Model are comparable with ephemerides data. The differences between predicted data and ephemerides data are compared and were shown table 5 & 6. In these tables, the first three columns after date give Dynamic Universe Model predictions based on 02 &01 Jan-2009 00:00 hrs data with daily time step. Next three columns gives ephemerides from JPL. Dynamic Universe Model can predict further to 01-Jan-2017 without any problem. Any other part of the trajectory data can be calculated.

'SITA Calculations' software was developed about 20 years back for the mathematical frame work of Dynamic Universe Model of Cosmology. It is based on Newtonian physics. It is a solution to classical N-body problem using singularity free tensors. Classical N-body problem is the same old problem that was announced by King Oscar II and struggled by Poincare to solve in vain in year AD1888 for general N-body problem. SITA was tested extensively for so many years by me. This was first developed on 486 based PC of those days; the same software was used repeatedly for so many years for solving deferent Physical problems on Different PCs and Laptops.

Just considering gravitation of the Sun alone is not correct. Many physicists require some other additional factors to be considered, some points like Nucleonic pulse device etc, were considered but ruled out. Main point left was like considering simultaneous and dynamical gravitation effects of other planets. This work is a theoretical and computational work and the overall combined consolidated results as shown are in this paper. (Full set of results are given as additional files as they are lengthy.)

2. New Horizons Trajectory calculations:

New Horizons trajectory can be calculated using Chebyshev polynomials fit between known and measured positions as well as for extrapolating external points or Dynamic Universe Model can be used from the beginning. In this model no interpolation methods are used.

2. 1. New Horizons Trajectory ephemerides given by :

Folkner et al (2014) [XX1] used planetary and lunar laser ranging data for calculation of ephemerides. JPL of NASA followed this system fully. Further details can be found from their web page readme[XX2]. *Their ephemeris include dynamical model a frictional damping between the fluid core and the elastic mantle. The JPL planetary ephemerides are saved as files of Chebyshev polynomials*[XX3] *fit to the Cartesian positions and velocities of the planets, Sun, and Moon, typically in 32-day intervals. These predictions are corrected by measuring the satellite positions at some intervals.*

3. Other results from Dynamic Universe Model:

Dynamic universe model explains discrepancies of Pioneer anomaly, published by Nonlinear Studies, a mathematical Journal from USA, (SNP Gupta, JVS Murty, SSV Krishna (2014)). NH also faced the similar problem as Pioneer satellite. Dynamic Universe model successfully explains anomalous trajectory problems faced by both the interplanetary missions.

Now let us see what Dynamic Universe Model of Cosmology is… It uses tensor mathematics based on Newtonian physics. This mathematics used here is simple and straightforward. All the mathematics and the Excel based software details are explained in the three books published by the author (SNP. Gupta, 2010, 2011a, 2011b). In the first book, the solution to N-body problem-called Dynamic Universe Model (SITA) is presented; which is singularity-free, inter-body collision free and dynamically stable. This is the Basic Theory of Dynamic Universe Model published in 2010. The second book in the series describes the equations and SITA software in EXCEL emphasizing the singularity free portions. It explains more than 21,000 different equations (2011). The third book describes the SITA software in EXCEL in the accompanying CD/DVD emphasizing mainly HANDS ON usage of a simplified version in an easy way. The third book contains explanation for 3000 equations instead of earlier 21,000 (2011). With this same SITA setup, many physical problems were solved, which are otherwise not possible. For using this SITA, we have to give the initial values of Masses and Cartesian X Y Z “coordinates of Positions, Velocities, &

Accelerations". Feeding accelerations is not compulsory. Velocities are also not very important, after little iteration of calculations, all the three dimensional Velocities and accelerations will be formed automatically. A point to be noted here is that the Dynamic Universe Model never reduces to General relativity on any condition.

In the VLBI paper the author has shown the discussions by other authors, saying that, there are other influencing factors other than Sun and other planets are also to be accounted for. The Dynamic Universe Model extends into Micro world mathematically to explain VLBI deviations. Being a singularity free N-body problem solution Dynamic Universe Model offers an answer for the above problem, as it can consider mutual gravitational effect of simultaneously and dynamically changing planets, stars, Milkyway center, other parts of Milkyway and other Galaxies etc. In that VLBI paper we show how to explain the variations in the Gravitational deflection (bending) angle as plotted against solar elongation angle Φ, using Dynamic Universe Model. For doing so, the capabilities of Dynamic Universe Model are extended into Micro world or the Photon/Particle zoo. Micro world is nothing but the masses of light photons and radio wavelength photons, Neutrinos, electrons and protons etc. That is, this micro world is a subset of Quantum Mechanics, dealing with masses only. Dynamic Universe model can calculate the simultaneous gravitational effect of many gravitating bodies like Sun, planets, local stars etc., while considering their dynamic movements. The required additional mathematics is in the mathematical section along with the original set. Using these extended capabilities into SITA programming, the setup of solar system was as on 01.01.2000@00.00:00 hrs. Using Heliocentric ecliptic xyz values and try sending the radio photon from different directions i.e., in different solar elongation angle Φmin and trace the path of radio photon. Here 76 different xyz coordinates and different directions were taken for radio photons with the same status of solar system as on 01.01.2000@00.00:00 hrs. The only change from experiment to experiment is the initial position and direction of the photon. All these theoretical experiments were designed in such a way, the photon goes grazingly near Sun or at the minimum distance from the center of Sun at the moment of time as on 01.01.2000@00.00:00 hrs, precisely. That means all the Solar system setup was kept constant and changed the Solar Elongation Angle only, taking into account all the dynamic movements of planets and the their gravitational fields on the fast moving photon. Each of these computationally intensive theoretical experiments took a time 15 min at the lowest to 5 hours at the highest, on a recent HP Laptop, depending on the number of iterations. This paper was presented as a talk at COSPAR-12 (H0.2-0010-12) and published (SNP Gupta (2014)).

The theoretical Circular velocities are different to that of observed, the missing mass (Dark matter) arises due to Calculation error, there is no other reason and dark matter does not exist in reality (SNP Gupta (2005b)). In a present paper, about "there is no dark matter" it was shown that concepts like relative constant Mass, variable mass and missing mass etc., are not required. And the details of earlier publications, in books as well as papers are available in the same paper. One can refer to the same paper for main foundations and a general introduction for Dynamic Universe Model (SNP Gupta (2014)). One can see the references in this paper for the details...

All the mathematics and the Excel based software details are explained in the three books published by the author [6, 7 ,8] In the first book, the solution to N-body problem-called Dynamic Universe Model (SITA) is presented; which is singularity-free, inter-body collision free and dynamically stable. This is the Basic Theory of Dynamic Universe Model published in 2010 [6]. The second book in the series describes the SITA software in EXCEL emphasizing the singularity free portions. It explains more than 21,000 different equations (2011)[7]. The third book describes the SITA software in EXCEL in the accompanying CD / DVD emphasizing mainly HANDS ON usage of a simplified version in an easy way. The third book contains explanation for 3000 equations instead of earlier 21000 (2011)[8].The fourth book (2012) [15] in the series on Dynamic Universe Model: SITA, gave simulations that predicted the existence of the large number of Blue-shifted Galaxies in 2004, ie., more than about 35 ~ 40 Blue-shifted Galaxies known at the time of Astronomer Edwin Hubble in 1930s. The far greater numbers of Blue-shifted galaxies was confirmed by the Hubble Space Telescope (HST) observations in the year 2009.

