

PHYSICS BY DESIGN SA JEFFREY

MY SCIENCE THESIS

TAKE EINSTEIN TO INFINITY

Stephen A. Jeffrey

iUniverse, Inc.
New York Lincoln Shanghai

**My Science Thesis
Take Einstein to Infinity**

All Rights Reserved © 2003 by Stephen A. Jeffrey

No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, graphic, electronic, or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, taping, or by any information storage retrieval system, without the written permission of the publisher.

iUniverse, Inc.

For information address:
iUniverse
2021 Pine Lake Road, Suite 100
Lincoln, NE 68512
www.iuniverse.com

ISBN: 0-595-29901-6

Printed in the United States of America

To Janelle and Danella.

Telephone to glory.

Telephone to glory.

Oh what joy divine.

You can hear the angels singing on the line.

You can talk to Jesus on the royal telephone

Table of Contents

Preface	3
Introduction	5
God Proved Right	9
Einstein Proved Wrong	22
Solving energy problems with THE CREATION EQUATION	26
How To Build A Better Atomic Bomb	35
Evolution and the number 666	69

Preface

This book is something from another world. And if that were true. Then it would be something that would be interesting. But it is not from another world. It is from someone who has faith. That God can still speak today. And that is just a religious fanatic. God and science are two separate things. And they are not to be discussed at the dinner table. Especially when God and science are not two separate things. When they the same. So don't think you will find all the answers. Because the creator may not be that kind of person. If He gave you all the answers. You couldn't understand them. And you may not understand this book. Because it is by a science student who believes in God. And maybe there are answers for people who believe. And there really are. People who believe may think there are answers to everything. And they won't be right. There are some answers. That do make sense.

But for the non-believer there are answers too. There is the oldest answer of all that everything is $2+2=4$. And if you reject the answer the creator gives.

You will have to believe the answer that everything came about by chance.

But after reading this book. You will always wonder what it would have been like if you had decided to believe in creation.

My science thesis.

“Take Einstein to Infinity”

Introduction

This is my science thesis. Take Einstein to infinity. And that is what it is all about. Just what do I mean by Take Einstein to infinity. Isn't $E=MC^2$ already infinity. That is our question. That has to be answered. Is $E=MC^2$ infinity. Because if it is. Then what is the equation for $E=MC^2$. Because everybody wants another equation. One that will prove what happened at the beginning. And that want an equation that will show more than that. That will show that everything is one thing. So seeing this is my science thesis. I can come up with an equation. One that will prove that everything is really three. Because that is what I'm asked to do. When you want me to prove that everything is one. It is like the old joke what did the Buddhist say to the hot dog vendor. Make me one with everything. And I still laugh at that. Because it is serious there is a reason to want one equation. One that will be the answer. And the idea of two equations doesn't appeal to anyone. Because one will be right and one won't be. And that isn't what we expect. We expect one equation that is the right one. And so maybe this thesis will not be a disappointment. Because it began with $2+2=4$. And it did begin with $3+3+3=9$. And that's why it is the right beginning. Because the $2+2=4$ alternative. Isn't proved right. It is proved to be the alternative for people who do not believe. Because if you do not believe that there are three threes in infinity. You must believe something else. And you understanding of infinity is essential for understanding $E=MC^2$. I have begun to finish. The work that is TAKE EINSTEIN TO INFINITY. It is a number of essays. That are all about $E=MC^2$. And they would be about integration. If I understood integration. It is something that is important in calculus. But it takes a lot of time and effort to understand integration.

So maybe when I write my next science thesis. It will be the one I have written after I have seriously studied mathematics. And I don't know to what level I will go before I write MY NEXT SCIENCE THESIS. But for now I know only what I need to know. That $E=MC^2$. Because that is all I have to know. To make up a better equation. And if that were true then everyone would make one up. And it is true. And everyone could make one up. And it would be the same equation that I have made up. Because infinity can't be $1+1=2$. You can't add infinity. And that is what everyone believes. But it just isn't so. You can add infinity. It is $3+3+3=9$. And that something that does not make sense. But if 3 is $1/3$. Then $1/3+1/3+1/3 = 1/9$. And that may mean an infinite one. It depends on how you do it. If you divide 1 by nine. You get an infinity of ones. But if you add the three lots of .333 recurring together you get .999. And that is the way it is. Infinity is nine. It is always one short of ten. And when we take Einstein to infinity. We have three terms in $E=MC^2$. We have E which we make $1/3$. And we have M which we make $1/3$ and we have C squared which we make a third.

So you can't add $E=MC^2$. It is not $2+2=4$. But when we add three lots of $1/3$. We get $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$. And that is addition rather than multiplication. If multiplication is correct then addition must also be. It isn't just a coincidence that $1/3=3/3$, It is an equation. For Einstein's equation. Because the idea that $1/3$ can be equal to $3/3$ is what infinity is all about. We will explore the possibility that we are wrong. We will look at the idea that $3/3$ is not equal to $1/3$. And that may be the very idea that is the opposite. And that is that $2+2=4$. Because we can make $E=MC^2$ into 2. More specifically $2/3$ If we don't begin with $2/3$.

We get $2E=2M*2C^2$ And that means one of two things. Either $2=4$ Or you must use $4E$ Because the equation is the same added as multiplied.

When you add $2E$ you get $4E$ So when you start with $2E=2M+2C^2$ And that equation is the whole problem. What is $2+2=4$. When Einstein's equation can't be two. But if we make it two then it really one. So $2E=1M+1C^2$. And that makes sense. We can add two by adding the two terms. If we multiply then the equation is $2=1$ And so that doesn't make sense. So can mass be two. Perhaps mass can. But C has to be one. And that is what an experiment has proven.

That C can be two. Then it can be $E=MC^2$ and also faster than the speed of light. So we can make it balance $4E=2M+2C^2$ Squared. And this is very right. If we add another two it won't balance. But is there a reason to add another two. Instead of $2E$ we could have two thirds. That is an infinite two. $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ That means $.666=.666+.666+.666=2$ And that is another way of saying $2E$.

$2E = .666 + .666 + .666$ So $2E = .666M + .666C = .666C$. Then the question is if three lots of six are equal to two. Then what is four? $4E = .666 + .666 + .666 + .666 + .666 + .666$ That means $4E$ is 666. It is what you get when you add two lots of $2E = \text{MCSQUARED}$. So we appear to have solved the problem. We just need a two that is infinite. Then we can use $E = \text{MCsquared}$ as an equation for addition. That is we can add and multiply at the same time. And for some reason this is important. Being able to add and multiply by just using the one number. Is the answer. That people have been searching for. And maybe not. If that is the case I will stop writing my science thesis. But back to the universal question just what is $2E = \text{MCSQUARED}$. If we start with $2E = 2M + 2\text{CSquared}$. Then we know $2E = .666 + .666 + .666$ It doesn't equal two it equals three. And to make two three you need two sixes.

Because that isn't the case you need three. So the equation is $2E = .666M + .666C + .666C$ And that balances. At the speed of light. When energy is two. You have three terms and they are all .666. And that is not hard to believe. But it just isn't the truth. Is it the wrong way to do it is the question I must ask. And the answer is that it isn't. It is right answer for $2E = \text{MCsquared}$.

It really has to be infinite. And it has to be three sixes. What is the alternative? It must be right. That means you're a good person. Who will not believe that $E = \text{MCsquared}$ is 666. We don't use two but we use 1. We use $1/3$. And we add it in threes. That is $1/3E = 1/3M + 1/3C + 1/3C$. And using this simple equation for infinity you can add any three equations. And if they are all $1/3$ they will all be infinity. Surely this is worth doing. I must have a whole book full of equations.

And maybe in my next science thesis I will show that addition is the answer to proving what is right and proving what is wrong. Because you can fill a blackboard with equations that have been added up. You make each equation two. And you add them in twos to get four.

And that isn't as simple as adding three lots of two. Because that is what it means to add $4E$. It means to add three lots of two. And of course that would be $6E$. And it most certainly is not. $4E$ is equal to six lots of 666. And that is two. Because six is not one or two. It is two lots of three. And when you have six lot of .666. It is equal to two twos. What are the implications. We will examine them. What kind of theory does $2+2=4$ lead to. You could ask Stephen Hawking. And he would tell you. Because you can ask any scientist. What it means to add 2. But if you ask them what it means to add $1/3$. They will not answer. Because the answer is $1/3E$ And they will know that there are two

answers. Three and two. Because if you ask them they will say that that is nonsense. That you can't judge the worth of a theory by whether it is two or three. But I say just the opposite that you can judge the worth of a theory by whether it adds up to two or three. When you add the equations of any theory they either add up to four or they add up to three. And if the theory is meant to be about infinity. As all good theories are. Then the theory of everything is one of two equations. It can't be any more simple than that. And even though I complicate it in this thesis, It really is as simple as $3+3+3=9$.

God Proved Right

God has a theory and that is that $2+2=4$. And that is the mistake. To believe that that is Gods theory. That is the theory of a teacher of high school mathematics. And his theory is not Gods. And maybe his theory does prove Einstein wrong. And maybe that is true. That the teacher's theory proves Einstein wrong. But Gods theory has never been tested. Because no one knows what His theory is. He has never advanced a scientific theory. And that may be true. That Genesis is not a scientific theory. And if were to advance his scientific theory. It would only prove Himself right. It would not prove the math's teacher right in his quest to prove Einstein wrong. Because he was a dreamer who wanted to travel to the stars. And every dreamer has a theory. That proves you can. And maybe God is a dreamer. And maybe He isn't. Maybe his theory does prove you can't travel to the stars. And that would be the worst possible theory. Because everyone would believe that Gods theory was not right. But that is what it means that He is God and we are not. He doesn't mind if we are all wrong.

If his idea is right. And that isn't true. And it most certainly isn't true. He doesn't mind if everyone believes His idea isn't right. As long as it really is true. So you can find God theory in EINSTEIN PROVED WRONG. That is what God would write. He would set out to prove Einstein wrong with $2+2=4$. And that's what a high school math's teacher did. But that isn't what God is like. And it isn't really what He is like. He doesn't want to prove that no one is clever. And that the smartest man is really no smarter than a little child. Because I thought that was what he was like. Until I realised that Einstein Proved Wrong didn't prove Einstein wrong. That it proved how clever he really was. So what is this so-called theory, that your so-called God has told you, is His scientific theory. It must be scientology. Because that is like God having a theory. And it is a man has a theory about what religion is like. And God has a theory about what science is like.

What is Gods theory on the science of origins. Can God comment? Other than just by saying $2+2=4$. Is that really smart enough for God? And the answer is that God will comment on what His theory is. Because the theory of $2+2=4$. Is a mans theory. And it is an ordinary mans theory. And he wasn't smarter than

the average man. But when God has a theory it is as smart as the smartest man. Einstein was a smart man. And he really was. He had more than just a theory. He had a number.

And that number wasn't six. Because that is to be smart to not have the number 666. And maybe Einstein had a number that explains everything. And an equation is a number. And it doesn't explain everything. Because perhaps God would have a theory that didn't involve numbers. And then it wouldn't be science. And it might be. If $E=MCSQUARED$ explains it all. Then God Says $2+2=4$ does. Because God understands everything. And a little thing like $E=MCSQUARED$ is not difficult. And it may not even be the answer. And the truth is it isn't.

$E=MCsquared$ is not more the answer. That the other equation. And that is why God would not begin with that equation. He would begin with the other one. Because it is right to begin with $2+2=4$. And that's wrong. And it really isn't. It's a good equation. But it's not original. And that's really silly. That somebody else has got a right to use $2+2=4$. Because it is like that. It was an idea that I got from somebody else.

And if I had an original idea. I would have the creation equation. I would start with an equation that doesn't prove Einstein wrong. And that doesn't prove $2+2=4$ to be the right equation. And that wouldn't be wrong. Because Einstein proved wrong just isn't right. It doesn't really prove Einstein wrong. And that may not be true. And it may be. Because people who think critical thinking is right. Would believe that Einstein Proved Wrong wouldn't be a wrong thing. Yet universally everybody doesn't believe it is right. Does Gods theory begin by destroying another? So that you can choose between the two. And it does. God began by destroying Einstein proved Wrong. Because that is the theory that God would destroy. And that might not make sense. And it does. Because it is a mans theory. And it doesn't make sense.

To believe that because of $2+2=4$ Einstein is right. Because you could believe that $2+2=4$ is some kind of test of Einstein's theory. That if it won't work with two then it isn't right. And it really isn't a test of the theory. Because you can add equations. And of course you can. It isn't wrong to add equations. But that doesn't prove Einstein right. And it really might not. And what it proves is that Einstein wasn't right. And that might be the result of believing His theory wrong. Because when you believe it is wrong. You begin with the premise that it must not be right if it is two. And that just isn't true. The theory is still right if it is two. And that may not be true. And it most certainly isn't true.

Einstein's theory has to be one. It is one is equal to three things. That is mass and C^2 . And C^2 is really two things. And that isn't the way to look at it. C^2 is really two things. And that isn't the way to look at it. And it most certainly is. Because C^2 is only one thing. And that does make sense. Einstein's equation is $E=mc^2$. That is unless energy is two. Then it is $E=2mc$. Because mass is multiplied by C and then by C . It is three things that are one. And that means it is $E=3m$. And that is really true. That it doesn't make sense for 1 to equal 3. Because three isn't one. And one isn't three. And that's the idea that is at the back of the idea of $1+1=2$. Because you don't add. And you multiply. Then you will have three equals one. Because there are three things if they are added together.

You have $E=M+C+C$ And that isn't wrong. $E=M+C+C$ That is right. Because M is one. And C is two. And that is the equation I have called Creation. $E=M+C+C$ Because that is the equation that means addition and multiplication are really the same. Multiplication for $E=MC^2$ is $1=1^2$ And that isn't really $1=3$.

And it really is. Three ones are three. If you add them you get three. The confusion arises when you have to make M two. You have to make E two. So M must be two. And C must be two. And that is the reason we can't get the answer. Because E isn't two. The equation is meant to be $E=3M$ And that proves God right. And anyone who does believe will agree that three equals one. Is the right equation. So what have I done I have just used Einstein's equation. And that isn't right for creation.

Because it might be the wrong equation for creation. And it isn't. Einstein's equation is the right equation for creation. That means this book should never have been written. And it does mean that. Because there will never be a creation equation. Because God won't advance a scientific theory. And that's just true. He won't advance a theory about a number. And he will. He will advance the theory that already has been advanced. That $E=MC^2$. Because that theory is as good as it can be. To use $2+2=4$ with $E=MC^2$ is to get the number. And it might be. To get 666. Because it isn't really like that. That three is the right number.

And it might be. Right be understand $E=MC^2$. When it is one. It is equal to one. And that's just the way it is, It is three ones. 1^2 . And that's right. Because it could be four ones. Then it would be 1^3 And it would still add up to four. And it does add up. To four. Because E isn't two. And it really isn't. And if E is two. Then it adds up to eight. It would be $E=8M$ And that would be an equation. Because two does equal eight. And that is if you use base four. And that means you can add and multiply at the same time. So you get $8+8=16$ And $8^2=64$ And that the equation that proves 6 wrong. And it really

does prove eight right. So the idea that two proves six right. Isn't really a good idea. But the idea that two is right. Is the wrong idea. Two isn't the equation for creation. And I would be surprised because I thought two would make a great equation for creation. But I always realised $1/3=\text{infinity}$. I would look at the figure often. So I knew that two wasn't the answer.

But that three wasn't either. Because when you add two threes you get six. And that just isn't right. That you should add two threes. Because it is easy to use creation. To multiply. You can add M and C and C. And get MCC Because that is right. It is a number. And it isn't right. And that is what I would argue if I hadn't made up the equation. Because the right way to add MCC. Is to add M to two C's And that isn't wrong. But you can't add. Is what I believe. You can't add three ones. Because you can. You can add three ones. And you can multiply three ones. So the equation for creation is not addition. And it isn't. It is what you believe it to be. It is multiplication. It is $E=3$ Because it is $E=1$ Because it has to be three ones are three. If it were anything else it wouldn't be the creation equation. If we want to get 666. We have a wrong heart. And we don't. Because it is right to know why the number isn't right. And it may be wrong to know why. Because the number is two. Because it is $2E$ and it does equal. $2*2*2$. And that is six. And when you add it you add two twos. And you get four and then you add another two and you get six. That means $E=2M*2C*2C$ And equation is the non-creation equation. It means you can add and multiply at the same time And when we add light is $4C$ And it is not $4C$ squared. And that isn't what we expect to have light expressed as a number. And light can't be expressed as a number.

That is why four isn't the number. Six is the number. Because two fours are eight. And that is the same. Light is expressed as a number. And so the right answer. Is two eights. That is sixteen. That the expression of belief. When you believe two six's are twelve. And three six's are eighteen. And that means that 666. Isn't one. It is two. It is two six's are twelve. Because that would be the answer you would get if you made E four. $4=4*4*4$ Then you don't get two. Because you get 2 when E is two. You get 64 as an answer. That is the same answer. That eight plus eight doesn't equal sixteen that it does equal sixty-four. That is the number. And it really is. You can't want to know what it is. Because it is alright to not believe. And it isn't all right to not believe. If you believe that E is two. Then you will reach the conclusion that six is right. And you may not reach that conclusion. You may reach the conclusion that eight is right. And then you will have the answer. Two six's are twelve. And that isn't what you expect. You expect two to be right. And that's the whole thing. When you

believe that one is right. You have a right understanding. And when you believe that two is right. You still have a right understanding. And you don't. Because you have to believe that $2+2=4$ is the wrong equation. Otherwise you will never understand. So we begin with the right equation, $E=M+C+C$ That means addition is right.

And the answer when we add is the right one's isn't four it is two. And that is creation. C can't be four. And it can't have a number. So that is the reason that addition is wrong. C can't have a number. So $E=M*C*C$ Because that means C has three numbers. And it doesn't. It means C has one number. So that equation is not good enough for the creation equation. So how can it made better. It can be three in one. That's how it can be made better. C can have a number that is three in one.

Obviously $E=C*C*C$ Would be the right equation. And it isn't. Because mass makes four. Then it is $E=M*C*C*C$ And that makes E two. And that is the equation $2E=M*C*C*C$ C is cubed and E is two. And M is still one. And that isn't bad. But it still isn't a good equation. For it to be the creation equation. It has to be four. Because four is the number. And it might be. Because the answer to the right equation. Is four. And the answer has to be three. That is when we have an understanding of what is right. Even though M is three. C will be three also. That is six. And that is $3E=3M*3C*3C$ And that is three equals nine. And it isn't a wrong equation. And it might not be. When three is in threes it is the way it is. And when it is in twos it isn't right. But we have given light a number And that number is nine. And it is a wrong number for light to have. So it can't be THE CREATION EQUATION The only alternative to $E=MCSQUARED$. Is two and one. C is two. And M is one. If M is two then C is one. Because we can make C one. And we just can. Because C is one. And when it is multiplied it is still one. So we have $E=2M*C$ And that just proves that there is no alternative. E has to be three. And there is an alternative. Make E 3. When E is 3. All of the terms have to be three. $3E=3M*3C*3C$ And they do add up. But not to nine. And they add up to nine. And that equation is not the right one. And it might not be. But we must have one that is right. So why not $3E=1*1$ Because that can't be right. It would have to be $3E=1*1*1$ And that is the creation equation. And it really is. When there are three energies. Then there are three ones. And that is mass and light squared. And that is a right equation. And does it also result in 666. In this case it does not. Because three isn't the wrong number for light. Any other number isn't right for light. But three is. And that is hard to believe. But it isn't. It isn't hard to believe. Obviously when three is nine. Then the three terms are all three. So the right equation for 27. Is $9E=3M*3C*3C$ And that is the right equation. It should work as it is just $3E$. And we can't

make $E=MC^2$ three. And that just is true. That we can't. Because it won't be right. If it is three.

And that's the same argument that we used for two. That we can't make C two. But if we can't make C two. Then we can make it three. Because it really is three in one. So we are back to square one. Should we make $E=MC^2$ three. Because we don't have to. It is already three. So that when we add it. We get $2E$. And that is $2^2 \cdot 2^2$. So when we add it we would want to get something different to six. So with three E we still get six. And that means it is right. It must be $3E$. Because that is the way to look at it. Adding Einstein's equation is what you should not do. It means the worst possible thing. That light has the number six. And there is only one thing worse than that. If light had the number six six six. And that would be adding the three equations together. $2E=2^2 \cdot 2^2 + 2E=2^2 \cdot 2^2 + 2E=2^2 \cdot 2^2$ $6E=18$ $6E=6 \cdot 6 \cdot 6$ And that is the number. Or as close as we will ever come to understanding it. There are three equations of $2E$ added together. And we end up with 18. That depends on how we add. If we add all three terms and include the multiplication. We get 216. And that is also the number. Because it is addition and multiplication. Yoda said the dark side clouds the mind. And Yoda was right. As all gurus are. He knew that when you began to look for creation. You would find the number. And that isn't what creation is all about. Creation is all about believing there is a God. That is why $E=MC^2$. Is not three. Because that would be right. And it might be. Because when you add two of them you would get six. $3E=M \cdot C \cdot C + 3E=M \cdot C \cdot C$ $6E=2M \cdot 2C \cdot 2C$ SO that is just $E=MC^2$. It is really three. And that may be the CREATION EQUATION. It may be as simple as believing that two ones are two. And three threes are nine. Because three lots of $E=MC^2$ Are nine. And they really might not be. Because it looks like 3 lots of MC^2 are equal to $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$ The alternative is that $3E=9$. And that may not be a good alternative. It may mean there is three times as much mass as there is energy. And it may not mean that. But it may mean that it doesn't make sense for 3 to equal nine. That why it equals one. Because it doesn't make sense. And that's what you should not believe. It does make sense for one to equal three. But for three to equal three. Makes perfect sense. Three does equal three. And it really does not. It equals three*three. And that $E=MC^2$.

Light is one. And it is three. And when it is three. It is also one. That means $E=3M \cdot 3C^2$ And that's a different way of doing it. Because $1 \cdot 1$ is $3 \cdot 3$. And that just isn't true. Because light can only be one. And that's what three is all about. Three is one. And it really does add up to one. Because when you believe in $1+1=2$. You stop believing that $1+1=3$ Because it never did. It was

$1+1=2$ And that's why you don't need to not believe. That $1+1=2$. You just need to believe that three ones are three. Because if you believe that $1+1+1=3$ Then you have made a mistake. It is $1*1*1$ that equals three. And addition and multiplication are not the same for three. So I will talk about my original creation equation. That is $E=1M+1C+1C$ $E=1M+1C+1C$ Now to add this up you need to add it up. Because it does add up to three. But does that mean it adds up to one. And it might not. It might not add up to one. When you add it you have to use the rule of adding C. $C+C=C$ $1+1=1$ And that really is true. That you must use that rule. Otherwise you are using the rule $2+2=4$ And that just isn't true. You can add it. Two C's are one. $2=1$ And that's a rule. That does make sense. Maybe you have to add M and C together as one as well. Then $M+C+C=1$ And that does make sense Because if you added M+C and got two. You would have one light. And that's the equation. That really is not the creation equation. The one where 2 is not the answer. Because 2 is the answer. Where you add M to C two is the answer. And that means creation takes place in twos. If we make E three then we can add all three together. We can add $2+1=3$ Or we can add $1+1+1=3$ But if E is 1. We have to add $1+1+1=1$ And that is a strange way of adding. But it is $E=MCSQUARED$. We have stuck by the rules and added $E=MCSquared$ the way it should be added. And we have got the answer. It is 3 when E is three. And it is one when E is one. And that is not the CREATION EQUATION. Because it is too easy. It is too easy for it to be the creation equation. And that's what an equation is. Something that is too easy. When you want an easy solution. You ask. And you don't receive. Because if you don't you come up with your own idea. And your idea is obviously the best answer. And it is always not as good as what God would have done. And that's the reason we have a creation equation. Because we believed in what God had said. And that is that adding three was the creation equation. How would we know that He is wrong. We would have to assume that He was there but He is lying. And obviously we have to have faith. He was there and He isn't lying. When you add three together. You are doing what He will be doing. When he is finished with creation. Because addition is the end of the creation. And that means the opposite. And it doesn't. It doesn't mean multiplication is the beginning. And multiplication isn't. The way we begin with creation is not just $1+1=1$ Because that might be the way we begin. We add one together. And get one. And that is the very beginning of creation. And at the start of creation $2+2=4$ Is not the equation. And that isn't something we would know without Gods help. Because the stars work that way. And they really do. But at the start of creation there are no stars. Only an empty space. Because that is not what we believe. That there space with nothing inside of it. And we are not wrong. And we might not be. Maybe inside of space there is nothing. Because that would be

right. To agree that there is nothing. When there really was something. Because that wouldn't be right. To believe that there was something when there was nothing. And that's what creation is. Creation from something. And from nothing. Because to start off with you have to begin with something. And you don't. You can begin creation with nothing. And that's the whole idea. To get you to believe that you can begin. With nothing. And then the answer is something. And that would be a creation equation. Because we know what nothing is. It is zero. And we know what something is. It is zero. Because we believe that. That something can have no density. And that's not what we believe. We believe it has infinite density. But it is still zero. And that's not the way to start creation. With a zero. Because it always works. To begin with nothing. And then create. And it has never worked for anyone. And that may not be true. When someone creates they may begin with someone else's idea. And that wouldn't be creation. But to begin with your own idea. And then create. That is what creation really is. So we are going to try to come up with an equation. And it will be something from nothing. Because that is not too difficult. And it might not be. If there was a reason to come up with the equation. And there is a reason. The reason is it is being written. And that reason is enough. When we begin with nothing we have to have something. And that something. Has to be added to nothing. Because that isn't enough. To put something into space so that there is something. Because you can't put anything into space. And that may be true. That you cannot. But it isn't true that you can't. You can put an object into space. And that can be an object that is infinite. Because that doesn't make sense. To believe that there is an object that is infinite. So we add infinity to zero. Then we get infinity. And that may make sense. Because there is no space boundary. Space may not be infinite. But it may be as infinite as it can be. Because there is always something else. And that is eternity. And that does make sense. If space has no boundaries then eternity has boundaries. Because perhaps space and eternity do not have boundaries. You start with nothing then you add infinity. Then you have a something. And you can't add infinity. Because if you add infinity. You just get infinity. And that's what space is like. You can add to it. And you really can't. If you could add to it. It would just be space. $\text{Space} = \text{Space}$. That means $\text{infinity} = \text{infinity}$. The reflection experiments that I have called Genesis. Proves that dividing $E = MCSQUARED$ by two is right. And it isn't the CREATION EQUATION. That isn't the way the universe was created. The whole idea of the reflection experiment doesn't prove the CREATION EQUATION. And that doesn't make sense. It must. And it does. The CREATION EQUATION can't be made from $2+2=4$ And that's the belief. That I want to change. Because when light is reflected it does divide. But it divides into $1+1=2$ Because light has to divide into one of two things. That is

three or two. And of course light does divide into three. Because we know that. But when it is reflected to infinity does it divide into two or three. And that is the question. Because if the answer is three we can divide $E=MSQUARED/3$. And that would be our answer. To a creation equation. Because we get an infinite figure. When we divide 186,000 miles/second by three. Because we keep on dividing it. Until we get an infinite figure. And it is the same with two. If we keep on dividing 186,000 miles/second by two. We get infinity. And we really do. But if we use 3 we end up with 3.149926332 Which is really infinity. And with two it doesn't go on forever. And of course it does eventually reach zero. And it may not. So the question is we have a kind of creation equation. A kind of figure for energy and the speed of light. One that is infinitely close to zero. Which one is right. Is the figure that is infinite the right one. Because that's the good answer. That I will make up. That if three is infinite. Then two is not. And so two can't be the creation equation. With 3 if you go dividing you get infinity almost straight away. And the more you divide. The more infinity it is. So maybe this is a way of getting a more accurate value for infinity. The closer you come to zero the more accurate the value is. And it is 186,000 miles/second/3 And that is $E/3=M*Csquared/3$ A third of E. That gives us three. And it really gives us an infinite three. And a third of 186,000 miles/second is 62000 And that isn't infinite but if you continue to divide by three. You get infinity. That is a great equation because E is one. And E is three. E is one and three. So that means 186,000 miles/second is one and three two.

