Intern. J. Fuzzy Mathematical Archive
Vol. 9, No. 1, 2015, 105-110
ISSN: 2320 –3242 (P), 2320 –3250 (online)
Published on 8 October 2015
www.researchmathsci.org

International Journal of
Fuzzy Mathematical Archive

Smarandache Soft-Neutrosophic-Near Ring and Soft-Neutrosophic Bi-Ideal

***N.Kannappa*[1] and *B.Fairosekani*[2]**

[1]Department of Mathematics, T.B.M.L.College
Porayar-609307, Tamil Nadu, India, Email : sivaguru91@yahoo.com
[2]Department of Mathematics, T.B.M.L.College
Porayar-609307, Tamil Nadu, India, Email: fairosemaths@gamil.com

Received 16 September 2014; accepted 2 October 2014

Abstract. In this paper, we introduced Samarandache-2-algebraic structure of Soft Neutrosophic Near-ring namely Smarandache–Soft Neutrosophic Near-ring. A Samarandache-2-algebraic structure on a set N means a weak algebraic structure S_1 on N such that there exist a proper subset M of N, Which is embedded with a stronger algebraic structure S_2, stronger algebraic structure means satisfying more axioms, that is $S_1 << S_2$,by proper subset one can understand a subset different from the empty set, from the unit element if any , from the Whole set. We define Smarandache-Soft Neutrosophic Near-ring and obtain the some of its characterization through bi-ideals.

Keywords: Soft neutrosophic near-ring, soft neutrosophic near-field, smarandache -soft neutrosophic near- ring, soft neutrosophic bi-ideals

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 46C20, 15A09

1. Introduction

In order that, New notions are introduced in algebra to better study the congruence in number theory by Florentinsmarandache [2]. By <proper subset> of a set A we consider a set P included in A, and different from A, different from empty set, and from the unit element in A-if any they rank the algebraic structures using an order relationship:
They say that the algebraic structures $S_1 << S_2$ if: both are defined on the same set; all S_1 laws are also S_2 laws; all axioms of an S_1 law are accomplished by the corresponding S_2 law; S_2 law accomplish strictly more axioms that S_1 laws, or S_2 has more laws than S_1.
For example: Semi group <<Monoid<<group<< ring<<field, or Semi group << to commutative semi group, ring << unitary ring etc. They define a general special structure to be a structure SM on a set A, different from a structure SN, such that a proper subset of A is a structure, where SM <<SN. In addition we have published [6,7,8].

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. Let $\langle NUI \rangle$ be a neutrosophic near-ring and (F, A) be a soft set over $\langle NUI \rangle$.Then (F, A) is called soft neutrosophic near-ring if and only if $F(a)$ is a neutrosophic sub near-ring of $\langle NUI \rangle$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.2. Let $K(I) = \langle KUI \rangle$ be a neutrosophic near-field and let (F, A) be a soft set over $K(I)$. Then (F, A) is said to be soft neutrosophic near-field if and only if $F(a)$ is a neutrosophic sub near-field of $K(I)$ for all $a \in A$.

Definition 2.3. Let (F,A) be a soft neutrosophic zero symmetric near-ring over $\langle N \cup I \rangle$, which contains a distributive element $F(a_1) \neq 0$ .Then (F,A) is a near-field if and only if for each
$F(a) \neq 0$ in (F,A), (F,A)F(a) = (F,A) .

Now we have introduced our basic concept, called smarandache–soft neutrosophic–near ring.

Definition 2.4. A Soft neutrosophic –near ring is said to be Smarandache –soft neutrosophic –near ring, if a proper subset of it is a soft neutrosophic –near field with respect to the same induced operations.

Definition 2.5. Let (F, A) be a Smarandache - soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$. The two subsets (H,A) and (G,A) of (F,A) the product is defined as
(H,A)(G,A) = { $H(a_1)$ G(a) / $H(a_1)$ in (H,A), G(a) in (G,A) }.
Also we define another operation " *" on the class of subsets of (F,A) given by
(H,A) * (G,A) = { $H(a_1)$ ($H(a_2)$ + G(a)) - $H(a_1)$ $H(a_2)$ / $H(a_1)$, $H(a_2)$ in (H,A) , G(a) in (G,A)}, where (H,A) is a proper subset of (F,A) ,which is a Soft Neutrosophic near-field.

Definition 2.6. Let (F,A) be a Smarandache - soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$,then a subgroup (L_B,A) of ((F,A),+) is said to be a Soft Neutrosophic Bi –ideal of (F,A) if $(L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) \cap ((L_B,A)(F,A)) * (L_B,A) \subseteq (L_B,A)$.

