

**A Bio-Info-Digital Universe Model (BIDUM version 1.1, in a short summary)
based on a series of Planck-like informational constants and
using the hypothetical gravitonic qubit as the basic unit of the (bio)physical information**

*

(Open development interval: 2008 – 2016 - ?) (Preprint ^[1,2])

*

Andrei-Lucian Drăgoi (April 2016) ^[3,4]

*

Abstract

A growing trend in physics is to define the physical world as being made up of *information* [1]. An important direct relationship between information and *entropy* is demonstrated by the Maxwell's demon thought experiment [2]: a first important consequence is that it's impossible to destroy Shannon entropy/information without increasing the Boltzmann entropy of a system [3,4]; another important consequence is that *information may be interchangeable with energy* [5]. Wheeler's "it from bit" principle (*hypothesis*) is also famous [6,7]. In this technical essay (which is a short essentialized summary of the author's BIDUM version 1.1^[5] [8]), I argue that *energy and time are indissolubly connected* and can be integrated in a concept of *physical information (PI)* measurable in *qbits (qubits)* as an alternative interpretation to the (quantum) angular momentum: energy, matter, spacetime vacuum and their behaviors may be considered proprieties of different PI-quanta and PI should be treated as a central fundamental notion in any type of TOE, together with the concept of *biological information (BI)* which is also measurable in qbits [9].

Keywords: information, physical information, biological information, Bio-Info-Digital Universe Model, a series of Planck-like informational constants, the hypothetical gravitonic qubit, (bio)physical information

[1] Online preprints (DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.2747.9927) that can be downloaded from the following URLs: [1] univermed-cdgm.academia.edu/AndreiLucianDragoi; [2] vixra.org/author/andrei_lucian_dragoi; [3] gsjournal.net/Science-Journals-Papers/Author/1713/Andrei-Lucian,%20Dragoi; [4] researchgate.net/profile/Andrei_Lucian_Dragoi2

[2] Contact email: dr.dragoi@yahoo.com

[3] Pediatrician (specialist MD with no academic title) undertaking independent research in theoretical physics (including [digital physics](#)) and biology (including [informational biology](#))

[4] Contact email: dr.dragoi@yahoo.com

[5] ORDA registration number for BIDUMv1.1: 2471/24.03.2016 (URL: orda.ro/cautare_cerere.aspx?mid=1&rid=1&cerere=2471)

Part 1. A physical information quantity scalar proposal

From the standpoints of digital physics the most important classification of the elementary quantum particles (**EQPs**) should be considered the gauge/non-gauge (relative) “functional” dichotomy (which is fundamentally based on the fermionic/bosonic dichotomy of EQPs and on the Pauli Exclusion Principle of the fermions). The gauge EQPs (**GPs**) are mainly energetic “messages” (carriers of energetic-quanta) and the non-gauge QPs (**NGPs**) can be regarded mainly as processors of energetic-quanta that can receive GPs (energetic-quanta “messages”) and then emit other GPs as (processed) “replies”. It’s obviously a relative classification as all the EQPs can function as both messages (when the macro-objects interchange NGPs as also energetic carriers) and message-processors (when two or more GPs may interact with each other): however, the fact that GPs are all bosons (that can all occupy the same quantum state in the same time and space) is surely not a coincidence, because GPs mainly tend to carry “messages” and not to process other GPs as “messages”. As all GPs are bosons, I shall rename them more specifically as gauge-bosons (**GBs**) in the rest of this essay. It’s clear that GBs are much more “adapted” than NGPs to carry multiple parallel simultaneous messages (one message per each GB) on the same channel, as they can all literally “fill” that channel by their potential to occupy the same quantum state simultaneously.

Although it’s not possible for PI-quantity (**PIq** or **I**) to be exactly defined/measured, in the observable physical world (in which the arrow of the physical classical linear time is oriented from a lower entropy to a higher entropy), when a NGP is not isolated from any other QP, it is clear that: **(1)** the (input[**in**]/output[**out**]) PIq transferred/extracted to/from that NGP is directly proportional (**dp**) to the (classical linear) time interval of measurement ($\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$) (as a larger time interval means a higher probability of [more] virtual and real GBs reception/emission, as each GB participates with its own intrinsic PIq to the PIq input/output to/from a NGP); **(2)** PIq is also dp to the energy of each emitted/received GB (**E_{GB}**) (the more energy per each GB, the more chances to change the subquantum and/or quantum state of an emitter/receiver NGP). Based on these 2 simple observational assumptions we can establish a plausible hypothetical scalar for the intrinsic PIq of a QP, based on a (hypothetical) simplified constant of direct proportionality $K_{PI}=1$. For further details and arguments, see the full BIDUM version 1.1 [8]

$$\boxed{K_{PI} = 1 \text{ (by hypothesis } H - I)} \Rightarrow \boxed{I_{GB(in/out)} = K_{PI} \cdot (E_{GB(in/out)} \cdot \Delta t) = E_{GB(in/out)} \cdot \Delta t} \quad \text{(E-I-1.1,1.2)}$$

GBs may be considered not only an energetic quanta (e-quanta [**Equa**]) and a (kinetic and/or rest) mass quanta (m-quanta[**Mqua**]), but also a PI-quanta (**PIqua**) (as GBs are quantum-PI carriers) that, when emitted/received by a NGP, have the potential to change the (detectable and/or undetectable) subquantum and/or quantum (informational[momentum]/energetic) state of that emitter/receiver NGP. As the individual (hypothetical) gravitons have probably very subtle subquantum manifestation (that are almost/practically impossible to be measured and distinguished individually even in the distant future of technology), the theoretical number of (**nof.**) all the (quantum and subquantum) distinguishable states (**N_S**) of an NGP^[6] is a the product between **N_Q** (all the possibly distinguishable quantum energetic/momentum [macro]states of that NGP) and **N_{SQ}** (all the possibly distinguishable sub-quantum energetic/momentum [micro]states of that QP). The total intrinsic PI quantity of a NGP [**PI(N_S)**] can be generally defined as the binary-logarithmic measure of **N_S** of that NGP (as the binary logarithm is generally used in the definition of any type of information quantity).

$$\boxed{N_S = N_Q \times N_{SQ}} \Rightarrow \boxed{I(N_S) = \log_2(N_S) = \log_2(N_Q \times N_{SQ}) = \log_2(N_Q) + \log_2(N_{SQ})} \quad \text{(E-I-2.1, 2.2)}$$

As frequency (ν) is the inverse of the time interval (Δt) taken by a full cycle of a periodical physical process (including the full oscillation of a wave-like EQP like the photon is), $\nu = c/\lambda = 1/\Delta t$, the energy of a single photon scalar $E_{ph}(\lambda)$ can be expressed as a function of this time interval (Δt):

[6] As all the NGP interact by gravity, no matter if gravity is a quantized fundamental force (mediated by the hypothetical spin-2 graviton, as predicted by the quantum field theory [**QFT**]) or the curvature of the spacetime (as predicted by the General Relativity [**GR**]) or both (as explained by BIDUMv1.1)

$$\left[\nu = c / \lambda = 1 / \Delta t \right] \Rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} E_{ph}(\nu) = h\nu \Leftrightarrow E_{ph}(\Delta t) = h / \Delta t \Leftrightarrow \\ \Leftrightarrow \boxed{h = E_{ph}(\Delta t) \cdot \Delta t \equiv PIq} \end{array} \right] \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{(E-I-3.1, 3.2, 3.3)} \\ \text{(E-I-3.4)} \end{array}$$

As a generalization, all the GBs^[7] can be considered PIqua (location-and-momentum [PI] packs: LMIPs or shortly **IPs** [informational packs]). All the NGPs can be considered (generally parallel)PI-processors (each with a specific intrinsic PIq) that permanently interchange IPs with each other (they emit/receive IPs not continuously, but in a pulsated mode describable as 0/1 time series possibly similar to the Cantor set ^[10]).

The PIq (as previously defined in equations **E-I-2.2**, but also in **E-I-1.2**) can be theoretically measured in qbits (as any kind of sub/quantum information quantity, as only one bit can be extracted from the state of one qbit of PI) and supports addition and subtraction as standard algebraic operations. The total (rest and/or kinetic) PIq (**I_T**) of an NGP is obviously related to a (classical linear) time interval (Δt) of measurement (in a specific reference frame) and can be defined as a function of an intrinsic (internal) PIq (**I_{int}**) (as measured in the Δt interval or previously), an input (received) PIq (**I_{in}**) and an output (emitted) PIq (**I_{out}**) of that NGP such as:

$$\boxed{I_T(\Delta t) = I_{int}(\Delta t) + I_{in}(\Delta t) - I_{out}(\Delta t)} \quad \text{(E-I-4)}$$

As it cannot be exactly known how many qbits of intrinsic PIq are contained in any QP, a special (physical) qbit (p-bit or shortly pit) can be defined to measure PIq, as an integer multiple of the qbit (but with a [still] unknown/uncertain factor of multiplication):

$$\boxed{I_{[pit]} = E_{[J]} \cdot t_{[s]}} \Leftrightarrow \boxed{E_{[J]} = I_{[pit]} / t_{[s]}} \quad \text{(E-I-5.1, 5.2)}$$

$$\boxed{pit = J \cdot s = k_{pit} (\text{states per pit}) = \log_2(k_{pit}) \text{ qbits}} \quad \text{with } \mathbf{k_{pit}=?\text{states per pit (an adimensional integer constant with an uncertain value)}} \quad \text{(E-I-5.3)}$$