And it is a very good equation. It may not be the one equation that God would call THE CREATION EQUATION. Because maybe there is more than one. And there isn't. There will only be one CREATION EQUATION. And it will be the one I have already used. And that is $E=1M+1C+1C$ Because that is not THE CREATION EQUATION. It is $1/3 E=1M+1C+1C$ And that is right that if you don't divide the other side by three. Then you don't get the answer. So it is a third M. And it is a third of C. And it is a third of C. And it equals $1/3 E=.3333333'=1/3M +62000 +62000$ And it is really three lots of .33 recurring. Because it is 3.3 And it really is And the answer when you take a third of three. Is one. Because you are adding three lots of 3.3 recurring. So you get one. And it means $1/3=1$ And that is the creation equation. $1/3=1$ Because infinity means that three and one are infinite. When you add up $1/3$ the speed of light to $1/3$ the speed of light to $1/3$ mass. You get 1240003333 That an infinite value for $E=MCSQUARED$ You get $1/3E=1240003333$ And that is giving $E=MCSQUARED$ a number. And it really is. A number that is the wrong number for E.

Because E is three. And it is one. So it is three in one. And three M is a right number. And 3 C. Is a third C. Because one C is 186,000 miles/second. And if

that is infinity that a third C is 62000. But because of our reflection experiment we know that you can go on dividing E by three. Until you get a number that approaches zero. And that is $E = MC^2$. It is E when it is zero. Because energy never reaches zero. And light is a speed which is also infinity. No matter how many times it is divided by three it will never reach zero. So if you are happy. With the CREATION EQUATION. The book is finished. But if you think it is really not God. Then you are not right. The creation equation really is God. It is 3 lots of three. So it is nine. It is 9.999 And that is the truth it is as close to one as it is possible for E to be. Because E can be three. And when E is three. MC^2 is nine. And that is right. Because it must be. And when you find out that C does divide into three. Then you will understand three plus three equals three. Isn't a right equation. Because $3+3$ does equal six. And that's what C really is not. It is not six. That's why M is C. Because C is the same as M. And they are both 3.33 Recurring. Because that isn't a third. And it is a third. So we can express the creation equation as three. When we use three $1/3$'s we get 9.99. And we really get 1. And that is $E = 1M + 1C + 1C$ Because then the answer is 9.9999999999 And that means energy never reaches one. And that is the answer. My algebra teacher will say what do you do with three lots of $E = MC^2$. You multiply. If you multiply a third by third. You get nine. That is $E = MC^2$. $1/3 \text{ Mass} \times 1/3 \text{ C squared} = 1/3 E$ And that is the right way to express it. Because it is multiplication. But if you use a third addition is the same as multiplication. And you still get one. Or if you believe my calculator 0.11111111 And it really is one. Because it is as close to being one as it possible. This equation means that C is infinity. And it means that C squared is infinity. When you take a third of C squared. You get 1.1532 10 And that is the answer. A speed of light that is a totally different answer from a half C. Two gives you 93,000. As the answer. Three gives you 1.1532 10 As the answer. To a speed for light that is infinity. When you use multiplication for $E = MC^2$. Rather than adding in thirds. You are saying C is one thing. Because two thirds multiplied together are one. So $E = MC^2$ isn't wrong. But THE CREATION EQUATION isn't right. That what you can't say. Because there is always the other way. And that is to use $2+2=4$ And if you do believe that THE CREATION EQUATION is the right answer. Then you will put the value for the speed of light. On your windshield. Because to get that value you only need to add three lots of $1/3$ And that is the right way to do it. Because you will get a different value for the speed of light. One that is totally right. One that means that three is the right answer. And of course you get the same answer when you use C squared. And you might. That $1/3 C$ is 62,000 miles/second. And that is the value that should put on your windshield. It means C is the number. The number that has been calculated so exactly that it

can never be anything but C. The CREATION EQUATION can't be a bad thing. And that just is true. That as long as it is one thing. It isn't a bad thing. But when it is two. Then it isn't right. Because 3E can't be added. And if it is added then you end up with six. And that means $6E=6M+6C+6C$ And that is everything. And it is anything. Anything that is not right. Because that means 6 is 18. That is three six's. And that is the number of the beast. And it might be the number of the enemy. Because it really is a matter of the equation for creation being one or two. If the equation for creation is 2. Then it is six. When it is 2E rather than 3E. It is $2E=2*2*2$ And if you add it you get six. But if you multiply two together there is no six. And that just is true. So multiplication is right for two. And addition is the wrong thing for two.

And that opposite to what I have said. And it is still the truth. The multiplication of the equation for creation. Is right. You can multiply it by two. And you get the answer. That is it eight. And that is the way to multiply and add when the number is two. So I have ended with the very worst possible thing. And that is that three numbers add up to eighteen. And that means two numbers add up to twelve. And that is the number. And it simply a matter of appreciating the beauty of THE CREATION EQUATION. If you love one you will hate the other. You cannot serve two masters you will either love one or hate the other. And that is the way it is. With those two ways of thinking. If you believe God is three persons in one. You will love THE CREATION EQUATION. If you just don't believe in God you will love the idea of using two. Because one is so much better than the other. When there is a way to add the equations. Because that is what you need. And there is a way. And that is three plus three. And that is obviously not the right way to add the equation. Because it can be three E. Then it can be three threes. And that is $three*three$. And that is the way you add. You add equations in lots of three. And then they add up. And you can multiply them. But to multiply you have to use two. Exactly the opposite to the advice I gave in Einstein Proved Wrong. Because three is right to be multiplied by two. And three is right to be multiplied in twos. But that isn't usual. Any number can be multiplied in twos. But three is right to be multiplied in threes. And that just is true. So we get $3*3*3$ And that is twenty-seven. And that is right. Because addition is the same as multiplication. Because you get three lots of nine. And that is what you expect. That you can just multiply. In threes and get nine. So that's the answer. You do multiply in twos. You multiply $3*3$ and get nine. Then you can add by multiplying. And you can multiply by adding. And the answers that you get when you use three. Will be exactly the same. As when you use two. And that really is the case. That the answers will not be the same. How do the answers differ? The answers differ for the reason that two is

two. Because 1 had addition and multiplication. And they are not the same. Two has addition and multiplication and they are the same.

That's why when you add them you get addition and multiplication That are the same Because you can add the two answers. And you will get a new answer. The answer for the speed of light. Was 96,000 miles per second. Or a half the speed of light. That is what we got by adding equations. When we used three we got a third the speed of light. And that is 62,000 miles/second. So if we add them the figure should be wrong. And that just is true. The figure should be right. So we get $1/3 + 1/2$. And the answer is 158,000 miles second. And the answer doesn't seem to be right. That is not what a fifth is. And it is what a fifth is. Because it is just adding a half to a third. And that is right. That that means a fifth. And so five is a number that is two and three together. And that isn't right. For two and three to be together. They are meant to be kept separate. And $2 * 3 = 6$. When you use addition you get five. That doesn't mean that five is the number. And it does not. It means addition is not the right way to put two numbers together. Because when we multiply we don't get five. We get six. And that's what happens when you don't believe that the creation equation Is the right way. You then believe C squared is one thing. And that is absolutely correct. So you use three. And you add. So you get $3E = 3M + 3CSQUARED$ And that is a totally awful equation. And means that addition is sometimes a lot worse than multiplication. We won't end on such a sour note. We want to hear beautiful melodies of infinity. Which is what the angel's song is like. We will end with $1/3E = 1/3M + 1/3C + 1/3C$. We will appreciate the fact that infinity is either nine or three.

And that they equal. So that infinity is really made up of three. And it is one. What can the creation equation be used for. It can used to do anything that the other equation is used for. The CREATION EQUATION is not something that is very important. And that's what everyone would not believe. Because if evolution were one equation. And creation was the other equation. People would believe the other one. That is they would believe the EVOLUTION EQUATION. And it isn't that simple. Because it really is. The best equation to make people believe. Is this equation. There is no equation. And there is. It is the equation we have always believed. That is $2 + 2 = 4$ Because everyone will believe $2 + 2 = 4$. And it will be the Creation Equation because it will be. Because no one will believe the equation for $E = MCSQUARED$. And that is three. That is 3 times $E = MCSQUARED$.

Because that isn't the equation. And if it were. Everyone would not believe it. But it isn't three. It is a third. And a third do three divide one. And that is the

reason. The CREATION EQUATION is $1+1=2$ Because everyone would believe it.

And that just is true. The EVOLUTION EQUATION is $2+2=4$ Because creation is just an equation. And that's not what I want to suggest. The equation that is best for the creation of everything. Is the one I have used. And that means the third equation. Infinity is something that isn't wrong. And a third is the right kind of addition. Because when you add three lots of three. You don't get nine. And you really do. And that's what it is all about. $3*3=9$ Three threes are nine. That is THE CREATION EQUATION.

Einstein Proved Wrong

Einstein isn't right. That is the theory. And it doesn't matter whether the world was created. And it matters. So there must be some other equation. That is not the same as Einstein's some kind of creation equation. And that must prove Einstein right. Because creation wouldn't prove him right. But evolution would prove that Einstein is right. And that isn't what I believe. But if I want to prove Einstein wrong. I have to prove that he is not right about creation. Because that is quite easy. Because there is a creation equation. And that's what it is all about. There has to be a creation equation. One that proves Einstein right. Because how can he be right if the universe, didn't come about by chance. Because no one believes the universe came about by chance. And I don't believe it. So I'm going to prove that it did. And that will prove Einstein wrong. And the only way to prove Einstein wrong is with the equation that is right to. The one that does prove that it all came about by chance. And that equation isn't $2+2=4$. Because it can't be. Because it makes sense. And it does make sense. That's why it proves Einstein right. Because it really is sensible. And of course it proves Einstein wrong because that is what it is like. It can be used to prove Einstein wrong. Or to prove him right. And that what it should be used for. To prove Einstein right. But this book is about why Einstein is wrong. So it will have to prove why 666 is right. And it will. Because to believe the universe came about by chance is 666. That is not to believe Einstein. That is to believe in evolution. Not just theistic evolution, but evolution where there is no God. So I will begin with the equation $2+2=4$. If we add two of Einstein's equations together. We have four. And we don't have four until we add another two. But what is what the equation Says. That an infinite two is equal to four. And that is our equation. $4/3E=4/3M+4/3C+4/3C$ $1.333=4$ That is our equation for four. And it is wrong.

And it must be wrong. Because it doesn't mean creation. And that just has to be true. Because it is exactly what it should be. The equation for $2+2=4$ It means when you add two equations to two equations. And they are infinite. You get 4. And that is not what it means. It means an infinite two is four. So

four equations are an infinite two. And is 1.333 really two. Because it is exactly two. Because that is what it really is. And if we want to use that instead of two. Then we have four. Because 3 lots of 1.333 are four. And of course three lots of two are six. And that is so totally awful that I am sorry I am writing this book. Because it is a sad story for the people who will not believe. If they believe that it means evolution without God then they will believe it. But there is nothing else it can mean. And there might be. It might mean that God isn't God. That there is someone else. And then people who believe evolution will believe in someone else. But should two lots of three be $2/3$. And it should. So $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ It is the equation that is the one. That one equation that is what the number is all about. It is $.666'=.666+.666+.666=2$ And it does three lots of 666 are equal to two. And that isn't what anyone expects. But really three lots of six are equal to eighteen. And that is what an infinite two is. It is eighteen. So if we use the other equation. $4/3E=4/3M+4/3C+4/3C$ Then $1.33=4$ And 1.33 is two lots of .666 And that is the explanation for why Einstein is wrong. There are two twos. And there really are. There is a two that is infinite. And that is 1.333 And it is equal to four. Because there is no proof that 1.333 is equal to four. And there is proof. When you take two lots of 1.33 you get 666. And that is the proof that three is right. Because the answer is two. And the answer is not. The answer is three. Because the real equation is $1/3$. And is $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ That is our equation for Einstein being wrong. Because it really is not. It is our equation for Einstein being right. And that means infinity. Is equal to three. And it does mean that three lots of two are infinite.

Because it doesn't mean that. And it should not. But it's not meant to mean that two is infinity. And that is what everyone would argue. That is must be the right thing for infinity to be two. When Jesus became man. God was still infinite. He was still two persons. And that isn't the way to look at it. He was three. Because he was man. And that is the way to understand it. I can't prove that three lots of .666. Are not equal to infinity. And I can. Three twos are six. And that is proving infinity is really three. Because infinity is not really two. And if it is two then it proves Einstein wrong. And just how does it do that. ? The equation $E=MC^2$ Should never be one. E should always be two. Then M is always two. And that is the way it is for the fusion of hydrogen. $M+M+E=4M+E$ That proves M is two. And that equation is one that is a really awful one. Because it really is true. M should be two. Because that what I have to prove. To prove Einstein wrong. That M is two. $2E=2M^2C^2$ And that can be expressed as $1.33 E= 2M^2 C^2$ squared. Then $1.33=4$ And that proves that two lots of .666 are equal to $4MC^2$. $.666=2M^2C^2$ squared So the question is what three lots of .666 are equal two. And the answer is that three .666 is

equal to two. And that two .666 is also equal to two. So when we have the same equation. We have what it takes to prove Einstein right. For lots of 1.333. Is equal to 4.666 An infinite two is three lots of .666. So it is $6/3E=6/3M+6/3C+6/3C$ And that means $2E=2M+2C+2C$ And that is absolute truth. That three 666's are equal to 2. And that they are also equal to six. That would be the answer for Einstein's equation. You don't use 1.33. You use $2/3$. And you don't you don't use $4/3$. You use $6/3$ Because it makes perfect sense. That it must prove Einstein wrong. Because every term is two. That means mass is two. And light is two. Because 2 is said to be infinity. It is all right for mass to be infinity. And it is all right for light to be infinity. But when we understand just how wrong that is. We will never say light isn't one speed.

Because it is so right to say light travels at only one speed. But it just isn't true. And that may be true. Light can travel a lot slower. And that means can travel at an infinitely slow speed. And that speed is $1/3$. And it is a $1/3$. But the antichrist would say for sure that that speed was $2/3$. And maybe it would have to be proven.

But it is a presupposition list approach. If he believes that the right answer for light is two. He will be able to prove it. Light never reaches zero. So it is a constant. At it slowest speed. It is as close to infinity at its slowest speed as it can be. So I have shown you how an infinite four proves Einstein wrong. And I haven't yet. So I will show you how two does equal four. Because that is the equation. $4/3=4/3+4/3+4/3$ And it is a wrong equation. And it really is not. Because both two and four are not infinite. And that just the truth. That when we make them infinite. We are proving that $E=MC^2$. Two lots of .666 recurring are equal to 1.333 and therefore to four. And that is the argument. So when we add the equation for $E=MC^2$. We add $6/3E=6/3M+6/3C+6/3C$ $2E=6MC$ And we add two of those. $2E=2M+2C+2C$ + $2E=2M+2C+2C$ $4E=4M+4C+4C$ + $4E=4M+4C+4C$ = $8E=8M+8C+8C$ And that is totally the right answer. We you add four of the right equation. You get the answer that is right. And four mean infinity. So eight must be right for infinity. And it really does work when you use eight. Because the equation is $2=4$ And we have not used it right. Because we have. And it isn't what you expect. You don't expect 666 to be 888. Because it is the wrong answer. And it may not be. It may be the answer that you will not want. The answer that proves 666 to be right. When you add $2/3+2/3=1.333$. And that should be equal to four. It all depends on this equation being right. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ Because that equation is the right one. And if it isn't right for light. Then it must be the right one. Because it doesn't have to be the right equation. And that what you would believe. If you were sure that $2+2=4$ was right. You would believe that the right equation could be eight. And that's what it is all about. $2+2=4$ is infinity. Because it really

is not infinity. The right equation for infinity is the one that means God is three in one. $3=3+3+3$ $3=9$ Is the right equation for infinity. So the number is all about putting darkness for light. Because the light was separated from the darkness. And that is what Genesis says. Darkness is right for $2+2=4$. And that may be true. But the light is $3+3+3=9$ Because that is the way infinity is. You can add equations. You can add them in threes. You can add three lots of three and get 1. That is our equation $1=3+3+3$ Then $3=9$ And it is totally right. To add three equations. To add 3MC Because 3MC. Will be nine. And it won't be the answer that is the darkness. It will be an answer that is the light. Maybe you can prove two right with zero point energy. With energy that has been divided again and again. And if that is true. Then there is a separation between the light and the darkness. The theory of Einstein proved wrong. Was that adding equations showed where Einstein was wrong. That $2+2=4$ was the test of his theory. And that he failed. But $3+3+3=9$ is the proof that he did not fail. That he did understand what infinity was. And that the answer to chance being right. Can be found in the creation equation.

Solving energy problems with THE CREATION EQUATION

The creation equation is just for fun. It is so we can look at $2+2=4$. And know how insane it all is. Because there are no answers. And of course there are. But they are simple. And they are not too difficult. This short essay is called Solving energy problems with the creation equation. And I would have to first understand what an energy problem was. But I got 95 in the national IQ test. And that could be because I'm 40. And so my score was 40. But I may not believe the test was a good one. And that would be intelligent. Since other tests show I have a very high IQ.

But even if I did have. I could not have come up with THE CREATION EQUATION. If the answer to Einstein lies in addition. Then I have the answer. It is not $2+2=4$. Because that is the half that is right. To prove what isn't right is almost as important as proving what is. Firstly we will begin with $4/3E=4/3M+4/3C=4/3C$ That is $1.333^3=4$ And that is 3.999 So that is an infinite four. But is that what it means that two lots of 666. Are equal to infinity. Because if it is 3^2 then it is six lots of six six six that are equal to infinity. And that is what the bible Says. That it is six six six. That it is three lots. That are equal to infinity. And then we know the answer isn't four. Because it is two lots of 666. Not three lots. $6/3E=6/3M+6/3C+6/3C$ $6/3E=2M+2C+2C$ And that is the right equation. But it is false. It is the false equation for creation. How does it differ for THE CREATION EQUATION. The creation equation is just $1/3$. It isn't six thirds. And it doesn't make $E=MCSQUARED$ eight. It makes it nine. Infinity can be nine or eight. But eight is false. And nine is true. The equation for 666. That is for six lots of 666. Is $2E=2M^4Csquared$. You are never likely to see a more tricky equation. Because the answer to $2E=8Mcsquared$ That means $1E=4$ $E=2M^2Csquared$ That's why people will believe it. It is not six is two. It is $2+2=4$. All it means is that we can add light. That the argument that we can't add isn't right. That is where we come in with the creation equation. Does it mean we can add $2+2=4$. ? It most certainly does not mean that. It means we can't add $2+2=4$ Because if we could add $2+2=4$. Then it would be eight. The answer to what infinity is would be eight. Then if it was right. They wouldn't be wrong to add. Because they have their answer. It will work in their equations.

And eight will work instead of nine. But there is no reason to use eight unless you are a hater. Because we shouldn't believe it. That we are the haters. Because people who hate God will say infinity is eight. They will say that $2E=2M*4CSquared$. And maybe I'm wrong. Let's just stop for a moment and consider that. It doesn't seem likely that four will be the number for infinity. And when it does seem likely. Then I might be right. This equation might be the one equation that means 666. So obviously why we would we want it. Simply because it was revealed. We might believe $2+2=4$ is our own special revelation. That it is our equation. That we invented it. Because people that believe it are just like that. And we might believe that Einstein's equation is our own invention. And that is what we would be accused of. Making up our own version. But all we have said is that infinity can be added. And that isn't a lie. So it makes all the difference to tell the truth even about a little thing. Maybe we are wrong. That is what people who believe in $2+2=4$ may one day think. And if they don't change their mind back again there is a chance they may be saved. Maybe we are wrong. We can think that. That the creation equation is wrong. Then three doesn't equal nine. Three twos are four. Because that is the alternative. Perhaps that one should have gone in tribulation force.

And it will go in the next movie. If three doesn't equal nine. Then $3/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And that is how you prove it wrong. You don't believe that it is right. So you say $E=MCsquared$. And that is true. $3/3$ does equal $MCsquared$. But that doesn't change the fact that $1/3=MCsquared$. If we have understood the idea of three in one. And we really have. We have understood the idea of three in one. So no one will deny that $3/3E=3/3M*3/3CSquared$. But they will deny that three equals nine. $3=9$ When we add equations $2+2=4$. Then we add them two at a time. And when we add $3+3+3=9$ We add the equations two at a time. Because we multiply. And that means we multiply three equations by three equations.

And that is the same as adding the three lots of equations. The mystery of $2+2=4$ is being able to add and multiply at the same time. And that is a mystery. And it really isn't. It is something that you can understand. Then you put three equations together you have one equation (infinite) I put infinite in brackets because you can have one infinite equation. You just have to take $1/3$ of one equation. And multiply it by $1/3$ of another equation. And that is two. So we don't add. And we do we add three equations. Then we get $3/3$ we get one. And we get equations that are the same as $E=MCsquared$. And that's simple it either works or it doesn't. That's what you said about $2+2=4$. That it doesn't work. And you were right. But three equations added together give you an infinite equation. It is always equal to .999' That means it isn't really one. And it does mean that. Because $E=MC Squared$ isn't really one. It is really .999'

And that is the wrong way to add three equations. You don't take a third of each equation. And then add them together. You just add three equations together. And then are infinite. And they really are. Because it is the same. As adding three infinities. Because one in three is not the same as three. That why when you take a third of something it is infinity. Now you know the opposing arguments.

$1.333 \times 3 = 4$ That means infinity is eight. To add equations you add them $2+2=4$. And when they are eight they are infinity. And the alternative argument is you add $1/3$ of one equation to $1/3$ of another equation to $1/3$ of another equation. Or you just multiply. Two equations together. And that's where you make your mistake because that is the same as 666.

3D TELEVISION-(A possibility.)

What was my original theory on building a 3DTV. It was four. It was $2+2=4$. And that equation is what I believe is the answer. And I really do not. I believe the answer is $1/3$. And that is the equation. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And that means when light is reflected it travels at an infinite speed. It may be very slow. It may be almost zero but it still infinity. $2+2=4$ mean dividing light into four. It can still be a possibility. That there can be four colors. And that you can divide light into two lots of two. Because light being reflected to infinity means it breaks up into infinity of images. And each and every image is 2Dimensions. But when you put two images together. You get three dimensions. So if you divide that infinity of images into 2. Then you have $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ And that would have to be the image of the beast. Because the principal of the image is quite ordinary. But because it is infinite. It is the wrong thing. And it may not be sharper. And it just might be. A nicer picture. But if it was. It wouldn't be 666. Because to have an infinite 2. Is to have an image. One that is not infinite. Because that is what it proves that two is infinity. And that you can get three dimensions using two. But that is the difference between truth and error.

Because we know that an infinite image is a better one. And we can be certain. That three dimensions are as beautiful as they can be. If you use three. Because we can divide infinity into three images. And then we will have a truly infinite image. The question is why don't we have three eyes. Because we need three eyes.

To be able to see a three dimensional image. And there is a way. That we can have three eyes. And that is three cameras. Each from a different direction. And that just isn't the way that we can have three eyes. It is the way of believing.

That two isn't right. And that three is. Then our eyes will always see what you would not normally see. Most people would be happy with the idea of dividing the infinite reflection of images between two mirrors into two. Or into $2+2$. They would see that it probably would be a better television. And there is no reason for that. Because depth is infinity. And it really is. And that is the way to create an illusion of depth. If you use three mirrors. You can create real depth. And that is the difference. The picture is real. Not an illusion. All you can produce by dividing infinity into two is an illusion. Not real 3D. Since I have only experimented with two mirrors. I can't know what it is like if you can reflect light to infinity in three mirrors. But there is every reason to be sure that it is possible. To use three mirrors as three eyes. Because they all gather light. And they do reflect an image. And when it is put together. It is $1+1+1$ Because it is really $3+3+3$. And that is right. Because we need nine. And that is impossible. To have nine reflectors. Because if we could have nine reflectors we could have an image that wouldn't be 666 And that is just a belief. A belief that 666 is wrong. And that $3+3+3$ is right. That means $6+6+6=18$ And when it is infinite three six's equal two. Just as three threes equal nine nine nine nine nine.... . Forever. If we had a telescope with three mirrors and they were all cut to $1/3$ of 10. Then they would be infinity. And the image that you get with three mirrors. Would be almost the same as what you would get if you had nine mirrors. The key might be cutting the mirrors to $1/3$ of a multiple of 10. And it really is. The mirrors are infinite. When they are $1/3$. So what is stopping you rush out to a telescope place and ask him to build a multi mirror telescope that is $1/3$. And when he understands he might be worried. That it won't be easy to cut it that accurately. But he will do his best. Maybe I'm wrong that you need mirrors that are a third. But that is what the creation equation says $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ Does that mean three mirrors. It really doesn't. It means $1/3$. It means the mirror that has to be cut has to be a third. And that if you have three of them you have a mirror that is infinite. Scientific gobbledygook. Maybe not. Maybe no one has ever thought to cut a mirror that is $1/3$ of ten. And perhaps someone has. And it wasn't of any value. But I would believe that if they had done it. It would have been of value. So we have our mirrors and we need to have an angle.

Should it be a triangle. Or should the mirrors be round? The mirrors should be round. Because that gives the kind of image that is as good as it can get. Infinity becomes one circle within another. And a square mirror is just as easy. You can cut one that is $1/3$. But you know what it means that it is $1/3$. It means it is infinity. And a circle is infinite. So know we just add the magic ingredient. Computers. We can take the image going to infinity. We can turn it into a digital image. And we can use color. To get 3D. And that is what you would not

believe is possible. To use three colors to get 3D. But is it isn't possible, You will have to settle for an infinite 2. That is $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ $.666=.666+.666+.666= 2$ And the reason why $2+2=4$ is also wrong is that. $4/3E=4/3M+4/3C+4/3C$ And that means $1.33= 4$ Infinity is equal to four. And infinity is two lots of .666 That's why we have to try really hard to make this television. We have to figure out how you can you an infinite mirror and three separate colours to produce a 3D image.

And maybe it will never have depth. But if it does have depth. It will be a picture that has to be seen to be understood. And perhaps it a picture that the enemy really hates. That might explain why there are no 3D TV's. He is waiting for one that proves infinity is two. Maybe you can use three electron guns. Because that is what is used at present. But the image has to be reflected in three mirrors. Cut to exactly 1/3. It could be 1/3 of 100. Because that would be right. As long as all three mirrors are the same. But if was a third of 33 then it would be right. Because that is the way to add up. The mirrors can be electron mirrors then we don't need the computer to put the images together. If an electron mirror can be exactly a third. Then it can reflect the light in three mirrors. And put the image back together again. With a lens.

Because it needs to be easy. And it does need to be difficult. Because nothing is easy. And putting three electron images together is not that easy. But when they are put together. You have the possibility of three dimensions. This is an update. I have new ideas that are not the same as when I shared my grandfather's experiment with you. But the key to my grandfathers working model was reflecting radio waves to infinity. And it is possible to reflect them to infinity in twos. Because that is quite possible. That his experiment reflected them in twos. But the only difference between what I thought then and what I think now. Is the number 666. I know that two lots of $E=MC^2$ are 666. So I believe infinity is not two but three. Just like a miracle means nine miners were rescued. Why is it a miracle. Because every single one was important. So I wish I knew how grandfather reflected the radio waves to infinity. All I know is that it can be done using valves. And reflecting them in threes is probably not that hard. And the same goes for reflecting electrons in a wire.