SITA solution can be used in many places like currently unsolved applications like Pioneer anomaly at the Solar system level, Missing mass due to Star circular velocities and Galaxy disk formation at Galaxy level etc. Here we are using it for prediction of blue shifted Galaxies.

Dynamic Universe model does NOT depend on speculation for its equations (the warping of space comes to mind). It is based on hard observed facts. As I am writing this, cosmology is becoming more and more speculative, concerning ad hoc hypothesis bolstering theory.

3 The Mathematical formulations: Into the Micro world

3.1. Original Theoretical formation (Tensor):

Let us assume an inhomogeneous and anisotropic set of N point masses moving under mutual gravitation as a system and these point masses are also under the gravitational influence of other additional systems with a different number of point masses in these different. For a broader perspective, let us call this set of all the systems of point masses as an Ensemble. Let us further assume that there are many Ensembles each consisting of a different number of systems with different number of point masses. Similarly, let us further call a group of Ensembles as Aggregate. Let us further define a Conglomeration as a set of Aggregates and let a further higher system have a number of conglomerations and so on and so forth.

Initially, let us assume a set of N mutually gravitating point masses in a system under Newtonian Gravitation. Let the α^{th} ^point mass has mass m_α, and is in position x_α. In addition to the mutual gravitational force, there exists an external ϕ_{ext}, due to other systems, ensembles, aggregates, and conglomerations etc., which also influence the total force F_α acting on the point mass α. In this case, the ϕ_{ext} is not a constant universal Gravitational field but it is the total vectorial sum of fields at $x_\alpha$due to all the external to its system bodies and with that configuration at that moment of time, external to its system of N point masses.

Total Mass of system = $$M = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha \qquad (1)$$

Total force on the point massα is $F\alpha$, Let $F_{\alpha\beta}$ is the gravitational force on the α^{th}point mass due to β^{th}point mass.

$$F_\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} F_{\alpha\beta} - m_\alpha \nabla_\alpha \Phi_{ext}(\alpha) \tag{2}$$

Moment of inertia tensor

Consider a system of N point masses with mass m_α, at positions X_α, $\alpha=1, 2,\ldots N$; The moment of inertia tensor is in external back ground field ϕ_{ext}.

$$I_{jk} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha x_j^\alpha x_k^\alpha \tag{3}$$

Its second derivative is

$$\frac{d^2 I_{jk}}{dt^2} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha \left(\ddot{x}_j^\alpha x_k^\alpha + \dot{x}_j^\alpha \dot{x}_k^\alpha + x_j^\alpha \ddot{x}_k^\alpha \right) \tag{4}$$

The total force acting on the point massα is and $\hat{F}$ is the unit vector of force at that place of that component.

$$F_j^\alpha = m_\alpha \ddot{x}_j^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\alpha m_\beta \left(x_j^\beta - x_j^\alpha\right)\hat{F}}{\left|x^\beta - x^\alpha\right|^3} - \nabla\Phi_{ext,j} m_\alpha \tag{5}$$

Writing a similar formula for F^α_k

$$F_k^\alpha = m_\alpha \ddot{x}_k^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\alpha m_\beta \left(x_k^\beta - x_k^\alpha\right)\hat{F}}{\left|x^\beta - x^\alpha\right|^3} - \nabla\Phi_{ext,k} m_\alpha \tag{6}$$

OR =>

$$\ddot{x}_j^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\beta \left(x_j^\beta - x_j^\alpha\right)\hat{F}}{\left|x^\beta - x^\alpha\right|^3} - \nabla\Phi_{ext} \tag{7}$$

And =>

$$\ddot{x}_k^\alpha = \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\beta \left(x_k^\beta - x_k^\alpha\right)}{\left|x^\beta - x^\alpha\right|^3} - \nabla\Phi_{ext} \tag{8}$$

Lets define Energy tensor (in the external field ϕ_{ext})

$$\frac{d^2 I_{jk}}{dt^2} = 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha \left(\overset{\circ}{x}{}_j^\alpha \overset{\circ}{x}{}_k^\alpha \right) + \sum_{\substack{\alpha=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\alpha m_\beta \left\{ \left(x_k^\beta - x_k^\alpha\right) x_j^\alpha + \left(x_j^\beta - x_j^\alpha\right) x_k^\alpha \right\}}{\left| x^\beta - x^\alpha \right|^3}$$

$$- \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_\alpha x_j^\alpha - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_\alpha x_k^\alpha \tag{9}$$

Lets denote Potential energy tensor = Wjk =

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\alpha m_\beta \left\{ \left(x_k^\beta - x_k^\alpha\right) x_j^\alpha + \left(x_j^\beta - x_j^\alpha\right) x_k^\alpha \right\}}{\left| x^\beta - x^\alpha \right|^3} \tag{10}$$

Lets denote Kinetic energy tensor = 2 K_{jk} = $2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha \left(\overset{\circ}{x}{}_j^\alpha \overset{\circ}{x}{}_k^\alpha \right)$ (11)

Lets denote External potential energy tensor = 2 Φ_{jk}

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_\alpha x_j^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_\alpha x_k^\alpha \tag{12}$$

Hence $\frac{d^2 I_{jk}}{dt^2} = W_{jk} + 2K_{jk} - 2\Phi_{jk}$ (13)

Here in this case

$$F(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} F_{\alpha\beta} - \nabla_\alpha \Phi_{ext}(\alpha) m_\alpha$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\alpha m_\beta \left(x^\beta - x^\alpha\right)}{\left| x^\beta - x^\alpha \right|^3} - \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_\alpha \tag{14}$$

$$= \left\{ \overset{\circ\circ}{x}{}^\alpha (\mathrm{int}) - \nabla_\alpha \Phi_{ext}(\alpha) \right\} m_\alpha \tag{15}$$

$$\overset{\circ\circ}{x}(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\beta \left(x^\beta - x^\alpha\right)}{\left| x^\beta - x^\alpha \right|^3} - \nabla\Phi_{ext} \tag{16}$$

We know that the total force at $x(\alpha) = F_{tot}(\alpha) = -\nabla_\alpha \Phi_{tot}(\alpha) m_\alpha$

Total PE at $\alpha = m_\alpha \Phi_{tot}(\alpha) = -\int F_{tot}(\alpha)dx$

$$= -\int \left\{ \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \overset{\circ\circ\alpha}{x}_{\text{int}} m_\alpha - \nabla_\alpha \Phi_{ext}(\alpha) m_\alpha - \right\} dx$$

$$= \int \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\beta m_\alpha \left(x^\beta - x^\alpha\right)}{\left|x^\beta - x^\alpha\right|^3} dx - \int \nabla \Phi_{ext} m_\alpha dx$$

(17)

Therefore total Gravitational potential ϕ_{tot} (α) at x (α) per unit mass

$$\Phi_{tot}(\alpha) = \Phi_{ext} - \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N} \frac{G m_\beta}{\left|x^\beta - x^\alpha\right|}$$

(18-s)

Lets discuss the properties of ϕ_{ext} :-

ϕ_{ext} can be subdivided into 3 parts mainly

ϕ_{ext} due to higher level system, ϕ_{ext} -due to lower level system, ϕ_{ext} due to present level. [Level : when we are considering point masses in the same system (Galaxy), they are at the same level, a higher level for a cluster of galaxies, and a lower level ~~is~~ for planets & asteroids].