As it can be observed from equations **E-I-5.1**, **E-5.2** and **E-5.3**, the pit is equivalent (only by scalar value, and not necessarily by meaning) to the measure-unit of the (quantum) action and the (quantum) angular momentum ($J_s=J \cdot s$), and that's why the Planck constant (**h**) (which is standardly measured in Js) may be considered the electromagnetic (**EM**) PIqua of the EM force/ field (**EMF**) which is an essential PIqua of our universe (measurable in pits=Js). However, the (quantum) angular momentum conservation law becomes a **PIq conservation law (PICL)**, with the energy-mass equivalence and conservation principles becoming just special cases of this (general) PICL. In E_{ph} scalar, the relation between the PIq and energy is also obvious:

$$h \sim 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ pits} (= 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ Js}); \quad \boxed{E_{ph}(\Delta t)_{[J]} = h_{[pit]} / \Delta t_{[s]}} \quad \text{(E-I-6.1, 6.2)}$$

As it can be observed in equations **E-I-5.2** and **E-I-6.2**, one can extract a hypothetical definition for energy as the PIq transfer speed (pits transferred per [unit of] a time interval [s]):

$$\boxed{E_{[J]} = \frac{I_{[pit]}}{t_{[s]}} \Leftrightarrow J = \frac{pit}{s} = \frac{k_{pit} (\text{states})}{s} = \frac{\log_2(k_{pit}) \cdot qbit}{s}} \quad \text{(E-I-7)}$$

In this view, energy and matter are NOT fundamental as PI is, but they are just the result of measuring (in various ways) the PIq interchanged between the observer (including his measuring tools) and the physical system observed, but also the PIq transferred between the subcomponents of that system, both types of measurement being undertaken in a specific chosen time interval ($\Delta t=t_2-t_1$). What is perceived physically as the “energy/matter of an observed system” (and/or through measuring tools which are the observer's body extensions) is the result of the capacity of the observed system (including the spacetime [vacuum] it occupies) to

[7] the (spin-1) gluon, the (spin-1) $W^+ / W^- / Z$ bosons, the (spin-1) photon and the hypothetical (spin-2) graviton

transfer a specific PIq to the observer or the capacity of the observed subcomponents (of that system) to interchange a specific nof. IPs per unit of (subjective and/or objective) (classical linear) time interval. In conclusion, energy and matter may be generated by PIqua flows of different types (as explained later on).

Hypothesis H-I is a general principle that can also be applied to Einstein's (mass-energy) equivalence principle (**EEP**), as any energy and/or mass measurement must be related to a finite time interval ($\Delta t=t_2-t_1$, a time frame than can tend to 0 or to infinity, but cannot effectively reach these limits). In this informational view, Einstein's equivalence principle becomes just a particular case (the case in which $\Delta t \rightarrow \infty$, when matter turns to stable radiation composed of different GBs with potential infinite mean half-lives) of the more general/unified and profound PICL. The other extreme particular case ($\Delta t \rightarrow 0$) of hypothesis H-I is when $\Delta t = \text{Planck time interval } (tP) = [\hbar G/c^5]^{1/2}$ as the (hypothesized) minimum possible duration of a quantum process. Δt will be noted as "t" for the simplicity of the next sets of equations.

$$\boxed{I = E \cdot t} \quad (\mathbf{E-I-8})$$

$$E = mc^2 \Leftrightarrow E \cdot t = (mc^2) \cdot t \Leftrightarrow \boxed{I = (mc^2) \cdot t} \text{ or } \boxed{I_E = I_{mc^2}} \quad (\mathbf{E-I-9.1, 9.2, 9.3, 9.4})$$

The most general form of the PICL (as expressed in equation E-I-4) may be also applied to the info-energy-matter conservation principle (as expressed in equation E-I-9.4) as any QP probably emits and/or receives undetectable (hypothetical) gravitons independently to any possible additional EM radiation (and gravitons are hypothesized to generally have the same speed $c^{[8]}$ than the additional optional real/virtual photons), when it transforms into energy (which is generally and mostly EM energy/radiation plus [hard to detect] gravitational radiation). As gravitation cannot be shielded, it is inevitable that any form of matter emits and receives gravitons in the time interval in which it converts to energy, so that EEP scalar is not an exact mathematical equality but just a very accurate approximate equality (as the hypothetical gravitons may be closed strings that may escape the 5th dimension as the Super String Theories [SSTs] and M-theory [MT] predict). In the next equations, $N_{gr(in)(out/esc)}$ is the nof. hypothetical input/output (including escaped) hypothetical gravitons in the Δt interval and E_{gr} is the average energy of these gravitons.

$$\boxed{I_T(t) = I_{int}(t) + I_{in}(t) - I_{out}(t)} \Rightarrow \boxed{I_E(t) = E \cdot t + I_{E(in)}(t) - I_{E(out)}(t)} \text{ and} \quad (\mathbf{E-I-9.5})$$

$$\boxed{I_{mc^2}(t) = (mc^2 \cdot t) + I_{mc^2(in)}(t) - I_{mc^2(out)}(t)} \text{ and} \quad (\mathbf{E-I-9.6})$$

$$\boxed{E \cdot t + I_{E(in)}(t) - I_{E(out)}(t) = (mc^2 \cdot t) + I_{mc^2(in)}(t) - I_{mc^2(out)}(t)} \quad (\mathbf{E-I-9.7})$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} E(\Delta t) &= E + (N_{gr(in)(\Delta t)} - N_{gr(out/esc)(\Delta t)}) \cdot E_{gr} \\ mc^2(\Delta t) &= mc^2 + (N_{gr(in)(\Delta t)} - N_{gr(out/esc)(\Delta t)}) \cdot E_{gr} \\ (N_{gr(in)(\Delta t)} - N_{gr(out)(\Delta t)}) \cdot E_{gr} &\ll E \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \boxed{E(\Delta t) = mc^2(\Delta t)} \text{ AND } \boxed{E \sim mc^2} \quad (\mathbf{E-I-9.8})$$

[8] c is the speed of light in vacuum

Part 2. The informational quanta for the four known fundamental forces

Hypothesis II (H-II). We can also generalize that all the classical mass/charge-related non-I physical (scalar) invariants (such as the Newtonian universal gravitational constant [\mathbf{G}], the Coulomb constant [\mathbf{K}_e], masses/charges of all QPs and the forces they exert etc.) that appear in the quantitative formulations of the (classical) physical laws are essentially scalar functions of different PIqua that generate them (for example, Planck constant [\mathbf{h}] is the measure of the EM PIqua) and this fact may explain the products and ratios of these classical scalar invariants (energies/masses/charges) as “masking” additions and/or subtractions of PIqs measured as defined in equation E-I-1.1, such as:

$$\boxed{I(N_S) = \log_2(N_S)} \Leftrightarrow \boxed{I(N_{S1}) + I(N_{S2}) = \log_2(N_{S1}) + \log_2(N_{S2}) = \log_2(N_{S1} \cdot N_{S2})} \quad (\mathbf{E-II-1.1,1.2})$$

$$\boxed{2^{I(N_{S1})+I(N_{S2})} = 2^{\log_2(N_{S1} \cdot N_{S2})} = N_{S1} \cdot N_{S2}} \equiv \boxed{E_1 \cdot E_2} \equiv \boxed{m_1 \cdot m_2} \equiv \boxed{q_1 \cdot q_2} \quad (\text{logical equivalences}) \quad (\mathbf{E-II-2})$$

In the view of hypothesis H-II, (electrostatic/electromagnetic) Coulomb constant (\mathbf{K}_e) may be considered an indirect measure scalar function of the photon/EM PIqua ($\mathbf{h}_{ph} [= \mathbf{h}]$). This scalar function can be expressed using the inverse of the (EM) Fine Structure Constant (FSC), $\alpha = 1/\text{FSC}$ (considering $\alpha = 1/\text{FSC}$ a pre-designed adimensional constant, with another definition which is theoretically independent of h , as explained in the full BIDUMv1.1):

$$\boxed{K_e = f(h) = k_C \cdot h}, \text{ with } \boxed{k_C = \frac{c}{q_e^2 (2\pi\alpha)}} \text{ and } \boxed{\alpha = \frac{1}{\text{FSC}} = \frac{\hbar c}{K_e q_e^2} (\sim 137.036)}_{[9]} \quad (\mathbf{E-II-3.1, 3.2, 3.3})$$

Analogously, the Newtonian universal gravitational constant (\mathbf{G}) may be also considered an indirect measure scalar function of a hypothetical (electro)gravitational (EGF) Planck-like PIqua (\mathbf{h}_{eg}) of a hypothetical electrograviton (\mathbf{eg}) having a scalar exactly analogous to K_e (this scalar analogy being the reason for calling this hypothetical graviton an “electrograviton”), considering $\alpha_G = 1/\alpha_G$ a pre-designed adimensional constant, with another definition which is theoretically independent of h (as explained in the full BIDUMv1.1):

$$\boxed{G = f(h_{eg}) = k_G \cdot h_{eg}}, \text{ with } \boxed{k_G = \frac{c}{m_e^2 (2\pi\alpha)}}, \quad (\mathbf{E-II-4.1, 4.2})$$

$$\boxed{h_{eg} = \frac{h}{K_{eg}} = (\sim 1.58 \times 10^{-76} \text{ pit})}, \text{ with } \boxed{K_{eg} = \alpha_G / \alpha (\sim 4.182 \times 10^{42})} \text{ and} \quad (\mathbf{E-II-4.3, 4.4})$$

$$\boxed{\alpha_G = \frac{1}{\alpha_G} = \frac{\hbar c}{G m_e^2} (\sim 2.85 \times 10^{44})} \quad (\mathbf{E-II-4.5})$$