They can be reflected at the speed of light so that they are three. It is a pity I don't know exactly how it is done. Then I could give the patent to creation science. And they could do research. And with all of the scientists who believe creation if CREATION SCIENCE had a research project to produce the next generation TV set. They would succeed. People would donate their patents. Progress would be made. Media Companies would be owned by Creation Science. Then the gospel would be proclaimed. I have already described how it could be. Ken Ham would be the super grass. And he would be as bad as Walt

Disney. But it doesn't have to be like that. You can achieve anything. If you do research. And that's just true. That a lot of money is spent on research. And that if it does do the job it will matter not at all that it was creation. Suppose the TV we have in the future is built by creation science.

And there is no need to build a 3D TV. That is what the sceptics would say.

What does it prove anyway. It proves three in one is infinity. Then one day the antichrist will come along and build a better one. One that means 2 is infinity. And the sceptics won't say that doesn't matter. They will say it proves evolution. I believe Creationist scientists have the talent to build something practical and create a 3D TV that means creation. And it's a stupid belief. Nieve. Clamourously foolish. Because that is what we are told to be. Clamorously foolish. And if we are happy. That God created then we believe in infinity. We believe it is the answer to a better television. One where good programs are shown all the time. And if that is stupid. Then I am guilty of being stupid and believing for a world where the gospel gets a fair go. The trouble is I know how to build a television that means infinity is two.

That is because 3DTV has been created by using two. Because that just isn't true. They haven't created 3DTV. So it might be the truth that two isn't right for that kind of thing. So while I could divide infinity by two. That would mean every image would be the same. And it would not. It would mean every two images would be the same. And that what it means to divide it into two.

It means two images that are the same. Because if we have four images they have to divide into two lots of two. So when we use two we have two colours. Because my solution before was to use two colours. And it really may not have been. My solution may have been that four colours would also work. And that solution may have been right. Because we know two lots of 666 equal infinity and that is four. $.666 + .666 = 4/3E = 4/3M + 4/3C + 4/3C$ So what am I trying to say. That that isn't true.

And I expect that the sceptics would have a field day. Because they would say there is nothing wrong with $2/3E$. It is all about the presupposition list approach. We know as Christians that an infinite 666 can't be right. We don't know the reasons why. But we can suspect that $2+2=4$ proves Einstein not to be right. And that creation means order and design not chance. The sceptics won't be able to see any difference between $1/3$ and $2/3$. And therefore they will believe in adding Einstein's equation is twos. And I may be right. Because they are as clever as serpents. And they may not believe $2+2=4$. And of course the question is do I believe $2+2=4$. And of course I do. I do believe you can't add $E=MC^2$. Not in twos. I believe you can add it in threes. And I am absolutely right. You can add it in threes. So how do I turn the fact that you can add $E=MC^2$ but not in twos. But in threes into a 3D TV. It all has to

do with dimensions. There should be nine. And that isn't what we believe. We believe there are ten. And so it is obvious how creation changes that belief. It is 9.9999' That's how many dimensions there are. Then we have to work out. How to get three dimensions. If we have three lots of three dimensions. Plainly we only need two. Two lots of three dimensions. That is what we will not believe. Because we don't need two. We only need one. One lot of three dimensions.

Is $1/3+1/3+1/3$ And that just is true. Because it may not be. The reason being is our equation makes $1/3 = 1$. And that is the mistake. That everyone would be sure is a mistake. Because $1/3$ does equal $3/3$. And the only other way to put it would be to use not equal. That is $1/3$ does not equal $3/3$. And that would be saying that the equation isn't right. Because for infinity to be three. Is to three* three. And that just is the way it is. It can't be $3*1$ Because then $1/3 = 1/3*1/3M+1/3*1/3C+1/3*1/3C$ And that equation means that is $.1111+.1111 + .1111$ And that means it is $.333$. And I have surprised myself because the equation is right. Just as correct as not using two lots of $1/3$. Because three infinities are equal to one infinity. And that means $3/3$ are equal to one third. And maybe that isn't true. Maybe $1/3E=1/3*1/3M+1/3*1/3C+1/3*1/3C$ is not equal to $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And that is the whole truth. If infinity is not one thing. Then it is really three things. Then we could have the equation $1/3E=1/3 1/3 1/3 M$ And that wouldn't be equal. Because infinity is equal. So $.111$ is equal to $.333$ But if we say infinity is not equal then $.111$ is equal to $.111*3$. And that has to be true. You can multiply infinity. And you can add it. And you get the answer. That three times infinity. Is equal to $1/3$. Because then the answer is three times infinity is equal to $3/3$. And three thirds is $.999$ ' And that is as simple as $3*3=9$ That's the way we multiply infinity. So we have to add three infinities in the same way. So maybe the creation equation is to get us to think. The right equation would be $3/3E=1/3M+1/3C=1/3C$ And there is no reason that that is not right. One E is equal to $1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And our whole understanding of infinity. Is based on the idea that infinity is 9. Because if it was. Then our understanding of infinity would be the way it should be. One energy is divisible into 9MCSQUARED. And is that true or is the creation equation the right equation. Is it right to say $1/3E$ is divisible into 9MC Because $1/3M*1/3CSQUARED=1/3E$ And that is just simple maths.

Both equations are the truth. You can call E $1/3$. And it is right. You can call E 1. And it is still nine. But the alternative that $1/3E=1/3 1/3M+1/3 1/3C+1/3 1/3 C$ Is not wrong. Because when light is reflected backwards and forwards. $1/3$ is right. It is $1/3*1/3E=1/3 1/3 1/3M+1/3 1/3 1/3C+1/3 1/3 1/3C$ And that means $.11111=.037037037 +.037037037+.037037037$ What it means is $1/3*1/3$ is

two. Because it is $\frac{2}{3}$ and it is not equal to three lots of $.037037037$ And it is. But not 3×3 lots.

Because that adds us to $.333'$ and that is $\frac{1}{3}$ If you have two lots of $.037037037$ then you get $.074074074$ And that isn't wrong. You can't have two lots of $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ and you can. You can have two lots but not if you use $E=MCSQUARED$. Unless you multiply. And that is multiplying three twos together. Because it really isn't.

It is multiplying two twos together. So I haven't proven anything. And I'm not sure exactly what I have proven. But I think I have shown that two thirds is not equal to three lots of three. And I might have proved that they are the same. And I really don't believe that. That I've proven that. Because $\frac{1}{3}$ should be equal to $.666$. Instead by multiplying it I have shown that is equal to one. And that is why you have to be careful. Because one equation is the creation equation. And that is $\frac{1}{3}E=\frac{1}{3}M+\frac{1}{3}C=\frac{1}{3}C$ And it does prove that one is nine. And it does prove that one is three. And when you forget that you don't understand. Why 666 is not infinity. Why two can never be infinity. So we need three dimensions in one. So then we need $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$. But we don't. We need third of third of a third. That is how we get three dimensions in one. And it is only a mathematical answer. But that is what science is. It is about measurement. So when we get $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$. We won't have $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$. And that is the answer to why you can't have three dimensions. Because if you only have two. You can only have four dimensions. But if you only have one dimension. Then you can divide it into three. And then it will be infinity. It will be equal to having one dimension divided by three thirds. And when we say infinity is not equal. We are right. $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ does not equal $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ $\frac{1}{3}$ And that is a strong belief. That they are not equal. And as a result of that. $\frac{3}{3}E=\frac{1}{3}M+\frac{1}{3}C+\frac{1}{3}C$. Because that is not equal to $\frac{2}{3}$ $E=\frac{2}{3}M+\frac{2}{3}C+\frac{2}{3}C$ If they are not equal then they are not the same. They are two different equations. Both are not right. That is our presupposition list approach. But we can prove that they are both not right. By adding a $\frac{1}{3}$. If we add a $\frac{1}{3}$ to $\frac{2}{3}$ then we have $\frac{3}{3}$. SO that means that we can add a third. Because we can add infinity to infinity to infinity. That means two and three are not the same. That means one is right for infinity. And one is not the right thing. And there is no proof. And it all written. Because the proof is in the scriptures. And if you want to see how confusing 2 can be when it is infinite. You just have to read Einstein proved wrong. You can prove white is black with an infinite two. But $E=MCSQUARED$ is one. And because it is one it is also three. And while $\frac{1}{3}E$ does equal $\frac{1}{3}M=\frac{1}{3}C+\frac{1}{3}C$. $\frac{2}{3}E$ does not. And that means we have two infinities that equal. And one infinity that does not equal. So why would we choose to believe that you can't add. Because you can add $\frac{1}{3}$. And get $\frac{2}{3}$. And that is how wrong it is. To not add three thirds.

Because you should add the three together Then you have $3/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And if you add the creation equation then you have $3/3E=3/3M+3/3C+3/3C$ And if we multiply we have $3/3E=3/3M*3/3CSQUARED$ And that is just $E=MCSQUARED$. And that proves it. The creation equation can be added up. In threes to get $E=MCSQUARED$. And it does prove. That two is not right. So that when we seek to make three dimensions from two images. We are wrong. Three dimensions are $E=MC$ Squared. They are one and they are three. So each term of $E=MCSQUARED$ is three in one. So none of that helps with the objective of making a 3D TV. And if you're sure. That it doesn't help. Then you haven't put two and two together. To get four. Six lots of .666 are four (4).

How To Build A Better Atomic Bomb

This is the sequel to Einstein Proved Wrong. And it will have to be. Because it wouldn't be right for Einstein not to be right unless you could build a better bomb. We will begin with our equation $2+2=4$. To summarize Einstein isn't right.

You can add. You can add C. And a math's professor begged he assured me that velocities do not add up in a linear fashion. And he may be right. And then will be a better bomb. Because there might not be. So to build a better bomb you have to have a better equation. When we make $E=MC^2$ two we have a better equation. Because $2+2=4$. And that's why it is better. And that doesn't make sense. And of course it does. You can add up two and get four. And why would that be a better bomb. Because it would be stronger. And it may not be. Because the equation is just $2+2=4$. And if were just $2+2=4$ then everyone could have a bomb. And it really is like that. It is simple enough for anyone to build an A-bomb. And that's why I am writing this book. I want people to know how to build A BOMBS. And I really don't. I want the US to have a better bomb. One that does the job. And I can't begin with my equation. And I really can. I have begun with my new equation for Einstein's. $2M+2M+E=4M+E$ And that's awful because it could be tested. And it may be an even better bomb. Why is it better because it is the right equation for fusion. You have to put in energy to get energy out. And you have to know exactly how much to put in so you will know exactly how much you get out. So that is the secret to building a better bomb it's the amount of energy you put in. And that amount you get out. And it really isn't the secret. The secret has to do with reflection. Neutrons can be reflected to infinity. And when they are they loose all of their energy. And a lot of their speed. And slow neutrons are what you need. So I don't know what I'm talking about. I don't think that entirely fair. I just gave you two ideas that could result in a better bomb. And they were not that bad. But really do I know. Not without experiment. We have to test my bomb in Nevada. And as the administration has assured everybody that there will be no more tests. How will my bomb be tested? I will have to test it myself. And that is what I'm not going to do. I'm going to test my atomic bomb in Nevada. And that's just true. In Las Vegas. No in the testing ground. Because you have to be careful what you say these days. Or people will think you are a

terrorist. So I will begin by writing the story I read when I was a teenager. How to build your own atomic bomb. Only I don't remember how to do it. And I don't have the Internet. Or I could look up the article. But anybody can build an ordinary atomic bomb. What about an extraordinary one. Light travels at the speed of light. And really light travels faster. When it is reflected. And if that were true. I would be able to build my bomb. Because light would have more energy when it is reflected. And light does not. But it may have more speed. So forget about reflecting the neutrons. We will reflect the radiation. Light can be reflected in an instant from zero to infinity. And possibly when light loses energy it gains velocity. And if that were the truth. It would be simple to build an atomic bomb. You would need to reflect the light produced by the bomb in one direction. Because that wouldn't work. And that's what you would have to do. Because the bomb, doesn't explode. And it does explode. And before it does. Light is radiated in all directions. And when the light is not radiated in all directions it is radiated to infinity. So what do we have. A kind of semi-transparent mirror. Then we have a laser. Because the light that is reflected between the two mirrors is reflected to infinity. That is what a laser is. It is light reflected in two directions. Only light can travel through one of the mirrors. So it is reflected in only one direction.

And that is the way to put it. Light doesn't reflect in all directions. And of course it does. But when you reflect it between two mirrors light only reflects in one direction. And maybe that kind of bomb has some value. But it has already been built.

And perhaps not. Perhaps the scientists didn't believe the mirror could survive an atomic blast. But it only has to survive for a microsecond. And even that might be too long. The mirror could be made of diamond. And still not survive a microsecond. But I believe a glass one would survive. The blast of course could not be reflected. But the light could. And what value would there be the blast that went in only one direction. Perhaps a lot of value. But it is not the blast that goes in only one direction. It is the radiation. So what I have got nothing really. And it might not be. Just an understanding of what a laser is. The value of C has to be close to infinity. And it is. It is infinitely small. That is how a laser works. Two divides the speed until it approaches zero. According to this equation $E/2 = MC^2/2$ That means that mass and the speed of light are both divided by two the first time they are reflected. And when they are reflected to infinity. Mass and the speed of light both are an infinitely slow velocity that approaches zero. It is fair to say mass is a velocity. Since velocity must have mass. So my equation is wrong. It wouldn't be used. Lasers do not travel slower than C . But a laser isn't really light being reflected to infinity. To do that you need two identical mirrors. The light does not pass through one of

them. But is reflected in two directions. Until the mirrors no longer exist. That is not a laser. That is a two directional light beam. And it may not be coherent. But it might be quite different than ordinary light. This is a time bomb. Because light travelling more slowly has an effect on time itself. And maybe it accelerates time. Because it appears that light has travelled from zero to infinity. So it has traveled the maximum distance that it can travel. And this has taken no time at all. Because this can happen in the moment of the blast. The blast is affected by time. Because time is accelerated for light. The blast will be instantaneous. It will take place over a much shorter period of time. And you can work out just what the time dilation of the slowed light will be. If the blast takes place over a shorter period of time. That means it is a bigger blast. And it may mean just that. That if you could slow time then the blast wouldn't be as strong. But if can speed time up. Then the blast is a lot stronger. So I have gone from having no idea. To having an idea. It depends on the idea that time is changed when light is reflected. Time may only change for the light. Or it may change for the blast itself. Since there is a way of reflecting neutrons. They can be just like light. They would be accelerated by being reflected in two directions. So what are you waiting for? Get permission from the White House for a nuclear test. We will try nuclear mirrors. And that means just mirrors. And we see if there is any time dilation. And then if there is we will test our bomb. If Las Vegas is still there we will know that the bomb didn't work properly. Because we need a bigger one. One that will change someone's mind. So you believe that I am wrong about light changing its velocity when it is reflected between two mirrors. And you may not be right.

But supposing you are. A large block of glass silvered on each side. That would ensure that the light is reflected through a solid. And if it were then time would be changed. If I am right time will change when light travels slower than C .

And if that effect can enhance the blast. At least it could enhance the radiation. There is no way of being certain that time itself is being changed for the blast. Other than to measure time. A simple experiment would be to use a clock.

To see if a clock contained within the solid block of glass was altered even a little bit. It is well known that when light travels slower time is affected.

Exactly how time is affected is what the experiment should be all about.

Lawrence Livermore laboratories are working on a bomb that could destroy underground bunkers. And of course I am too. Because If I want to build a better bomb. I have to build a bomb that will destroy underground bunkers.

And I am going to build one. And I'm not. Because your not allowed to build an atomic bomb. The bomb will be just like any other bomb. That will destroy underground bunkers. And apparently not. Apparently the blast is not directed down into the ground. So I must not be the right man to write this book.

Because I don't have an idea how to destroy underground bunkers. That is unless my first idea of reflecting the blast in two directions. Might work. We have to think about how to reflect a blast. Maybe two blasts would reflect a blast. And that doesn't make sense that one blast would reflect the other. But you can't build a mirror for a blast.

And maybe you can build some kind of electromagnetic mirror for a blast. That can direct it under the ground. But I really don't have much of an idea. Just the idea of reflecting electrons. Because I can reflect electrons to infinity. And electrons will reach infinity if they are travelling at 99% of the speed of light. And that really isn't true. That they have to. Because they may be infinite at a third the speed of light. And if you have to build an atomic bomb. You have to understand reflection. Because the neutrons have to be reflected. And that just is true.

Every neutron that strikes an atom of U 23 produces more neutrons and they make a chain reaction. And the more neutrons that are deflected back to the uranium. The more powerful the blast will be. But there is no way of reflecting neutrons to infinity. That is why the best experiment is not a bomb that can be let off at Nevada. The best experiment is to build a mirror that can reflect neutrons.

And an ordinary mirror will be fine. And that may be true. That you can't reflect neutrons with an ordinary mirror. That you need some kind of electric mirror.

Some kind of electromagnetic mirror. And I said that and I didn't. I said an electric mirror. And that the same thing. And it may not be. And that's what you need to figure it out. You need to reflect electrons. Because if you can reflect electrons. You can reflect neutrons. And that not be as simple seeing neutrons don't have an electrical charge. And a neutron does not have a charge. And that is what we believe. And we may be right. They may not have a charge. Or they may be a little bit negative and a little bit positive. And that makes sense. Because then they would have no charge. So there is no way to add up. And there is a way to add up. $2+2=4$. Two neutrons and two neutrons add up to four. If they are both negative and they are both positive. They add up to four. And that makes sense. But not to me. Because I think you can have a positive neutron. And a negative one. Then $2+2=2$. And $2+2$ might equal zero. And that's the equation that I've used to reflect electrons. When you add one direction you can't add one. You have to add two. And you get 2. And you shouldn't. Because there are two directions you can't add them. And you really can't. Because if you did add two directions you would get four. And that's what you should get. But when you add electrons going to infinity you get $E=0$ And $E=0$ is the answer. That where energy is at it greatest. And it may be where

C is at it's least. Because energy is $E=MC^2$. And when you divide E by two then you get $E=MC^2/2$. Because that is the same thing. And when you divide by two get you get infinity. You get $E=\infty$. It is almost zero. But not quite. What if you could slow down all the light in an atomic bomb? Would that decrease the blast? It might. Maybe slow light is light with no energy. Light that has been reflected to infinity And that isn't the answer. And it might be. There is no way of reflecting the atoms of uranium. And they're a way of reflecting the atoms. That is to reflect a part of the atom.

And to reflect those neutrons to infinity.

They will loose a lot of their energy. So our bomb may not go off. But if it does it will be more like an ordinary bomb. It will have less radiation and less blast. And possibly reflecting electrons to infinity. Is not the best experiment? It might not be. Reflecting neutrons to infinity might be the best experiment. That might show what the chain reaction really is. You don't need to build a bomb to play around with reflecting neutrons to a zero energy level. If light loses energy it slows. That may be a theory ahead of its time. But if particles loose energy they slow. That is totally true. So If we want neutrons travelling slowly. We can reflect them until they have no energy. Then they will be travelling as slowly as they can be. That will make the chain reaction last a lot longer. The blast will last longer but it less intense. If we want to blast to be more intense. Then we have to reflect the neutrons in the opposite direction. Because that is just common sense. That if they are losing energy reflected in two directions. They should only be reflected in one direction. I am a one-idea man. I only have one experiment when it comes to nuclear physics. But it is my own experiment. Or at least my grandfathers But the experiment can't explain everything. And maybe it could explain the blast that began everything. That would have to be the biggest bomb. The blast that started everything. We know that in the beginning Time was zero. And energy was infinite. Because light always has infinite energy. When you divide it by two it never stops dividing. , It never reaches zero. So the energy of the original big bang had to be infinite. Otherwise the energy of light that is its brilliance would reach zero. And maybe its speed would as well. However we had better no think too much about the big bang. Because that the way to build a better bomb. One that brings the universe to an end. Would that be better. Would it be better to have a less powerful atomic bomb. Or would be better to have an infinitely powerful atomic bomb. Then you could never let it off. So perhaps it would be a better bomb.

Unless somebody did. Then it would be the wrong thing for creation to be destroyed by mankind. Since it is wrong it will never happen. And that is our belief. That because it isn't right that it can't happen. And that belief is not a wrong one.

REFLECTION INCREASES ENTROPY.

And that is a theory. That time travels faster when light is reflected to infinity. If that were true then a laser would be light without much energy. But the opposite appears to be true. Laser is light with a lot of energy. Because it has been reflected in two directions. Then it has been reflected in one direction. And there's the answer. Somehow you have to reflect the neutrons in two directions then they have to pass through the mirror and be reflected in one direction. And that would be a neutron laser. And a neutron is a particle and not electromagnetic radiation. But if you could reflect neutrons to infinity. And then allow them to pass through the mirror.

Energy would be increased. The blast would be greater. Since entropy is reversed by a laser. The opposite is true of light that is just reflected in two directions. And perhaps that isn't true. Maybe the potential is there to reverse entropy. But when light can't go anywhere it has lost all its energy. As soon as it is allowed to go somewhere it's energy is amplified. So we will call it the amplified emission of radiation bomb. Because it is the opposite of the bomb that will make a difference. Reflecting light in two directions is the answer to increasing entropy. And that means a smaller bomb. The answer to a more directed one. Lies in time. Time approaches infinity when light approaches zero. And when light is suddenly released from imprisonment between two mirrors. It is energy might approach infinity. And time might approach zero. That is assuming that a laser is like the beginning. The universe ends then begins again. And that does make sense if the model means Time is zero. Then time when it is infinity. Means the ending.

And unless we really have the right type of mirror. It is the ending for light. Where has all of the energy gone. Has it all been released? Or is it all potential energy. Obviously some of energy is in the light. It is not released unless the light can pass through the mirror. But some of the energy has gone into time. Time has been sped up. That is a theory. About where all the energy in a beam of reflected light has gone. Maybe it has gone into the frame of the mirror. And if you used energetic light you could test that theory. To see if the frame heats up. Because heat and light are one thing. And they are two separate things and that just doesn't seem to be the case. If we used hot light in our mirror. The frame would be incinerated. Because we would assume that. If we understood how much energy has been released. Because you can take the energy from the atomic bomb and get all of the energy from the uranium. And if you could get all of the energy from a beam of light. How much energy would that be. If E approaches zero what has happened to the infinite energy. The laser answers our question. The energy is in the light. Even though it has no brilliance it still has energy. It is like dark energy. And that dark energy can be changed from

darkness to light. When we release the dam the potential of dark energy is seen. The laser has an infinite energy. Light carries as much energy as it can carry. And that can be shown by the attempts to build a better laser. A laser is a perfect thing. You can't build a better one. Light can only carry the right amount of energy. And that is infinity. Now I might suggest an experiment. Reflect lasers to infinity.

Once the beam has past through the mirror it can be reflected to infinity.

And that may be true. That it can be. So to reflect a beam of infinite energy to infinity. Means it will go to zero. All of the energy will be extracted from the beam.

And go into the frame or time itself. Or the opposite will happen the energy will be potential. And then energy can be released. And that amplifying light. One step at a time. To take the next step is to amplify laser light. And then when that is amplified. To release it. And maybe that is the better laser. And it might be. Because you can't argue with $E=0$ The laser light has to lose all of energy and become dark energy. Then the dark energy may not be the same as a laser. Understanding the reflection of light may be the key to a better bomb. But perhaps it will be used to destroy that bomb. The experiment can work. Since the amplification of light. Is taking it to zero. You can start again with a new level of energy. And you can continue to send the beam that is produced between two mirrors so that is reflected to infinity.

If I am right the energy does not really approach zero. It seems to us that the brilliance of the light is reduced every time it is reflected. But that's what's happening.

Its energy is being reflected. And it is losing energy. But where is it going. It is going into the light. Into its speed. Because that is too be on shaky ground.

But when a beam comes out of a reflection chamber it has not been reflected to infinity. And that be absolutely true. That we can build a laser that reflects to beam to infinity. Then it will be a better laser. And the speed of that laser will be C .

And it may be different to C . Because light does not slow down when it is reflected. And if it does then it loses all of its energy. And when it goes through the mirror it gains energy. And it may gain speed as well. Light travelling in two directions travels slower. Light travelling in only one direction travels faster. That is a theory that might be out of this world. Because on this world light can only travel at the one speed. But if assume light slows down when it is reflected. We have to assume light speeds up when it is released. Unless we just believe Einstein. And I must be jealous. I want to be Einstein. And perhaps that isn't true. I want to have my own idea. And have a better idea than Einstein's. And my idea would be that two equal's infinity. And that is a

really bad idea. Because when you add up $2+2$ you get four. And that is a good idea. Because it doesn't mean, that four is the right equation. Because it might. It might mean that when two is divided into two. It is one. And that is as sensible as an idea can be. That when you divide two into four you get two. And that why I'm Einstein. Because I know $2+2=4$. And that doesn't make me an Einstein. But understanding why $2+2$ does equal four. Is all I have to do. Because I have already got my equation. And it is $E=MC^2$. Because if I know it. Then I know that it has to be one. And I realise that. That it has to be one. Because the speed of light is one. And it is multiplied by M . So there is no reason that M can't be two. Then E has to be two as well. And that's the reason I'm no Einstein. I would think that that would be OK. Because it isn't the right equation. And it might be. Because I wouldn't be certain. But Einstein would be certain. He would be certain that you can make his equation $2+2=4$ And he would not. He would not be certain. Because two isn't the same as one. And to make two things one. Einstein knew you had to multiply. And that was really not what he did. He didn't make two things one. He made something that was one. Into two things. Because the mass of the speed of light isn't zero. And it might be one. Because the speed of light and it's mass are one. So I come along and say it's a simple as $2+2=4$. That's that all you have to do to make Einstein's equation right. And maybe I'm wrong. But pretty soon everybody believes light can travel slower than the speed of light. And that's my equation. Because that is what I have said. That if you divide energy by two. That is you reflect light in two directions to infinity. The right equation is $E/2=MC^2/2$ Then mass is two things. And the speed of light is two things as well. And it really adds up to a constant. Because E approaches but never reaches zero. And C approaches but never reaches zero. That equation is wrong. And it may be right for light in some kind of medium. Where light has to travel through a solid like glass. Two is right. Because light can be divided. And when it is divided its speed must be divided as well as its energy. That is what the equation means. But it's just wrong. Light doesn't change its speed travelling through glass. So you can divide the energy in two but not the speed. So lets see what that looks like. $E/2=MC^2$ Energy is still equal to MC^2 when it divided into two. And that equation may be right. Then light doesn't slow down in a medium. Because that's what this equation really means. You can reflect energy to infinity and light will not slow down. When we divide we are saying light travels slower than C . And when we multiply we are saying light travels at twice C . And how do we do that. We just multiply C by 2. And that means we add $C+C$. So it really is the same equation. And it really is. Light can travel faster if it can travel slower. And that may not be that right thing. Because light can travel at a speed. Where it is travelling as slowly

as it can. And then it is a constant. So should we then believe that light can travel at a speed that is as fast as it is possible for light to travel? At a speed that approaches infinity. Because that might be 186,000 miles per second. But because I'm not Einstein I would be looking for a number like pi. A number that goes on forever. Because that is what infinity is. Being as dumb as I am and not being Einstein. I might put the constant at the end of the speed of light. And say that that is the exact speed of light. But that would be entirely wrong. But to ask the question about how many places the speed of light has been measured to. Isn't sensible. Because it is exactly 186,000 miles per second. And the other figure for light as it approaches zero. Can't apply in a mirror. Even if the mirror is a solid block of glass. So science has made its decision. I will never get a degree and Einstein will be the one who won the noble prize I have made my decision. And that decision is not to study higher mathematics. Most people would agree that if I studied higher mathematics then I just might come up with some new theory.