ϕ_{ext} is due to lower levels : If the lower level is existing, at the lower level of the system under consideration, then its own level was considered by system equations. If this lower level exists anywhere outside of the system, the center of (mass) gravity outside systems (Galaxies) will act as (unit) its own internal lower level, practically ~~will be~~ considered into calculations. Hence separate consideration of any lower level is not necessary.

SYSTEM – ENSEMBLE:

Until now we have considered the system level equations and the meaning of $\phi_{ext.}$ Now let's consider an ENSEMBLE of system consisting of N_1, N_2 … Njpoint masses in each. These systems are moving in the ensemble due to mutual gravitation between them. For example, each system is a Galaxy, and then ensemble represents a local group. Suppose number of Galaxies is j, Galaxies are systems with point masses N1, N2 ….NJ, we will consider ϕ_{ext} as discussed above. That is we will consider the effect of only higher level system like external Galaxies as a whole, or external local groups as a whole.

Ensemble Equations (Ensemble consists of many systems)

$$\frac{d^2 I^\gamma{}_{jk}}{dt^2} = W^\gamma_{jk} + 2K^\gamma_{jk} - 2\Phi^\gamma_{jk}$$

(18-E)

Here $^\gamma$ denotes Ensemble.

This $\Phi^{\gamma}jk$ is the external field produced at system level. And for system

$$\frac{d^2 I_{jk}}{dt^2} = W_{jk} + 2K_{jk} - 2\Phi_{jk} \tag{13}$$

Assume ensemble in a isolated place. Gravitational potential $\phi_{ext}(\alpha)$produced at system level is produced by Ensemble and $\phi^{\gamma}_{ext}(\alpha) = 0$ as ensemble is in a isolated place.

$$\Phi^{\gamma}_{tot}(\alpha) = \Phi^{\gamma}_{ext} - \sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N^{\gamma}} \frac{Gm^{\gamma}_{\beta}}{\left|x^{\gamma\beta} - x^{\gamma\alpha}\right|} \tag{19}$$

As Ensemble situated in an isolated place, Gravitational potential $\phi^{\gamma}_{ext}(\alpha) = 0$
Therefore

$$\Phi^{\gamma}_{tot} = \Phi_{ext}(\alpha) = -\sum_{\substack{\beta=1 \\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N^{\gamma}} \frac{Gm^{\gamma}_{\beta}}{\left|x^{\gamma\beta} - x^{\gamma\alpha}\right|} \tag{20}$$

And $$2\Phi_{jk} = -\frac{d^2 I_{jk}}{dt^2} + W_{jk} + 2K_{jk} \tag{13}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}_{j} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}_{k} \tag{21}$$

AGGREGATE Equations(Aggregate consists of many Ensembles)

$$\frac{d^2 I^{\delta\gamma}_{jk}}{dt^2} = W^{\delta\gamma}_{jk} + 2K^{\delta\gamma}_{jk} - 2\Phi^{\delta\gamma}_{jk} \tag{18-A}$$

Here δ denotes Aggregate.

This $\Phi^{\delta\gamma}jk$ is the external field produced at Ensemble level. And for Ensemble

$$\frac{d^2 I^{\gamma}{}_{jk}}{dt^2} = W^{\gamma}_{jk} + 2K^{\gamma}_{jk} - 2\Phi^{\gamma}_{jk} \tag{18-E}$$

Assume Aggregate in an isolated place. Gravitational potential $\phi_{ext}(\alpha)$ produced at Ensemble level is produced by Aggregate and $\phi^{\delta\gamma}_{ext}(\alpha) = 0$ as Aggregate is in a isolated place.

$$\Phi_{tot}^{\delta\gamma}(\alpha)=\Phi_{ext}^{\delta\gamma}-\sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N^{\delta\gamma}}\frac{Gm_{\beta}^{\delta\gamma}}{\left|x^{\delta\gamma\beta}-x^{\delta\gamma\alpha}\right|}$$

(22)

$$\Phi_{tot}^{\delta\gamma}(_{Aggregate})=\Phi_{ext}^{\gamma}(\alpha)(_{Ensemble})=-\sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N^{\delta\gamma}}\frac{Gm_{\beta}^{\delta\gamma}}{\left|x^{\delta\gamma\beta}-x^{\delta\gamma\alpha}\right|}$$

Therefore (23)

And $$\Phi_{jk}^{\gamma}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N^{\gamma}}\nabla\Phi_{ext}^{\delta}\, m_{\alpha}x_{j}^{\delta\alpha}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\nabla\Phi_{ext}^{\delta}\, m_{\alpha}x_{k}^{\delta\alpha}$$ (24)

Total AGGREGATE Equations :(Aggregate consists of many Ensembles and systems)

Assuming these forces are conservative, we can find the resultant force by adding separate forces vectorially from equations (20) and (23).

$$\Phi_{ext}(\alpha)=-\sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N^{\gamma}}\frac{Gm_{\beta}^{\gamma}}{\left|x^{\gamma\beta}-x^{\gamma\alpha}\right|}-\sum_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{N^{\delta\gamma}}\frac{Gm_{\beta}^{\delta\gamma}}{\left|x^{\delta\gamma\beta}-x^{\delta\gamma\alpha}\right|}$$

(25)

This concept can be extended to still higher levels in a similar way.

Corollary 1:

$$\frac{d^{2}I_{jk}}{dt^{2}}=W_{jk}+2K_{jk}-2\Phi_{jk}$$ (13)

The above equation becomes a scalar Virial theorem in the absence of an external field, that is ϕ=0 and is in a "steady state,"

i.e. $\frac{d^{2}I_{jk}}{dt^{2}}$ =0 (27)

2K+ W = 0

(28)

But when the N-bodies are moving under the influence of mutual gravitation without external field then only the above equation (28) is applicable.

Corollary 2:

Ensemble achieved a steady state,

i.e. $\frac{d^2 I^{\gamma}_{jk}}{dt^2} = 0$ (29)

$$W^{\gamma}_{jk} + 2K^{\gamma}_{jk} = 2\Phi^{\gamma}_{jk}$$ (30)

This Φjk external field produced at system level. Ensemble achieved a steady state; means system also reached steady state.

i.e. $\frac{d^2 I_{jk}}{dt^2} = 0$ (27)

$$W_{jk} + 2K_{jk} = 2\Phi^{\gamma}_{jk}$$ (31)

Equation (20) gives $\phi^{\gamma}_{tot}(\alpha)$, that is external potential field present at the system level . Combining Eqn (31) and eqn (9).

$$2\Phi_{ext}\, jk = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_{\alpha} x_j^{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \nabla\Phi_{ext} m_{\alpha} x_k^{\alpha}$$ (31-A)

The Equation 25 is the main powerful equation, which gives many results that are not possible otherwise today. This tensor can be subdivided into 21000 small equations without any differential equations or integral equations. Hence, this set up gives a unique solution of Cartesian X, Y, Z components of coordinates, velocities and accelerations of each point mass in the setup for that particular instant of time. A point to be noted here is that the Dynamic Universe Model never reduces to General relativity on any condition. It uses a different type of mathematics based on Newtonian physics. This mathematics used here is relatively simple and straightforward. For all the mathematics, and the Excel based software, details are explained in the three books published by the author[14, 15, 16]

4. Initial Values

4.1 Value of Mass NH Satellite:

Here in this paper the mass NH Satellite was taken as 478 kg which is taken from NH web page. The behavior shown by NH will be experienced by higher mass also. Every mass behave in the similar way.