[10]

The equation E-II-4.1 is also a potential candidate for the hypothetical quantum (“big”) G scalar which is probably a function of a gravitational Planck-like PIqua constant (h_{eg}). The energy scalar of a single eg with a frequency ν [$\mathbf{E}_{eg}(\nu)$] can be expressed in analogy with a single photon energy scalar [$\mathbf{E}_{ph}(\nu) = \mathbf{h}\nu$] = [$\mathbf{E}_{ph}(\Delta t) = \mathbf{h}/\Delta t$] such as: $\mathbf{E}_{eg}(\nu) = \mathbf{h}_{eg}\nu = \mathbf{E}_{eg}(\Delta t) = \mathbf{h}_{eg}/\Delta t$. K_{eg} (as defined in equation E-II-4.4) is an electrogravitational constant, named as such because it interconnects the EM and EG PIqua (h and h_{eg}). k_C (as defined in equation E-II-3.2) and k_G (as defined in equation E-II-4.2) are two analogous (secondary) constants

[9] $\hbar = h / (2\pi)$ is the reduced Planck constant; K_e is the classical Coulomb (electrostatic) constant; q_e is the elementary (electric) charge; c is speed of light in vacuum

[10] m_e is the rest mass of the electron; c is speed of light in vacuum

defined to simplify the scalars $K_e = k_C \cdot h$ and $G = k_G \cdot h$ as functions of h and h_{eg} respectively. α_G is the inverse of the reduced gravitational coupling constant ($GCC = \alpha_G$), which is considered a pre-designed adimensional constant, with another definition which is theoretically independent of h (as explained in the full BIDUMv1.1)

The logical equivalence between the Planck-like EGF PIqua (h_{eg}) and the qbit (hypothesis H-III). Even if k_{pit} (as defined in equation E-I-5.3) has an uncertain numerical value, there is a method that can roughly estimate its value based on a plausible assumption/hypothesis that *the eg may carry at least one qbit of subquantum EGF-PIq, as the eg is a “wavicle” with (at least) 2 extreme space-dependent quantum states (x-polarized and y polarized eg): that’s why h_{eg} (also measured in pits=Js) can be (logically) associated with one qbit (logical equivalence of minimal PIqs).* As the h_{eg} scalar can be (theoretically) measured in both pits and qbits, an approximation of k_{pit} and an estimation of h (measured in qbits, not just in pits) can be obtained (it’s obvious from the next equations that pit is a huge multiple of the qbit and that a single h -based photon may theoretically carry a huge amount of EG-PIq):

$$\boxed{h_{eg} \equiv 2(\text{ExtremeSubQuantumStates}) \leftrightarrow \log_2[h_{eg}] = 1qbit} \quad (\text{logical}) \quad (\text{E-III-1})$$

equivalence)

$$\boxed{h_{eg} \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-76} \text{ pits} = 2(\text{states}) = 1qbit} \Rightarrow \quad (\text{E-III -2.1,})$$

$$\boxed{k_{pit} = \frac{2(\text{states})}{1.6 \times 10^{-76} \text{ pits}} \sim 1.25 \times 10^{76} (\text{states per pit}) \sim 253(\text{qbits per pit})} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\boxed{h_{ph} = h = K_{eg} \cdot h_{eg} = K_{eg} \cdot 2(\text{states}) [\sim 8.4 \times 10^{42} \text{ states} \sim 143qbits]} \quad (\text{E-III-3})$$

The rest energy/mass definition is indissolubly related to movement definition and that’s why it is also (indissolubly) related to classical linear time definition (including the mean lifetime or the half-life of a QP). The generic PIq scalar (as expressed in equation E-I-8) can also be applied in the practical (mean) estimation of the intrinsic PIqua (at rest) [$I_{int(rest)}$] of the other GBs, but also the $I_{int(rest)}$ of the NGPs based on their resting energy/mass and their specific mean lifetimes (also measured as half-lives) (**hypothesis H-IV**). See **Table T-IV-1** and **Table T-IV-2**.

$$\boxed{I_{int(rest)(mean)} = E_{rest} \cdot \Delta t_{mean_lifetime} = (m_{rest} \cdot c^2) \cdot \Delta t_{mean_lifetime}} \quad (\text{E-IV-1})$$

Table T-IV-1. The intrinsic (rest) PIqua of all the four GBs (generating all the four FFs) of our universe

The (hypothetical) electrogravitational field/force (EGF) PIqua (h_{eg})	$h_{eg} \sim 1.6 \times 10^{-76} \text{ pits} \sim [k_{pit} \cdot (1.6 \times 10^{-76}) \text{ states}] = 1qbit, \text{ with } [k_{pit} \sim 253qbits / pit]$
The electromagnetic field/force (EMF) PIqua ($h_{ph}=h$)	$h_{ph} = h \sim 6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ pits} \sim 8.4 \times 10^{42} \text{ states} \sim 143qbits$
The weak nuclear field/force (WNF) specific PIqua at rest (h_w and h_z)	
The intrinsic PIq at rest of a single W^+/W^- boson (h_w) is a function of its rest mass ($m_w \sim 80.385 \pm 0.015 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ [11,12]) and its half-life ($t_w \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-25} \text{ s}$)	$h_w = (m_w c^2) \cdot t_w [\sim 3.86 \times 10^{-33} \text{ pits} \sim 145qbits] \Rightarrow [h_w / h_{ph} \sim 5.8^*]$ *as W-boson is considered a “heavy” photon, it carries almost 6 times more intrinsic PIq (at rest) than a photon
The intrinsic PIq at rest of a single Z boson (h_z) is also a function of its rest mass ($m_z \sim 91.1876 \pm 0.0021 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ [Error! Bookmark not defined.,Error! Bookmark not defined.]) and its half-life ($t_z \sim 3 \cdot 10^{-25} \text{ s}$)	$h_z = (m_z c^2) \cdot t_z [\sim 4.38 \times 10^{-33} \text{ pits} \sim 145qbits] \Rightarrow [h_z / h_{ph} \sim 6.6^*]$ *as Z-boson is also considered a “heavy” photon, it carries almost 7 times more intrinsic PIq (at rest) than a photon
The strong nuclear field/force (SNF) specific PIqua at rest (h_{gl})	
For the SNF, the intrinsic PIq of a single gluon (h_{gl}) cannot be measured directly using the PIq scalar definition (such as the W and Z bosons which have non-0 rest masses), but can be measured indirectly (inversely) based on the known SNF coupling constant (α_s) which has a value close to 1 (practically ~ 137 times larger	$h_{gl} = (\alpha_s \cdot FSC) \cdot h_{ph} \sim FSC \cdot h_{ph} [\sim 4.8 \times 10^{-36} \text{ pits} \sim 135qbits]$ $\text{with } [h_{gl} / h_{ph} \sim FSC \sim 1/137^*] \text{ and } [h_{gl} / h_{eg} \sim 3 \times 10^{40}]$ *when compared to the photons and the W/Z-bosons, the gluons may be considered “(very) light” (special) photons, as a gluon carries ~ 137 times less intrinsic PIq (at rest) than a photon

than FSC at rest)

Table T-IV-2. The intrinsic PIqua of the main (known) NGP of our universe

The intrinsic PIq at rest of a single proton (\mathbf{h}_p) is as a function of its rest mass ($\mathbf{m}_p \sim 0.938\text{GeV}/c^2$ [13]) and its mean lifetime (with an experimental lower bound $\mathbf{t}_p > 10^{31}$ years [14, 15])	$h_p > [(m_p c^2) \cdot t_p \sim 4.7 \times 10^{28} \text{ pits} \sim 6 \times 10^{104} \text{ states} \sim 348 \text{ qbits}],$ $\text{with } \boxed{h_p / h_{ph} > 7.2 \times 10^{61}} \text{ and } \boxed{h_p / h_{eg} > 3 \times 10^{104}}$
The intrinsic PIq at rest of a single electron (\mathbf{h}_e) is a function of its rest mass ($\mathbf{m}_e \sim 0.511\text{MeV}/c^2$ [16]) and its mean lifetime (with an experimental lower bound $\mathbf{t}_e > 6.6 \cdot 10^{28}$ years [17]). Electrons can be considered “hyper” photons, with $h_e > 10^{54}h$ (this h_e gives them a non-0 rest mass and some common photon-electron proprieties)	$h_e > [(m_e c^2) \cdot t_e \sim 1.2 \times 10^{21} \text{ pits} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{97} \text{ states} \sim 323 \text{ qbits}],$ $\text{with } \boxed{h_e / h_{ph} > 1.8 \times 10^{54}} \text{ and } \boxed{h_e / h_{eg} > 7.5 \times 10^{96}}$

Checkpoint conclusion. This IDUM is different from other informational universe models/descriptions [18,19,20,21] as it offers an indirect theoretical way to measure the followings: (1) the intrinsic (essentially) subquantum PIq of any known QP; (2) all the PIqua of the four known FFs (including h_{eg} – the PIqua for a hypothetical electrograviton [eg] that is proposed as a scalar model for the hypothetical graviton [a spin 2 boson]); (3) a new definition of energy (as PIq transfer speed). All sources of energy can be (essentially) considered sources of PI (as energy is essentially PI): however PIq is not perfectly interchangeable with physical energy and (physical) matter (but a time-dependent quasi-interchangeable concept). Although apparently descriptive, this IDUM can also offer some important (predictive) reformulations and generalizations of classical and modern notions/concepts of physics. This IDUM tries to impose the PI concept (together with its powerful tool: the PIq scalar defined by hypothesis **H-I**) as a sine-qua-non (central/fundamental) component of any “mature” TOE to be discovered/proposed in the future. See **Table T-IV-3**.