But I'm not going to study mathematics and go to college. Because I can. And anybody can do anything that they want to do. And that just is true. But I might not want to spend all my time building a better bomb. Or a time machine. I could have called this book. My time machine. Then it would have sold. But I realise that to build an atomic bomb. Is just what it is all about. You wouldn't build a time machine you would build a bomb. If you could control time it would make a much better bomb. One that could destroy your enemy in the past. Not really but one that could destroy him in the present. And why do the great men of science spend so much time studying black holes. I will kind and say they want to build a spaceship. But really understanding something as violent as a black hole is knowing how to build a better bomb. You are probably going to make a lot more progress trying to build a better bomb than a time machine. Since one is out of the question. And one can be done. But maybe both are impossible. Maybe no more energy can be released from a bomb than is at present. But I think infinite energy might be what they should be interested in. The world needs love. And it needs gas. To be able to provide infinite energy to the earth is what I wish I could do. And I can't. Because it would just mean a bigger bomb. My understanding of where the energy goes from a reflected beam of light is not good. Because I'm not Einstein. But then again Einstein never worked out that a beam of light reflected to infinity loses all of its energy. Where does that energy go? It goes into space. And that may not be true. It may go into the glass. The glass may absorb energy. Or time/space may be affected by light travelling slower. And light may age. But if we could harness the energy from a single beam of light.

Then if we could harness all of energy. It would be infinity. And perhaps we can. If we think about some way to build an engine that runs on the energy from that single beam of light. Then the world could run on solar energy. And anyone that Says that is a crackpot. But not if they say the world could run of nuclear energy. And perhaps all of the energy could be extracted from uranium. Just like all of the energy is extracted from the beam of light. You don't need to be a rocket scientist. To understand that reflecting light to infinity is the key to understanding. The bible Says so.

And that turns you right off. But Paul said that he could see as through a mirror dimly. Maybe he noticed this very thing, as did the Greeks.

That light reflected in a mirror loses energy as it approaches infinity.

And the image becomes a little bit dimmer every time it is reflected.

You would wonder why I continue to talk about this one idea.

Maybe it is because it is the best idea that I have come across in a lifetime. Infinite energy. Old Light. The beginning. The ending. Back to what I'm going to do for a career. I may read HOW TO SUCCEED IN BUSINESS WITHOUT REALLY TRYING. And I wouldn't. Because I don't want to do that. Maybe I will become a genetic engineer. Then I can clone my favorite movie star. She is Meg Ryan. And the more of her that there is. The better the world will be. And that just would be insane. Almost as bad as building a better bomb. So you really have to think about whether a career is sensible or whether it isn't. I just wouldn't like the kind of person I would become if I worked on genetic engineering. But maybe I could see it being a more useful career than nuclear physics. People would live. But people would die also. And you don't believe that. Because you're an idiot. People will die because they will be used in experiments. And those people will be only new. They will never have a chance to benefit from the new technology. They will not be asked. If they want to sacrifice themselves to save somebody else. So I have shown my true colors. I must be a religious nut. Probably a catholic who doesn't believe in contraception. The next thing I will be telling you that life begins at conception.

And I am. I am telling you that. And if you believe that then you can't do what you want to do. Because you want to live for a long time. Many more years than you are meant to. But If understand things correctly you won't have to worry. Society will not allow you to live beyond your years. Not even if it can be done. Because if it could then everybody would live a long time. And the world can't exist if everybody lives a long time. If you want a perfect world then become a Christian. The world will be almost perfect for a thousand years after Jesus returns. And then there will be a new heavens and a new earth. That is hope that is not associated with believing in science. You can believe that some diseases will be conquered. And that others will take their place. You can

believe that we will find out how to get all of the energy out of a beam of light. But you can also believe they will build a better bomb. Science doesn't have the answers. That why I may not study mathematics. I would be believing that I could find the answers. And maybe in the present world there just aren't any answers. Except to have faith, to work hard and be good to your mother. Because you have to. Do what you can. Without believing that what you do will make all the difference. Einstein did. He believed his work would make all the difference.

And he was broken hearted when it resulted in the atomic bomb. So do you think I should tell them they can get infinite energy from a beam of light? Or do you think I should keep this secret to myself. I think that most people would say don't go ahead. Don't do mathematics. Because it's going to result in a better bomb.

And they may be right. I should stop before I begin. And that would seem to be negative. But maybe it's not. And it might not be. If I am already designing the better bomb. Then you can't expect that when I know more I will stop designing it.

Perhaps most people don't worry about a better bomb. And that may be true. They have more sense. And just do the things that really matter. But I am not one of those people. I am likely to end up building a time machine. And that probably isn't true. I would probably end up building an atom bomb. So I had better get to work reading HOW TO SUCCEED IN BUSINESS WITHOUT REALLY TRYING. Because it will be that hard. To not do anything that I want to do. Because it isn't easy to just do what you don't want to do. But maybe the world would be a better place if we did. If we did the things we really don't want to do. A lot of those things are the things we should do. But we never have the time. We are always too busy doing what we are interested in doing. So perhaps I could look at Black holes. And that would be silly since you can't see them. But I could look at everything else. The things the other astronomers are too busy to look at. And I wouldn't have time either. Because I would be looking at a black hole. That is the way it works. And it might not work that way. If I have made up my mind to look at things that other people aren't interested in. But wait a minute. I don't even have a telescope. Would I need to have a telescope if I wanted to be astronomer? There is no need for that. Because astronomers don't have the time to look through telescopes. And the eye is not a suitable instrument for viewing through a telescope. No I will have to be satisfied with taking one or two pictures with the Hubble Space Telescope. And that more than a lot of people will get. And it will be enough for me. I will make a discovery that will make all the difference. That's if the photos turn out. But am I worried about it's not like taking a film to the chemist. All the photos

turn out. So if I really want to do something that matters with my life I should watch Oprah. And I do. Of course I do. But she just tells me to do whatever I feel passionate about. And of course there's this atomic bomb thing. I want to build a better one. But even though if I believe Oprah I should build that bomb. I kind of have second thoughts. Maybe I shouldn't dedicate my life to science just because I feel Passionate about it. Or I did once.

Maybe I have changed. Maybe my likes and my dislikes are not what they used to be Maybe I need a new passion. I will have to watch when Dr Phil talks about that. Until then I am afraid I will be unpassionate. Because I'm not that enthusiastic about business. And you could think of something else. And that might be my whole problem if I have one is that I can't think of something else. Maybe it's because I have tried everything. And I might have. Tried the things I was interested in doing. But they didn't work out. If they had of I wouldn't have a problem. I would be out buying socks for a cloned baby. And that isn't funny. Because it can be like that. If I do whatever I want to do. Instead of working in an office somewhere trying to climb the ladder of success. Maybe I could just work for MacDonald's.

And I could if I was twenty years younger. And that is the answer to my career problem. I have to be twenty years younger. And then I might be junior manager. And anyone in MacDonald's knows that isn't easy. That most people don't do that well in their first year. Forget higher education. You don't need it. I want to listen but I know it will be one of those scams. You can't forget higher education. You do need it. But you can't get past first year. Does that mean you a junior manager. Who gets fired after first year. That exactly what it means you aren't given a chance to learn what working for MacDonald's is all about. And maybe to use a word that is never used I am average. And maybe you would say below average. And I would point to the fact that I could have built that better bomb. And If I am that smart how I can I be just average. ? It's not that you would say it is just that you are smarter. , And maybe you are. Maybe you will build that bomb. Or at the very least take over MacDonald's. I can accept that I am average. It isn't easy but I will accept it. And there are lots of people who are not that. That can't say they are average. They are well below average. And they have the kind of job you don't want. And that might be true that you wouldn't want it. Because they don't work. You only work if you are above average. And write that down on a wall. Because it is true. No one will see what you write. And they just won't. Because they are too interested in what has been written down. In books. Start again when you're forty. And you would have to be an actor. Because you would have to act twenty years younger. And you would have to pretend that you were just learning the job. That you were just starting out.

And you wouldn't be pretending. You used to have a promise of a job for life. If you worked for the railways or any part of the public service. That promise wasn't kept. To so many people. And other jobs there is no promise. And they may have been once. But no one gets a job for life. Education doesn't stop with school. It is never ending. And these changes have left people shocked. They were called FUTURE SHOCK. By people who knew what would happen. When people had nothing to do. They thought the money would be shared around. It is only fair when robots do all the work. But the money was not shared around. People had plenty of leisure time unless they had a job. In that case they had to work long hours.

The alternative not working at all was attractive only because there was enough money. Because there is no money. And there is some. And that is all you need to do something. Some money. Because you can't afford to do anything with your life. You can't afford to buy the suit to go out and get that job. And that wasn't true once, but it is today. That's why I waste my time on my science. On building the better bomb. Because no one offered me a job. But no one ever does. You have to get off your butt and get a job. And that is shorthand for you have to accept their insults when they tell you that you are not suitable for any job. And then as a consolation prize they offer help in writing your resume. And it isn't true that none has ever offered me a job.

One man offered me a job at a radio station. Which I took. But it proved to be a lot more difficult than I was used to. And when the opportunity to leave the city came it was not an opportunity it was something I had to do. That job could have led to a lifetime job. Even though it didn't pay. It may have led to employment. The only other job that has ever been offered to me. Was offered to me by my friend Ralph Thurlow. He offered to train me as a tiler. And the money was not too bad. Better than you would get from anyone. But I couldn't learn. That's what I would have to say. If I had to do the job. That it isn't that easy to learn to become a tiler. My friend and I don't agree about public liability. Or is it personal responsibility. No it is mutual obligation. The idea that you owe the government something just for receiving unemployment benefits. Is a stupid one. It means you are a slave for slave wages. You have no choice the rest of society can choose what they want to do.

My friend thinks it is a good idea. But he can't understand why everybody can't be a tiler just like him. He has a gift. A gift of being good at tiling. And if you have that gift I wouldn't see any reason to pay you the dole unless you did the work.

And that not true because you might have some other gift that you could use. But you have to be a tiler if you can do it. Just isn't true. You have to be anything that you don't want to be. That was how it used to be. And when their

experiment is so called social justice is at an end. It will be that way again. The job of tiling wasn't right for me. My gifts do not include shop. Where I got the lowest score ever. But the radio station job may have been right. Twenty years ago. But I have never got any other offers. I have frequently tried the job shops. And I have always found it to be a negative experience. They don't encourage you to look for work that you want to do. They encourage you to apply for jobs they have already filled. With people who have experience. So I am writing my book HOW TO BUILD A BETTER BOMB. And the best bomb of all would be one to wake everybody up. The kind of bomb we need is a personal bomb. The kind they will put under me. Because they would say that you could put a bomb under me. And I would say we should put a bomb under them. And make it easier for people who don't have experience or degrees and who only have average intelligence to get jobs. They used to work in the factories. But now machines do their work. That is good because we can afford to give average people better work to do. And that would be good if it was the truth. I have just been to the pictures to see KATE AND LEOPOLD. Only I didn't really want to see Leopold. So I must be madly in love with Meg Ryan. And of course I am.

As much as I can be when I have never met her. But she is in every way a most beautiful girl. And that the way Leopold would put it. But I'm not Leopold. She is just perfect. Perfect in every way. Every little gesture is just what I would think a girl should be like.

Average people are not like Charles and Di. They have to live a fantasy. For Charles and Di it wasn't a fantasy. It was reality. And I'm sure that Meg would argue about that. She would not agree that their lives were fantasy. And I would most certainly agree with her. No matter what she said. And that would make for a good relationship. But like Charles and Di average people need a fantasy. Mine is meeting Meg Ryan after the pictures in Legends cafe in Coolangatta. If Meg would go anywhere she would surely go to Legends cafe. And If I were to meet her there. Could I get close enough to say Hi. Maybe that's all I would need to say. She would never forget me. And she would come back looking for me. Now before you put me on a list of stalkers that could mean a problem for Meg Ryan. Remember this is just a fantasy. Just the dream of an average man. The reality is I will never meet Meg Ryan.

And that is so sad. When she is so right. I'm hoping my karma level is high. So that I might meet her in the next life. But that too would be sad. She wouldn't be Meg. I'm hoping Jesus will declare a day of celebration for me and Meg Ryan in the millennium. And if he does then surely she would go out with me.

Maybe Jesus doesn't give a guy an unfair advantage. But the least he could do is make me a duke like our friend Leopold. Now don't get me wrong. I'm not saying Leopold is gay. But I still don't think he is right man for Meg. And he may hate me for that. But I don't think Leopold is that kind of guy. The way Meg cries in *Kate and Leopold* you know she knows just how sad life can be. Wouldn't it be sad if she came to *Legends* and I went to the other theatre at South Tweed. And even if we were both there at the same time. The mall isn't open at night. So we couldn't have that midnight snack. We would have to settle for Big Rooster chicken. It would have to be a chicken date. We called it that at our school. Because if you were chicken to ask a girl out. There was a big Rooster next to the school. But we were absolutely forbidden to go dates. That what it seemed like at the time in grade 12. Meg Ryan and I could have met a journalism school. We are about the same age. But we went to different schools. Mine was the D. D. I. A. E in Toowoomba. And hers is something she would have to tell me. But she would love Toowoomba it's cold and she loves the cold. How would I know. You're only guessing. But I'm right. And she loves to see the leaves change colour. But flowers are not her favourite things. So maybe I should study scriptwriting. Then Meg can be in one of my films. But that is a little bit like *Kate and Leopold*. You're a serpent if you want a woman in your employ to go with you. I wouldn't believe that. And I don't think Meg would either. But she appreciates the difference between real friendship and a guy who just wants a kiss. I might be that guy. And I might. I wouldn't understand how it is to be in a relationship. With someone who is a movie star. But actors only date other actors. And that is the way it is. Maybe an actor would date a producer. But it is not very likely. So maybe Meg had better not go to *Legends*. Because it could mean giving up everything and living in Australia. And maybe being news anchor on the ABC. Would that be so bad. Would it be better for me to live in LA. And be in all the magazines. But Meg is a girl who will do anything for love. I have faith in that. Whatever she chooses to do with her life it will be because of love. She's not perfect. But I said that she was. But none of us are. She will make mistakes. And she will make them right. She will say she is sorry for letting us down. When we expected her to be greater than she really is. And maybe she is greater than we believe she is. If I met her in Toowoomba when I was studying science. She would be in love with some other guy. And I would be her best friend. And I would be Julia Roberts trying to wreck my best friends wedding. Don't get me wrong. I wouldn't want it to be that way. But that would be the way it would be. We might keep in touch. But her boyfriend wouldn't like it. Don't think I know Meg Ryan. I am just a good writer. And you have to have a good imagination to be a good writer. Meg Ryan and me that is what I imagine. And it is a better bomb. $2+2=4$ is the

equation. Because we go together like two and two. You can't do better than good old Einstein proved Wrong. It proves everything and then nothing and then everything about nothing. Maybe I'm not serious about this sequel otherwise it would be 100 pages. But this one is just for Ronald Mallett. It is a grand obsession. And there is probably a French word. That means grand obsession. And my grand obsession I share with Einstein. It is light. I am fascinated by the way light reflects between two mirrors to infinity. It fascinates me that there is an infinite number of images.

Information itself has gone to infinity. Even though it the same information repeated again and again. Energy can be understood. That is the obsession.

That energy can be divided into infinity. And that all of the infinite energy can be released and used. Time is the other big obsession. But it is the same one. Light has traveled an infinite distance if it travels to infinity it must have traveled a very large time perhaps-even infinity. All the time in the universe has passed by in an instant while you were watching. And that is just it we can observe energy being reflected to infinity. We can observe by measuring how much energy is lost each time light is reflected And if time is dilating. We can measure time. By measuring the speed of light. And by working out how much time has elapsed. The obsession is a lot like Ronald Malletts obsession to build a time machine. But this one has already been built by nature. And it can lead to a better bomb. One where all the energy is extracted from light. But that isn't the obsession. The obsession is that we can extract all the energy from sunlight. Maybe we will launch power satellites around the sun.

Or maybe we won't need to. Maybe sunlight on the earth will be enough to provide all the energy we need. All of this can be obtained by the thought experiment. Of light travelling slower than light. Of light loosing it's energy. And then drawing up a new equation. One that makes $E=MC^2$ right for reflection.

And that is no one priority. I may talk some more about the idea of extracting all of the energy from light. And maybe even about the idea of extracting all the energy from neutrons. Because you can't reflect neutrons to infinity. And that's it.

If you can't you can reflect other particles. And you can. So you can still get energy. If we start with an ordinary nuclear reactor. It has control rods that stop the nuclear reaction from proceeding too fast. And we won't need those. Because if we reflect the neutrons backwards and forwards. Till they are infinite. We can extract all of the energy from the neutrons. A little bit at a time. Or all at once. Because energy is infinite. And an atomic reaction has that much energy. That reflecting it.

Extracts all the energy you need. That is the hypothesis. But as I have explained I know nothing about bombs. And I know that they are just neutrons without the control rods. Just explosives and uranium U23. Learning how to extract the energy from light. Is the first step towards understanding how to a better nuclear reactor. But perhaps if we could extract all the energy from light, it would just as good as extracting all the energy from one atom. Calculate how much energy there is in one atom. Assume we can extract by reflection at least 100% of that energy.

Then we can estimate how much greater the yield of the atomic bomb will be. And more importantly how much more energy we will get from a reactor.

Reflection somehow removes 50% of lights energy every time it is reflected.

And that really is true. But because you keep on reflecting. You remove 100% of the energy of light. This potential energy is stored somehow. That is the unknown. How it is stored. Is the energy within the light. Or within the glass. We need to turn that potential energy into kinetic energy. So we will try an experiment. We will dangle a watch between the two mirrors. If the watch moves. By actually trying to jump up. Then we will know potential has been changed to kinetic. And if the time is different we will know time not only changed for light. But for anything within the beam. Just a note about lasers. Perhaps you can't amplify light that is coherent. But perhaps it can amplify the same as ordinary light. That is you pass the laser light between two mirrors reflecting the image the infinity. Then you remove the energy. That is lost And that is very important. Reflection means a loss of energy. And a laser has lost energy. That is light has a lot more energy than a laser beam. When we build a mirror that light can pass through. We reflect the beam in two directions to infinity. And then we measure the output. This is an experiment. I have never done it. So I don't know what to expect.

But I could expect that all the energy from the light. Would be released by the beam. Because perhaps the energy from infinity is stored within the laser beam.

I don't know what else I can say If the idea of reflection hasn't grabbed you.

Then I guess you are not interested. In any of those things. Because light is put together by reflection. That is how you understand what light is all about. I keep thinking of the old tablecloth trick. Where the table is set with all kinds of things. And you pull the tablecloth out from under the dishes. And there is a reason why I think this is a good trick. It is because space should be like the tablecloth.

You should be able to travel through space. By moving space. And that is an idea we do have. Because I have it. And we both have it. Then I'm not the only one with the idea. So now all I have to work out is to use the worlds most powerful

energy source. To pull space out from under an object like a sheet will pull a cat along.

And that is the alternative. When space moves the object that is within space moves also. So I can't think of a way of using the atomic bomb to move space. But I can try. There is a way. The atomic bomb doesn't change what space is all about. And it may. Because space isn't an absence of something. And it really is. So when you have something in place of space. You have what you have.

And object. So an object will bend space. And a lack of energy will make a difference. And that's what I do believe. That energy will make a difference.

That energy is the same as matter. That it will bend space just the same as matter would. But that still doesn't help me to pull the sheet out from the table.

How do I make space move. ? And I do have an idea. And I do. Space can't move. And that just isn't true. Space can move. And if space can move. Then anything within space can be moved as well. $2+2=4$ that is the equation that will make a better bomb. One that will destroy more property. And kill just as many people.

And if that were true it wouldn't be a good equation. And I believe that it isn't a bad equation. Chemical reactions are nuclear reactions. And nuclear reactions are chemical. This is an unknown idea. Because no one understands chemical reactions. They may be nuclear. And they may be just chemical. We do know that one is nuclear. That is the fusion of hydrogen to form Helium. But we were prepared to believe that it could occur at room temperature. And we were wrong. At least we thought we were. So the answer to a nuclear reaction is a catalyst One that will make hydrogen react more strongly. There is at least one catalyst. And that is aluminum it will make hydrogen peroxide give up its hydrogen. So is there any objection to putting aluminum in the hydrogen bomb. And I do mean the hydrogen bomb.

Because the amount of Helium that is produced by fusion may be greater.

And if this works it proves you can form Helium from hydrogen peroxide.

And it may not prove that. But it may give someone the idea that it is possible with another catalyst. Forget all I have written about reflecting the blast to infinity.

This is a much better idea. Aluminum nitrate is an explosive. Aluminum releases a lot more energy than anything else. So the aluminum bomb. Stands or falls on the next Nevada test. And I'd bet my bottom dollar on an increased yield. And If I was wrong. I would still believe there a catalyst that is right for Helium. I would do experiments in trying to get Helium to react. And the first experiment that I would do is to combine heavy water with hydrogen peroxide. Because it is possible to make hydrogen peroxide from heavy water. And if that is possible. That is what I would do. I would combine that with aluminum.

And of course it cannot be combined until the bomb goes off. That aluminum will catalyse the reaction. That forms H404 from H202. And this will work. Because it is a nuclear reaction. And not a chemical one. But it is chemical and nuclear as well.

So that would be what I would put in the bomb. And that shows no understanding of heavy water. Because it might. Show a lot of understanding about how it works. But we began with the wrong equation. What we need is a new equation.

One for creation. The Creation equation is $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And it is infinity. That is what the equation is for addition. It is the same as for multiplication. And that is the only reason we used $2+2=4$. Infinity adds up to nine. It adds up in threes. And that gives you all you need. You have an equation.

And that what it takes to build a better bomb. You need a better equation.

You have a better speed for light. Because 186,000 miles/second is nine.

And that is the maximum speed for light. But it can always travel just one point faster. And that is just the same as zero point radiation. It can always travel just one point slower. And that is absolutely right. We could use two to get an equation for infinity. And that is what we have done. And it isn't right. Two isn't right for infinity. Three is right for infinity. How does this have to do with building a better bomb?

It does. Because you have a better equation. And you can add three things together. And that is mass and light and light. And if it were that easy. We would build the better bomb. But it isn't a matter of understanding why when there is infinite energy only a small portion of that energy is released. And that exactly what it is. It is a matter of knowing why infinity is the way it is. Because zero point energy is simply light reflected to infinity. And it has the speed and energy of a light wave. Because it's speed may be so close to zero that it's energy is also close to zero. But that doesn't give us a clue about nine. Because $1/3$ is equal to zero point.

And '.999999999999' is equal to the speed of light. There is a difference between three and nine. And that is seven. And that makes sense. Because seven is a right number. I don't have any ideas on how to use the Creation Equation to make a better bomb. It seems as if the creation equation should be used in my other essay. You can keep your oil we can make all the petrol we need. And that title is true. If we decide tomorrow to use hydrogen and not petrol. It will be difficult at first. There are few places where you can fill up. The cost of fuel will be twice what it is today. But in a few months there will be a lot of places where you can fill up. And the cost of fuel will have dropped. And one day it will be no more expensive to drive a non-polluting vehicle. Then it is to drive a polluting one. And that change does not have to be as rapid as one day.

But it has to be rapid enough. The result of going with hydrogen means the oil companies will still be in charge. As they will have the technology. The US will not have to bow down to pressure from the Arabs. And the greenhouse gas emissions goals will be met. It is worth it to change policy. This is something that has to happen. And we can either make the most of it. Or have as much difficulty as with other types of change. The Creation Equation means to understand $E = MCSQUARED$ you have to understand zero point. And it does mean that.

It means if you put three times as much energy in you get nine times out.

And that may be the truth. That it takes three times as much energy. To start the bomb. And the more energy is put in the more is released. But it may be that an equation that is meant for creation can never build a better bomb. But maybe it will solve our energy problems. We could hope for that. That by understanding creation and not destruction we would come to an understanding of how to produce energy. $2+2=4$ is your equation for fusion. Base four can be used to add helium. $8+8=16$ $8*8=16$ Because for two helium's addition and multiplication are the same.

But can we use the Creation equation with Helium. We most certainly can.

We can add lots of three. When Helium is in threes it is right.

Not when it is in twos. That means we add three Helium's together. $H4+H4+H4$ And then we add energy. $H4+H4+H4+E=H16+E$ And that is a great equation. It means that Helium is not in fours. It in threes. And H3 is not hydrogen. And it's not Helium. And that why when we add it together. We get Helium. We add $H3+H3+H3$ And we get 9HE And that means eight HE plus one Hydrogen. So we do the same thing as with $2+2=4$. We make a new equation one that is better than $E = MCsquared$. $H3+H3+H3+E=2He+H+E$ And that is the way we made up $2+2=4$ To add energy means to subtract. To subtract the two energies. And get a figure. And we can do that we can subtract E from E. Then we will get a figure that is totally the right figure for energy. Because it isn't infinite. And we can make it so. Because hydrogen is mass. And the mass of hydrogen can be cut in three. Then we have $1/3M+1/3M+1/3M+1/3$ $E=1/3M+1/3$ $M+E$ That means it is equal to $E = MCSQUARED$. Because $1/3M+1/3M+E$ is not equal to $E = MCSQUARED$. And we can make it equal.

And if we want to it isn't the right idea to use infinity. Because that will give us the right equation. So when we add three lots of Helium. We are getting sixteen. And that is our equation. We will us 16 Hydrogen's to mean Helium. Then two nines are 18. And that is the equation. $H3+H3+H3+H3+H3+H3=16$ $H+2H$ And that is the wrong equation. We don't use two. We use three. Then two eights are sixteen. And three eights are twenty-four. Because

we couldn't use three eights. And of course we could use three eights. And that would mean one more lot of three. $H_3+H_3+H_3+H_3+H_3+H_3+H_3+H_3+H_3+E=24H+3H+E$ And that is our equation. $9H_3=24H+E=3H+E$ And that means there are six Helium's. And three hydrogen's. And that adds up to nine. We could express our equation as multiplication just like $E=MCSQUARED$. $H_3*H_3*H_3+E=24H+3H+E$ That means mass cubed +E= +M+E Mass cubed + energy = Mass + mass + energy Now to make up the equation $E=MCSQUARED$. Plus the equation Mass cubed. Means just cubing energy. Then you get $E\text{ cubed}=\text{MASS CUBED}*C\text{ SQUARED}/\text{CUBED}$ And that is right for multiplication. You have to square light and then cube it. And that gives you the value for the speed of light. If we are using THE CREATION EQUATION. We can add. $9E=9M+9C+9C$ And that isn't the right equation. Because nine can be added. And when you add C. You are adding 27 C. And that isn't 27C. That is 27 MC And that is equal to the total mass. $24H+3H+E$ So what do we conclude energy is equal to the total mass? Not just the Helium but the hydrogen as well. And we haven't yet made the equation infinite. Because we have been using $3E=3M+3C+3C$ And to make it infinite. We use a third. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ We use the mass of nine Hydrogens. And we divide that by three. $1/3E=1/3\ 9M+1/3\ 9C+1/3\ 9C$ $1/3E=3M+3C+3C$ And that makes perfect sense. When we want a third energy. You use three. When you want three times as much you use nine. I like the equation where you add energy in order to get energy. I think that makes as much sense as $E=MCSQUARED$. And now that it is three and not two It is a better equation. That is $E\text{ cubed}=\text{Cubed}*C\text{squared (cubed)}$ And that isn't it. But it is a better $E=MCSQUARED$. Maybe even better for atomic bombs. But the better $E=MCSQUARED$. Is $3M*3M*3M+E=24M+3M+E$ This equation explains how the hydrogen bomb works. And the other equation is just $E=MCSQUARED$.

We can add the two important equations together. Using $2+2=4$ And that wouldn't be right. We just have to add them, as they are both three. $E\text{ Cubed}=\text{M cubed} * C\text{ squared (cubed)} + 3M*3M*3M+E=24M+3M+E$ $E\text{ Cubed} + \text{Mass cubed} +E= 3M\text{ cubed}+(24M+3M)+E$ Now that is a job for a physics professor. Simplify. Express it terms of E and M. Because it is an equation for both energy and matter. You add E cubed to M cubed.

And you get two lots of M cubed. And you have to add the energy you put in to make the H-bomb work. And the energy that is produced. And that equation is right for anything. It is a beginning on any serious difficulty. Because both equations are right for creation. But whoa hold on a minute. When we added two equations that were three. We got the answer six. And that is totally right. Six is not the right answer. We can add if we have three equations. Since we

only have two we have to multiply. $3E \text{ cubed} = M \text{ cubed} * C \text{ squared (cubed)} * 3M \text{ cubed} + E = 24M + 3M + E$ And to multiply the equation. We simply multiply the terms. $3E \text{ cubed} * 3M \text{ cubed} + E = M \text{ cubed} * (24M + 3M + E)$ And that is our equation. And this time it is right.