4.2. Initial Values Table and Other Tables OUTPUT or input???? Change table

Sl. No.	Name	Mass	HELIO CENTRIC ECLIPTIC XYZ VALUES solar sys		
		(kg)	as on 01.01.2009@00.00:00 hrs in METRE		
		-------	xecliptic	yecliptic	Zecliptic
1	New	4.78E+02	1.83E+10	-1.80E+12	4.85E+10

	Horizons				
2	Mercury	3.30E+23	5.20E+10	4.18E+09	-4.40E+09
3	Venus	4.87E+24	7.20E+10	8.06E+10	-3.00E+09
4	Earth	5.97E+24	-2.70E+10	1.45E+11	-2802725
5	Mars	6.42E+23	-5.45E+09	-2.18E+11	-4.40E+09
6	Jupiter	1.90E+27	4.08E+11	-6.47E+11	-6.40E+09
7	Saturn	5.68E+26	-1.36E+12	3.40E+11	4.81E+10
8	Uranus	8.68E+25	2.98E+12	-4.33E+11	-4.00E+10
9	Neptune	1.02E+26	3.61E+12	-2.67E+12	-2.80E+10
10	Pluto	1.27E+22	6.88E+10	-4.70E+12	4.83E+11
11	Moon	7.35E+22	-2.67E+10	1.44E+11	9854180
12	SUN	1.99E+30	1	1	1
13	near star	3.98E+29	-3.07E+16	-2.48E+16	5.99E+15
14	near star	1.89E+30	-1.70E+16	-4.50E+13	3.79E+16
15	near star	2.19E+30	-1.72E+16	-1.53E+14	3.79E+16
16	near star	7.95E+29	-1.86E+15	1.64E+15	-5.60E+16
17	near star	8.95E+29	9.03E+15	-7.13E+15	-7.80E+16
….					
….					
131	Milkyway part	3.85E+40	4.16E+19	1.84E+20	-1.40E+20
132	Andromeda	1.41E+42	1.74E+22	1.51E+22	6.79E+21
133	Triangulum Galaxy	1.41E+41	1.29E+20	1.93E+22	-1.80E+22
Table 1					

Table 1: The configuration of solar system in HELIO CENTRIC ECLIPTIC XYZ VALUES of solar system, nearby stars, Glob Cluster Groups, Galaxy center, Milkyway parts , Andromeda and Triangulum Galaxy in xyz Cartesian coordinates as on 01.01.2009@00.00:00 hrs in meters were shown. See the attached file '**Vak NH 133 bodies input as on Jan 02 2009.xls'** for full details.

Table 2	HELIO CENTRIC ECLIPTIC XYZ Velocities of solar sys			
as on 01.01.2009@00.00:00 hrs in meters / sec				
Sl. No.		Vx	Vy	Vz
		m/s	m/s	m/s
1	New Horizons Spacecraft	5934.0073	-16390.9	620.4177
2	Mercury	-13310.149	50738.4	5366.706
3	Venus	-26238.979	23164.68	1831.424
4	Earth	-29774.524	-5589.02	-0.82839
5	Mars	25138.169	1478.003	-586.391
6	Jupiter	10899.531	7598.922	-275.393

7	Saturn	-2856.9347	-9384.58	276.7304
8	Uranus	939.30316	6426.9	11.74518
9	Neptune	3202.2262	4410.465	-163.922
10	Pluto	5550	-934	-1480
11	Moon	-29314.456	-4719.09	82.3554
Table 2				

6. Results of New Horizons Spacecraft trajectory predictions:

The calculated data output of both the variants was compared with 09-Aug-2009 ephemerides and shown in the (results) table 5. The predictions for NH by Dynamic Universe Model are comparable with ephemerides data. In the results table, the first two rows give Dynamic Universe Model predictions based on 02-01-2009 00:00 hrs data with daily time step and hourly time step. Third row gives ephemerides from JPL. JPL gives all the distances & velocities in Kilo Meters & Kilo Meters / second, the corresponding units in Dynamic Universe Model are meters & meters / second. As the conversion is very simple the original units by JPL were not changed. A quick visual inspection on the data tells that the differences between computed data and ephemerides data (or the errors) are in 5th digit for velocities and are in 4 the digit in XYZ positions.

In some places some approximate or estimated data were used in these calculations. Accuracies will further improve by using more accurate input data. After the first three rows in Table 5, the JPL web address was given. Its actual web outputs for required cases (viz., NH position data for dates 01-Jan-2009; 02-Jan-2009 and for 09-Aug-2009) were also shown later in the Table 5 in its original format for reference.

Dynamic Universe Model can predict further to 09-Aug-2009 without any problem. Any other part of the trajectory data can be calculated.

7. Conclusions:

Hence for finding trajectories of Pioneer satellite (Anomaly), New Horizons satellite going to Pluto, the calculations of Dynamic Universe model can be successfully applied. For that matter for any satellite trajectory can be found. No dark matter is assumed within solar system radius or anywhere. The effect of the masses around SUN shows as though there is extra gravitation pull toward SUN. Dynamic Universe model solves the dynamics of extra-solar planets like Planet X, any satellite, gives outputs as 3-Position, 3-velocity and 3-accelaration in Cartesian coordinates, for their masses. Dynamic Universe model considers the complex situation of all gravitational vector forces of multiple planets, Stars, Galaxy parts and Galaxy center and other Galaxies Using simple Newtonian Physics. It already solved problems like Missing mass in Galaxies observed by galaxy circular velocity curves successfully.

8. Future Predictions of NH trajectory by Dynamic Universe Model.

There are three types of future predictions done in this paper.

1. The future predictions done up to today's (20 Aug 2014) date from 3rd Jan 2009. They were matched very nicely, with a maximum error of 0.23150%, 0.00774%, -0.02154% NH NASA's web results in XYZ coordinates.

2. The future predictions done up to 1st Sept 2015. The New Horizons official web gives data up to this date as on 20 Jul 2014. These were matched nicely. It may please be noted the maximum error percentage in predictions went to a maximum of 0.239356 %, -0.00086751 %, -0.0493872 % of NH NASA's web results in XYZ coordinates

3. The present calculated data from Dynamic Universe Model is given up to 1st Jan 2017, which is 16 months more than available data. Only future can tell how nicely it matches. If a future correction is done by NH administration, the trajectory will change significantly from that point.