Table T-IV-3. Important consequences of the PIq scalar and the four PIqua of the four FFs

As this IDUM treats the four FF PIqua [$h_{(ph)}$, h_{eg} , $h_{W/Z}$ and h_{gl}] as central and more important than the energy/mass quanta, I argue that energy, force, mass and all their derivatives (together with their SI units of measurement which are essentially based on the kilogram) should be “inversely” redefined from this PIq scalar (as defined by E-I-1.1 and denoted as “I”) using also time intervals (denoted as “t”) and linear/circular lengths/distances (denoted as “d”)	$I(=E \cdot t)$ $E=I/t$ $P=I/t^2$ $F=I/(d \cdot t)$ $M=(I \cdot t)/d^2$	$(\text{pit}=J \cdot s) \equiv \text{qbit}$ $(J=\text{pit}/s) \equiv \text{qbit}/s$ $(W=\text{pit}/s^2) \equiv \text{qbit}/s^2$ $[N=\text{pit}/(m \cdot s)] \equiv \text{qbit}/(m \cdot s)$ $(kg=\text{pit} \cdot s / m^2) \equiv \text{qbit} \cdot s / m^2$
The Planck constant ($h_{ph}=h$) is also the (central) PIq unit in the Planck (natural) Units System (PUS), a system which can be generalized for any other Planck-like (PIqua) constant (h_{gl} , $h_{W/Z}$ and h_{eg}) and called Planck-Like Units System (PLUS [h_x], such as PSU is the private case PLUS [h_{ph}]).	$PLUS(h_x), \text{ with } \boxed{h_x \in \{h_{eg}, h_{ph}(=h), h_{W/Z}, h_{gl}\}},$ $\text{with } PUS = PLUS(h_{ph})$	
The coupling (α) constants (at rest) for the three non-EGF FFs can be generalized as a PIq-function (in analogy to FSC definition, but expressed as ratio of two different PIqs), as GCC is not a function of the $K_e q_e^2$, but is conventionally expressed as a function of Gm_e^2/c and h only.	$\alpha_f(h_x) = [K_e q_e^2 / c] / h_x, \text{ with } \boxed{h_x \in \{h_{gl}, h_{ph}(=h), h_{W/Z}\}}$ $\alpha_G = [Gm_e^2 / c] / h$	
The <i>Bekenstein bound</i> (BB) [22,23,24] (defined as the maximum PIq [I] [measurable in qbits or in the equivalent bits extracted from those qbits] contained in all the quantum states (N_Q) of a sphere that has a finite ray R and contains a finite energy E , when/if assumed that the perfect vacuum carries NO [additional] PIq) can be reformulated as a two PIqs ratio using an additional adimensional constant $k_{BB}=(2\pi)^2/\ln(2)$	$I \leq \frac{2\pi ER}{\hbar c \ln(2)} \Leftrightarrow I \leq \frac{(2\pi)^2 E R}{\ln(2) c} \Leftrightarrow I \leq \frac{k_{BB} \cdot (E \cdot \Delta t_{R,c})}{h_{ph}} \Leftrightarrow$ $\Leftrightarrow I \leq \left[\frac{k_{BB} \cdot I_{(E,\Delta t_{R,c})}}{h_{ph}} = \frac{(2\pi)^2 \cdot \log_2(N_Q)}{h_{ph}} \right], I_{(E,\Delta t_{R,c})} = \ln(N_Q)$	
Analogously to PLUS (h_x) generalization, BB can be also generalized for any PIqua of the four FFs, including h_{eg} which counts the total nof. quantum and subquantum [micro]states $N_s=N_Q \times N_{SQ}$ (as the emission/reception of egs may generate all the possible subquantum energetic [micro]states [N_{SQ}] that can be “hidden” in a single quantum state of a QP).	$I(E, \Delta t_{c,R}, h_x) \leq \frac{k_{BB} \cdot I_{(E,\Delta t_{c,R})}}{h_x},$ $\text{with } \boxed{h_x \in \{h_{eg}, h_{ph}(=h), h_{W/Z}, h_{gl}\}}$	

h can be considered a fundamental cutoff for which any QP with intrinsic PIqua > h will have a non-0 rest mass (as in the case of W/Z bosons, the leptons, the quarks, the nucleons etc.) and all the QPs with intrinsic PIqua ≤ h will have 0-rest mass (the photons, the gluons, and the hypothetical egs). By this h-cutoff, EMF (with its specific h PIqua) is profoundly related in fact to the triad of indissolubly related concepts: rest mass, classical linear time and gravity. If the intrinsic PIqua of all QP are pre-considered finite, an important consequence is that all QPs will finally decay (by finite lifetimes).

$$m_x \cdot t_x \leq \frac{h}{c^2} \text{ for photons, gluons and egs}$$

$$m_x \cdot t_x > \frac{h}{c^2} \text{ for } W / Z \text{ bosons, Higgs boson,} \\ \text{neutrinos, leptons and quarks}$$

Part 3. The global PI quanta of the white universe and its relation with the four known fundamental forces

The PIq scalar is a powerful theoretical tool that can also be applied at global scales (H-V). The PIq scalar can be used to calculate the main global PIqs of the (directly observable) “white” (finite) part of the universe (WU^[11]). See Table T-V-1.

Table T-V-1. The main global PIqs of the WU (part A)	
The (apparently ^[12]) rest energy of the WU (E_{arWU}) can be estimated using the recent measurements of the total (apparent rest) mass of WU (M_{arWU}) [25]	$M_{arWU} \sim 1.45 \times 10^{53} \text{ kg} \Rightarrow E_{arWU} = M_{arWU} c^2 \sim 1.3 \times 10^{70} \text{ J}$
Based on M_{arWU} one may calculate an (Eddington’s-number-like) hypothetical (maximum) number of proton-electron pairs (pep) (noted as N_p) that may (theoretically) compose/generate integrally M_{arWU} (including neutrons, as they can be considered compact forms of peps ^[13]). Each pep may be considered a spacetime atom (STA) as it includes not only matter and energy (the energetically charged pep) but also the spacetime which the (resting or dynamic) pep may occupy (the definition of pep/STA in BIDUM).	$m_{pep} = m_p + m_e \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow N_p \sim M_{arWU} / m_{pep} \sim 8.7 \times 10^{79} \text{ (peps)}$
By considering a (hypothetical) mean lifetime of the (apparently rest) WU (t_{arWU}) larger than the lower bound of the mean lifetime of the proton (t_p) [Error! Bookmark not defined.,Error! Bookmark not defined.] ($t_{arWU} > t_p$ no matter if WU is cyclic or not), one can estimate the (apparently at rest) intrinsic PIq of the WU (as a hypothetical inequality) based on E_{arWU}	$t_{arWU} > [t_p > 10^{31} \text{ years}] \Rightarrow$ $[I_{arWU} = E_{arWU} \cdot t_{arWU}] > [\sim 614 \text{ qbits}]$
The (global expansion/inflation) apparent kinetic energy of WU (E_{akWU}) (which is mainly due to gravity as EM radiation only had a significant contribution to the global inflation only when the WU was [very] young) is estimated at $\sim 3/10(0.3)$ of the (apparent) rest energy of the WU (E_{arWU}) [26]. The apparent kinetic (global) PIq of WU (I_{akWU}) can be estimated based on E_{arWU} and $t_{WU} > t_p$.	$E_{akWU} = 0.3 E_{arWU} \sim 3.9 \times 10^{69} \text{ J}$ $I_{akWU} \sim E_{akWU} \cdot t_{WU} > (612 \text{ qbits})$
The total (global) energy of WU (E_{tWU}) can be estimated as the sum of the (apparent) resting energy of the WU (E_{arWU}) and the (apparent) kinetic energy of the WU (E_{akWU}). The total (global) PIq of the WU (I_{tWU}) can be estimated as the sum of the (apparent) resting and kinetic PIqs of the WU (I_{arWU} and I_{akWU}).	$E_{tWU} = E_{arWU} + E_{akWU}$ and $[I_{tWU} = E_{tWU} \cdot t_{WU}] \Rightarrow$ $\Rightarrow [I_{tWU} = I_{arWU} + I_{akWU}] > [\sim 614 \text{ qbits}]$
The global EGF-PIq (I_{egWU}) is in fact the apparent kinetic (global) PIq of WU (I_{akWU}), as E_{akWU} is mainly due to gravity (mediated by the hypothetical eggs)	$I_{egWU} \sim I_{akWU} \sim (23.3\%) I_{tWU} > (\sim 612 \text{ qbits})$
I have called the rest and kinetic mass/energy/PIq of the WU (just) “apparent” ($[M/E/I]_{arWU}$ and $[E/I]_{akWU}$) because it is proven that the sum of the rest masses of the three protonic (up/down) quarks $m_{pq} (=2m_{qu} + m_{qd})$ is only $\sim 1.002\%$ of the total proton (nucleon) rest mass (m_p) and $\Phi = m_{pq}/m_{pep} \sim 1.001\%$. In conclusion, the real (global) rest PIq of the WU (I_{rWU}) is in fact only the real (global) rest PIqs of all the up/down quarks and electrons from the WU (I_{qeWU}) (which is only $\Phi \sim 1.001\%$ of I_{arWU}) AND $(1-\Phi) \sim 98.999\%$ of I_{arWU} is in fact (also) kinetic/dynamic PIq generated by the kinetic energy of the all the gluons of the WU (I_{glWU}) (as gluons may also be considered white/WU radiation). In this context, the real kinetic (global) PIq of the WU (I_{kWU}) is in fact $I_{kWU} (= I_{tWU} - I_{rWU}) \sim 99.23\%$ of I_{tWU} , which is significantly larger than I_{akWU} ($\sim 23.1\%$ of I_{tWU}). In conclusion, I_{kWU} is $\sim 99\%$ of I_{tWU} and is composed mainly from I_{glWU} and I_{egWU} in a proportion of about 3 to 1.	$m_{pq} / m_p \sim 1.002\% \Rightarrow \Phi = m_{pq} / m_{pep} \sim 1.001\%$ $I_{qeWU} = N_p [(m_{pq} c^2 \cdot t_{WU}) + h_e]$ $(I_{rWU} = I_{qeWU}) \sim (0.77\%) I_{tWU} > [\sim 607 \text{ qbits}]$ $I_{glWU} = I_{arWU} - I_{rWU} = (1 - \Phi) \cdot I_{arWU} \Leftrightarrow$ $\Leftrightarrow I_{glWU} \sim (76.153\%) I_{tWU} > [\sim 614 \text{ qbits}]$ $I_{kWU} = I_{tWU} - I_{rWU} \sim (99.23\%) I_{tWU} \sim 614 \text{ qbits}$ $I_{egWU} \sim I_{akWU} \sim (23.1\%) I_{kWU}$ $I_{glWU} \sim (76.8\%) I_{kWU} \sim 3 I_{egWU}$
The WU may be represented as a pep-based essentialised 3D graph with ($N_{qe} = 4N_p$) nodes* and four layers of internodes, one per each type of FF/GB flow (EGF, EMF, WNF and SNF). (*as each pep is in fact a tetrad of EQPs containing 3 up/down quarks and one electron)	$N_{qe} = 4N_p \sim 3.5 \times 10^{80} \text{ (NGP - nodes)}$