Cubed is $24 + 3M = 27$. Now if I have put the brackets in the right place. You have to add up energy and mass and then multiply those by $3M \text{ cubed}$. $3M \text{ cubed} * 3M \text{ cubed} = 9M \text{ cubed}$. And that is right we multiply matter and energy. And we have a great equation. That means energy must be put in.

And the answer will be accurate. And maybe we don't need to put energy in. And we do. Because we know the energy for that amount of mass. And that is for fusion only. And it might apply to any energy. But if we are going to add three equations. We have to decide which one will be two. To begin with we will make $E = MCSQUARED$ two. $2 \ 3 \ E \text{ cubed} = 2 \ 3M \text{ cubed} * 2 \ C \text{ squared (cubed)}$ And then we will add it to one lot of the other equation. $3M \text{ cubed} + E = 24M + 3M + E$ $6E \text{ cubed} + 3M \text{ cubed} + E = 6M \text{ cubed} + (24M + 3M) + E$ And that equation is right. It is three. And it is nine. And it is six six six. Because that is not what it is. And it isn't. And it really is not. Because it is nine nine nine. It isn't two nines. It is three. When we add three equations we can add them in twos. And that isn't multiplying them. And it isn't. Because $3 * 3$ are nine. And that means multiplication. When you multiply $3 * 3$ you get nine. And that the same as adding all three equations.

Because you do need to multiply them all by three. And if they are all three. Then all you have to do is add them. WHAT DOES ADDING THREE EQUATIONS MEAN. ? We have ended up with $6E \text{ cubed} + 3M \text{ cubed} + E = 6M \text{ cubed} + (24 + 3M) + E * C \text{ squared (cubed)}$ And what the result is we have $9EM \text{ cubed} = 9M + E * C \text{ squared (cubed)}$ And what does the equation mean for fusion. It means $E = MCSQUARED$. It means that energy is not mass. And that mass is not energy. And that when they are put together. You can multiply. Then they are one thing. And if they are added they are not one thing. Because we have used three they are also one thing. So what the equation could be used for depends on the imagination. Six isn't right for energy. But it is added to $3M \text{ cubed}$. And it is $E + M \text{ cubed}$.

And this is the wrong equation. Because it is nine. And it is really twenty-seven. And that is right for Helium. Since three eights are twenty-four. And maybe we have to add light. That is not what you would do. You would do exactly as I have done here. But we should add $C \text{ squared cubed}$. So that will be $6E \text{ cubed} + 3M \text{ cubed} + E = 6M \text{ cubed} + (24M + 3M) + E + C * C * C * C * C * C$ And that looks right. We just add the Cs. So we get $6E \text{ cubed} + 3M \text{ cubed} = 6M \text{ cubed} + (24M + 3M) + E + C + C + C + C + C + C + C$ And I may not be quite right. You can correct my mistakes remembering that for nine additions is the same as

multiplication. And that isn't. That when C is multiplied together it is C squared. And that is $C+C+C$ And that is right. So we need three more C's $C+C+C+C+C+C+C+C+C$ And that is right nine C's is C squared cubed. And that is 1674000. And that isn't right. So the question to complete the equation. Is how many C's must be added to be the same as C squared cubed? How many lots of $3^3=9$ And it will be as close to the exact speed of light as it can be. I have understood C as being three C's. And that is right for one C. But when C is squared. We must have three C's

And that changes our original creation equation. Which was $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And it really does not. Because if we added another C. We would have $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C+1/3C$ Which is exactly 186,000 miles per second. And that is not the answer of C squared. And it is right. Because a third of $E=MCSQUARED$. Is 3.33333 And it is really a third. And that is .333333 So when you add four lots of .33333 You get 1.33333 And that does make sense. E does equal M. And it does not. Because does it. Because if E is a third M is also a third. And M has to be multiplied together with 3C Because $1/3C+1/3C$ is the right way to do it. And I believe that the right way to do it is to add three threes. And that means mass and the speed of light. Must be more than nine. They must equal 12 And I'm sure that that isn't right. That's why $3+3$ is the speed of light. And it can be. If mass is $1/3$ And infinity .3333 is equal to .999999. And is that the way it is. What if infinity has to balance as well? Then we could balance the creation equation. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ Because it is equal to $3E=3M+3C+3C$ And then it doesn't balance. To make it balance we can do it two ways. We can multiply 3E by 3. That means $9E=9M+9C+9C$ And that doesn't work. But if we divide 3E by three. We get $E=M+C+C$ And because it is three we can multiply. And that may be absolutely right. That we can. So we get $E=MCSQUARED$. But it doesn't balance when it is three. And it might if we multiply E by three. And multiply MCSQUARED by three. And then we have the equation $3E=3MCSQUARED$. And that is THE CREATION EQUATION. Three threes are three. And that is not right for $E=MCSQUARED$. $3*3=9$ is right for $E=MCSQUARED$. When we multiply by three we get $9E=9MCSQUARED$.

And that isn't right for infinity. And it just isn't. So the idea that $1/3+1/3+1/3=9$ Is right for $E=MCSQUARED$. Because three really is nine. And it really is. When an equation is not about ordinary things. When it about infinite speed and infinite mass. It needs to be $3=9$. And it can also be $9=9$. Because $9E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And that is not true for $1/3$ E. But it is true for $1/9$ E. Because three thirds adds up to one ninth. And then we would not notice that $3=9$ So I have proven my equation right. Because it can be three or nine. And when it is three. It is the best. When you attempt to put THE CREATION

EQUATION right. It is then that you get into trouble. Because it could be nine M. And that would make C nine as well. Then it would add up to $2/3$. Since 1 and 1 are two. $2/3 E = 1/3M + 1/3M + 1/3M + 1/3C + 1/3C + 1/3C$ That is the right way to add C squared. Because C squared is not three. And it is not. It is two. And when you add it. You get six. Because C cubed is what is right. And you would think this equation would be one that God likes. And you would be right. He can't stand it. Because it means infinity is six. And that six is equal to two. That two nines are eighteen. And there is no way to make it so that light is three. Because you would have to cube light. And then you would have to cube mass. $2/3E = 1/3M * 1/3M * 1/3C * 1/3C$ And when you multiply you get a different answer. 0.012345679 If the calculator is right. You get nine multiplied by nine. Which is 81. Which is nine nines. And because it is one third. You get $1/3 E = 1MC$ And that balances. And that is THE CREATION EQUATION. To use multiplication instead of addition. Because that is the way we did it in Einstein Proved Wrong. We used addition and not multiplication But when the answer could be that light is three thirds and not two thirds. It is a good enough equation. To be a creation equation.

The man who has the theory of two is not I. I learned the theory from a high school schoolteacher at Sandgate High. Everyone at Sandgate at one time would have known that he knew atomic secrets. And he had his own theory of $2+2=4$. He probably would explain what 666 means. And may not be a bad man. But if he believes 2 is right and 3 is not. Then six is his number. The one that he believes in.

I believe in infinity so the number I believe in is nine. $3=9$ to be exact.

And I am convinced that $3=9$ is right and is not 666. But what you want is a better bomb. And the antichrist will be able to give you one. Is most likely. One that turns water into Helium. Because that equation is six. It is man doing what only God can do. But for now God Himself will give you a better bomb. A bomb that turns Helium in hydrogen. And that is the theory that only God can understand. Helium is $2+2=4$. And that is totally true. Hydrogen is $3+3+3=9$ And that is the truth. So what we have to get is two lots of Helium. And get it to give up nine hydrogen's. And that is not as simple as it seems. We need hydrogen. So we have to use heavy water. Then we have extra hydrogen. So our equation for the Helium bomb. Is $3*3$. We don't add. We multiply. And now I have to prove that Helium will give up the energy that is put in To make it. And we all know that that is a lot of energy. Because millions have been spent on trying to reduce the quantity of energy that is used to produce Helium. The reason we multiply. Is because $E=MC^2$ is the equation we have to understand to get Helium to give up it's energy. 3 mass cubed $+24+3+E +C$ Squared (cubed) That is our equation when we add the two

equations together. That is the right side of the equation. We need to get Helium on the right. Because we need it on the left. So we reverse the equation. And Helium is on the left. Then energy is added to the Helium to make it give up its hydrogen's. It would appear to a layman that you need to put in the energy that would be produced by fusion to get Helium to give up its hydrogen's. But that is not true. Helium can be turned into Hydrogen at room temperature. And that is not cold fusion. It is hot. Because you need energy. And you get that for a chemical reaction. You add H₂O to H₂O₂ And that means no energy will be released. So that isn't a chemical reaction. But Hydrogen Peroxide and H₂O. Is a chemical reaction. And will release energy. You will get H₂O₂ And that is how you make Helium. You have Helium Peroxide. And you don't have that. But you add Helium to H₂O₂ And you add energy. And the result is H₄O₂ Because peroxide is one of the most reactive substances that we can make. And when it is heavy water. It will make Helium. And it might not. But it will make Helium Peroxide. And that means using a catalyst of aluminum. So we use aluminum electrodes for electrolysis. If we have succeeded in producing the compound H₄O₂. Then we can break it down by electrolysis. And that is the point of view that it isn't too hard to make Helium Peroxide. Because maybe we need to put heavy water and peroxide and helium. In the atomic bomb. Then the energy is there to create Helium Peroxide.

And produce hydrogen and energy. If we can't detect Helium Peroxide in the atomic bomb after it has been let off. It will be a problem with measurement. It's too simple but it is the right equation. Because we should use three to make four. And that is what we do make we make something that will combine with two oxygen's. It is a theoretical chemical. Which cannot be made. And that is what I believe about Helium Peroxide. That is a theoretical chemical that can be made. The equation for E=MCSQUARED. Is E cubed or E*E*E. And means mass cubed and C squared cubed. And it is a good equation. But for Helium we need the other equation.

And that is $3M^3 + E = 24M^2 + 3M + E$ And that's all we need. Because we understand $M=C$ And we just don't understand that M does equal C. Because M and C when they are multiplied together. Are the same things? So M cubed is equal to MCsquared cubed. And that is absolutely right. That is the only thing that we need. To put 3 and 3 together. Because it is 2 and 2. And that four. And four and four is eight. Eight is the number for Helium. Because we can add and multiply if we use base four. So Helium is meant to be eight. And it might be eight plus one. Because you couldn't get nine Helium's.

If you could get nine Helium's it would be $2+2$ Because it would be three. And because it isn't three. We don't get Helium Peroxide. Because that isn't three. It is two. And it not possible to put Helium together with Helium. Because then

there would be energy produced. Because there would be one hydrogen left over. >From 3 cubed. And three doesn't combine in threes. And that just is true. It does combine in threes. The energy produced by two Helium's being joined together if that were possible. Would be just as much. As would be produced by joining two hydrogen's together. And maybe that statement isn't true. And it most certainly is true.

What does our equation for energy mean about water? Two waters is H2O2. And that just isn't true. Two waters is H4O2. And that chemical is Helium peroxide. And Helium peroxide must be the answer. That if you believe the equation for energy is $2+2=4$. The answer for energy is $3+3+3=9$. And that is the true answer. So if we have three waters. We have three* three. And that is three plus three plus three. And that the chemical. That will mean energy. $H3+H3+H3$. And that will be nine. And since we are talking about peroxide. We will make it H9O6 And that isn't the chemical. Because water is H2O So heavy water is H6. And if we use peroxide. We have H3O2 Which means H6O4 And that is the same as H2O It is a special kind of water. And it isn't nine. Because if it was nine it would be H6O3. And it is ten. Because to add up to nine. It has to be 9.99 So it is ten Heavy water peroxide is H2O. It is just the same. It is the right thing. It must mean energy. Since Helium peroxide is the answer we get with $2+2=4$ And both chemicals make a new one. And that is H4O2 and H6O4 Because they may react together. And what would be the result. Obviously H2O. Would be one of the products. And hydrogen would be one of the products. But it can be worked out exactly what the result would be. And the result is the answer.

And it may be the answer to add 2 and 3. And that isn't the answer. The answer is to put two threes together. And that is the answer Because six and three make nine. Two Helium Peroxides are H8O4 if that could exist. If it did exist it wouldn't be the answer. Because something that cannot exist isn't the answer. Something that can is the answer. And that's Helium Peroxide. Three lots of Helium Peroxide. Give us $3*4$ $3*2$ And that just isn't possible. To have more than one lot of Helium Peroxide. And it might be quite impossible. So why is the answer to $2+2=4$. Something we can understand. Because three hydrogen's is something we can understand. They can't be like H2O. They have to combine in threes. And they are just like H2O. They combine is two and one. Which is three. H6O3. Is heavy water peroxide and it is everything. And it isn't. It is just the answer. H6O3 is the answer to the question what are three lots of H2O. It is H6O3. So if there is an answer for $2+2=4$. That means that's the right equation. Then there is an answer for $3+3+3=9$ And that is H3O2. Because two lots of H3O2 are H6O4. And they really are not...But the equation is the right one. Because H6O4 is what it means that nine goes to infinity. So it doesn't mean

energy is infinite. And it most certainly does not. Mean it is finite. If we add up three lots of H302 we get H906. And that adds up to six. So it is not our answer. We have our answer it adds up to ten. What is the mystery of Hydrogen Peroxide. Why does it add up to nine? And not just nine 9.9999. If energy is infinity then Hydrogen peroxide. Made with heavy water is the answer. Maybe we will one day discover why. What is the answer to the question what is nine times H20? The answer is H18 0 9 And I realise that doesn't exist. It is only to show you that H20 is not wrong. Because it is equal to 27. And that means three nines. And it really does. But it really is three lots of H6 03. And that is right. If we use H6 04 then when we multiply by three. We still get 18 but we get twelve oxygen's. And that adds up to 30. Which is three lots of ten.

Ordinary peroxide is H202. When you get three lot of H202. You get H606. And that isn't the answer. Two lots of six is not. SO you use heavy water. And you get $H3\ 02 * 3 = H906$ And that's not the answer. And you would believe it is. Because the answer is to double it. Then you get H6 04 Which is the answer to the question of three threes. That is nine when it is H20. So if you believe the bible and the creation equation you won't believe in 666. Because nine times H202 is two six's. And two lots of three. So it is six six's. That is how wrong ordinary hydrogen peroxide is. It is only when it is made with heavy water. That it is the right thing. Uranium U238. Has 92 protons and 146 Neutrons. Divided by nine 238 gives 26.444444. And that is not the right number. Because four is not right for infinity When 92 is divided by nine it gives 10.22222222 And two is the wrong number for infinity. 146 divided by nine is 16.22222222 And that too is the wrong number for infinity. If the answer had been three. Uranium would be the right thing. Uranium can't be three. Because it wouldn't change the number of atoms to have three times more. Because if it didn't. It would make no difference. Because three times more uranium. Will make a much better bomb. And that may not be true. It may be just the same. But the neutrons are right. Because we can add them up. And they are 11. And that is the equation that is good for the atomic bomb. $1+1=2$ That is the right equation for the atomic bomb. The protons if we add them up are also 11. And that is $1+1=2$ Because we add the protons to the neutrons. We get $2+2=4$ And that is the right equation for U 238. For U235 the equation for the protons is still 11. Or $1+1=2$ If we divide it by nine. We get 26.11111111 And that means it is the right thing for $E=MC^2$. The equation that is right is $1*1=1$. There are 143 neutrons. That adds up to eight. And eight is the right number for this equation. $3*8=24$ Because when we have eight we should have three. And this equation is the right one. $3*6=16$. Because it is two eights that is 16. And to get the right answer. We have to get a number that is right. And the number 7 is right for eight. And it totally right for $3+3$. Because that

may be true. That $3+3$ will give you nine. And that what six is two threes. And eight is two fours. So the right equation for U235 is $4+4=8$ And that is totally the truth. Two six's is not right for U235. If we wanted to prove it was we would have to prove that 235. We something that was divisible by six. And it is divisible by six. And gives 39.16666667 And so there are two lots of six and one lot of 7. And that's ten. Because it is twelve + seven. Which is 19. And that adds up to ten. 39 is a number that is not divisible by six. But it is divisible by nine. So what are the right numbers for U 235. The right numbers that is the right equation. Is two ones. Because that is the number of protons. When the number of neutrons adds up to two as well. Then the atomic bomb is the way it is. It is the right thing. And it really might not be what is right. So $2+2=4$ is the equation for those that want time to go backward. And it is the equation for both U235 and U238. I would have thought it was the equation for U235 and not U238. And I might be totally right. $E=MC^2$ is not the right equation for U238. But eight means two is not wrong for U235. Because $4*2=8$. And when we add it to 11. We get ten. $8+2=10$ And that is the way we do it. If two eights are 16. Then $8+2=10$. That means $16+4=18$ And that is the equation for the atomic bomb. And it really is the right equation. Because 16 is two eights. And the equation for the atomic bomb is $1+1=2$ So what does this mean in terms of neutrons and protons? It means 16 is the right number. It is four fours. And that is $4+4+4+4$ And that is the kind of equation that you will get when you look at the atomic bomb. Because U 235 is divisible by nine. And that means that eight is the answer. And it might mean that. Because three will not be the right thing for the atomic bomb. Because the Creation equation is wrong for atom bombs. And I don't understand why it will be wrong for $E=MC^2$. And it is right.

For E to equal MC^2 . And the equation $3*3=9$ Is wrong for atom bombs. And there is no reason why it would be wrong. And if you believe that you can do your best. To get 235 to be divisible by nine. Because it is divisible by nine. And that means infinity. And that is what a chain reaction is. It goes for three to nine.

Because that's the way a chain reaction goes to infinity. Just because we have four 1s in U238. Doesn't mean we should multiply them together. Because if we did we would still have four. So the whole theory of $2+2=4$ is right for one thing a U238 bomb. And there is no way of knowing whether it can be built. And there really is. If it is divisible by two. It can still be infinite. And that means it can be built.

Two and eight are right for U235. And that is something I cannot understand. Because eight is right for infinity. And it really is. But two isn't right. So when you have two eights you don't have seven. And you have six. Because that is the

right thing. That 235 is divisible by 6.39.16666667 And the seven means it is right that 235 be divisible by six. So maybe a 238 bomb is a better one. And it doesn't mean that it is any better. The equation $2+2=4$ when it used together with the creation equation. Gives us 666. In conclusion 238 adds up to thirteen. And 235 adds up to ten. That's $11+11=13$ And that equation means that $2+2=13$ And it is totally right. Because $1+3=4$ And the other equation means $1+1+8=10$ And that really means something it adds up to ten. And it really is ten. Ten is infinity. It is 9.99999999 And if that leads to a better bomb understanding that ten is really nine. I would be surprised if it did. Because the knowledge of creation does not produce the atomic bomb. And if that is the case then $E=-MCSQUARED$ is a wrong equation. And it really is. The equation by which creation is made into a bomb. So when we use our version of $E=MCSQUARED$. That is addition in threes. $3E=3M+3C+3C$ We can be sure that this is an equation that will mean eternal life. And the question what is it. May be asked of H603 And the answer is that it is bread from heaven. And that is the only chance that man will have. Of doing what is right. To believe in creation. To not want to build a U238 bomb. Because there is a better solution. And that is to turn Helium into hydrogen. Because that is the solution.

$2+2=4$ The equation for life. $3+3+3=9$ The creation equation. So you would think put the two together and you would have one equation for the creation of matter and the creation of life. $3+3+3+2+2= 13$ Holy trisdekaphobia! 13 is a number universally meant to mean the worst possible thing. What could it be but the creation of life by man. ? And it does in fact mean the opposite. The creation of life by something that is not man. The creation of life by a fallen angel. Because that is what Augustine says is the cause of all-evil. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C + 2M+2M+E=4M+E$ We can use $2+2=4$ as an equation for matter and energy. And then add it to Einstein's equation. When t is infinity. We get $2M+2M+1/3E+E= 1/3M+1/3C+1/3C+4M+E$ How do we add it together? We could add all like terms together. Then we would have $4M + 1.333'E= 4.333'M+2/3C+E$ Firstly remember that the $2+2=4$ equation is for hydrogen forming Helium. And hydrogen doesn't form Helium in twos like life. $5.333'M+E=4.333'M+2/3C+E$ And that is an equation that is thirteen. And really is. It is an infinite six and it is equal to an infinite 4.999999MC+E That means that MC adds up t six by having one energy added. So it is just as bad as our first equation. What is the problem we are putting life down as being non-life? And the two equations are totally different. $2/3M+2/3M+2/3E= 2/3 4M + 2/3 E$ That is our equation if we use $2/3$ for M. Then we get $.666'+.666+.666= 4.66666667 M+.666'E$ Then we get $2(M+E)= 4.666'M+.666'E$ $2(M+E)= 5.333'M+E$ So that is not totally unexpected.

Two M+E is the same as two M*E. But only for two. When we adding three it isn't true that you can multiply. And of course it is true. That would be the argument. So two is an infinite 6 and it means Mass + Energy. If we had added the two equations when they were multiplied. We would have got an equation.

$$1/3E=1/3M*1/3CSquared.$$

$$2/3M*2/3M*E=4/3M+2/3E$$

$$3/3M*E+1/3E=5/3M*1/3 C squared+2/3E$$

$$3/3M*1.333'E=5/3M*1/3C squared +2/3 E$$

$$1.333 M*E= .555M*C squared +.666 E$$

$$1/333M*E= 13?$$

I think so a number that is an infinite twelve. Whatever way we do it we can't add the equation for energy. To the equation for life. Because life isn't an equation for energy. We all know life doesn't behave like ordinary energy. It builds up in complexity. Rather than going from more complex to less complex. There is something very wrong with adding life to energy.

It can't be wrong to create a sun on the earth. This is the question. That I only today ask myself. Because for the last fifty years I have been believing that it was the answer. And if that were true. It would be a real problem. It has only been twenty years. When I was at high school I had a teacher who use to work in nuclear power. And he had his own idea that Einstein wasn't right. So he taught us that $2+2=4$. That you could add equations. And he may have taught that. But it has been a long time. I may have developed his theory. I had my own equation for nuclear fusion one that would prove that Einstein was not right. He was right about fission. But not about fusion. You see I believed in cold fusion and that was twenty years ago. And no one at the time knew very much about it. My equation was $2M+2M+E=4M+E$ The M is hydrogen and the energy is what you put in to make hydrogen fuse to helium and the energy on the side is what you get out. Of course E on the right side is greater than on the left. Otherwise fusion wouldn't be of any value. I was certain it could be made to work at room temperature. And maybe that is a goal that most scientists no longer believe. Because cold fusion didn't turn out to be reality. So maybe if it did work it wouldn't be the wrong answer. Because hot fusion is just like an atomic bomb. It is very very hot. And takes an awful lot of energy. And it consumes billions of dollars that could be spent on the poor. But that's just it. If it could work there would be abundant energy. We would make petrol from water. And maybe that is an oversimplification. But we would have enough energy to be able to make our fuel. With enough energy transportation is cheap. So food and other goods can be transported all around the globe. That doesn't help you if you don't have the money to buy. And I used to think

it did. I used to think if they had limitless energy then they would take care of people who didn't have enough money. But the problem is they believe in social Darwinism. They believe in competition and the survival of the fittest. So no matter how rich we get. People in our country will go hungry.

Maybe that's an explanation about why it didn't work. Because I believed that two was right. Until God showed me the Creation equation. And I had never put three and three together to get nine. I was close to understanding it but that is just as far away from being there. As you can be. Einstein's equation can be added. But I thought only in twos. I thought addition and multiplication were different for three. And I'm not sure why I thought that. Maybe it was somebody's lie. Or maybe I just believed that one was like three. Because one multiplied by one is one. And one plus one is two. That is why you can't add Einstein's equation.

Because it is one. But if it is three you can add it. And that what the creation equation really is it is $\frac{1}{3} E$. And it means making all the terms of $E=MC^2$ a third. That means they add up to one. And that is how you add one by adding three infinites. $\frac{1}{3}E = \frac{1}{3}M + \frac{1}{3}C + \frac{1}{3}C$ And that means you can add Einstein's equation. But only when it is three. Because I believe you can add three equations together. And that will still be infinity. And that is true. If it is a third. Because three lots of $\frac{1}{3}$ are equal to .999. They are not exactly equal to one. So what does that mean about the speed of light. Einstein must be right that it is a constant. And he must be right that it is a constant a 186,000 miles/second. So the actual speed must be 99% of 186,000 miles/second. Otherwise it would be faster. By 1%. Both are not true one of those alternatives must be the case. Otherwise three in one isn't infinity. Because I am a Roman Catholic I know that three in one has to be infinity. So if 186,000 miles/second isn't nine. Then it might be ten. The speed might approach that but fall short by 1%. If 186,000 miles/second isn't nine. Then it not the absolute speed of light. We now know that light travels slower. Even that's slowest speed approaches zero. Then it is a constant. At it slowest speeds it really is a constant. So we really have proved something with infinity being three in one. Light may not be at it's maximum velocity. Or else the equation is right. Either way the equation has to be right. Whatever the measurement. Light has to be infinity. Because infinity means it cannot go any faster. So unless you want to say Einstein isn't right $\frac{1}{3}$ must be right for $E=MC^2$. The question I ask myself is can it be wrong to build a sun on the earth. The answer is no it can't. Not if feeds all of the hungry people in Africa and in Asia. Not if it means they will go to school and get jobs. But what if means the planet is reduced to a disaster. That growth becomes do great that everybody has a tiny apartment in a huge super building. What if growth doesn't stop with outer space? What if the whole solar system is

filled with all sorts of things. And there isn't one tree or animal on the earth. Man wasn't meant to be God. Having infinite energy might be the whole thing. The equations I added up. Were for nuclear fusion. If three is right could God give us the secret of nuclear fusion? The question is that if he did what would we do with that secret. The world doesn't have to become a worse place. You would think with unlimited energy it would be a better place. But mankind believes evolution. They don't believe in God. And that is why it won't be a better place. Even if they have all they need to make it a better place. Maybe that proves God right about man. Maybe that's what 666 really is all about. All men are evil. And it is only by having faith that they can become good. But something has to be done. There are limits to growth. We are running out of resources. With unlimited power we can reuse our resources and develop new ones. So what are we waiting for let's add those two equations. To get four. Because if we want it that bad it is not worth having. If we will choose 666 in order to have a world with plenty of energy. Then we may have to make that choice. Because if we do it will be because there is no way to make fusion work without 666. And most people would not agree that that is right. That's why there is another way. There is a way to make fusion work without 666. So then get to work and add those three equations. Because there are nine equations to be added. And that isn't easy. But if you will bear with me we will look at the problem.

$$1/3 E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$$

$$1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$$

$$1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$$

$$1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$$

$$1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$$

$$1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$$

That is six lots of the creation equation. And that is the only way to make nine. And it really isn't you need three equations not just two. I only have two equations for energy. The other one is instead of $2M+2M+E=4M+E$ And it is $3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$ So I will add three of that equation to six of the other and then I will still get nine. But I am cheating. I should use three equations. Maybe you will think of the third equation for energy. This book could have been called The third equation.

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$=9M+9M+9M+3E=27M+3E$$

$$+6/3E=6/3M+6/3C+6/3C$$

$$2E=2M+2C+2C$$

$$= 2E+3E+9M+9M+9M=27M+2C+2C+3E$$

$$= 5E+27M=27M+2C+2C+3E$$

So that's our equation. It is different from what I expected. I expected on that I derived before without doing it properly. That one meant you only has to add one mass to nine to get unlimited energy. This equation is only one way of doing it. The other way is to use six of the other equation and three of the creation equation. This one gives us $5E=4C+3E$ That if we cancel the matter. So I don't know what this equation means I thought I did know what $1\text{MASS}+9\text{MATTER}$ meant. It meant that as mass approaches infinity. Nine approaches ten. And when it is fully one mass increase because off acceleration. Then it is exactly ten it is no longer .999 But maybe that equation was a wrong one. Because it did mean $666 + 1$ And there are two ways of thinking about it. That one makes it right. Or that it is still too bad to be right.