9. Reference:

The COSPAR paper numbers for this paper are: B03-0041-10 , PSD.1-0012-12.

m[XX1] Folkner et al., JPL Interplanetary Network Progress Report 42-196, 2014
http://ipnpr.jpl.nasa.gov/progress_report/42-196/196C.pdf

[XX2]JPL PLANETARY AND LUNAR EPHEMERIDES : Export Information [30 April 2014]

http://iau-comm4.jpl.nasa.gov/README

[XX3]Dette, Holger (1995), A Note on Some Peculiar Nonlinear Extremal Phenomena of the Chebyshev Polynomials, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical*

[1] C.W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A.Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973), Chap. 39.

[2] C.M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1993).

[3]. S. S. Shapiro, J. L. Davis, D. E. Lebach, and J. S. Gregory 'Measurement of the Solar Gravitational Deflection of RadioWaves using Geodetic Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry Data, 1979–1999' See Fig. 1. PH YSICA L R EV I EW L ET T ERS, VOLUME 92, NUMBER 12, 26 MARCH 2004 121101-1 to 121101- 4.

Their data set consists of measurements from VLBI observations in about 2500 _24-hour sessions (''experiments'') spanning the years 1979–1999. The experiments that they used in this study involved 87 VLBI sites and 541 radio sources, yielding a total of more than $1.7x10^6$ ionosphere-corrected group-delay measurements.

[4] Heinkelmann,Robert ; & Harald Schuh, in their paper 'Very long baseline interferometry: accuracy limits and relativistic tests' in Relativity in Fundamental Astronomy Proceedings IAU Symposium No. 261, 2009 , edited by S. A. Klioner, P. K. Seidelman & M. H. Soffel, (eds.) International Astronomical Union 2010 doi:10.1017/S1743921309990524

[5]. A paper "Combining Micro & Macro worlds in Dynamic Universe Model---Explains VLBI observations " Presented as a 30 min talk at COSPAR-12, Mysore, India, on !7th

July 2012, with their ID: (H0.2-0010-12) by SNP.GUPTA, Bhilai Steel Plant **snp.gupta@gmail.com**

[6] SNP.GUPTA, Book1. Dynamic Universe Model: A singularity-free N-body problem solution [ISBN 978-3-639-29436-1]---2010 October----VDM Germany
https://www.morebooks.de/store/gb/book/dynamic-universe-model/isbn/978-3-639-29436-1
http://vaksdynamicuniversemodel.blogspot.in/p/books-published.html

[7] SNP.GUPTA, Book2. Dynamic Universe Model: SITA singularity free software ---2011 March --- VDM n Germany, March- 2011, ISBN 978-3-639-33501-9,
https://www.morebooks.de/store/gb/book/dynamic-universe-model/isbn/978-3-639-33501-9

[8] SNP.GUPTA, Book3. Dynamic Universe Model: SITA software simplified [ISBN 978-3-639-36469-9]---Aug-2011----VDM Germany
https://www.morebooks.de/store/fr/book/dynamic-universe-model/isbn/978-3-639-36469-9

[9] S.Samurovic et al; 0811.0698v1 Arxiv, '*Mond vs Newtonian dynamics GC*', A&A accpted Nov 5, 2008. (for mass calculations)

[10] Colless M.M., Dalton G.B., Maddox S.J., Sutherland W.J., Norberg P., Cole S.M., Bland-Hawthorn J., Bridges T.J., Cannon R.D., Collins C.A., Couch W.J., Cross N., Deeley K., De Propris R., Driver S.P., Efstathiou G., Ellis R.S., Frenk C.S., Glazebrook K., Jackson C.A., Lahav O., Lewis I.J., Lumsden S., Madgwick D.S., Peacock J.A., Peterson B.A., Price I.A., Seaborne M., Taylor K., 2001, MNRAS, 328, 1039 [ADS | astro-ph/0106498] See 2dFGRS publications http://www.mso.anu.edu.au/2dFGRS/

[11] Fairall, A. P., Palumbo, G. G. C., Vettolani, G., Kauffman, G., Jones, A., & Baiesi-1990MNRAS.247P..21F. See in Wikipedia "The Large scale structure of cosmos" http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Large-scale_structure_of_the_cosmos H.P. Robertson, Kinematics and world Structure III , The Astrophysical Journal, May 1936, vol 83 pp 257.

[12] Satyavarapu Naga Parameswara Gupta, See 'Information, Reality and Relics of Cosmic Microwave Background' on Apr. 26, 2013 @ 18:25 GMT, an essay in new contest of FQXi forum. http://fqxi.org/community/forum/topic/1607. The pdf of essay is available from:
http://fqxi.org/community/forum/topic/essay-download/1607/__details/Gupta_Vak_FQXi_TABLE_REF_Fi.pdf

[13] Lawrence Rudnick, Shea Brown, Liliya R. Williams, Extragalactic Radio Sources and the WMAP Cold Spot, Astrophysics journal and arXiv:0704.0908v2 [astro-ph],

[14] Cruz, Martínez-González, Vielva & Cayón (2005), "Detection of a non-Gaussian Spot in WMAP", MNRAS 356 29-40 [astro-ph/0405341]

[15]. SNP.GUPTA : 4th Book: SITA: Dynamic Universe Model: Blue Shifted Galaxies Prediction Published (2012) (ISBN 978-3-8484-1382-9), https://www.morebooks.de/search/gb?utf8=%E2%9C%93&q=978-3-8484-1382-9

[16] The following very big tables, whose names are given below, needed along with main paper.
1. Table 1.xls
2. Table 2.xls
3. Vak Table 3 PLB Results 71 columns.xls
4. Vak Table 4 PLB Consolidation of Iteration Results.xls
These files are available at the web page for all the above full tables:

https://skydrive.live.com/#cid=485CC4B593A12043&id=485CC4B593A12043%213522

[17]. Ojars J. Sovers, John L. Fanselow, Christopher S. Jacobs, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, 'Astrometry and geodesy with radio interferometry: experiments, models, results' 1998 http://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9712238v1

[18]. Ed Fomalont, Sergei Kopeikin, Dayton Jones, Mareki Honma & Oleg Titov in their paper 'Recent VLBA/VERA/IVS Tests of General Relativity' in Figure 3. http://cienciaescolar.net/proyectos/wp-content/uploads/2010/01/0912.3421v1.pdf

[19] Fomalont, E B. ; Kopeikin 2003 paper "The Measurement of the Light Deflection from Jupiter: Experimental Results" http://arxiv.org/PS_cache/astro-ph/pdf/0302/0302294v2.pdf

[20]. E. Fomalont, S. Kopeikin, G. Lanyi, J. Benson, paper name 'Progress in Measurements of the Gravitational Bending of Radio Waves Using the VLBA' in section 3.4, and Table 4
http://arxiv.org/abs/0904.3992v1

[21]. D. E. Lebach, B. E. Corey, I. I. Shapiro, M. I. Ratner, J. C. Webber, A. E. E. Rogers, J. L. Davis, and T. A. Herring in their paper 'Measurement of the Solar Gravitational Deflection of Radio Waves Using Very-Long-Baseline Interferometry' in Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1439–1442 (1995),