[11] the White (part of the) Universe (WU) is conventionally defined as all the (finite) matter and (finite) energy/radiation that can be measured directly with the recent specific tools (WU is defined as “white” because also considering the dark/matter-energy hypothesis, as WU is complementary to this “dark” (part of the) universe [DU])

[12] the standard estimation of the WU rest mass (M_{arWU}) is just “apparently” a rest mass, as it is generated by the sum of the rest masses of all the nucleons of all the atoms, which are quark-based and have $\sim 99\%$ of their masses determined by the kinetic energy of the gluons: in conclusion, M_{arWU} is in fact a kinetic mass generated by the sum of the kinetic energies of all the gluons of the WU

[13] each pep is in fact a tetrad of four EQPs: 3 up/down quarks and an electron [the lightest lepton] interconnected by all the four FFs; additionally, it is obvious that the protons outnumber the neutrons by far, as the stars [which have the hydrogen atoms as the major constituents] are the main contributors to M_{arWU}

Part 4. The PI-“gene” hypothesis and the materialization hypothesis

The meta-PI-“gene” hypothesis. On the qualitative (not just quantitative) aspect of PI, it's very plausible that I_{WU} to be organized in multiple meta-layers as not all the qbits store the same type of PI (as the global PI_{qua} is an informational map of energy-matter structures and functions/dynamics that can also be considered an universal operating system [UOS] analogous to those used in IT/computers): there are blocks of meta-PI (**mPI**) (also measured in qbits) that describe algorithms applied on other blocks of PI (of inferior grade) (“information about information” is meta-information [**meta-PI**]). **mPI** may describe groups of possible states and their successions/parallel associations. **mPI** may also contain algorithms/code lines that process basic input/output PI. **mPI** may be indexed as n-grade **mPI** [**mPI**(n)]: **mPI**(0) is basic input/output PI (basic input/output qbits of data usually carried by GBs), **mPI**(1) describes and even may process blocks of **mPI**(0) (as it may contain algorithms similar to a software subroutine), **mPI**(2) may integrate and coordinate all **mPI**(1) in super-subroutines and so on. However, this IDUM predicts that the maximum n (n_{max}) may be a finite natural number (as based on a global possible finite I_{WU}), and **mPI**(n_{max}) is the analogous to a UOS, a macro-PI-“skeleton”/master-**mPI** in which all the other **mPI**($n < n_{max}$) are embedded and coordinated. As it can be seen, all types of **mPI**(n) are mathematical bodies/entities containing number or a combination of numbers and algorithms (composed of logical and other mathematical operations[**ops**]), which makes this BIDUM very similar to Tegmark's Mathematical Universe Hypothesis (**MUH**) [27] and may explain why all the EQPs of the same type have the same (probably perfectly identical) properties when tested in the same conditions: this apparent tautology (as one may argue that some QPs are defined as the same type of particle just because they show identical properties in identical experimental conditions) may be explained by the fact that, in this IDUM, all the particles of the same type correspond and are generated to/by the same type of **mPI**-“gene” with the same index (n), which functions like a “gene” that is used to produce multiple copies of the same fundamental particle. Using the **mPI-gene hypothesis**, this IDUM explains and re-brings in attention *Wheeler's one-electron-universe intuition*[28]: in terms of PI, it is very plausible that the WU has only one **mPI-gene** for the electron (**mPI**[n_e]) from which a nof. energetic-materialized “copies” ($N_e=N_p$) were produced after the Big Bang. The same for the other EQPs.

The four-steps materialization of a PI_{qua} (replication-dichotomization-materialization-particulation) hypothesis. The process of materialization of a PI_{qua} can be analyzed as a four steps process: (1) the replication of the **mPI-gene** into a PI_{qua}, in which the intrinsic PI_q contained in that **mPI-gene** is copied into a replica (possibly stored in the observer/human consciousness [**OC/HC**]); (2) the software-hardware PI_{qua} dichotomization in which the primary (“mother”) PI_{qua} splits in two secondary (“daughter”) PI_{quas} (software **sPI_q** and hardware **hPI_q**); (3) the energy-time splitting of the **hPI_q** (by establishing a classical linear time construct, mind produces perceptible Equa from any **hPI_q**); (4) the “particulation” process in which that specific **Equa** (produced from that PI_{qua}) also decomposes into a specific particle with a specific rest mass (M_{qua}) that moves with a specific speed (v). In this view, I_{WU} (stored in the vacuum) may be considered a “hard-disk” (a read-only-memory [ROM]: a phase space [29] which stores all the possibilities of any potential [dynamical] particle and process). The observer plays the role of a random-access-memory (RAM) unit that applies an algorithm that extracts PI from the ROM (by a copy-paste process [not a cut-paste process] similar to the living cell DNA/RNA transcription/translation which generates proteins from coding genes) and generate different dynamical particles (Equa) and processes with specific energies/frequencies/t-quanta (limited superiorly by the Planck frequency ν_p). The speed of light in vacuum (c) defines the Planck (maximum possible) frequency ($\nu_p=c/l_p$) of local retrieval/copying/replication of a specific PI_{qua} from the global PI_{qua} (I_{WU}). The same i-quanta can theoretically decompose in a spectrum of all the possible variants of Equa (E_q) and half-times($t_{1/2}$) with a probably Gaussian (natural) distribution (with a peak around the mean lifetime and the specific Equa of that measured/observed QP) and any external source of PI (including the mind and measurement tools of the observer) can influence the probability of each ($E_q, t_{1/2}$) possible combination: this may to explain the wave function collapse and that's why the question “Does the Universe Exist if We're Not Looking?”^[6] (the participatory universe hypothesis) may be legitimate[30,31] as the most recent experiments[32] confirm (legitimate in the energetic sense, not in the PI sense, as the PI_{qua} may pre-exist in the vacuum long before the moment of a specific observation).

The EQP-microchip/microprocessor hypothesis. Each EQP may be a quantum microchip (with both a software/code and a hardware, a form of micro/sub-universe of the WU analogously to a software application

being a subroutine of the UOS, a microchip that can receive, process and emit/output PIquas (mPI[0]) as responses to any external PI “stimuli”.

Essentially, BIDUM sustains the Simulation Hypothesis (SH) [33] by which WU and HC are parts of a simulated reality based on PIq gradients (measurable in qbits or any other potential PIq units). In the absence of a mature theory to explain the existence and functioning of the human consciousness (HC), all the types of TOE produced by HC may be flaws generated by incomplete self-knowledge.

*

Part 5. The biological forces as additional fundamental (physical) forces of the universe

Life (the explanation of its existence and evolution) must be an essential component of any PI-centered mature TOE, as life forms are essentially PI-processors and selectors/replicators. Another main reason for which a TOE must treat PI as a central part is that a mature TOE should explain and integrate the existence of (biological) life forms, which are essentially PI-processors (as EQPs are also considered PI-processors in this BIDUM) and which shall be called bio-observer(s) (**BO[s]**) in the rest of this paper, as they all search, receive, process and emit PIqua from/to the entire (external) WU environment but also from/in the interior of their bodies. BIDUM defines the BOs as being NOT only humans, but all the living unicellular/multicellular plants and animals, including also viruses, as viruses are codes of life-cycles similar to a software with hardware support (DNA and/or RNA and structural/functional proteins/lipids/ carbohydrates [polysaccharides])[34]. Dawkins' meme theory (in which a meme is essentially a biological/physical information quanta with a powerful selection and replication capabilities) also highlights the biological information (**BI**)-replicative/selective capacity of the BOs.