3. Preliminary results on soft Neutrosophic bi-ideals
Here we obtain certain results for our future use.

Proposotion 3.1. If (L_B,A) be a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of a Smarandache-soft Neutrosophic-near ring (F,A) over $\langle NUI \rangle$ and (F_1,A) is a Smarandache-soft Neutrosophic sub near ring of (F,A) , then $(L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A)$ is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F_1,A).
Proof: Since (F,A) be a Smarandache -soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$, then by definition, there exists a proper subset (H,A), which is Soft neutrosophic near field.
Since (L_B,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A),
$(L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) \cap ((L_B,A)(F,A)) * (L_B,A) \subseteq (L_B,A)$,
let $(L_{B_1},A) = (L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A)$,
now $(L_{B_1},A)(F_1,A)(L_{B_1},A) \cap ((L_{B_1},A)(F_1,A) * (L_{B_1},A) = ((L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A)) (F_1,A)$
$((L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A)) \cap (((L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A))(F_1,A)) * ((L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A))$
$\subseteq (L_B,A)(F_1,A)(L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A) \cap ((L_B,A)(F_1,A)) * (L_B,A)$
$\subseteq (L_B,A) \cap (F_1,A) = (L_{B_1},A)$.Hence (L_{B_1},A) is a Soft neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F_1,A).

Proposition 3.2. Let (F,A) be a Smarandache- soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$,which is zerosymmetric.A subgroup (L_B,A) of (F,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal if and only if $(L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) \subseteq (L_B,A)$.

Proof: Since (F,A) be a Smarandache - soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$, then by definition, there exists a proper subset (H,A),which is Soft neutrosophic near field.

For a subgroup (L_B,A) of $((F,A),+)$, if $(L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) \subseteq (L_B,A)$, then (L_B,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A).

Conversly, if (L_B,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal, we have

$(L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) \cap ((L_B,A)(F,A)) * (L_B,A)) \subseteq (L_B,A)$,

since (F,A) is Soft Neutrosophic zero symmetric near ring, $(F,A)\ (L_B,A) \subseteq (F,A) * (L_B,A)$, we get

$(L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) = (L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) \cap (L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A)$

$\subseteq (L_B,A)(F,A)(L_B,A) \cap ((L_B,A)\ (F,A)) * (L_B,A) \subseteq (L_B,A)$.

Proposition 3.3. Let (F,A) be a Smarandache-soft Neutrosophic near–ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$, which is zero symmetric. If (L_B,A) is a Soft neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A), then $(L_B,A)H(n_1)$ and $H(n_2)(L_B,A)$ are Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideals of (F,A) , where $H(n_1)$, $H(n_2)$ in (H,A) and $H(n_2)$ is distributive element in (H,A), where (H,A) is a proper subset of (F,A), which is a Soft Neutrosophic near-field.

Proof: Clearly $(L_B,A)H(n_1)$ is a subgroup of ((F,A),+) and

$(L_B,A)H(n_1)\ (H,A)\ (L_B,A)H(n_1) \subseteq (L_B,A)\ (H,A)\ (L_B,A)H(n_1) \subseteq (L_B,A)H(n_1)$,

we get $(L_B,A)\ H(n_1)$ is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A).

Again $H(n_2)\ (L_B,A)$ is a subgroup since $H(n_2)$is distributive in (H,A) and

$H(n_2)\ (L_B,A)\ (H,A)\ H(n_2)\ (L_B,A) \subseteq H(n_2)\ (L_B,A)(H,A)(L_B,A) \subseteq H(n_1)\ (L_B,A)$.

Thus $H(n_2)(L_B,A)$ is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A).

Corollary 3.1. If (L_B,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of a Smarandache-soft neutrosophic-near ring (F,A) over$\langle NUI \rangle$ and $L_B(a)$ is a distributive element in (F,A), then $L_B(a)\ (L_B,A)\ H(a)$ is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A),where H(a) in (H,A), where (H,A) is a proper subset of (F,A), which is a Soft Neutrosophic near-field.

4. Minimal soft neutrosophic bi-ideals and soft neutrosophic near field

Definition 4.1. A Smarandache - soft Neutrosophic near –ring (F,A) over $\langle NUI \rangle$ is said to be L_B-simple if it has no proper Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideals.

In this section we obtain a characterization of Smarandache- soft neutrosophic near-ring using Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideals.

Lemma 4.1. Let (F,A) be a Smarandache- soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$ with more than one element .Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) (H,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic near-field,

(ii) (H,A) is L_B - simple, $H(d) \neq \{0\}$ and for $0 \neq H(n_1)$ in (H,A) there exists an element $H(n_2)$ of (H,A) such that $H(n_2)H(n_1) \neq 0$.

where (H,A) is a proper subset of (F,A), which is a Soft Neutrosophic near-field.