[22] S. B. Lambert and C. Le Poncin-Lafitte 'Determination of the relativistic parameter using very long baseline interferometry' Astronomy & Astrophysics manuscript no. Gamma AA c ESO 2009 March 9, 2009, http://arxiv.org/abs/0903.1615v1

xxxxx

Annexure Table1

	Table 1 Initial values for NH				
		Mass	HELIO CENTRIC ECLIPTIC XYZ VALUES solar sys		
		(kg)	as on 01.01.2009@00.00:00 hrs in METRE		
		-------	xecliptic	yecliptic	zecliptic
1	New Horizons Spacecraft	4.78E+02	18319370869	-1.80226E+12	48461397118
2	Mercury	3.30E+23	50644179263	8540296134	-3949485753
3	Venus	4.87E+24	69657878862	82614198079	-2889306238
4	Earth	5.97E+24	-29565785818	1.44096E+11	-2869446.398
5	Mars	6.42E+23	-3275068912	-2.17902E+11	-4484946284
6	Jupiter	1.90E+27	4.09177E+11	-6.46362E+11	-6473185584
7	Saturn	5.68E+26	-1.35874E+12	3.39522E+11	48167461412
8	Uranus	8.68E+25	2.97521E+12	-4.32376E+11	-40141525477
9	Neptune	1.02E+26	3.61461E+12	-2.66852E+12	-28350290138
10	Pluto	1.27E+22	69315882273	-4.69858E+12	4.82751E+11
11	Moon	7.35E+22	-29191657344	1.43975E+11	16609650.17
12	SUN	1.99E+30	0	0	0
13	near star	3.97658E+29	-3.07379E+16	-2.48085E+16	5.99014E+15
14	near star	1.88888E+30	-1.70141E+16	-4.49612E+13	3.79378E+16
15	near star	2.18712E+30	-1.71774E+16	-1.53305E+14	3.78638E+16
16	near star	7.95317E+29	-1.85801E+15	1.6393E+15	-5.61485E+16
17	near star	8.94731E+29	9.02924E+15	-7.13182E+15	-7.77879E+16
18	near star	1.73976E+31	-3.1682E+16	-2.99664E+16	6.86968E+16
19	near star	8.94731E+29	2.37665E+16	-7.07555E+15	8.82862E+16
20	near star	1.88888E+30	9.77757E+16	-1.69837E+16	3.32855E+15
21	near star	8.94731E+29	-1.75629E+16	-2.0874E+16	9.78004E+16

22	near star	3.97658E+29	3.82107E+16	6.00795E+16	7.44241E+16
23	near star	1.82923E+30	-4.50486E+16	3.01003E+16	9.28066E+16
24	near star	3.28068E+30	-8.42312E+15	5.24915E+16	-9.39112E+16
25	near star	1.19298E+30	-4.60396E+16	3.03873E+16	9.29744E+16
26	near star	7.95317E+29	4.90495E+16	9.64605E+16	7.35909E+15
27	near star	8.94731E+29	4.99158E+16	9.78689E+16	7.06783E+15
28	near star	7.95317E+29	-1.39114E+16	-1.09124E+17	4.36506E+15
29	near star	1.82923E+30	-6.28738E+16	-8.89396E+16	-2.56335E+16
30	near star	2.18712E+30	-6.90623E+16	-8.50246E+16	2.58319E+16
31	near star	3.97658E+29	-2.35768E+16	2.08864E+16	1.10275E+17
32	near star	7.95317E+29	1.86257E+16	-5.54342E+16	-1.01576E+17
33	near star	8.94731E+29	-5.04468E+16	3.78032E+16	-1.03142E+17
34	near star	1.19298E+30	2.09805E+16	-4.31965E+16	-1.11915E+17
35	near star	5.96488E+29	-3.34107E+16	-3.81344E+16	1.12791E+17
36	near star	5.96488E+29	1.20105E+17	-5.23499E+15	-4.10595E+16
37	near star	8.94731E+29	-5.81398E+16	4.54439E+16	-1.08443E+17
38	near star	6.95902E+29	-1.07352E+17	7.50846E+16	-1.2264E+16
39	near star	9.94146E+29	2.96095E+16	1.22996E+17	4.58116E+16
40	near star	2.90291E+30	8.24904E+16	-2.35538E+16	-1.05478E+17
41	near star	8.94731E+29	-6.10305E+16	4.80435E+16	-1.15415E+17
42	near star	8.94731E+29	9.76996E+16	2.14625E+16	-9.75422E+16
43	near star	7.95317E+29	2.15194E+16	1.34558E+17	-3.20268E+16
44	near star	5.64675E+30	-5.35209E+16	-2.81642E+16	-1.29127E+17
45	near star	6.95902E+29	1.14625E+16	1.39712E+16	-1.43945E+17
46	near star	8.94731E+29	-1.32781E+17	1.60851E+16	-6.59031E+16
47	near star	1.19298E+30	-4.78813E+16	9.19484E+16	-1.08903E+17
48	near star	1.65028E+30	1.04974E+16	-1.34655E+17	-7.02332E+16
49	near star	8.94731E+29	-4.59519E+16	8.94752E+15	1.44982E+17
50	near star	5.96488E+29	1.36804E+17	5.36738E+16	-5.10992E+16

51	near star	2.00817E+30	1.77107E+16	2.7082E+16	-1.52249E+17
52	near star	8.94731E+29	-1.0952E+17	9.68318E+16	5.3829E+16
53	near star	1.88888E+30	-4.72306E+16	-1.16764E+17	9.36129E+16
54	near star	5.09003E+30	9.79121E+16	-9.2465E+16	8.39443E+16
55	near star	3.97658E+29	1.09829E+17	9.70466E+16	6.62157E+16
56	near star	7.95317E+29	-9.10748E+16	-1.36971E+17	-2.48893E+16
57	near star	1.09356E+30	-7.0043E+16	9.14497E+16	1.2171E+17
58	near star	5.96488E+29	-2.64948E+16	4.32255E+16	1.61635E+17
59	near star	1.49122E+30	-3.16721E+16	1.25283E+17	1.1067E+17
60	near star	7.95317E+29	4.73982E+16	1.59067E+15	1.63433E+17
61	near star	5.96488E+29	1.20195E+17	-9.0224E+16	8.74395E+16
62	near star	8.94731E+29	-5.75703E+16	-1.4009E+17	8.88539E+16
63	near star	7.95317E+29	6.76572E+16	-4.60048E+16	-1.57068E+17
64	near star	2.12747E+30	-9.2162E+16	-1.20447E+17	9.30606E+16
65	near star	8.94731E+29	5.72296E+15	1.76608E+17	-2.27853E+16
66	near star	5.96488E+29	-1.34996E+17	-1.16182E+17	-1.30636E+16
67	near star	5.96488E+29	-1.19512E+17	4.88067E+16	-1.2445E+17
68	near star	9.94146E+29	7.87302E+16	1.638E+16	-1.62411E+17
69	near star	1.82923E+30	3.91777E+16	1.47326E+17	-9.98492E+16
70	near star	6.95902E+29	1.67294E+17	-1.18466E+16	-7.32836E+16
71	near star	8.94731E+29	-1.39077E+17	-9.10857E+16	7.67253E+16
72	near star	2.78361E+30	5.24234E+16	-1.59364E+16	1.75315E+17
73	near star	1.65028E+30	3.6434E+15	2.91335E+16	-1.81794E+17
74	near star	9.94146E+29	-9.07771E+16	1.01639E+17	1.23937E+17
75	near star	1.88888E+30	6.41076E+15	1.79687E+16	-1.83691E+17
76	near star	1.88888E+30	-2.06314E+15	-5.39393E+15	1.86646E+17
77	near star	2.18712E+30	1.0974E+17	-3.31921E+16	1.4771E+17
78	near star	2.18712E+30	-1.54154E+17	-1.01333E+17	3.85252E+16
79	near star	5.96488E+29	4.30221E+16	-1.83542E+17	8.55871E+15
80	near star	9.94146E+29	-1.30645E+17	6.84493E+16	-1.20949E+17