The self and extended phenotype of a BO and the software/hardware dichotomy of a BO. A BO not only possess a hardware (a body [**BOB**], also called a self-phenotype [**SP**]), but also an extended phenotype (**EP**) (all spacetime in which the effects of a gene existence and transcription/translation [expression] are measurable, inside or outside the SP, including SP; SP can be considered an extended BOB [**eBOB**]; all our biosphere (**BS**)/planet can be considered an extended phenotype, as Dawkins R. first defined it [35]): a BO also possesses a software (a collection of algorithms that process the PI received from the SP and the EP), which is synonymous to a mind (**BOM**): BOM is clearly different from BOB as, for example, the genetic code (which is a part of the extended BOM) is an alphabet which differs from the chemical structure of the DNA, RNA, enzymes and ribosomes that store this alphabet. BOM can be considered a pure un-materialized mPI-subsystem of a BO that can manipulate BOB. BOB can be considered a materialized mPI-subsystem that can also send PIqua to the BOM (to "inform" and even "constrain/manipulate" it) as the BO survival depends on a proper bidirectional PI-flow between BOM and BOB subsystems of the BOs.

BOs as dissipative systems. The fact the BOBs are dissipative systems [36,37,38] is an additional strong argument that BOs are essentially informational entities (PI-processor) that manage their lifetimes by a form of BI conservation law (**BICL**) analogous to the **PICL**, by which the BOs tend to conserve (by survival and replication) as efficient as possible their global intrinsic (genetic and epigenetic) BI (despite the often rough conditions of their environments), with constant renewal of all the atoms in the BOB with the purpose of keeping their global intrinsic BIq relatively constant/intact (but progressively losing that intrinsic BI in a quasi-inevitable senescence). In the active part of their life-cycle (t_{lc}), BOs change almost all their structural physical particles/atoms (by cells/molecules repairing and/or replacing at least once in a life-cycle) at different rates (depending on the molecule/cell/tissue/organ type) [39,40,41,42,43,44], without significantly changing their global intrinsic BIqs on short and medium term, as the intrinsic BIq of a BO also contains specific error-correcting algorithms that may patch different BI-loses of the intrinsic BIq and implicitly prolong the lifetime of that BOB.

BI and PI can both be digitized and measured in bits/qbits (hypothesis). Biological (bio) is essentially informational (info): that's why I have chosen the "bio-info" label for this BIDUM. The physical PI and BI can both be digitized and measured in bits/qbits (using PIqs and BIqs measured with base-2 logarithms of the maximum nof. physical/biological energetic/momentum quantum/subquantum [macro/micro] states of a physical/biological system): that's why I have chosen the "digital" label for the BIDUM class (as digits can be used to describe all the WU, including the BO). BO can be regarded as composed of software-BI (with its own intrinsic **BIq[BI_s]**) and hardware-BI (sub cellular and supra cellular structures, all based on DNA, RNA, proteins etc.) also containing its own intrinsic BIq (**BI_h**): the total intrinsic BIq of a BO (**BI_t=BI_s+BI_h**) tends to self-serve, self-replicate and adapt (by evolution and/or involution of its intrinsic BI_t) with a (generally) finite life-cycle (**lc**) (measured also [but not only] by t_{lc}), but potentially infinite nof. iterations of that **lc** (each **lc** measured also [but not only] by t_{lc}). Analogously, QPs and all the non-living physical systems (**PS**) can also be considered PI-software-hardware entities (physical observers [**PO**]). In the light of the mPI-gene hypothesis, it's obvious that the only difference between the BIqua and the PIqua is that BIqua are produced by high index mPI($n > n_x$)-genes and PIqua are produced by low index mPI($n < n_x$)-genes. As the index n takes progressively

higher positive integer values, one cannot tell exactly the value of n_x : however, there probably exists a transition open interval (n_x, n_y) in which $mPI(n_x < n < n_y)$ genes generates PI/BIqua that have transient proprieties between non-life forms and life-forms (viruses are probably produced by this kind of transient index mPI -genes)

The BO-materialization process hypothesis based on the BO-PO structural analogy (hypothesis). QPs have a dual (but monad-like unitary) wave-particle (wavicle character) with both an intrinsic rest PIqua ($rPIqua$) and an intrinsic kinetic PIqua ($kPIqua$): BOs also have a dual (but monad-like unitary) character as being composed of both software (which may be considered a kind of intelligent “wave function” of that BO) and hardware (which may be considered the non-wave/particle function of that BO). This similarity may be explained by the hypothesis that BIqua may have the same four steps materialization process that a PIqua has: (1) the replication of a high-index mPI -gene into a BIqua, in which the intrinsic BIq contained in that mPI -gene is copied into a replica (possibly stored in the human mind/HC); (2) the software-hardware BIqua dichotomization in which the primary (“mother”) BIqua splits in two secondary (“daughter”) BIqua ($sBIq$ and $hBIq$); (3) the energy-time splitting of the $hBIq$ producing different BOB-Equa-subcomponents, each with its specific mean lifetime (classical linear time measured as t_{lc} , which is controlled by $sBIqua$) but also a global t_{lc} for all the subcomponents of a BOB; (4) the “particulation” process in which that specific **Equa** (produced from that PIqua) also decomposes into a specific system of particles, each with a specific rest mass ($Mqua$) that moves with a specific speed (v) in a specific time interval.

The biological forces may be also considered fundamental forces of the WU (hypothesis). The PI concept (along with its scalar) has also the potential to generalize/extend the concept of fundamental physical force (**FPF**) as based on a distinct abstract layers of PI-flow internodes (**PIFINS**). The main difference between a BOB and an inert micro/macro-object is that the BOB has additional layers of PIqua flows between its subcomponent QPs (as all QP that compose a BOB have just four layers of PIFINS, a layer for each FF): these additional layers of PIFINS may be named layers of BI-flow internodes (**BIFINS**). As each of the four physical layers of (previously defined) I_{WU} has an FF associated to it, it is convenient to extend the definition of the FPF as a bijection, so that each type of FPF has its own layer of PI-flows (**LPIF**) (different from all the other LPIFs) AND LPIF has its own associated FPF. Using this generalized/extended informational definition of a FPF, we can define additional FPF, one per each layer of BI-flow (**LBIF**). Each of this newly defined FPF may be called a (fundamental) **biological intelligent force (BIF)** with an indexed from 1(organelle) to 7(social) attached to its name and abbreviation: (**BIF1**) the biological organelles LBIF (as viruses have only this LBIF as DNA, RNA and their protective chemical envelopes may be considered subcellular organelles); (**BIF2**) the cellular LBIF (all the unicellular and multicellular organism possess this LBIF); (**BIF3**) the tissular LBIF (only the multicellular organisms possess this LBIF); (**BIF4**) the organic LBIF (only some multicellular organisms possess this LBIF); (**BIF5**) the systemic/apparatus LBIF (only the advanced multicellular organisms possess this LBIF); (**BIF6**) the systemic/apparatus-based organism LBIF (only the advanced multicellular organisms possess this LBIF, including multicellular plants and animals from worms to humans); (**BIF7**) the social organisms LBIF (only the very advanced multicellular organisms possess this LBIF). However, it is also obvious that PI and BI can also move between different layers (this inter-layer PI/BI-flows are essential for the BO survival). The four FPF that act in a BO can also be considered (basic) BIFs, as all the four PIqua of the four FPFs have those (apparently pre-designed, but also possibly randomly selected) specific ratios of their coupling constants that permit BOs to appear and evolve/survive in a specific time subinterval of the t_{WU} as described by the **Fine-tuned universe theories (FTUTs)** including the **Anthropic (Cosmological) Principle (ACP)** [45,46].

The strong quantum gravity possibility. If quantum gravity theory proposed by MT (in which eggs are closed strings that can escape our 4D-brane [spacetime] in additional compact topology dimensions: the 5th, the 6th etc.) will prove to be true, then it is very probable that G to be much larger at microscopic scale (micro / nano / angstrom scales) and it is also very probable that this strong quantum gravity (**SQG**) manifested at these microscopic scale to play a crucial role in the stability/surviving of the BOBs. This (hypothetical) microscopic **SQG** has the potential to change the “warm-wet and noisy” paradigm (possible prejudice) [47] and make quantum coherence existence much more probable and frequent in all the cells (including the neurons from the brain) with potential huge impact on biology and BOB understanding.

The consciousness-intelligence equivalence hypothesis (hypothesis). This BIDUM generalizes the definition of consciousness as synonymous to cosmic intrinsic intelligence (that is stored in the cosmic vacuum which was shown to be a source of **PI** and is probably the source of **BI** too) and all the FPF (including the BIFs) are considered eleven different forms of manifestation of the cosmic intelligence (consciousness), as the

quantity and quality of intelligence can be measured by the no. levels of super-organization of that micro/macro object (a human may have up to eleven layers of PI/BI flows). BO may be considered super-quantum systems governed by five or more FPFs. This BIDUM also proposes a unification of both PI and BI under the name of bio-physical information (**BPI**), as both PI and BI are considered fundamental information (generators of FPFs) and can be both measured in bits/qbits, as I shall argue next. This hypothesis of BIDUM pushes further the newly proposed theories of quantum consciousness, like the Hameroff-Penrose “Orch OR (orchestrated objective reduction)” theory [48,49], in which HC is considered to derive from a “proto-conscious” quantum structure of reality. All the eleven FPF will be named BPI forces/fields (**BPIFs**): four **FPFs** and seven **BIFs**.