Proof: (i)⇒(ii) If (H,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic near -field, then {0} and (H,A) are the only Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideals of (H,A).For if $0 \neq (L_B,A)$ is a Soft neutrosophic bi-

ideal of (H,A) , then , for 0≠ L_B(a) in (L_B,A) we get (H,A) = (H,A)L_B(a) and (H,A) = L_B(a)(H,A). Now
(H,A) = $(H,A)^2$ = (L_B(a)(H,A)) (H,A)L_B(a)) ⊆ L_B(a)(H,A)L_B(a) ⊆ (L_B,A),since (L_B,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (H,A). ie.(H,A) = (L_B,A).Hence (H,A) is L_B - simple and the identity element in (H,A) satisfies the required condition.
(ii)⇒(i)
Since H(d)≠{0} we get (H,A) is not constant. We know that H(0) is a Soft neutrosophic bi-ideal of (H,A) and since (H,A) is L_B - simple we get (H,A) = H(0). Let 0≠H(n_1) in (H,A), by proposition 3, (H,A)H(n_1) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (H,A) and 0≠ H(n_2) H(n_1) in (H,A)H(n_1) for some H(n_2) in (H,A). Hence (H,A)H(n_1) = (H,A).
Therefore we have (H,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic near field.

Theorem 4.1. If a minimal (F,A)-subgroup (H_{min},A) of a Smarandache-Soft Neutrosophic zero symmetric near ring (F,A) over $\langle NUI \rangle$ which is zerosymmetric has a non-zero distributive idempotent element H(e), then H(e) (H_{min} ,A) is a Multiplicative subgroup of (F,A). Moreover it is a minimal Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A).
Proof: Since (F,A) be a Smarandache -soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$, then by definition, there exists a proper subset (H,A), which is Soft neutrosophic near field.
By Proposition 3, H(e) (H_{min} ,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A).
Clearly H(e) is a left identity for H(e)(H_{min},A). If H(e)H_{min} (a)≠ 0, for some H_{min} (a) in (H_{min},A), then the non-zero (H_{min} ,A) (H(e)H_{min}(a)) is a (F,A) - subgroup of (F,A) and also (H_{min} ,A) (H(e) H_{min}(a)) ⊆ (H_{min},A). Thus we get (H_{min} ,A) (H(e)H_{min}(a)) = (H_{min}, A), which implies that (H(e)(H_{min} ,A)) (H(e)H_{min}(a)) = H(e)(H_{min},A), i.e. the non-zero element H(e)H_{min}(a) has a left inverse H(e)H(t) such that (H(e)H(t)) (H(e)H_{min}(a)) = H(e).Hence the non – zero elements of H(e)(H_{min},A) from a multiplicative subgroup of (H,A). We have that H(e)(H_{min},A) is a Soft Neutrosophic near field.

Now H(e)(H_{min},A) ⊆ H(0). If (L_{B_1},A) is a Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A) such that {0}≠(L_{B_1},A) ⊆ H(e)(H_{min},A), then (L_{B_1},A) (H(e)(H_{min},A)) (L_{B_1},A) ⊆ (L_{B_1},A)(F,A)(L_{B_1},A)⊂ (L_{B_1},A), which implies that (L_{B_1},A) is Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of H(e) (H_{min},A).

But H(e) (H_{min},A) is a Soft neutrosophic near field and so by lemma 1, we get H(e)(H_{min},A) is L_B-simple. Hence, H(e)(H_{min},A)=(L_{B_1},A). i.e. H(e)(H_{min},A) is a minimal Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal of (F,A).

Lemma 4.2. If a Minimal Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal (L_B,A) of Smarandache -soft neutrosophic near ring (F,A) over $\langle NUI \rangle$ which is zero symmetric contains a distributive element L_B(a) such that L_B(a) is neither a left zero divisor nor right zero divisor ,then (F,A) must have a two-sided identity.
Proof: Since (F,A) be a Smarandache -soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$.
Then by definition (H,A) is a proper subset of (F,A), which is a Soft Neutrosophic near-field.