81	near star	1.09356E+30	-1.31276E+17	6.75268E+16	-1.20784E+17
82	near star	1.19298E+30	-1.33898E+17	-5.20951E+16	1.2578E+17
83	near star	1.09356E+30	-2.19059E+16	6.93128E+16	-1.77114E+17
84	near star	1.49122E+30	3.99999E+16	8.00904E+16	1.70731E+17
85	near star	6.95902E+29	-2.19758E+16	-1.28321E+16	-1.9175E+17
86	near star	1.09356E+30	-1.3524E+17	-5.38681E+16	1.27447E+17
87	near star	8.94731E+29	-2.07383E+16	-9.28974E+15	1.93754E+17
88	near star	5.96488E+29	1.01434E+17	8.45481E+16	1.45159E+17
89	near star	5.96488E+29	7.37726E+16	-5.17702E+16	-1.79009E+17
90	near star	1.82923E+30	-1.50711E+17	6.46728E+16	1.16827E+17
91	near star	6.95902E+29	-3.30768E+16	-1.22256E+17	-1.58766E+17
92	Glob Clus Group	1.20578E+37	-1.16925E+21	-1.04245E+21	9.31497E+19
93	Glob Clus Group	7.43305E+36	-1.79414E+20	-3.61781E+20	-1.42253E+19
94	Glob Clus Group	9.58802E+36	1.48744E+19	2.77665E+19	-7.91706E+19
95	Glob Clus Group	7.05555E+36	6.94375E+19	-4.44352E+18	7.944E+17
96	Glob Clus Group	6.46631E+36	9.11252E+19	-4.39257E+19	1.89032E+20
97	Glob Clus Group	7.23385E+36	1.05314E+20	2.06504E+19	8.97721E+19
98	Glob Clus Group	6.79923E+36	1.25702E+20	6.15542E+19	3.76993E+19
99	Glob Clus Group	8.07244E+36	1.5288E+20	2.40773E+19	-1.58338E+19
100	Glob Clus Group	9.57827E+36	1.74887E+20	1.35743E+19	-3.13919E+19
101	Glob Clus Group	8.2981E+36	1.85602E+20	5.87126E+19	1.50955E+19
102	Glob Clus Group	1.03904E+37	2.00762E+20	1.02368E+20	7.89348E+19
103	Glob Clus Group	8.99599E+36	2.21232E+20	1.03194E+19	-1.15685E+20
104	Glob Clus Group	8.5572E+36	2.40926E+20	2.38732E+19	8.08095E+18
105	Glob Clus Group	9.81786E+36	2.52521E+20	-1.04214E+19	-1.90968E+18
106	Glob Clus Group	9.86105E+36	2.63724E+20	1.58631E+19	2.36248E+19
107	Glob Clus Group	8.93192E+36	2.80244E+20	4.57404E+18	-5.62166E+18
108	Glob Clus Group	1.00965E+37	2.93615E+20	-2.52379E+19	6.36066E+18
109	Glob Clus Group	1.37127E+37	3.13834E+20	-1.18077E+18	1.46617E+19

110	Glob Clus Group	1.01466E+37	3.35306E+20	-1.68075E+20	-3.47826E+19
111	Glob Clus Group	1.11914E+37	3.72364E+20	1.37362E+19	-1.25647E+20
112	Glob Clus Group	1.02218E+37	4.87315E+20	1.74393E+20	8.66073E+19
113	Glob Clus Group	9.30663E+36	6.49171E+20	1.82615E+18	9.06719E+19
114	Glob Clus Group	9.89727E+36	1.0232E+21	1.53107E+20	4.80442E+20
115	Galaxy center	7.164E+36	4.79211E+19	1.67483E+20	1.56991E+20
116	Milkyway part	3.84731E+40	-1.63642E+20	1.47838E+20	-7.97417E+19
117	Milkyway part	4.80914E+40	1.54517E+20	8.22578E+19	1.56049E+20
118	Milkyway part	5.77096E+40	-1.14673E+19	4.68166E+19	2.29499E+20
119	Milkyway part	6.73279E+40	-8.86592E+19	-1.0611E+19	2.16841E+20
120	Milkyway part	7.69462E+40	5.62463E+19	-1.61296E+20	-1.60665E+20
121	Milkyway part	8.65645E+40	-1.1565E+20	2.03896E+20	6.68227E+18
122	Milkyway part	9.61827E+40	-3.63423E+19	1.12347E+19	-2.31401E+20
123	Milkyway part	1.05801E+41	-1.72238E+20	-7.67886E+19	1.39394E+20
124	Milkyway part	1.05801E+41	-2.05075E+19	-2.19577E+20	7.97417E+19
125	Milkyway part	9.61827E+40	-1.58373E+20	7.45639E+19	-1.56049E+20
126	Milkyway part	8.65645E+40	-3.06445E+19	-3.72049E+19	-2.29499E+20
127	Milkyway part	7.69462E+40	6.156E+19	-6.46792E+19	-2.16841E+20
128	Milkyway part	6.73279E+40	9.55613E+19	1.41591E+20	1.60665E+20
129	Milkyway part	5.77096E+40	2.32564E+20	-2.93704E+19	-6.68227E+18
130	Milkyway part	4.80914E+40	3.07501E+19	2.23922E+19	2.31401E+20
131	Milkyway part	3.84731E+40	4.15581E+19	1.83944E+20	-1.39394E+20
132	Andromeda	1.4129E+42	1.74266E+22	1.50487E+22	6.79254E+21
133	Triangulum Galaxy	1.41E+41	1.28546E+20	1.93083E+22	-1.82029E+22

Annexure Table 5

Table 5. This table contains PARTIAL data of New horizons satillite on daily basis. First column contains date. Next three columns contain X,Y,Z data from the web of NASA's NH web in meters. Next three columns contain predicted data output of Dynamic Universe Model(meters X,Y,Z coordinates) Next there column give the % error in calculated data. This table contains PARTIAL data from table 6.The NH web site gives data up to 1st Sept 2015. Last data from NH web is shown in RED. The present predicted data from Dynamic Unverse Model is given up to 1st Jan 2017, which are GREEN.

It may please be noted the maximum error percentage in predictions went up to 0.239356, -0.00086751, -0.0493872 of NH NASA's web in XYZ coordinates. Error percentages start from -0.00225, 0.000159, -0.00029 for XYZ coordinates respectively.