BIFs versus FPFs. The seven BIFs are superior to the first four (classical) FFs as they coordinate all four FPFs (that also act in/on EPs) so that to maximize the mean lifetime of those EPs (as this is the main target of all types and levels of biological memory and volition). Apparently, BIFs coordinates only the EGF and EMF in an EP (as WNF and SNF don't have an important time-transverse role, BUT they have a very important time-longitudinal role as nuclear stability of the atoms that compose an EP is vital for the stability/survival of that SP/EP, as SNF and WNF nodes and internodes are a “quantum skeletal system” of any chemical structure of a BOB). The fact that BIFs coordinate EMF and EGF inside a SP/EP efficiently to increase the mean lifetime of that SP/EP (by “fighting” any SNF/WNF “side effects”) is another argument for the informational superiority (as a coordinator) of a BIF.

BIFs can offer an elegant explanation to the hierarchy problem in physics. The seven BIFs/LBIFs fill the huge gap between the EMF and EGF (as EGF has ~ 40 orders of magnitude less than the EMF) and can offer an unexpected elegant PI-based explanation of the so-called “hierarchy problem” in physics by cancelling the “huge” character of this apparent “gap”, as any BO has the capacity to transform an SNF/WNF/EMF stimulus into a EGF response and vice versa (except that apparently only humans have the capacity to manipulate volitionally the SNF and WNF) and to coordinate the four FPFs that act in a EP simultaneously to BIFs. The “PI-power” that the seven BIFs have on the four FPFs is huge as the seven BIFs have managed to create a biosphere (**BS**) that is extended at a scale of $\sim 10^7$ meters (\sim the equatorial diameter of the Earth) a **BS** which permanently integrates the information of the four FPF (by converting any type of PI to any type of BI and vice versa) in order to keep its stability and survival on the planet. Additionally, our **BS** has the potential capacity to fill with life forms (at least) a significant part of our solar system (using human BO as a vector of spreading) which makes BS extendable to scale of $\sim 10^{13}$ m (\sim the equatorial diameter of our Solar System) in the distant future.

BIDUM also offer a global unified explanation for the process of BOB-aging. The process of BOB-aging is very complex and although it has more than 100 plausible explanations (which are all valid, in part), its main profound double-cause is that: (1) the finite BOM loses bits/qbits (progressively) from its initial total intrinsic BIq (as its error-correcting algorithms cannot be 100% efficient on a long term), (2) the finite BOB also loses bits/qbits (progressively) from its initial total intrinsic BIq because its error-correcting algorithms cannot be 100% efficient on long term AND because the efficiency of BI-transferring (from an old atom excreted from the BOB to a new atom integrated in the BOB for a specific interval) cannot be 100% on a long term. The degree of BOB-aging is also strongly related to the progressive decrease of the average content of water in a BOB (which may be explained by the fact that water has the propriety to conserve a BOB by keeping the BI-transfer efficiency from one atom to another as close to 100% as possible).

QPs can also be considered (non-living) physical observers (PO) (hypothesis). In this BIDUM, the act of observing is defined as the capacity of a BI/PI-system (software and hardware) to: (1) absorb (and not necessarily to search) a specific (pre-programmed) spectrum of PI/BIqua from the EP and non-EP-WU, (2) analyze those PI/BIqua (by comparing it with its (other) intrinsic BI/PIqua stored in its memory or in its EP/non-EP environment) and (3) generate (by an analysis-synthesis algorithm) and answer/react to that analyzed BI/PIqua. BIDUM considers very plausible that QPs are in fact PI-microchips (software stored on a micro-hardware) that have the (pre-programmed) capacity to observe intrinsic/extrinsic PI/BIqua, so that QPs may be also considered [non-living] physical observers [**PO**]). In this way, BIDUM tries to unify the BO and PO concepts in a new generalized biophysical observer (**BPO**) concept, analogously to the unified BPI and BPIF concepts.

The superposition between the global PIq and the global BIq – WU may be considered the EP of our biosphere. In BIDUM, software and intelligence are considered synonyms and are considered inherent to both global PIqua and global BIqua. If a complex extended network of BOs will exist in a specific (finite) linear time interval of the t_{WU} and on a finite but sufficiently large nof. planets (spread in the entire WU) as a global WU biosphere, then a significant degree of superposition between the global PIqua ($\mathbf{I}_{t_{WU}}$) and the global BIqua ($\mathbf{B}_{t_{WU}}$) can be considered: the proved fact (by ^{14}C composition studies) that biosphere is $\sim 4 \cdot 10^9$ years old, imposes the idea that our biosphere has a life span of at least $\sim 1/3 t_{WU}$ which is a significant part of the t_{WU} interval. It's obvious that complex and diverse global BI-software needs a suitable BI-hardware, both complex and diverse (like our Earth BS is). As our BS can receive signals even from the margins of the WU, can take decisions and also emit signals to all the WU, the entire WU can be considered the potential EP of our BS.

Pre-final checkpoint-conclusion of the BIDUM: The BPI unified scalar definition (combined with the BPIF generalization of the FF concept, the mPI-gene hypothesis and the unified BPO) have the potential to integrate biology (as the science about BOs) in any (informational) BIDUM-like TOE.

Part 6. References (listed in the order of their apparition in this paper)