Clearly $(L_B(a))^3$≠ 0 and $(L_B(a))^3$ in L_B(a)(F,A)L_B(a) ⊆ (L_B,A). By corollary 4, L_B(a)(F,A)L_B(a) is a Soft Neutrosophicbi-ideal of (F,A) and L_B(a)(F,A)L_B(a) = (L_B,A), since (L_B,A) is minimal.Therefore L_B(a) = L_B(a)F(a)L_B(a) for some F(a) in (F,A).
For F(x),F(y) in (F,A) ,we have F(x) = F(x)L_B(a)F(a) and F(y) = F(a)L_B(a)F(y) ,

Since $L_B(a)$ is neither a left zero divisor nor a right zero divisor.
i.e. $F(a)L_B(a)$ and $L_B(a)F(a)$ are left and right identities for (F,A) respectively and hence $F(a) L_B(a) = L_B(a)F(a)$ is the required identity element in (F,A)

Now we prove the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 4.2. Let (F,A) be a Smarandache-soft neutrosophic near ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$,which is zerosymmetric. Then (H,A) is a Soft Neutrosophicnear field if and only if (H,A) has a distributive element which is neither a left zero divisor nor a right zero divisor and which is contained in a minimal Soft Neutrosophicbi-ideal (L_B,A) of (F,A).

Proof: Since (F,A) be a Smarandache -soft Neutrosophic near –ring over $\langle NUI \rangle$.
Then by definition (H,A) is a proper subset of (F,A), which is a Soft Neutrosophic near-field. If (H,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic near field ,then (H,A) itself is a minimal Soft Neutrosophic bi-ideal satisfying the required conditions.

Conversely, let (L_B,A) be a minimal Soft Neutrosophicbi-ideal of (F,A) containing a distributive element H(d) which is neither a left nor a right zero divisor .
By lemma 3, (H,A) contains a identity H(e).
Again by corollary 4, $H(d)^2 (H,A) H(d)^2$ is a Soft Neutrosophicbi–ideal and $0 \neq H(d)^2 (H,A) H(d)^2 \subseteq (L_B,A)(H,A)(L_B,A) \subseteq (L_B,A)$.
Since (L_B,A) is a minimal we get $(L_B,A) = H(d)^2 (H,A) H(d)^2$.
Now H(d) in $(L_B,A) = H(d)^2 (H,A) H(d)^2$ implies that $H(d) = H(n)H(d)^2$ for some H(n) in (H,A).

But $H(d) = H(e)H(d)$ and so $H(e) = H(n)H(d)$
i.e. H(e) in (H,A)H(d). Similarly we get H(e) in H(d)(H,A).
Therefore $H(e) = H(e)^2$ in $(H(d)(H,A))\ ((H,A)H(d)) \subseteq H(d)\ (H,A)\ H(d) \subseteq (L_B,A)$, whence $(H,A) = H(e)(H,A)H(e) \subseteq (L_B,A)\ (H,A)(L_B,A) \subseteq (L_B,A)$, that is $(H,A) = (L_B,A)$.

This relation and minimality of (L_B,A) implies that (H,A) is L_B - simple and so (H,A) is a Soft Neutrosophic near –field by lemma 1.

Acknowledgement. The authors acknowledge their thanks to Professor Florentin Smarandache for valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. F.Smarandache, Special algebraic structures, University of Maxico, Craiova,1983.
2. G.Pilz, Nearrings, North Holland, American Research Press, Amsterdam,1983.
3. Md. Shabir, M.Ali, M.Naz and F.Smarandache, Soft neutrosophic group, *Neutrosophic Sets and System*,1 (2013) 13-17.
4. M.Ali, F.Smarandache, Md.Shabir and M.Naz, Soft neutrosophic ring and Soft neutrosophic field, *Neutrosophic Sets and System*, 3 (2014) 53-59.
5. M.Ali, F.Smarandache, Md. Shabir and Luigevladareanu, Generalization of neutrsophic rings and neutrosophic fields, *Neutrosophic Sets and System*, 5 (2014) 9-14.
6. N.Kannappa and B.Fairosekani, On some characterization of Smarandache –Soft Neutrosophic-near ring, *Jamal Academic Research Journal,* (2015) 11-13.

7. N.Kannappa and B.Fairosekani, Some equivalent conditions of Smarandache Soft Neutrosophic near ring, *Neutrosophic Sets and System,* 8 92015) 60-65.
8. N.Kannappa and B.Fairosekani, Smarandache soft neutrosophic near ring and soft neutrosophic ideal, *International journal of scientific Research Engineering &Technology,* 4(7) (2015) 749-752.
9. T.Ramaraj and N.Kannappa, On bi-ideals of Smarandache -near-rings *Acta Ciencia Indica,* XXXIM(3) (2005) 731-733.
10. T.Ramaraj and N.Kannappa, On finite Smarandache -near-rings *Scientis Magna*, I(2) (2005) 49-51.
11. T.Ramaraj and N.Kannappa, On six equivalent conditions of Smarandache-near-rings, *Pure and Applied Mathamathika Science,* LXVI(1-2) (2007) 87-91.
12. T.Tamil Chelvam and N.Ganeasan, On bi-ideals of near-ring, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Maths.*, 18(11) 91987) 1002-1005.