	NH web results in Meters from http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/horizons.cgi#results			Dynamic Universe model : Calculated data output 1 day for NH			% Error in predictions Dynamic Universe model compared to NASA NH web results		
Date	s x	sy	Sz	s x	sy	sz	X	Y	Z
2009-Jan-02	1.883207E+10	-1.803676E+12	4.851500E+10	Starting data					
2009-Jan-03	1.934477E+10	-1.805092E+12	4.856859E+10	1.934433E+10	-1.805094E+12	4.856845E+10	-0.0022509	0.0001594	-0.0002887
2009-Jan-04	1.985746E+10	-1.806507E+12	4.862217E+10	1.985703E+10	-1.806510E+12	4.862204E+10	-0.0021664	0.0001763	-0.0002716
2009-Jan-05	2.037015E+10	-1.807922E+12	4.867575E+10	2.036972E+10	-1.807926E+12	4.867562E+10	-0.0020816	0.0001932	-0.0002546
2009-Jan-06	2.088283E+10	-1.809337E+12	4.872931E+10	2.088241E+10	-1.809341E+12	4.872920E+10	-0.0019966	0.0002101	-0.0002377
.....									
2009-Dec-30	2.037469E+11	-2.299299E+12	6.744985E+10	2.038086E+11	-2.299474E+12	6.745147E+10	0.0302667	0.0075885	0.0024050
2009-Dec-31	2.042550E+11	-2.300629E+12	6.750103E+10	2.043171E+11	-2.300804E+12	6.750265E+10	0.0303832	0.0076111	0.0024045
2010-Jan-01	2.047631E+11	-2.301958E+12	6.755221E+10	2.048255E+11	-2.302134E+12	6.755383E+10	0.0304999	0.0076338	0.0024040

2010-Jan-02	2.052712E+11	-2.303287E+12	6.760338E+10	2.053340E+11	-2.303463E+12	6.760500E+10	0.0306166	0.0076564	0.0024035
….									
2010-Dec-30	3.879639E+11	-2.773768E+12	8.581114E+10	3.882683E+11	-2.774186E+12	8.581100E+10	0.0784643	0.0150848	-0.0001650
2010-Dec-31	3.884651E+11	-2.775042E+12	8.586066E+10	3.887705E+11	-2.775461E+12	8.586051E+10	0.0786117	0.0151013	-0.0001776
2011-Jan-01	3.889663E+11	-2.776315E+12	8.591018E+10	3.892726E+11	-2.776735E+12	8.591001E+10	0.0787590	0.0151179	-0.0001902
2011-Jan-02	3.894674E+11	-2.777589E+12	8.595969E+10	3.897747E+11	-2.778009E+12	8.595951E+10	0.0789063	0.0151344	-0.0002027
…									
2011-Dec-30	5.696758E+11	-3.231333E+12	1.036546E+11	5.704268E+11	-3.231955E+12	1.036483E+11	0.1318309	0.0001925	-0.0060766
2011-Dec-31	5.701703E+11	-3.232569E+12	1.037029E+11	5.709228E+11	-3.233191E+12	1.036966E+11	0.1319763	0.0192571	-0.0060958
2012-Jan-01	5.706649E+11	-3.233804E+12	1.037512E+11	5.714188E+11	-3.234427E+12	1.037449E+11	0.1321217	0.0192624	-0.0061151
2012-Jan-02	5.711594E+11	-3.235039E+12	1.037995E+11	5.719148E+11	-3.235662E+12	1.037931E+11	0.1322671	0.0192677	-0.0061344
….									
2012-Dec-29	7.491114E+11	-3.676828E+12	1.211110E+11	7.504622E+11	-3.677513E+12	1.210947E+11	0.1803260	0.0186490	-0.0133911
2012-Dec-30	7.496002E+11	-3.678035E+12	1.211583E+11	7.509528E+11	-3.678720E+12	1.211421E+11	0.1804439	0.0186404	-0.0134100
2012-Dec-31	7.500889E+11	-3.679242E+12	1.212057E+11	7.514433E+11	-3.679927E+12	1.211894E+11	0.1805617	0.0186318	-0.0134288
2013-Jan-01	7.505777E+11	-3.680449E+12	1.212531E+11	7.519338E+11	-3.681134E+12	1.212368E+11	0.1806793	0.0186232	-0.0134477
2013-Jan-02	7.510665E+11	-3.681655E+12	1.213005E+11	7.524244E+11	-3.682341E+12	1.212841E+11	0.1807968	0.0186146	-0.0134665

….									
2013-Dec-30	9.271081E+11	-4.114440E+12	1.383093E+11	9.291110E+11	-4.114995E+12	1.382832E+11	0.2160394	0.0134976	-0.0188613
2013-Dec-31	9.275921E+11	-4.115625E+12	1.383559E+11	9.295968E+11	-4.116180E+12	1.383298E+11	0.2161167	0.0134784	-0.0188736
2014-Jan-01	9.280761E+11	-4.116810E+12	1.384025E+11	9.300825E+11	-4.117364E+12	1.383764E+11	0.2161938	0.0134592	-0.0188859
2014-Jan-02	9.285600E+11	-4.117995E+12	1.384491E+11	9.305682E+11	-4.118549E+12	1.384230E+11	0.2162709	0.0134400	-0.0188982
….									
2014-Dec-30	1.103043E+12	-4.543747E+12	1.552141E+11	1.105636E+12	-4.543986E+12	1.551796E+11	0.2350764	0.0052765	-0.0222424
2014-Dec-31	1.103523E+12	-4.544914E+12	1.552601E+11	1.106117E+12	-4.545153E+12	1.552256E+11	0.2351056	0.0052517	-0.0222485
2015-Jan-01	1.104003E+12	-4.546082E+12	1.553062E+11	1.106599E+12	-4.546320E+12	1.552716E+11	0.2351347	0.0052268	-0.0222546
2015-Jan-02	1.104483E+12	-4.547250E+12	1.553522E+11	1.107080E+12	-4.547486E+12	1.553176E+11	0.2351637	0.0052020	-0.0222607
….									
2015-Aug-30	1.219436E+12	-4.826290E+12	1.663951E+11	1.222355E+12	-4.826251E+12	1.663147E+11	0.2393241	-0.0008122	-0.0483187
2015-Aug-31	1.219914E+12	-4.827448E+12	1.664417E+11	1.222834E+12	-4.827408E+12	1.663604E+11	0.2393400	-0.0008349	-0.0488531
2015-Sep-01	**1.220392E+12**	**-4.828606E+12**	**1.664882E+11**	**1.223313E+12**	**-4.828564E+12**	**1.664060E+11**	**0.2393559**	**-0.0008575**	**-0.0493873**
2015-Sep-02	No data vaialable from NH web after this as on 12 Aug 2014			1.223792E+12	-4.829721E+12	1.664517E+11	Predictions of Dynamic Universe Model		
2015-Sep-03				1.224271E+12	-4.830878E+12	1.664973E+11			

....									
2015-Dec-30				1.280733E+12	-4.967082E+12	1.718749E+11			
2015-Dec-31				1.281211E+12	-4.968235E+12	1.719204E+11			
2016-Jan-01				1.281689E+12	-4.969387E+12	1.719659E+11			
2016-Jan-02				1.282167E+12	-4.970539E+12	1.720113E+11			
....									
2016-Dec-29				1.454105E+12	-5.384160E+12	1.883563E+11			
2016-Dec-30				1.454579E+12	-5.385300E+12	1.884014E+11			
2016-Dec-31				1.455054E+12	-5.386440E+12	1.884465E+11			
2017-Jan-01				1.455529E+12	-5.387580E+12	1.884916E+11			

xxxxx