- [1] Bekenstein, J. D. (2003). "Information in the Holographic Universe". *Scientific American*, Volume 289, Number 2, August 2003, p. 61 (URLs: [1] phys.huji.ac.il/~bekenste/Holographic_Univ.pdf; [2] ref-sciam.livejournal.com/1190.html)
- [2] Knott C.G. (1911). "Quote from undated letter from Maxwell to Tait". *Life and Scientific Work of Peter Guthrie Tait*. Cambridge University Press. p. 215 (URL: todayinsci.com/M/Maxwell_James/MaxwellJames-Quotations.htm)
- [3] Bain J. (2014). "Boltzmann Entropy, Gibbs Entropy, Shannon Information" (Physics, Information, and Computation Course) (Lecture Slides) (URLs: [1] s.poly.edu/~jbain/physinfocomp/lectures/03.BoltzGibbsShannon.pdf; [2] ls.poly.edu/~jbain/physinfocomp/)
- [4] Shannon C.E. (1948). "A Mathematical Theory of Communication". *The Bell System Technical Journal*, Vol. 27, pp. 379–423, 623–656, July, October, 1948. (URLs: [1- corrected reprint] worrydream.com/refs/Shannon%20-%20A%20Mathematical%20Theory%20of%20Communication.pdf; [2] essrl.wustl.edu/~jao/itrq/shannon.pdf; [3] onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x/abstract;jsessionid=81AB9A068E10529BAB56257E9E939BA0.f02t03; [4] dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=584093; [5- additionally connected article] web.mit.edu/6.933/www/Fall2001/Shannon1.pdf; [6- additionally connected article] eecs.berkeley.edu/~christos/classics/shannon-report.pdf)
- [5] Toyabe S. et al. (2010). "Information heat engine: converting information to energy by feedback control" (URL: arxiv.org/abs/1009.5287)
- [6] Wheeler J.A. (1989). "Information, physics, quantum: the search for links" (essay) (Reproduced from Proc. 3rd Int. Symp. Foundations of Quantum Mechanics, Tokyo, 1989, pp.354-368) (URL: jawarchive.files.wordpress.com/2012/03/informationquantumphysics.pdf)
- [7] Foschini L. (2013). "Where the "it from bit" come from?" (URL: arxiv.org/abs/1306.0545)
- [8] Drăgoi A.L. (2008-2016). "A Bio-Info-Digital Universe Model (BIDUM version 1.1) based on a series of Planck-like informational constants and using the hypothetical gravitonic qubit as the basic unit of the (bio)physical information" (URL: dragoi.com/bidum1.1_full.pdf). DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.2747.9927. ORDA registration number: 2471/24.03.2016. (URL: orda.ro/cautare_cerere.aspx?mid=1&rid=1&cerere=2471)
- [9] Godfrey-Smith P. (2007). "Information in biology". *The Cambridge Guide to the Philosophy of Biology*, ed. David L. Hull and Michael Ruse, pages 103-119. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (URLs: [1] https://dash.harvard.edu/bitstream/handle/1/3191013/Godfrey_InformationBiology.pdf?sequence=2; [2] <http://plato.stanford.edu/entries/information-biological/>)
- [10] Shaver C. (2008, 2009). "An Exploration of the Cantor Set". James and Elizabeth Monahan Summer Research Fellowship - Summer 2008; MT4960: Mathematics Seminar - Spring 2009 (URL: missouriwestern.edu/orgs/momaa/ChrisShaver-CantorSetPaper4.pdf)
- [11] Beringer, J. et al. (2012). "2012 Review of Particle Physics - Gauge and Higgs Bosons" (PDF). *Physical Review D* 86: 1. Bibcode:2012PhRvD..86a0001B (URL: adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012PhRvD..86a0001B); doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001 (URL: pdg.lbl.gov/2013/listings/rpp2013-list-w-boson.pdf). (URL: journals.aps.org/prd/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.010001)
- [12] Olive, K.A. et al. (Particle Data Group) (2014). *Chin. Phys.* C38, 090001 (URL: pdg.lbl.gov/2014/tables/rpp2014-sum-gauge-higgs-bosons.pdf)
- [13] Mohr P.J., Taylor B.N. and Newell D.B. (2011). "The 2010 CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants". National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, US. (Web Version 6.0). This database was developed by J. Baker, M. Douma, and S. Kotochigova (URL: physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Value?mpc2mev|search_for=proton+mass)
- [14] LBNE Collaboration (2013, 2014). "The Long-Baseline Neutrino Experiment: Exploring Fundamental Symmetries of the Universe", page 41 (URL: arxiv.org/abs/1307.7335)
- [15] Kolešová H., Malinský M., Mede T. (2015). "Theoretical Uncertainties in Proton Lifetime Estimates". (URL: arxiv.org/abs/1510.05611)
- [16] Mohr P.J., Taylor B.N. and Newell D.B. (2011). "The 2010 CODATA Recommended Values of the Fundamental Physical Constants". National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, US (URL: physics.nist.gov/cgi-bin/cuu/Value?mec2mev|search_for=electron+mass)
- [17] Agostini M. et al. (Borexino Coll.) (2015). "Test of Electric Charge Conservation with Borexino". *Physical Review Letters* 115 (23): 231802 (URL: arxiv.org/abs/1509.01223)
- [18] Acke A. (November 5, 2009). "Gravitation and Electromagnetism: Introduction to the Theory of Informatons" (URL: [gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/%7B\\$cat_name%7D/View/1694](http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/%7B$cat_name%7D/View/1694))
- [19] Acke A. (October 31, 2012). "Gravitation Explained by the Theory of Informatons" (URL: [gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/%7B\\$cat_name%7D/View/4347](http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/%7B$cat_name%7D/View/4347))
- [20] Acke, Antoine (January 26, 2013). "Electromagnetism Explained by the Theory of Informatons" (URL: [gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/%7B\\$cat_name%7D/View/4493](http://gsjournal.net/Science-Journals/%7B$cat_name%7D/View/4493))
- [21] Lloyd S. (2001). "Computational capacity of the universe" (URL: arxiv.org/pdf/quant-ph/0110141v1.pdf)
- [22] Bekenstein J.D. (1981). "Universal upper bound on the entropy-to-energy ratio for bounded systems". *Physical Review D*, Vol. 23, No. 2, (January 15, 1981), pp. 287-298 (URLs: [1] phys.huji.ac.il/~bekenste/PRD23-287-1981.pdf; [2] webcitation.org/5pvt5c96N)
- [23] Bekenstein J.D. (2005). "How Does the Entropy/Information Bound Work?". *Foundations of Physics*, Vol. 35, No. 11 (November 2005), pp. 1805-1823 (URL: arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0404042)
- [24] Tipler F.J. (2005). "The structure of the world from pure numbers". *Reports on Progress in Physics*, Vol. 68, No. 4 (April 2005), pp. 897-964 (URL: [also released on ArXiv as: "Feynman-Weinberg Quantum Gravity and the Extended Standard Model as a Theory of Everything"] arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0404042)
- [25] Kragh H. (1999-02-22). "Chapter 5. Cosmology and Controversy: The Historical Development of Two Theories of the Universe". Princeton University Press. p. 212. ISBN 0-691-00546-X (URL: books.google.ro/books?id=GhVikQwv9ZesC&pg=PA212&hl=ro#v=onepage&q&f=false)
- [26] Valev D. (2009, 2010). "Determination of total mechanical energy of the universe within the framework of Newtonian mechanics" URL: [1] arxiv.org/abs/0909.2726; [2] researchgate.net/publication/261319911_Estimations_of_total_mass_and_energy_of_the_observable_universe)

- [27] Tegmark Max (2007). "The Mathematical Universe" (URL: arxiv.org/abs/0704.0646)
- [28] Richard Feynman (11 December 1965). "Nobel Lecture". Nobel Foundation. (URL: nobelprize.org/nobel_prizes/physics/laureates/1965/feynman-lecture.html)
- [29] Curtright T.L., Zachos C. K. (2011). "Quantum Mechanics in Phase Space" (URLs: [1] arxiv.org/abs/1104.5269; [2] www.physics.miami.edu/~curtright/QMPSIntro.pdf)
- [30] Ma X., Kofler J., Zeilinger A. (2014). "Delayed-choice gedanken experiments and their realizations" (URL: arxiv.org/abs/1407.2930)
- [31] Peruzzo A., Shadbolt P. J., Brunner N., Popescu S., O'Brien J.L. (2012) "A quantum delayed choice experiment" (URL: arxiv.org/abs/1205.4926)
- [32] Manning A. G., Khakimov R. I., Dall R. G., Truscott A. G. (2015). "Wheeler's delayed-choice gedanken experiment with a single atom". Nature Physics 11, 539–542 (2015) (URL: nature.com/nphys/journal/v11/n7/full/nphys3343.html)
- [33] Bostrom N. (2003). "Are you living in a computer simulation?". Philosophical Quarterly (2003) Vol. 53, No. 211, pages 243–255 (URL: simulation-argument.com/simulation.html)
- [34] Nasir A. and Caetano-Anollés G. (2015). "A phylogenomic data-driven exploration of viral origins and evolution". Science Advances, September 2015 (URL: advances.sciencemag.org/content/1/8/e1500527.full)
- [35] Dawkins R. (1989). "The Extended Phenotype". Oxford University Press. ISBN 0-19-288051-9. (URL: web.natur.cuni.cz/filosof/markos/Publikace/Dawkins%20extended.pdf)
- [36] Prigogine I. and Lefever R. (1973). "Theory of Dissipative Structures". Chapter Synergetics, pp 124-135 (URL: link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F978-3-663-01511-6_10)
- [37] Pulselli R.M. and Simoncini E. (2009). "Self-organization in dissipative structures: A thermodynamic theory for the emergence of prebiotic cells and their epigenetic evolution". Biosystems, Volume 96, Issue 3, June 2009, Pages 237–241. (URL: sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0303264709000331)
- [38] Pulselli R.M. and Simoncini E. (2009). "Introduction to the Theory of Infinite-Dimensional Dissipative Systems". Book. ISBN: 966–7021–64–5 (URL: emis.de/monographs/Chueshov/book.pdf)
- [39] Aebersold P.C. (1953). "Radioisotopes—New Keys to Knowledge", The Annual Report of the Smithsonian Institution, p. 232 (URL: sites.harvard.edu/fs/docs/icb.topic765092.files/Radioisotopes.pdf)
- [40] Hodgins G.W.L. (2009). "Measuring atomic bomb-derived 14C levels in human remains to determine Year of Birth" (URL: ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/227839.pdf)
- [41] Bergmann O. et al. (2009). "Evidence for cardiomyocyte renewal in humans". Science. 2009 Apr 3;324(5923):98-102. (URL: ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19342590)
- [42] Bhardwaj R.D., Curtis M. A., Spalding K. L., et al. (2006). "Neocortical neurogenesis in humans is restricted to development". Proceedings of the National Academy of Science 103 (33):12564-12568 (URL: pnas.org/content/103/33/12564.full)
- [43] Lynnerup N., Kjeldsen H., Heegaard S., Jacobsen C., Heinemeier J. (2008). "Radiocarbon Dating of the Human Eye Lens Crystallines Reveal Proteins without Carbon Turnover throughout Life". PLoS One 1: 1-3. (URL: journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0001529)
- [44] Spalding K.L., Bhardwaj R.D., Buchholz B.A., Druid H. and Frisé J. (2005a). "Retrospective Birth Dating of Cells. Humans Cell 122(1):133-143. (URL: sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0092867405004083)
- [45] Barrow J.D. and Tipler F.J. (1988). "The Anthropic Cosmological Principle". Oxford University Press. ISBN 978-0-19-282147-8. LCCN 87028148". Oxford University Press. ISBN 0-19-288051-9. (URL: onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1467-9744.1988.tb00624.x/abstract)
- [46] Stenger V.J.(?). "The Anthropic Principle". For The Encyclopedia of Nonbelief to be published by Prometheus Books (URL: [1] colorado.edu/philosophy/vstenger/Cosmo/ant_encyc.pdf; [2] colorado.edu/philosophy/vstenger/Cosmo/FineTune.pdf; Additional URLs: [1] stephenjaygould.org/ctrl/stenger_intel.html; [2] talkorigins.org/faqs/cosmo.html)
- [47] Tegmark, M. (1999, 2000). "The importance of quantum decoherence in brain processes". Phys. Rev. E 61, 4194. (URL: arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9907009)
- [48] Hameroff S. and Penrose R. (2014). "Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory". Physics of Life Reviews Volume 11, Issue 1, March 2014, Pages 39–78. (URL: sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1571064513001188; Additional URL: elsevier.com/about/press-releases/research-and-journals/discovery-of-quantum-vibrations-in-microtubules-inside-brain-neurons-corroborates-controversial-20-year-old-theory-of-consciousness)
- [49] Tarlaci, S. and Pregolato M. (2015). "Quantum neurophysics: From non-living matter to quantum neurobiology and psychopathology". International Journal of Psychophysiology (article in press) (URL: psy.unipd.it/~tressold/cmssimple/uploads/Tarlasi%20Pregolato_15.pdf)