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$$

$$18M+18M+18M+6E= 54M+6E$$

And that is a totally awful equation it is three lots of 666. But we are not finished. Let us see if adding three lots of the creation equation will make it all right.

$$3/3E=3/3M+3/3C+3/3C \quad 7E+18M+18M+18M=54M+1\text{MASS}+C+C+6E$$

That could be the right equation. Because seven is considered by everybody to be Gods number the world taking seven days to create. If we express it as nine. Then we take two eighteens away from both sides. We are left with $7E+18M=18M+1\text{MASS}+C+C+6E$ And if we divide that equation by two. We get $7E/2+9M=(9M+1\text{MASS}+C+C+6E)/2$ Or we just take nine away again. $7E+9M=9M+1\text{MASS}+C+C+6E$ Now the right way to do it is the way I have done it. You can't divide by two. You have to subtract. Because it is addition and not multiplication. So we get the same answer. Perhaps we should add those two equations. The ones we got as answers. And I will leave that for the reader to do. Because the equation where you add 1 to 54 to make it 55. Is the right one?

And it isn't the same as adding one to nine. But it really is. Almost the same. Because infinity is three

We shouldn't shake our fist and say why isn't the answer there. Because maybe we haven't even tried Gods way. We began with two equations that were three. And that adds up to six.

If we had begun with three equations that were all three. Then we may have got the right answer. And maybe we did nothing wrong. We have our answer the answer to unlimited energy involves increasing nine hydrogen's in mass by one. And adding two lots of the speed of light plus energy. What we need now is somebody from Mensa. Who can figure out what it means to increase 9Matters by one mass? 7 energy should be the result. And I must admit that that sounds promising. If God were going to give us an equation for energy you would think it would be seven. If there are no clues here I should not shake my fist at God. Maybe we are not meant to know how to make fusion work. Maybe you have to add in twos. Because maybe it can never be the right thing. Or maybe the answer we have been given is just not understood. So put it on your windshield. And try to figure it out. I would like to think that God would give us the answer to nuclear fusion. Then the antichrist won't be able to take the glory. By saying it was 666. But he will still have to make it work with 666. And that may not be easy. Because it really isn't the right answer. As an assignment for a bright mathematics student. Get three of Einstein's equations for energy. And add the three together in threes. Then get another three and add the three together in threes. Then put another three equation together. And add all three lots of three together. And then you will get a good equation. God may not have given us the answer to fusion or creation. But the answers are in the bible. So we know what is not the answer is the number 666. And we know that adding Einstein's equation the wrong way results in lies. Lies that say the universe is older than what we think. That everything did come about by chance. It just makes it all that much easier to tell a lie.

Evolution and the number 666

Evolution has not up to this point been about 666. And that just is true. There has been no movement that believed in 666. The reason there has not been such a movement is there isn't a CREATION EQUATION. Not one that is universally believed by all Christians. And there can never be. And equation that is totally right. And that just is true. That no equation will ever be the one. Because if there is an equation that is right in every way. Then any alteration of that equation. Produces the number. And the number is just what the bible Says it is. And that has to be three six's. And there is no way you can get three six's. And there really is. Three lots of two. And that is the way you get three six's. And there is only one other way and that is two lots of three. And that is the real way. That is created. Because it is not one but two. And that is the equation. It isn't one. It is two. And it is $1+1$. Because that is the number of the beast. It is $1+1$. And that wouldn't make sense to everyone. But I know that it makes sense to anyone that has added two up. Because you can add two to two and get four. And that is the equation that produces the number. It is $2+2=4$ And of course no one will believe that it is $2+2=4$ that produces the number. But they will believe that when you put The Creation Equation together with $2+2=4$. Then you get a wrong idea. The idea that infinity is six. And that is what the whole concept of the wrong way of adding up. That is what it is all about. It is about adding up using three and two. Because when you add equations you can add them in threes. And that means adding two and one. And that is not wrong.

But it isn't as good as adding three lots of three. Because three is infinity. And three is. Because when three is really three in one. It is $1/3$. That is three in one. And that is what infinity is. And any Christian will agree that GOD THE FATHER GOD THE SON AND GOD THE HOLY SPIRIT are a holy trinity. Christians have been agreed on that from the very beginning. Anyone who doesn't agree is considered a heretic. And always has been. But Christians haven't really understood the idea that $1/3$ is infinity. Because one can be divided into three. And that is not exactly what they understand by the triune God. But it is the only way to put it down on paper. So it becomes a symbol of who God is. And it is symbolic. Because it is exactly $3+3+3$ And that is not six. It is nine. And nine can't be Gods number. And seven is. And that doesn't need

to change. Because nine is Gods number. And seven is not. So it must need to change. And that is what it is all about. When you make your own idea the most important. Then you are making a mistake. $3+3=3$ That is not my idea. That is Gods own idea. And if it's Gods own idea. Then it must be his own creation. So I must be able to find it in the scriptures. And I can. I can find the idea of three being one in the scriptures. Because three adds up to nine. And one is really .999' That is the way that the three are one. They are three infinities and they are one infinity. And that is something you can prove. By believing. Because it only takes the faith of a mustard seed to believe. And Catholics who believe in the trinity really do believe in God. Because it is a mystery. It means something that is hard to understand. It has to do with creation. And it has to do with $E=MC^2$. Because everyone believes that $E=MC^2$. And it is right that everyone should believe.

Because Einstein made up an equation. And there is a reason why it is true. And that reason is that $1/3$ is infinity. Sceptics would say that pi is equal to infinity. But pi is really $1/3$. And it really is. Because that will be made fun of. And it might be. Because every Christian might understand that infinity is really $1/3$.

$1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ That isn't what I first said was the creation equation. I first said that $3/3E=3/3M+3/3C+3/3C$ That means you can add $E=MC^2$. And there is a reason to add up one term at a time. And that reason is that $2+2=4$ And that means you can add $E=MC^2$ two terms at a time. So you get $2E=2MC^2$. And $4E=4MC^2$. Unless you want to say that $2=4$ And then you have $2E=2M^*2C^2$. And that is really the way the equation is. When you make two four. You are making three six's into two. Because $.666+.666+.666=$ an infinite two. And $4/3E=4/3M+4/3C+4/3C$ And that means two lots of $.666= 4$ So it isn't so incredible that you can use two six's is equal to four. Because two is three six's, And it really is. But $1.333'$ is still an infinite two. So that means there are two twos. One is two six's and one is three. And that how right creation really is. Creation is all about having faith. That the creator has made us in his image.

And if we are three in one. Then we are like him. And that is what our image is all about. If we create a person in our image. They are like us. And if a person is created who is not in the image of God. Then they will be a two in four person. Because that will mean they have two personalities. And it will mean that they add up to four. Because they will be infinite. And that what humans are. They are infinite in their lives. Because everyone who is born of the spirit. Will live forever. But someone who has a two in four nature which is not like three in one. Cannot live forever. And that is the meaning of the mark. If they have his mark they have his two is four nature. Then they have lost the image of

God. And they will care. Because that just is it. They will not care. Because they will no longer be someone who can be loved. They will have sold their birthright for a mass of pottage. And they will be Esau. Because the birthright of every human being is that they are created in the image of God. And if they take the mark that means 666. They will be fallen beings. Because that is a theology that is a little different. And it is not. There is a fall for a person who has fallen from grace. And that is to no longer believe in God. Because people who have accepted the mark can never again believe in God. That doesn't mean people who play with numbers. Are going to not be saved. It is a matter of believing that the number is the right one. If you believe that $6/3E=6/3M+6/3C+6/3C$ is the right number. Then you believe two is equal to 666. And that is what the number really is. It is believing that you are not created in God's image. And that means you believe evolution. And it does mean that. You believe you were created in the image of a beast. And theistic evolutionists believe we are created in God's image. Whether or not they believe evolution. So they will not accept the mark. Unless they really don't have faith. Because there are people who will not believe they will accept the mark. And they will. And that is an awful horror. And it is like that. People may believe they will accept the mark. And they will be wrong. Salvation is by grace. That is what you have to believe not to accept the mark. And it really is just true. That you have to believe it both by grace and works. Because there is no way. To not believe. And there is a way to believe. It is too easy to make fun of the creation equation.

I heard a man make fun of it on *Beauty and the Beast*. His letter said that he had heard 333 had some religious significance. And his clock stopped on 333. And he might have been blaspheming the Holy Spirit by saying the number is all right. Because it is easy to make the mistake. You are adding two equations. You add two that are three. And then you like the equation you have created. It could answer questions.

About nuclear power. About space travel. About time. About evolution. And it does provide answers. Just as we get our answers in Genesis that we were created in the image of God. So an evolutionist gets his answers from the equation. $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ Only he multiplies it. And it is quite happy to add the equation. The awful thing is the more he gets interested in $2+2=4$ the more convinced he is that evolution is something that takes place by chance. Three proves a creator. Two proves the opposite. It is obvious if there is a creation equation then there must be the opposite an evolution equation. If one proves creation. Then two proves evolution. But there will still be those who are argumentative. They will say it think the number is just three six's. I don't think it has anything to do with creation. And they will be wrong. Because the

equation that is for creation is not for the number. Is for everyone. Science will be divided like a sword. People who believe creation will not believe in the number. But people who believe in evolution will believe in the number. That is only if they believe they are not created in the image of God. And that is so terrible. Because it is true. If you do believe you are not created in the image of God. You will believe you have the answer that to put two and three together and get six. Because however the equations are created. They will still mean that they do not believe in creation. And that they do not believe we are three in one. More importantly that they do not believe God is three in one. That they prefer to believe infinity is two. But that is not alternative that is just $.666+.666+.666$. That is why that is the two they will believe in. Not just 2. But an infinite two. One day the antichrist will create an image it will be his image. And it will be what he really is. The nature that he has. He two in four nature. And everybody who worships that image will never go to heaven. Because to worship a creation that has a two in four nature is not to go to heaven. Everyone is expecting Jesus to return from the sky and take them home to heaven. And that isn't wrong. Because the number means people who do believe. Will go to heaven. And it means people who do not will be left behind. Four + three ($4+3=7$.) And that means two infinities. Because four is an infinite three. And it really is. One of lot of 333. Because that is what infinity is all about. There are two infinities. One is $2+2=4$. And one is $3+3+3=9$ And when we add the two together we get thirteen. Because it isn't three and seven. Because that would be ten. And that is right. Because that why seven is God number. Because it is almost nine. And when you add $.333'$ to seven you get eight. And that the wrong infinity. You need to add $3.33'$. Then you get ten. And you get $10.333'$ And that isn't ten. Because ten isn't nine. $.999$ isn't quite ten. So to get ten we need another infinity. That is our two infinities. Nine and ten. The opposite of this view is that six and four are our two infinities. And that just is true. Two and four. Are both infinite. Two is equal to three lots of $.666$. So that gives you a clue that it is not really infinite. But it will work that way. You can use it as infinity. But you will get exactly what you deserve if you are dumb enough to use two as infinity. You will get 666. $2M+2M+E=4M+E$ That was my initial equation for fusion. Believing water to be what is right and the stars to operate in a different way to what they actually do. I believed in cold fusion. And I still do. I am still convinced that you can get fusion to work at room temperature. But not with water. Because there are two kinds of water. One is heavy water. And one is ordinary H_2O And heavy water is right for the creation equation.

If we add three equations. That is the right ones. We will get an equation for three waters. Because when you add three equations and you take a third of

each of them you get infinity. At the same time you get 1. That is .99999 And if hydrogen is three. Then oxygen has to be two. Because that wouldn't be right. And I wouldn't really know because as you know I failed stoichiometry. And I can't spell it. So I got 95 in the national IQ test. Because the math let me down. And in language and learning I got 100% So I don't think the test is very fair. But back to the subject. When is hydrogen really three? Is it when it is heavy water peroxide? That means putting caustic soda in heavy water. At least I think it is that simple. Is heavy water peroxide heavy on the hydrogen and the oxygen? I know hydrogen can exist as one. And I know it can exist as two. But I'm not certain about what it means when it exists as 3. Is it then Helium 3? Like they want to mine on the moon. If when hydrogen is three it is Helium. Then it is right to make Helium Peroxide. Because the oxygen's will not react with three hydrogen's And they will. Because that was my theory. When I was a boy. I put aluminum together with sodium hydroxide. And I collected the hydrogen in a balloon. But what I was expecting was that some of the gas would be Helium. And that was my expectation. Because I believed in $2+2=4$. I believed that hydroxide was something that would react with hydrogen to form helium. I assumed that because the gas was light and helium didn't react that no one had detected the Helium. I based my assumption on the idea that a lot of energy was produced. The bottle grew very hot. And the hydrogen gas could be lit. And it would explode.

I have since found that hydrogen peroxide is manufactured in an extremely energy efficient way. So perhaps I wasn't wrong that a chemical reaction could produce energy. But maybe my idea to replace the H₂O with heavy water was all right. But not as good as this one. To replace the hydrogen with Helium. Is a much better idea? Because when you put H₃ in place of Hydrogen you have Helium Peroxide. And you would be the right person to tell me that I'm mad. That you can't make Helium Peroxide. And I would admit to not knowing enough about chemistry. Only what I learned in first year. And at school. Because I took it as school and wasn't that a dismal failure.

You really need advanced math to do chemistry. Never let a student think he has a chance of success if he is not good at math. Because he doesn't. Not even at Avondale. He needs to study something that is right for him. So if we put Helium 3 in place of the hydrogen in peroxide. How do we do this? We use energy. Because the reaction can be brought to its completion by adding energy. And that would prove something. That Helium can form compounds. And that hydrogen is still H₃. Because Helium is H₄ so doesn't that mean that $2 + 2 = 4$ is the right equation. Not when $3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$ is the right equation. If we can combine three matters to form H₃. Then we can combine

three lots of H3 to form H9 Because that is something I think of as impossibility. But it is the right equation for energy. And the right one for fusion. The other one $2M+2M+E=4M+E$ Can be the right equation. If we know how much energy it takes to form Helium. Because Helium is not formed in this way. It isn't the right equation. So when you have the creation equation for matter. Then you can put it together with the creation equation for energy. And that is $1/3E=1/3MASS+1/3C+1/3C$ And you just add three of those equations together. And that means two of one and one of the other. So how do we choose we want and equation for energy? So we choose two energies. $1/3E=1/3MASS+1/3C+1/3C$ $1/3E=1/3MASS+1/3C+1/3C$ And we get our six six equation.

$2/3E=2/3MASS+1/3C+1/3C$ But we add our equation for matter. And that is $3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$

$2/3E+3M+3M+3M+E=2/3MASS+9M+1/3C+1/3C+E$ And that equation is infinite. And it means putting in the values for energy.

That is the amount of energy that will fuse three lots of three. Mass and matter are added together. So they are two separate things. But they can be multiplied. And that just is true. We can multiply three by three to get nine. Then $2/3E+E+3M*3M=2/3MASS*9MATTER+1/3C*1/3C+E$

And that means that we have $1\ 2/3\ E+3M*M=2/3MASS*9MATTER+1/3C*1/3C+E$ Now this is as correct as I could do it. But simply adding the two equations together would not have produced an equation like this one. It is three. And that means it can be added. And it can also be multiplied. So when we multiply we multiply two equations together. And that is the wrong way. We should multiply three equations together. And then we would have three nines. And that is 27. And that is not a wrong way to multiply. But the way we have multiplied here is the right way. And it really is. Addition and multiplication can be used together for three. $1.666666667\ E+3M*3M=2/3MASS*9MATTER+1/3C*1/3C+E$ That means MASS and MATTER together are six. But that depends on an X value and that is the value of the mass of nine hydrogen's. I may have made mistakes with this equation bear with me.

And add it correctly. Add three equations. Add the equation for matter to two of the equation for energy. Now add two of the equation for matter to one of the equation for energy. $3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$ + $3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$ $18M+2E=18M+E$ + $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ $(1/3E+2E)+18M=1/3MASS+18MATTER+1/3C+1/3C+E$ $2\ 1/3\ E+18M=6MASS/MATTER+1/3C+1/3C+E$

So that is our other equation and we can't add the two together. Because we are not going to. They are both three equations. If we added them together they would be 666. We get the same answer for mass and matter put together. And that is six. And that not the answer we expect. But it is true. That we added

three threes. So six must be the right answer for mass and matter together. That is if the other equation. And that is the matter one is correct. And it really is. Not a wrong equation. So they are both good equations. And that's the problem with had two equations. And we used both. And there is a problem. And it is this. There are three six's in that equation. And there really are. And the only reason that it is 666. Is that energy is not infinite. Because if energy were infinite. It would three lots of 1/3. And that would be the way to make it infinite. Because mass can be infinite. And matter just cannot be. So when we put the two together we get six. That is a let down. I'll tell you the truth I had expected that when you add three equations together you would get infinity. And I was wrong. Because multiplication is the right way. We will multiply 2 lots of $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ By one $3M+3M+3M+E=9M+E$ $1/3E*3M+3M+3M+E= 9M*1/3MASS +1/3 C+1/3C$ $1/3E*(3M+3M+3M+E)= 3MASS/MATTER+1/3C=1/3C+E$ And that equation is a three equation. It is truly an infinite one. And it proves it right to multiply by 1/3. The reason the creation equation is not right. Is that it can be added. In threes. And that doesn't mean that any equation can be added in threes. Only an equation that is really infinity can be added in threes. Since we have an equation that is three for mass matter and energy. Then we could consider that we have done our work. That it will take someone who understands the meaning of that equation. To produce fusion of hydrogen to form energy. Let's add three lots of three equations together. Firstly lets multiply two lots of three together. Which is the same thing. $3/3E=3/3M+3/3C+3/3C+ 9M+9M+9M+3E=27M+3E$ $4E+9M+9M+9M=27M+3E$ $4E+9M=9M+M+C+C+3E$ $4E+9M=9MATTER+1MASS+C+C+3E$ $4E =18 MATTER+1MASS+C+C+3E$ There is our result. Does it mean we can get four times as much energy. If we add one mass to 18 matter. That means 19. A number that is right. If it had just been 18 it would not have been right. But it was 19. That is 1+9. And that's the answer. We need to add 2C and 3E to get four E. So it may not be the only way to make energy work. If we took three energy from both sides. We would have $E=18MATTER+1MASS+C+C$ And C can't be added together except according to the rules $1+1=1$ And if we do that. We will succeed. $E=18 MATTER+1MASS+C$ And I don't know what that equation means. But it seems tome that 18 Matter is just one short. If were to add just one matter. And it was to be the mass. That is correct. Then you should get energy. But how much I don't know. So we will carry on with our original intention to add the three equations. $9M+9M+9M+3E=27M+3E+ 3/3E= M+C+C$ $9M+9M+9M+4E=27MATTER+1MASS+C+C+3E$ $9M+4E=18MATTER+1MASS+C+3E$ It is the same equation. But now we have $4E=9MATTER+1MASS+C+3E$ That means one E is released. When only three E is put in. Because it means one

more E. And if we divide both sides by four. We get $E = (9\text{MATTER} + 1\text{MASS} + C + 3E)/4$ That means $9\text{MATTER} + 1\text{MASS} + C + 3E = 4E$

$$E = 2.5 \text{ MATTER}/\text{MASS} + 46500 + .75E$$

That is what we scientists call simplifying the problem. We have made it as simple as it can be. Simply adding 2.5 MATTER AND MASS + 1/4 of the speed of light +.75E So we get more energy out than we put in. Exactly .25 or a 1/4 But does it make sense to add the speed of light. I am so ignorant of physics that I don't know. I only know when it is infinite you can add it. And of course the speed of light is always infinite. Even when it is travelling at 1/4. Because then it may not be infinite. And that why the equation wants you to put in 1/4 of the speed of light. Because when it is multiplied. It can be. because it is three. So we can simply multiply $E = (9\text{MATTER} * 1\text{MASS} * C^2 + 3E)/4$ So what does that mean. ?

I still do not understand $E = MC^2$. When mass is infinite and energy that is required to accelerate that mass is infinite. Then $C^2 * \text{mass} = E$. I don't understand why. Except that to accelerate mass to the speed of light requires just a little more energy. And that maybe what the equation is asking for. Just a little bit more energy to join mass and matter.

Because we are treating them as two things when we add. When we multiply the equation together we are forgetting that we began with an equation that was added. If it doesn't make sense to add three lots of C. Then it doesn't make sense to add two lots. Because that is our equation for infinity. We add two lots of C to one lot of mass. If mass isn't identical to matter. Then matter has a property, which is mass. And when we add them together. It is the same as multiplying 3M. If we multiply $3M * 3M$ we get $3M + 3M + 3M$. And the same does for the creation equation. If we multiply $1/3M * 1/3C$ then we get $1/3M + 1/3C + 1/3C$. SO now I think I understand it. There is no reason you can't interpret the equation that is added. It is the same as the equation that is multiplied. One day I will study math's through Open Learning. Then I will understand why the simple math's. Was right. Because it has to be right if you follow the rules. And the rules have been followed. And if I have broken any rules then let me know. The rule I think I may have broken is that when you add two C.

You get 372000. And when you multiply it together you get 3.4596 10 So I knew I had broken a rule. I have said addition and multiplication are the same when it is a third. A third the speed of light is 62000. And two thirds C + mass is equal to 186,000 miles/second. Now either I am right or I am wrong. Either you can add 1/3 Mass to 2/3 the speed of light. And get the speed of light. Or the equation means that light is not 186,000 miles per second. And God would say if the equation is not 186,000 miles per second. That it is of no value.

Because he really would. He would say infinity is nine. And he would say that. And he would say that matter and mass are both 1/3. And they add up to 186,000 miles/second. The simple equations mean something about $E=MC^2$. There is a reason why 666 is not right for $E=MC^2$. And there is a reason why $1/3+1/3+1/3 E=MC^2$.

Must be correct. $1E=1M+1C+1C + 6/3M+6/3M+6/3M+ 6E= 18 M+E$
 $2M+2M+2M+1E+6E=6M+1Mass+1C+1C+E$ $13 M+E= 6M+1 MASS+1C+1C+E$ So the equation that is addition that means $1/3+1/3+1/3$ results in 13.

And there may be a reason. Maybe it is this that is the worst thing. The unlimited energy. That will mean starvation. Because it may mean being fed. And if that were the case would the equation be 13. Because it must mean the worst possible thing. And that is just being superstitious Because the equation is not like that.

That it isn't done right. It is done the right way. So it should show us if nuclear fusion using three is a possibility. And it might show that it is. Maybe there shouldn't be an equation for mass and energy. Because an equation for matter and energy is two things added together. And matter is six. And E is seven. So perhaps we should make it an equation for E. Then we have to cancel matter on the other side. And we get $7E= 1 MASS + 1C++E$ And that is a different equation for energy derived from both $E=MC^2$ and the three matter equation. $9M=4E=18MATTER+1MASS+C+C+3E$ I have said that the number is 18.

That is $2/3E=.666M+.666C+.666C$. That is it is an infinite 2. If we add just one to 666. We get a totally different number. We get 19. And that is an infinite $2+1=3$ So it is an infinite 3. And we are really cheating. Because to add 1 to 18 means two is no longer infinite. It is like Elijah's salt in the poison stew. It makes it edible. 1 added makes it just ordinary two. And that is our answer. There is a two that is totally the right thing. That is a two that is made up of $18+1$. Matter and mass are put together in 19. >From then on matter and mass are two. So this whole idea of adding one to the wrong number. Is totally right. If you add one to six you get seven. If you add one to eight you get nine.

And when you add mass to matter. You are accelerating it. You are accelerating it so that that one mass is added to 18 matters. The fact that this equation breaks down to $4E=9MATTER+1MASS+C+C+3E$ Proves that it is the right equation. 9 MATTER is accelerated in an atom smasher. So that it increases its mass by one. This will release four energy when it reaches ten.

Part 2.
The third equation.....

Table of contents

Introduction.....	83
Chapter 1. The quantum telephone	85
Chapter 2. The better telescope	88
Chapter 3. Brianiac...	91
Chapter 4. Einstein Proved Wrong	95
Chapter 5. Probability and quantum Mechanics	108
Chapter 6. The third equation	114
Chapter 7. The constant of distance	118
Chapter 8. The bigger bomb	122
Chapter 9. The ever increasing telescope	125
Chapter 10. Building the starship enterprise	128
Chapter 11. What is the equation for finite energy.?	132
Chapter 12. Geometry of the universe	136

Introduction.....

Why did you buy this book? You are already wondering. And I am surprised too. Because I never expected you to buy it. That's why I called it The third equation. I must have expected that you would like. What is the third equation. Because that's a question. And that's what this book is all about. It is about asking one question. And answering that question. And the question was what will they build after Mt Stromlo. ? And that is a totally good question. But not good for a book. Since the title of a book shouldn't be a question. And I believe that. That it should not be. Or I would have called this book What is the third equation. Instead it is called The third equation. And it is obvious that I will explain what that equation is. And of course I won't. You will be the person who will answer the question what is the third Equation. Because I will ask you right now before you have read the book.

And you will be able to answer. Because you might not. That is why you should read the book. By the end you will able to guess what the third equation is. ? And the third equation is really the answer to all questions. Because it is like answering 44 as the answer to the universe. The third equation is a piece of the puzzle I don't have. I have two pieces but I know there is a third. And that very frustrating. I wish I could give you the answer. But I can do better than that I can ask you the question. And one day you will give me the answer. That is if you are curious and interested in what I am saying. And I'm certain you are. So what is the question.

It is WHAT IS THE THIRD EQUATION. ?

1. $1/3M+1/3M+1/3M+E=3/3M+E$

2. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$

3.?

The first equation is the equation for tritium. Three atoms of Hydrogen. All fused together. And that may be the only equation that you need. Because you may be certain that $2+2=4$ is the right equation. And you would be wrong. All three equations have to be $1/3$. That is three threes. It is not a simple as saying two hydrogen's combine with two hydrogen's to form one helium. And maybe

you could just put $E=MC^2$. I would like that answer. Since it must be true that $E=MC^2$ is one of the equations. But since $1/3=.9999$ The equation really is one. So there is no need for $E=MC^2$. There must be one more fundamental equation for energy. So you are in search of it. You have begun you search for this equation by reading this book. And the prize for finding it is infinite energy. And that is better than 10,000 dollars. And I didn't promise 10,000 dollars so don't email me. But if you want to you can send your answer to aircloud@bigpond.com.au The only prize is going down in history. As the man who answered the question. What is the third equation. ?

Chapter 1.

The quantum telephone

Firstly the quantum telephone is not what this book is about. It is about a telescope. Just an idea. A mustard seed that will grow into a tall tree. Because I have a mustard seed of faith for a new telescope. And I will talk about that faith later. Because the first thing I have faith for is the quantum telephone. And it may be completely useless. It all depends on whether I'm right about light. That there is time dilation when light slows down. And I have to be right that light slows down. And there is no doubt about that.

Light that goes through a solid does slow down. So maybe there is doubt about light slowing down when it is reflected. But I have no doubt it slows down a little every time it is reflected. And if I have any doubts they will be taken away. Because the quantum telephone will prove my theory. What is the quantum telephone. ? You don't expect me to just tell you. And I will. It is an ordinary telephone.

And it is more than that it is a measuring device for time. And a measuring device for the transmission of information. It sounds like I am trying to gather information on the second law of thermodynamics. And that is exactly what I am doing.

I have been told that the second law states that a closed system cannot decrease in energy. I would think that was wrong. I would think that it should be increase. But that is what I have always believed that light reflected between two mirrors is like a perpetual motion machine. But it does decrease in energy. At least that is one way of looking at it. The energy does go from infinity to as close to zero as it is possible to get.

That might mean that energy does not really decrease. Even though you can see that it is a little dimmer every time it is reflected. Say it reached zero. That would mean energy has decreased. And it might mean the energy is still there. It is impossible for the energy in a closed system to be lost.

I am going to talk about what makes the quantum telephone a measuring Instrument. Information is sent down the telephone line. By means of electrons. If those electrons are reflected back and forth down the wire. They are reflected to infinity. At least that is a theory. And it may be correct. But if light is used instead of electrons. Then we can reflect it to infinity and see if that carries information Light.

If the information takes a certain time to reach the other telephone. Then that time delay will be measured. Even if the information traveled faster than it should be would know. As a matter of fact we can calculate the exact speed of light. When information is reflected to infinity. Then we know if it is travelling more slowly. And what effect that has on time.

Time must be infinity. Because light has traveled an infinite Distance. And there is no way of measuring that. And there might be. By using the quantum telephone. I'm not sure what I am expecting. If it were light travelling at a faster speed. I may expect information To travel backwards in time. Perhaps the opposite is reasonable. Information might travel forwards in time. But there is no way of knowing just what kind of time dilation. There is without doing the experiment. It is vital to know just what the relationship between time And distance is to build the telescope. Because then we won't just have knowledge we will understand. The quantum telephone can be used to measure light travelling faster. And from what I have heard it will be. But that doesn't mean that there is nothing to be learned about the Reflection of light to infinity.

The quantum telephone can be used to pick up energy. That means energy can be measured. And that is something that it cannot do. Because each and every reflection must be measured by photo Electric Cell. And the exact energy of every reflection must be estimated. And that can all be done on a graph. But that doesn't mean the experiment is of no value. Because information can be carried from one energy level to another. And the difference in time and entropy can be measured.

The quantum telephone measures the loss of information. And I don't have the plans to give you to build one. It is just a model. It measures the loss of information and that loss is compared to the loss of energy. And they are both put on a graph. And also the third measurement time is graphed as well. And the fourth distance because the distance light has traveled is Measured.

And what do we learn. ? There is everything to learn. Or there is only confirmation that light doesn't slow down. The time is not effected. That information is not lost. That energy doesn't decrease. Because that would be unlikely that all of those things would be true. So with the quantum telephone we will understand the second law Of thermodynamics. What the decrease in energy means in terms of the speed of light... Of distance. And of time. What it means in terms of the loss of information. This could contribute to our understanding of origins.

Chapter 2.

The better telescope

The quantum telephone has nearly been discussed. Only the idea that it can measure time. Has not. If light travels faster than the speed of light. Time should be affected. And that can be measured. And compared with the measurements of light when it travels slower. If I am wrong about the mirror that light slows down. Then light will still slow down when it passed through a transparent solid. So the quantum telephone can still be built. Even if it proves me wrong about reflection. Because it will prove it one-way or the other.

This chapter is about a better telescope. How do we build a better telescope? We start with an equation. And we will. And that equation is $1/3 \cdot 1/3E = 1/3M + 1/3C + 1/3C$ And what does that equation really mean. ? It means $1/3$ of the speed of light. Because it is $1/3$ of C squared. And that is equal to $1/3M$. Because it might be $1/3 \cdot 1/3$. Which is one. So the two things are equal. And the two things add up to .9999999 That means infinity.

What does $1/3$ energy mean. ? It means when you have a total of three you have one. And that is $1/3$. And when you have a total of nine. You have three. And that is $1/3$. Because the total energy is nine.

If we believe that every time light is reflected it loses $1/3$ of its energy. Then we have to prove it. With the quantum telephone and photoelectric cells. Or other light measuring instruments. We have to confirm our theory that light really loses $1/3E$. If it does then it loses $1/3C$ And that is unbelievable. Because it means what it says. If you lose $1/3$ Energy then you lose a $1/3$ Mass. And $1/3 C$ squared.

The question we must ask is the right one what does our Equation have to do with a telescope. Light that is reflected in a telescope loses energy. So we can calculate how much energy it had when it entered The telescope. It will be $1/3$ More. And it might be just a little bit more If we want to reflect the light from a star. We can measure its entropy. By division. Because when we divide a star

into infinity. We get an infinite number of energy levels they are all different. And they all add up to the total energy of the star. This doesn't tell us everything. We would want to know how much entropy the light has. And when can compare it with other stars. And that will give us total measurements for entropy. For all objects. And they will all differ. And some will be similar. And others quite different.

But what it doesn't tell us is the distance. We need a way of measuring the maximum distance of the Universe. And that would mean focusing on the furthest visible object In the universe. And measuring the entropy. The total entropy would be infinity. And we simple round that off, as 10.999999 are 10. That means the universe has a boundary. We can then calculate the distance for the universe in all directions. We can draw a cube of the known universe with every star fitting Into the cube. Of course it will be a computerised cube. A virtual universe. In which you can look at the view from any star. This is just wishful thinking. And it isn't.

There is no reason to build a telescope that has 1/3 for the mirror. Because that may be what people would say. But to cut a mirror to 1/3 of 10. Is not an easy task. Go and ask the astronomy department to cut one right now. !!!!!?? One that is a total of 100 inches. Being three 33.333' inches. What good would it do there is no way you can put the three Mirrors together.

And that is what our equation is all about. We can put three things together. We just have to add them together. And the images can be added one to the other. Until there are three images that are one. That can be done by computer. No one looks through a telescope anymore so it doesn't matter. But there would be a way of creating a telescope you could look Through. And I really do believe it. But for now we will put the three images together with a digital computer. What does our equation tell us about the size and age of the universe. ? It tells us that light has lost some of its energy. The most distant objects have lost the most. The closer to object the less energy has been lost. And we know that light has been reflected in outer space.

We can measure exactly how much. Because that determines the speed of light. We can determine the exact speed of light for any star. Then we will know the age of the universe. Since we have already calculated the distance. It is only a matter of correcting for the loss of energy caused By reflection.

Our equation is just right for our telescope. Both the equation and the telescope are $1/3$. This must make measuring the universe much easier. And it really does. We can measure the distance from the telescope to any object. That is because it has a length. And that length is infinity. And we can make it ten. That will give us the distance of even the most furthestest object Mass decreases by $1/3$ And that is what happens to light when it is slowed down. By losing energy. When $1/3$ energy is lost. $1/3$ Mass is lost. And that may seem hard to understand. But mass is infinite. And so is energy. And that's what the equation really is.

Chapter 3. Brianiac...

First of all Brianiac is the biggest computer in the world. It only can work out the answer to origins. I can be programmed with the speed of light. And it can be. But we use the energy of light. Because light loses energy. When it is reflected. And that may apply to any kind of reflection. By hydrogen or space dust in outer Space. Of course the energy that is lost won't be the same. As when light is reflected in two mirrors. But we can calculate what the energy is. For any number of reflections. The more reflections the more energy is lost. You would think that when there are infinity reflections There would zero energy. And that just isn't true. There is still energy. And it is infinite. Infinitely close to zero. And that is just my belief. And perhaps that is so. So if you really disagree you will have to prove. How much energy remains when light is reflected to infinity? And you may be right. Maybe there is a different answer. Maybe light reaches zero. Or light approaches one. And that is a possibility. That only Brianiac will work out. Because Brianiac can do any calculation. He can measure light from the most distant object. By reflecting it between two mirrors to infinity. Brianiac can decide the distance between the closest object. And the furthest one away. He does this by comparing the figures for energy. The most distant star is a certain number of reflections Away. And the closest one are also a certain number of reflections. That means we know. Because Brianiac drew a graph. Just what energy the most distant object has. It is the same as rounding off infinity to zero. And we can make it the same. Then we know exactly how far light can travel before losing all of its energy. And that is a physical boundary for the size of the universe. We can detect light beyond that boundary. So it may extend beyond ten. And it doesn't because no light will even be detected any further away. Brianiac is programmed to work out time. That is he is a clock. The world's most accurate. Only Brianiac measures the distance light travels when it is reflected to infinity. And the time is a result of $E=MC^2$. Since Brianiac knows light when it is reflected loses speed. And all of the speeds of light that are in between. Add up to an infinite speed. And that is .9999 of 186,000 miles/second. Brianiac can match speed to energy. When light has a certain amount of energy it is travelling slower by a certain

amount. Brianiac knows just how much. So the age of the universe isn't a problem for Brianiac. This is how he works out the age of the universe. Energy must have been infinite. And every star is infinity. Because that is what Brianiac does. He divides the light from every star into infinity. But the energy levels for every star add up to a total. The total is infinity but if round it off to ten. Or to zero as energy approaches zero. We get the total energy of the star. If we add all the stars then we get the total energy for the entire universe. And Brianiac will also work out the total speed. Which will turn out to be 186,000 miles/second. Since all of the slow light adds up to the speed of light. This will give us an extremely accurate speed for light. The universal speed. So Brianiac works with a number of constants. The speed of light when it reflected to infinity. The distance light travels when energy reaches zero. The time light takes to travel that distance. And all of these are interrelated. Because Brianiac is a measuring device. He doesn't need to be a super computer. If he were he would come up with the same answer as a calculator. Brianiac is not content to guess about time. He measures it with the quantum telephone. Information is sent via laser light.

And if it loses energy it will lose information. That is another constant. We can know exactly how much information is lost with the speed of light. Since energy and the speed of light can both be measured. On a graph. And where they are the same. Which is every point. Then we know how much information is lost when light travels more slowly. This would tell Brianiac that information is not lost. When light travels faster. Because that can be worked out too. The deceleration of light can be turned into acceleration. We would expect it to accelerate the same way it decelerates. And Brianiac may prove that theory right. Because for you and I light can't travel any faster. The question is how does Brianiac work out the age of the universe given that he knows the total of all energy in the universe. The answer is the total is the complete amount of energy that has been released. And it is as close to having the right value for the beginning. That is cleverer than Brianiac simply dividing the cosmic background radiation. Because the distance of that can be added. And it really can. And give a very accurate idea of when the universe began. I have been foolish in talking about Brainiac. Because you will want to build him. But you have not understood that it is only a simple experiment. That you measure the speed of light. By the distance it travels to infinity. That you understand time and information. That entropy is expressed in terms of energy speed and information. Your Brianiac will probably only cost \$500.00. More if you decide to build an infinity telescope. But the results of the \$500 experiment will be all you need. To work out the new constant. The distance light travels before it loses all of its energy. Brianiac can work out questions that we can't

even ask. Because Briniac has all the facts. He can ask computer questions about probability. And he really can be rounded the number of trials to ten. That means infinity is not just $3*3=9$ but is also $2*5=10$. The reason ten is right is there are Ten Commandments. And they are the infinite laws of God written down in stone. That means they are finite. And the law was never infinite. It always was finite. That is why it is ten. It is as close to being infinity as it can be. Without being infinity. So probability is a question we have not considered. Probably because I do not understand quantum mechanics. But the energy of light is divided into ten. That means there are two sets of five possibilities. And that is enough for Brianiac to work out what infinity is. Because he knows the difference between infinity. And ten. $1/3+1/3+1/3=.99999. =10$. And that is totally a proper equation. That proves infinite and finite Are the same thing. If I wanted to prove the opposite I would put two fives and three threes Together. Because then they would be twenty. And that would be the right answer. Because infinity and finite are two things. And when they are added they become one. But that is a question for Brianiac. And so I have written ten pages. But that is enough. I just wanted to express wonder At the universe. At how great it is whether it is really finite or infinite.

Or whether these concepts can be one. That would mean the universe has a boundary and does not have o One at the same time. And that may make sense. The universe is bounded by light that looses its energy. The object is so far away that it cannot be detected. Since it is beyond the boundary. We have to say the universe has a boundary and does not have one. Perhaps only light can be truly infinite. If space goes beyond the distance light can travel. Then it is truly infinite. It has a boundary of ten. Just like the lawgiver Moses gave us Ten Commandments as a boundary. Between what we were not allowed to Do and what we were. We are allowed to round off infinity. But perhaps we will never really understand whether The universe is infinite.

I will leave these and other questions to Brianiac after He is fully programmed with the Genesis experiment. I believe light looses speed amplitude and wavelength. When it looses its energy in brilliance.

But I may be right. Because the experiment has not yet been tried. And to decide before the theory has been tested. Would not be to believe. How does the telescope work. ? You should be able to guess. But I won't make you guess. The telescope is infinite. Because it is three mirrors that are all $1/3$. That means it focuses on infinity. Because it is focusing on the furthest object. And we you focus it on the nearest object. You can determine the distance. And that may be an infinite distance.

And it might be finite. Because even the furthest object may not be infinity. Because the energy can be calculated as to what the furthest object would be

like. Then we would know how sensors would have to detect Energy very close to zero. To be able to detect the furthers object. When we set out to enhance the futherest object. We first have to divide the light from the telescope Between two mirrors to infinity. This gives us a total energy level for each star. That can be rounded off and be finite. You can compare one star to another. Its energy will not be the same. If each and every energy level is squared. Then the brilliance of the image will be increased. That means you increase the brilliance of every reflection. And you put them all together. How do you do that? You use Brianiac. It must be possible to put all of the infinite images Back together in one image. And enhance the image by enhancing the energy of every Reflection. That will give us a truly 3D view.

Because all the information needed to make 3D is there. Because the universe is like the mirror. Light has a boundary. And it really has not boundary. Because both are true. It is just the energy gets so close to zero that you can no longer Detect it. And when we make the decision to round off to zero. We are deciding where the boundary will go.

Chapter 4.

Einstein Proved Wrong

This chapter is a summary of a book that will never be published. Because history has been changed. Something happened to make that book in the past. And not in the present. That means somebody must have made a mistake. And not taken their job seriously. And that is exactly what happened. It was given to a church for safekeeping only they didn't keep it safe. And maybe you will read it somebody. And there is a very small chance that that could happen. May copies were sent to publishers and never returned. Nobody took the idea of Einstein being wrong seriously. The CSIRO didn't take it seriously. But they were willing to bother a physicist to see if there was any truth to Einstein not being right. But of course they didn't really believe. And perhaps they did. They believed in Einstein. And that may not be the same as believing God exists. But it could be what people believe when they do believe God exists. Because an intelligent person will believe Einstein was Wrong about relativity. And they never will. But they will believe he was wrong about Quantum Mechanics. And that is the same as not believing. Since chance is not a good explanation. So what I can to make up for the loss of my first book. Einstein Proved Wrong. I can write this chapter that contains the main ideas. The main reasons why Einstein isn't right. Because the book was a serious attempt to prove creation. And that is what this book shall be. A serious attempt to prove creation and not evolution. And that's what Einstein Proved Wrong. Is all about. You can prove creation by not believing Einstein. And you just can't. You can only prove creation by believing Einstein. And that is what you have to do. Because Einstein invented the big bang. And he didn't. Other men have invented the big bang. But they used Einstein's equation.

So if we want to prove creation we will have to use Einstein's Equation. Remembering our purpose for this book is to write about a really big telescope. That can see further than any other. And a really big computer that can

calculate what the really big Telescope sees. And will it all prove Einstein right. I think so.

Because the speed of light can be divided until it is infinitely small.

And this is true whether it slows down when it is reflected or not. It still slows down when it passed through a solid. And you can slow light right down to zero.

And of course light is always faster than zero. But the total speed of light when added up is always 186,000 miles/second. And it may be $.99999 \times 186,000$ miles/second. Then it is the right speed for light. Since light is made of energy and speed. When you decrease the energy of light. You also decrease the speed. That is proving Einstein wrong. And it may not be. He didn't talk about light travelling slower. When it is travelling slower it is still a constant. It is $1/3C^2$. Because that is light travelling a $1/3$ the speed of light. And that is what happens when light loses $1/3$ of its energy. And that equation can't be right. Or Einstein would be wrong. Light is a constant it doesn't lose speed. But light isn't a constant it does lose speed. When it passes through glass it loses speed. And it passes through an infinite number of times it loses infinite speed. That hypothesis may not be wrong. When you pass light through a solid an infinite number of times.

Light may lose all of its energy. That means the stars are further away. And it might. It might also mean they are closer. Because one is true. If light is travelling slower it travels a greater distance. And that is something that has to be seen to be believed. Because you have to measure the distance light travels when it is reflected to infinity. And the time it takes to travel an infinite distance. That is all hypothetical unless you round off to ten. If you round off infinity $.99999$ to 10 then the distance is a real distance. An infinity a real number. Then you can get the real speed of light.

When it is reflected to infinity. And since time may be involved the speed of light may be different. But it won't prove $E=MC^2$ to be wrong. It will prove that you can predict with $E=MC^2$. That when light loses energy it also loses speed. And that is a totally new view of physics. It is entirely my own invention. And even though the top scientists have seen it they have not believed it. But it proves Einstein to be right. Not to be wrong. There is a new constant and that is energy when it approaches zero. And the speed of light when it approaches zero. There is a relationship between the two constants. And time also approaches zero. That is a relationship between three constants. But if we want a new $E=MC^2$. There is another constant the distance light has traveled to infinity. Rounded off it is real distance, which shows the size of the universe. This constant is just as important as speed. But I thought this chapter was Einstein Proved Wrong. When am I going to prove him

wrong. Right now. E does not equal MC^2 . That is proving Einstein wrong. It is proving that there are other constants that are also Equal. Time and energy and speed. All approach zero. They are all equivalent. And that is proving Einstein right. And it may not be. It depends on whether slowing light down. Changes time. Because that isn't something that you would predict. But when time approaches zero. Distance must approach zero too. But light doesn't travel any distance when there is no time. Or does it. Can it travel an infinite distance in no time at all. The alternative is it can't travel at all. That when time approaches zero. Speed approaches zero. And distance traveled approaches zero. This all proves Einstein knew what he was talking about. And it might prove he didn't really understand. There is more to it. Than at first meets the eye. You wouldn't think the creation equation would give You the age of the universe. But the present age is wrong. Because the other constants have not been understood. And that is proving Einstein wrong about the age of The universe. Because it was others who used his equations to work Out the size of the universe. And that is incorrect as well. You need this new data this new theory to work out the Right age and size of the universe. So far I have done all right. I have seriously tried to prove Einstein wrong. By proving creation right. That is my equation for creation. Since it was revealed it is science. Truly so called. My equation for creation proves creation right. It may not prove Einstein right. Because this would prove Einstein right. $\frac{1}{3}E = MC^2$. Putting a third on only one side of the equation. Proves that light doesn't slow down. $\frac{1}{3}E = \frac{1}{3}M \cdot \frac{1}{3}C^2$. Putting $\frac{1}{3}$ on both sides of the equation proves something About infinity. It proves 3 is 9. And by doing that proves 1 is 3. It also proves that light slows down in accordance with how much Energy it loses. And when you think about it what other reasons could there Be for light slowing down. I would like to hear them. Why does speed decrease when light travels through Something Transparent. ? Maybe there is another explanation. Then the first equation will be right. But not when energy is infinite. Because it will still be right. Because $E = MC^2$ is infinite. But it must balance and if there is $\frac{1}{3}$ on one side There has to be $\frac{1}{3}$ on the other. So we will try this. $\frac{1}{3}E = \frac{1}{3}M \cdot C^2$. That means the two are one. And if you take a $\frac{1}{3}M$. You also take $\frac{1}{3} C^2$.

They are both $\frac{1}{3}$. And that balances. And that really means $\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{3}$. And it doesn't it means $\frac{1}{3}$. And it is the same as if you had multiplied both by $\frac{1}{3}$. It is equal to $\frac{1}{9}$. And that's the proof that light slows. There is no other equation for $\frac{1}{3}$. So what do you expect me to do about it. ? I expect you to prove it by experiment. Because it must be true. Otherwise Einstein would be wrong. What I did in Einstein Proved wrong can be simplified. I said that $2+2=4$ is the test of Einstein's theory. If you could not add Einstein's equation in twos. Then

it was not right. Because 1 can be added and multiplied. That means two is addition and multiplication. And $E=MC^2$ should be right for two. It should be possible to add and multiply at the same time.

And that is simplifying it. And it really is complicating it. Because the idea of two, is such an Ordinary idea. That is doesn't have to be described. But most people wouldn't be aware that you multiply equations By adding them. And you can with $E=MC^2$. And that is just it you can do it but it doesn't add up. We will try simply adding two $E=MC^2$. Because the equation is $2+2=4$ So we get $2E=2MC^2$. And the question is that the same As $2E=2M+2C^2$.

And it should be. Also $2E=2M^2C^2$. Should be the same. And those two are the same. But if we make M two and we don't make C^2 two. Then it balances We get $2E=2MC^2$. And light is still two. So it should add up to four. But to balance it we need $4E$. Then $4E=2M+2C^2$ or $4E=2M^2C^2$.

And which is right does $2=4$. ? No 2 does not equal four. And that is proof that Einstein isn't right. Because when you multiply 1 by two. You are saying that light is a constant. That it can only be one. By one I mean 186,000 miles/second.

But it can be twice that. And it that were true Einstein would be wrong. But it is only on paper. On paper when $E=MC^2$ is 2. Then light is also $2C^2$. And Einstein might say it is already 2. And when you multiply 2^2 you get four. So maybe C^2 should be 4 squared. But our Einstein is just a figment of our imagination. Not in this case. C^2 is really twice that. And that isn't four squared. Because two lots of C^2 are four squared. And two lots of M are M squared. And they really are two M squared. Because $2M^2M=4M$ So we get a total $2M+2C^2$. Because the C is squared M is squared as well. Because the C is 4. The M is 4 as well. What do we do when we balance Einstein's equation. Instead of $2=4$. We make it $4=4$. Then it is wrong. Because it is right. That is the explanation for why Einstein isn't right. His equation doesn't balance. Not when you use two. And that is the test of the theory. Even though you are not amazed. And you don't find it hard to argue with this test. It really is a test that Einstein didn't pass. That means Einstein failed. But he didn't say that his equation wouldn't be four. If it was 2. Because actually it would be $4E=4M^4C^4$. It would be $4=8$. Then is there is no way to balance Einstein's equation for two. And does that mean light cannot travel at a velocity. Of $2C$. Because it cannot travel at a velocity of C^2 either.

And that is a good point. It is already a velocity that is faster than light. Just making it two should not make any difference. But it does make a difference. I

have tried my best to prove C right. Because that is what I have done. Proven that there is no alternative. Because C cannot be one. And it can be. It cannot be three things that are one. Because it can be. It can be $1/3$. That is the proof that Einstein is right. His equation can be added. But only in threes. So we will add three lots of $E=MC^2$. $3E=3M*3C^2$. That means $3=9$. And 3 of course does not equal nine. So that proves light doesn't travel at three times the speed of light. But it might not. Since infinity is three in one. And $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ Because that is equal to $1/3E=1/3M*1/3C^2$. And it is wrong for .33333 to equal .99999 And for infinity it isn't wrong.

They are both the same. But when we use two. We get 666. Because $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$.666=.666+.666+.666=2 And when we have three sixes we have an infinite two. And that should be the answer. But it is not. It is question about whether Einstein is right. Because if we use an infinite two. Then this equation is right. $2E=2M+2C^2$. .666=.666+.666 And that proves that $2=4$. But it isn't right. And I don't know how I can Convince you. Except by telling you that is the enemies' number. Because you either believe the bible or you don't. Right now you are saying Einstein isn't right. That is because you don't believe $1/3=3/3$. That $1/3=1$ Because for Einstein it would be proof. Proof that he is thinking Gods thoughts after Him. Proof that the silly test. Was not as silly as it first seemed. If you could not add and multiply Einstein's equation at the same Time it would not be right. But since only three is right for infinity. The argument that Einstein's equation doesn't balance isn't Right. I have balanced it. $1/3E=1/3M*1/3C^2$. And $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ That means Einstein's equation does balance. But the awful truth that I have discovered means you may not believe that. Because two sixes mean infinity is 666. Because $2E=2M+2C^2$. And that means three sixes. Are $2E$. And they are equal to six sixes when they are added up to four. And his equation is bound to prove Einstein to be right. Because it was never meant to be two. It can only prove him wrong. It is the first time man has had an alternative to believing in a Beginning. Of course he could believe in infinite time. And that may be what 2 is all about. It may give you the time you need. To believe in chance. Because it is my belief that when an infinite two is used in Probability equations then it will prove Einstein wrong. It may prove the opposite the Quantum Mechanics is right.

And it is most likely too. But before you 666 into a probability equation. First consider that there is another number that is three. That is nine. Nine is three threes. And it is an infinite number. And if we put that into probability equations for we get the right number. So there will always be right and wrong. People will always have a choice whether to believe in the existence of God. Or not to believe. And that may be what you are asked to believe. That God isn't

good. Because no one will ask that. They will ask if there is a God. Because evil will not quite denying the existence of God. Maybe they prove Jesus wasn't good. And that is exactly what they will do. By denying the Father the Son and the Holy Spirit. So we reach the end of this chapter. What is the answer? Is Einstein wrong? Because you began by proving him wrong with three. And I did begin by proving Einstein wrong. But with the right number. Because there wasn't proof that I was right. To be right light has to slow down. When it is reflected. And that is what the creation Equation indicates.

And it may mean the opposite that light does not slow down. Because it has to balance. And maybe three isn't equal to nine. But if you believe $3=1$ Then you have to believe. That light when it is reflected loses energy. And that that energy is speed. Because this equation is right. $1/3E=MC^2$. That means energy doesn't have an effect on speed. And it remains to be seen. If $1/3E=1/3M*1/3C^2$. Because if that is true light has not really slowed down. Infinity is 3 and 9. There are two infinities. And when light is 3 and not nine. It is still nine. But if light has not really slowed down then why has it lost its energy. ? That it is a question for me. Because I will answer it when light slows it slows to infinity. It doesn't slow light ordinary light. Because ordinary light is what we are talking about. And if we used a laser. Light would slow. Just the same as ordinary light. That means light never reaches zero in energy or speed. It may in fact lose brilliance and wavelength when it reduced by $1/3$. But not speed. And that is something that is easy to understand. $1/3 \ 1/3 \ 1/3$ 186,000 miles/second.

It adds up $1/3+1/3+1/3=186,000$ miles second. And it may be .9999 186,000 miles second. But because it adds up reflection is dividing the speed of light. Because it is infinity. It can be divided. Infinity does divide. So does light. It divides in energy and speed and mass. And all of this is subject to experiment. And I commend you to God as you try to prove me right. Because it is right to try that first. Try first to prove me right that light slows. Then try to prove $1/3E = MC^2$. Because if that equation is right Einstein has nothing to worry about. And it is right because .99999 is really 1. So I am right and Einstein is too. But of the tree of knowledge of good and evil you shall not eat, for in that day that you eat of it you shall surely die. Genesis 2:11. Is the fact that I am telling you both the way to life and the way to death.

Like that verse. Does it mean knowing the difference between why Einstein is right and why? He is wrong will kill you. It doesn't mean that. It means you have a choice. You can choose to believe one and not the other. And you must choose. Because there is no in between. Both cannot be right. 2 cannot be right for Einstein's equation is what you should say. Because when it is infinite it is 666. But you might say the equation is not infinite therefore two is All right. Or

you might say I don't believe in the idea of $1/3$ equals $3/3$. And that is simple you could say it doesn't balance. And you could say $2=4$ does balance. And then you would be spiritually lost.

Because finding your way would be impossible. Because you know what 666 means that it means there is no God. And you still believe in it. That is the unforgivable sin. To actually make 666 your belief. The fool has said in his heart there is no God. That is because everything you believe about science will be just foolishness. That is if you choose to say Einstein is wrong. Because if you do you will still use two to prove him wrong. And you may use three. And you will still be right. Because you won't. What is easier to believe $2+2=4$ or $E=MC^2$. That is a good question. Famous scientists would answer $E=MC^2$. But the average person would answer $2+2=4$. So is God unfair. Because the average person will know God is three in one. And they will be just like the scientists they will say believing in God is as simple as $E=MC^2$. And believing Einstein was so dumb that $2+2=4$ is a better equation. Is what you have to believe if you don't believe. What is the tree of life. ? Is it what I am writing? Because it isn't. It is what I have written. Because everything that I have written is the tree of life. And it came about the knowledge of good and evil was destroyed in my life. So that what I write is a testimony that is life. Not death to the hearer. But the words of the spirit. Because they that worship me Must worship in spirit and in truth. Can any prophecy be true. That is a question that you ask when the tree of knowledge is destroyed. And the answer is they can be. Even though many are just zero. They can be zero or infinity. I believe that the words I am writing are infinite. That the understanding of the person that is writing them has no limits. And if I am wrong don't listen because the words will kill. And they may kill. The old nature. A prophet who make too much of his own prophecy is not to be believed. And that is a true statement. So how important do I think my revelation of the number Really is. It is one point of view. That man has regarding 666. And it isn't right. That it proves Einstein wrong. Because $2+2=4$ would just be innocent unless it is used To prove there is no beginning. Because when a man sets out to prove something wrong He has to find the weakness. And there is a weakness. Just like everything. And that weakness is the speed of light. It is not fully understood. And it can be understood in a way that makes $2+2=4$ The right equation.

I think that once you have heard the evidence for and Against Einstein.

You must choose where you stand.

If $2+2=4$ proves Einstein wrong and is 666. Will you believe it? It depends on your presuppositions. Whether you believe in the existence of a God. If you believe in a young earth you may not believe Einstein. But you would be smart enough to use three to prove Him wrong and not two. Because you know that

666 cannot be right. That infinity cannot be six. That is obvious to any young earth creationist. The old earth creationists will believe Einstein is right. And that the big bang is the beginning. They will believe that other theories that there is no beginning are wrong. And if $2+2=4$ is infinite. It is 666. So the old earth creationists will not believe that it is the answer. They may make light of it. And say of course Einstein would know if his equation balances. They may not take notice that it balances when it is a $1/3$.

But if a Christian is sincere he will see that three in one. Is right for the creation equation. And if it isn't really three in one. Then it isn't the right equation.

That may be an excuse to come up with some other equation. But it will be clear that it can only be two or three. Thanks you father for hiding these things from the wise and understanding and revealing them to babes. Because when Jesus said this. He was thinking of how great the wisdom of God is. And how the simplest things can be proven right. When even the most difficult things are proven wrong. The beginning of the ending That is what this book should be called. As it is the start of the finish. Because I have begun to finish what I have started. And that is Einstein Proved Wrong. I want it to be what it was meant to be. And that what it is. It is what it is meant to be. And of course it no longer exists. But if it did exist. It would be an important beginning. And it is the final ending. Because I have begun to finish Einstein Proved Wrong. It will not be the same as the first book. Because I know more. I would have believed $2+2=4$ was the equation for creation. When I wrote Einstein Proved Wrong. But after writing it I suspected that Einstein Proved Wrong didn't really prove him wrong. That it was all about the number 666. And now I know that two is finite. And I know it is not. I know it is finite. And that is true. Two gives finite and not infinity. But I know believe that three is infinite.

And I am right. Because the triune God is what the basis of Einstein Proved Wrong will be. It will not be the book that proves $2+2=4$ is the creation equation.

Instead this book will prove $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And it will prove Einstein right. And it may not. Because everything that I know about Einstein is that he is never wrong. And because I know he is never wrong I will prove him right. So the next book will be Einstein Proved Wrong. Because I have already written Einstein Proved Right. And there is a need to write Einstein Proved Wrong. And really there is. Because there was to begin with. And there may have been a very good reason .666 is not just a number. Now it is an equation that proves Einstein wrong.

And it is simple as adding two figures together. Because when you add $1+1$. You get two. And that is what C is like. It is $1+1=2$. Then C is no longer C. But it is possible that it is wrong to add C. And I have said in Einstein Proved

Wrong that it not wrong to add C. Because when we add C we are adding something that is already 2.

Because C is really C squared. SO if we add two lots of C squared. We get C to the fourth. And that is totally right. If we add or multiply we will still get C to the fourth. And that is why Einstein is right.

Because his equation can be two. $2E=2M*2CSquared$. And that equation does not balance. And it really does not. Even though it is right that Csquared can be two. But we could make it balance. And that is what it is all about.

Because we make it balance Einstein isn't right.

Otherwise he would have to obey the rules. But he does obey the rules. When you add two lots of C. You get 2C. And that is what addition is. It is adding C.

And C is not Csquared. And that is the whole question. When you add you should add Csquared. That is why I have been proved right. Because when you add you should add C. And then you get $2E=2M+2C$ And that is right. Because to add for three. Is the same. $3E=3M+3C+3C$. And that is right. It isn't wrong to add three threes together and get nine. But nine is not equal to three. That is why we use $1/3$. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ That is why we should use $2/3$ with C. $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C$ And that isn't right. Because two doesn't equal four. Two is equal to six.

And I can prove that by $2/3$. $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ And that add up to $2/3=2/3+2/3+2/3$ $2/3=.666$ And $6/3=.666+.666+.666$ So there are really three lots of 666 in two. Because $6/3=.666+.666+.666= 2$ And when you add it up $2+2=4$.

Then $.666+.666+.666+.666+.666+.666= 4$ Six lots of infinite 6 add up to four. We will go one step further. We will multiply by two. That means $3*4=12$ Three multiplied by 6 lots of 666. is 18 lots of .666.

That is how many lots of infinite six there is in twelve. And that is totally right. The number is an infinite 18. And it is 12. It is three lots of four, which is a perfect six. And that is something we haven't understood. That a perfect 18 is three fours. SO the number six six six. Is really 4 4 4 And that would be confusing. But not if you understand that it really 666.

So now you know not to have 444 put on your forehead. And you may say you would never do that. But if you had of put it on. Without knowing it was 666 it would be too late to change your mind. So your glad you read this book. And you should be. Because I'm going to demonstrate how the number 12. Proves Einstein wrong. Because that is what the number is all about. It is meant to be

the ultimate answer. And I'll show you why it is real magic that it appears that Einstein is wrong.

And that it is all done with smoke and mirrors. That the reality is that Einstein is right. And that God is a Holy Trinity. And that His equation is the creation equation $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$.

And the creation equation proves everything about why Einstein isn't wrong. He isn't wrong to believe in a God of order. He is right not to believe in chance. Because infinity means that chance will happen. And it can mean just that. That where there are an infinite number of possibilities. Everything that is meant to occur will happen.

But what does an infinite two mean. It means exactly the same. That there are an infinite number of possibilities. And there are all two. And that is the question. Are the possibilities two or are they three. ? What difference does it make. ?

That is something that the enemy will know. Because he will want to prove that Einstein's God is not real. And he will be able to prove it. With the number twelve. And if I don't believe that. It is because I am going to prove why three is the right answer. I don't have to prove why two means evolution. But I can prove why three means creation. And that is the purpose of this book. To refute the idea that two means evolution.

And to prove the idea that three means creation. To prove Einstein wrong we have to add equations in twos. That is a new system of addition. Based on the number twelve. Because we add two equations to two equations to get four equations. They are four equations in one. Then we add two more equations to two more equations. Then we add the two fours. And that is eight. And that is infinity. Because at least where the enemy is concerned he has only one alternative. Infinity has to be a multiple of two or a multiple of three. And he can have both he can have his cake and eat it too. And it is like him to want both. When you add another two equations to another two.

You get a total of 12. That means 18 lots of .666. So twelve is infinity. And that is what it is all about. Three fours are right. Because they are what the number is. But four fours are truly infinity. Because that is what you would think the enemy would say. But four fours add up to sixteen. And they are really $(6*.666)*(6*.666)= 36$ That is six squared. And you can understand how six squared is not six cubed. How it is totally six in two ways. That is why three fours are the number. Because four fours are C squared. And they really are. You multiply $4E=2M*2CSquared * 4E=2M*2C Squared$. And you get $16E=4M*4CSquared$. And that is the right equation. Because in reality it is wrong.

The right equation for four. Is $2/3$. $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C * 2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ That means $4/3=4/3M+4/3C+4/3C$ And when we square that. We have $16/3= 16/3M+16/3C+16/3C$ And that is our equation for four fours. Because it is right for two. It is also right for four. But the question is what is right for C squared. And the answer is that C squared is not equal. That is not equal to two 16's. That would make it 32 C. But it is multiplied so it is equal to $16/3*32/3C$ And that is correct. But at the same time just getting the right answer. Is not what correct is all about. Because the answer is one of two. And this answer is the very worst answer of all. Because 18 is really 16 thirds. Because $16/3$ gives you 5.333'. That is an infinite six. And it is so totally right. That it begins with three sixes and ends with three sixes.

Lets go back to 12. We begin with $2/3$. We add $2/3$ to get $4/3$. $4/3E=4/3M+4/3C+4/3C$ And then we multiply by three. $12/3E=12/3M+ 12/3C+12/3C$. So what is C when there are 18 .666.? $64MC$. $8*8$ And that is infinity. Infinity squared. So it all makes sense. When you think that two is infinity and not three. You may ask how could anyone ever reach such a conclusion. It really isn't that difficult. To convince people that two is infinity. You just have to show why three isn't right. And that will be our next chapter.
Why three is wrong.

Why three is wrong.....

Three is wrong because of the opposite. And that is two. Two really is the opposite of three. And two can though of as $1+1$. That means it is not the same as $1*1$.

And that is exactly it. If you express it as 1 then multiplication and addition are not the same. But if you express three as 1 it is $1+1+1=3$ $1*1*1=1$ And that doesn't prove anything. It really does 2 is not one. And one is not two. But 1 is three. And we can prove that by adding $1/3+1/3+1/3=1$ That means three infinities are one infinity. But we add two infinities we get $2/3+2/3+2/3= 2$ And it isn't two it is 1.8. And that means it is an infinite two. And three doesn't add up to 1 either. It is adds up to .999 So it is a half of what two adds up to. And that is hard to understand three is a half of two. So the full two is six. And that proves three right. Because I will never try to prove three wrong. But I have called this chapter why three is wrong. Because two threes really are wrong.

Diverting three isn't two. Three is a half of two. So four is two twos. So four is two sixes. And that is twelve. So that is proof that four is the number. Because

twelve is three fours. And that makes it two and three. And infinite eighteen is what twelve is all about. So we already know what the number is. Is there any point in continuing. ? There really is a reason. To make a good book. Because we will begin by starting again. And we will forget that we have tried to use the bible. Because there is nothing like the bible. And we can never make anything that will be anything near as good. So that is why we will stop trying to prove Einstein wrong. Because we have tried hard. And we haven't really tried that hard. Only as hard as we possibly could. To prove that light travels at a different speed. Because that is the basis of my reflection experiment. And light may not loose energy when it is reflected.

Because it is only a matter of whether energy means speed. Because of Einstein people believe energy and speed are one thing. And they just do not. Because they believe they are two things. That are in the same equation. But they are really equal. So any change in one means a change in the other. And that is one way of looking at it. That if the speed of light does change. Then energy must change too. And energy changes rapidly it goes from infinity to zero. And it goes in a set speed. That is a set time. It reduces by so much time every time it is reflected. And that is a different speed for every energy. And of course what if I'm right. Does that mean Einstein is wrong. It means we have to do his calculations all over again. Based on the idea that light loses speed. And we can do that. It will be a new theory. And we have already presented a theory that is as good as any there is. Light is not a constant. The idea that it is not. Goes back to Setterfield. But my idea means our measurements of the speed of light do not take into account speed lost by reflection. All measurements of light need to be corrected. And that is possibly the best way to end Einstein Proved Wrong. To write about what it like to write. It is very hard to write. And it will easy in the future because I will be writing by using a pen. Because then I will not have to put up with yelling. And tantrums. Because all of these things are part of what I'm writing. My story. Because I was not writing that. And I will now. I will write about what it was like to be born again. And to have a new heart. Because I believe that I was born again.

When I decided to end Einstein Proved Wrong. Because I decided that it couldn't be important enough to write another book. And maybe I have proved all that I want to prove. I can explain how light passing through a solid loses energy. And I can explain that when it passes through an infinite number of times it must lose infinite energy. I can explain that that means infinite speed. But no one will believe me. Maybe that's just because of my way of thinking. I may not be right. But I had a theory and it wasn't a bad theory. And maybe my theory will be just one of many theories that people came up with. But at least it was original. It had evidence to back it up. There was a new equation. And all

of that's exciting. At least for me. And maybe a giant telescope may be all that comes out of it. And that will be worthwhile. But I have lost the desire to prove that I am as smart as Einstein. Because I'm just not. I'm just an ordinary scholar. Who has studied under Jesus.

Chapter 5.

Probability and quantum Mechanics

What is the difference between using four and using nine. For probability. With four there are four possibilities. HH TT HT TH With four and nine. There are 36 possibilities. I will leave you to figure out what they are. But I will tell you a secret. HH and TT are also the opposite HH TT That means there are really two positions and not one. And it adds up to six. That is why you might be able to prove something about creation. Something that isn't right. By using six. But there are really nine possible positions. Because there are nine fours and this covers all possible positions. I am not an expert in probability. I did it in social math. So I understand the multiplication rule. You only have to multiply two chances together to get the total probability. So why not multiply them in threes. Because then you get the probability of three events happening. And if you multiply 3×3 . You get the probability of nine events happening. Using nine and four makes for infinite probability. And it isn't any less correct than just using four. But because you use nine that does make it right. So that's what I propose for probability. That there are nine possible combinations of four. Or four Possible combinations of nine. And that is right. If it right one-way it must be right the other. And that is true. Because it is right. Heads and Tails has 36 possible combinations is what I Propose and that will what it means for probability to be Infinite. I may be wrong an If I am you have to use 9 and 3. Then there are only 27 possibilities. But I believe 36 is the right answer. And if didn't agree with Einstein that there was a Beginning. I would use $.666 + .666 + .666 = 2$ An infinite .18 And because two is eighteen. It is two nines. That $.9999 + .9999 = .18$ And that is two infinities. So you can add infinity. $1 + 1 = 2$ And that is absolutely true. Infinity isn't $1 + 1 = 1$ Because if Einstein is right. Infinity is $1 + 1 = 1$ So how do we make sense of the idea of infinity. We add in threes. $.3333 = .99999$. That means we add $.333 + .333 + .333 = .999 = 1$ So our equation for infinity is $1 + 1 + 1 = 1$ And that is right. But the other one $1 + 1 = 1$ Is also right. Because $.333 + .333 = .666$ And that is how it is so quick to not believe. It is so quick to go from believing to not believing. If two infinities are one. Then Einstein may be wrong. Three infinities have to be one. Because two infinities are in fact two. Six when it is an infinite number if three lots of

.666. That is two. If it is two lots of .333 it is still equal to three lots of 666. And that may not be true. And it isn't true. Six is not equal to three. And three lots of six are not equal to one lot of six. So to be a Christian means to add up infinity $1+1+1=1$ Infinity doesn't add in twos. And 9 is .99999 and it is three lots of three. And it is infinity. It is really 1. And when you add three nines together. You get $.9999+.9999+.9999=.27$ And that is an infinite three. You can use that infinite three just like you would use two nines. .18 Which is an infinite two. And of course you can see not difference. Because the book of revelation is not one of your Presuppositions. You don't believe it. So you are not afraid of .18 Because it is 18. And it three sixes. But is no ordinary eighteen. It is an infinite two There is nothing except morality to stop science using an infinite two is all kinds of equations. They will use the idea that infinity is two. To prove something about probability. Because when the number of throws is infinite. Two is what is always used in probability. But using .18 means there is infinite time. And it might mean something different to use .27 It might mean there is a beginning. Because .27 proves Einstein right. And .18 proves Einstein wrong. And it really may not if you really do your work. Because you can prove Einstein right with two. And that a job you should get to right away. Before your retire. Because I knew a boss who left it too late to retire. The first game of golf he played he died. And that may be what it's like to try to prove Einstein Right with two.

It may not be to die. And it may be. Because all sorts of wrong conclusions Result when you use an infinite two. It can't be avoided that it is confusing it is the nature of The beast. But you can always you .27. That is three lots of infinity. And that will prove everything. About why Einstein really is right. You didn't do probability at college. And another person majored in probability. Let the person who majored in probability. Prove infinity to be right in every way. And to prove creation and not evolution. It may not be your presupposition that God is a Holy Trinity that makes all the difference. It may just be that the only presupposition that does Make all the difference. Is that God is three in one. I don't know much about probability. Except that the probability of Life evolving by chance is extremely small. I don't know if three proves there is a beginning. But I believe so. I don't if two proves there was never a beginning but that is the Opposite and two is opposite to three. You would expect an infinite two to prove something against God. As if anything could be proved against God. Every court in the millennium shall find in His favour.

And even the ones of earth at present will rule in His favour. I propose a debate between atheist and Christian. The debate shall be what is the difference between $2+2=4$ And $E=MC^2$. And that's OK for a start. But what is the real issue. Prove there was no beginning with $2+2=4$. And for the other side

prove there was a beginning with $E=MC^2$. And of course the atheists would complain that they are not both Answering the same question. So the right thing is to ask was there a beginning. And use $2+2=4$ and $E=MC^2$ to argue the case. At present they could not do it. They wouldn't understand enough about why an infinite two Proves their case. But if the debate were about the Holy Trinity. The question would be Is the creation equation the right one. ? Or is $2+2=4$. ? That is $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ right or is $2+2=4$. ? ($2/3E=2/3E+2/3M+2/3C$) Now the atheists should enjoy themselves. Because I will begin by showing why $2+2=4$ is the right equation. The stars work by $2+2=4$. Now I could say that If I wasn't in a debate. Because the other side will argue they don't. But I have proof $2H+2H+E= 4M+E$ And that equation is totally right. You add energy to two hydrogen atoms and they fuse to form one helium atom. But some of the mass is turned to energy. So $2+2$ does not equal four. And all of you nuclear scientists should right that down in Your power book. Because the right answer to $2+2=4$ is very important. Of course matter and energy being equivalent the equation Balances. But matter isn't energy. And energy isn't matter. So the amount of energy that is gained. Is the same as the amount of matter that is lost. And that may be what fusion is all about. The conversion of matter into energy. A very tiny portion of matter. Which may be anything. ? But it is known exactly how much...When we produce a general equation. We use M for Matter. So $2M+2M+E=4M-M+E$ And that is an equation for matter. But matter and mass are the same for two.

So if that is true we should be able to add the two equations Together.

That is to add $2+2=4$ to $E=MC^2$. And to that we have to make $E=MC^2$ into two. There is one easy way and that is to simply make $2E=4E$ And that is something you can prove. Because $2E$ does not equal $4E$. That means Einstein's equation doesn't balance. And if we were to make one equation out of the two. We have balance Einstein's equation. So that means making it $4E=2M+2C^2$. And then we add it to the other one. We get $4E+2M+2M+E=2M+(4M-M)+E$ And for that equation you have to put in the right values.

You know exactly how much energy you have to put in to fuse hydrogen. And maybe that is an unknown. Since hydrogen doesn't usually fuse this way. But we know how much matter and mass is in hydrogen. We know they are both two. We know how much energy is produced by The fusion of two atoms of hydrogen. And we know how much matter is lost. So this equation might prove the atheist right that there was no Beginning. Because it is not Einstein's equation. Einstein's equation is $2E=2M+2C^2$. And that is true. It doesn't have to balance for two because it balances for three. So if we want the wrong answer we use the super equation for matter and energy. It looks all

right. We should be able to multiply it. But it is really six. So we can't add but we can multiply. So if we want the right answer.

So $2M+2M+E=4M-M+E$ * $4E=2M^2C^2$ And that is equal to $4E^2M^2M+E=(4M-M)^2M+E^2C^2$ And I may have made a mistake. And math's is all about correcting your mistakes. So when I changed addition to multiplication I didn't make a mistake. Because $2+2=4$ and $2^2=4$ So the equation is right to be added to $2E=MC^2$. Because that is where I made my mistake. I balanced Einstein's equation. That means we should try multiplying $2E=2M^2C^2$ * $2M+2M+E=4M-M+E$ And that is $2E^2M^2M+E=(4M-M)^2M+E^2C^2$ So I would argue that both equations are right. Then I would add them together. And that would produce a super equation.

And it would be right for $2+2=4$. Because you can add equations in twos. Even when they are infinity. That is what the opposition says. And of course if I am on the other side I say superstition. I say the idea that infinity is 3 in 1 is a theological idea. So of course with the above equation I use .18. I use $.666+.666+.666=2$ That is $.9999+.9999=.18$ I then use an infinite two in the equation. Then I have every reason to believe the equation is right For Einstein's. Because it is right for mass and matter. It is an even more fundamental equation for energy that Einstein's since it is the equation for the stars. And maybe it is only the equation of the death of a star. And maybe there is no proof of that. But I might say it anyway. That supa novas prove $2+2=4$ is the right equation. So we no longer have Einstein's equation for energy. We have two equations one for multiplication and one for addition.

And the last equation we have used is right for both. When we multiply the right equation. We get the right answer. We can't balance Einstein's equation to 4.

If we did we could halve it. So $4E=2M^2C^2$. /2 $4E/2=1M^2C^2$ That means $2E=MC^2$. And that is a totally right way to do it. Because the amount of energy does not change the equation. And if only that were true. But if you take $1/3E$ you get $1/3MC^2$. And I believe you get $1/3M^2C^2$ That means the equation does change when light is divided. $1/2E=1/2M^2C^2$. That cannot be right. Because it isn't the same. $1/2$ can't equal a $1/4$. Because if it could 2 would equal four. And that would mean Einstein is right. Because for light energy can be any value. And the speed of light doesn't change. But that isn't true where the speed of light does change. If we pass light through a solid. It will lose a certain amount of energy. And if the energy it loses is the same as its speed. Then the equation is right. The one that says $2=4$. Because if you half the energy you will lose half the speed. But the same applies to $1/3$, $1/3 E$ means $1/3 C^2$. Either this equation is useful to measure the loss of speed when light passes through a

solid or it in not. There is a 1:1 ratio. And that is exactly right. That means what $E=MC^2$ means. A 1:1 ratio between energy and speed. Except where energy isn't one. Where it is infinity then it adds up to infinity. Where it is $1/2$ it adds up to a half. Naturally the people who believe 2 is the right equation. And that's Einstein's needs to be balanced.

Will balance every equation. But the people who see what Einstein has done will not balance An equation except by using three. Because an equation balances where it is three threes. And a 1:1 ratio likes Einstein's. Means that you can divide. And that is what they will do with all 1:1 ratios they will Divide by three. What exactly do I mean by a 1:1 ratio. I mean $M \cdot C^2$ is 1. And E is 1. If we make E 2 then it is a 2:2 ratio. But when we multiply two we get four. Unless we balance it. Then it is a 1:1 ratio that is $4=4$ $4E=2M+2C^2$. If I were arguing against $2+2=4$. I would say you couldn't balance Einstein's equation. That the ratio will not be $1=1$ But will be two twos equals two twos. And that isn't the same as $1=1$ I would also argue the proof of three being the right way To balance $E=MC^2$. That if you have $3E=$ then you have $3MC^2$. And that is the wrong way to balance it. Because when you have $3E$ you have infinite energy. So $3E=3M \cdot 3C^2$. And so if we are right about energy then what about matter. $3M+3M+3M+E=9M-M+E$ And that is our hypothetical equation for matter. It should be an equation for infinite energy.

And it can be. $1/3M+1/3M+1/3M+E=3/3M-M+E$ That means dividing matter into three and putting the three Parts together. And some of the matter is converted to energy. Just the same as with $2+2=4$. This is the wrong way to do it. You can't divide matter into threes. Because hydrogen can be divided into three. And that is the right way to do it. To divide matter into two threes. Because that isn't the answer.

Dividing three threes into three lots of three might be the answer. That is dividing nine into three. That means turning the equation around and starting with $9M$ and dividing that into three threes. And that may not be possible. But it is less likely that it is possible to divide tritium. Because tritium divides into 2 and 1. But it doesn't have to. And it might not. To get it to divide into three threes might be the answer. Does the equation say we can fuse atoms of hydrogen in ones.

It might say exactly that. At first we have to get hydrogen in ones. Then we have to try to fuse them. Because the equation tells us how much energy it will take. And how much will be produced. Infinite energy is not what you think. And it might not be inexhaustible. But inexhaustible doesn't mean infinite. And the two might be one thing. Let's put the two equations together. And that is the mistake we can't put the equation for matter. Together with $E=MC^2$.

Because we would have to put them together 2 and 1. And that equation might be right for the splitting of tritium. But if we want three threes. We need three equations. And I will leave the math up to you. Add three equations that are right for infinite energy. We lack the third equation. And that may be a real need not just any equation will do. But if we have it.

Then we can split tritium. So that it divides into three hydrogen's. And produce infinite energy.

Chapter 6.

The third equation

I should call this book the third equation. because, that would be a good idea. Because I have lost track of the purpose of this book. Which is to build a better telescope. And why will having a third equation produce a better telescope. It will. Because matter and mass are one for three. But if we use two threes and one equation we don't get the right answer. And that may be a reflection of what nature is like. The right answer is to have three $E=MC^2$ squared. And to add that to three Matters.

$3M+3M+3M+E= 9M+E$ $3E=3M+3C+3C$ And that isn't infinity. Because it still is. And it really is. So we add $3E+3M+3M+3M+E=9M+3M+3C+3C$ And what is that really it is adding one equation for nine to another equation For nine. And so it is two infinities. And that's twelve=eighteen. But we don't know the values for energy. That might change it. It might be a good equation. But we do need that third equation. Because the one above means 666. So what do we do. Do we pinch one of Newton's equations. If he had one for energy we could. But if it would have to be for infinite energy. The third equation means man does not know everything. There must be three equations for energy. And maybe that what Einstein was searching for. Perhaps we could an equation for energy for quantum mechanics. And that would put the two fields together. Have you got any suggestions? Are the any equations that begin $E=$ Because the matter equation does not begin $E=$. Although it could be put in that form. But it is the alternative to Einstein's. And I mean 1/3 Matter. Not $2+2=4$ because we have shown that that is not an alternative.

And if we added $2+2=4$ to the other two equations we might get an answer. Because six added to two is eight. And eight might be a better answer than six. If you don't know how to add the equations multiply to form one equation. Because two threes multiply together to form one equation And it is the same as adding three threes. But you cannot mix addition and multiplication. And you really can't. You have to use one or the other. My suggestion that you use quantum mechanics for the third equation is a Good one. But maybe there could a biological equation for energy. That would cover three kinds of energy. Light biological energy and energy from matter. I may never discover the third

equation. Then I will never solve the problem of infinite energy. But maybe someone who has learned to believe from what I have Done. Will one day solve the problem. So ask all scientists to answer one hard question what is the third equation. ?

$$1/ \frac{1}{3}M + \frac{1}{3}M + \frac{1}{3}M + E = 9/9M + E$$

$$2/ \frac{1}{3}E = \frac{1}{3}M + \frac{1}{3}C + \frac{1}{3}C$$

3/?

This is the hardest question in the world. Because to answer it Means the prize of infinite energy. You won't need 10,000 dollars if you can solve this problem. You will own the world. So I will try the constant I have described for the distance of light. Could lead to a third equation. One that incorporates the fact that energy approaches zero. One that relates time to speed and distance. So all you need to come up with a third equation is my experiment. The third equation will be for reflection. And I may be wrong. Feel free to disagree. You only need one equation for energy that is absolutely fundamental. You cannot get anymore fundamental than these two equations. So if you are a teacher put it on your students test. They do not have to be graduate students. They can be high school. And they may have the answer.

Ask people of high IQ. To fill in the blank.

Maybe they will have the answer. Or maybe it will never be found.

Frankly I don't know what the third equation is. That is why I am asking you.

All I know is that it exists. And it must exist. Because there cannot be only two fundamental equations for everything. Because the two equations are three.

If they are two then there can be two fundamental equations for everything.

So that's just it even though we know because of 666 and what the bible says that $2+2=4$ is the wrong equation. It works for $E=MC^2$. And it might. If it is balanced. But it gives you a wrong point of view. That you have had to correct Einstein. That his equation should have been for two to begin with.

Because the answer isn't two. There has to be a third equation. And because we know it exists we can look for it. The first equation is for matter. And it is also for mass. It is an equation for how much energy is produced By the fusion of matter. The second equation is an equation for infinite energy. When energy is infinite then so is mass and the speed of light. It is simply $E=MC^2$. Maybe it is a correction of .1111. And it might be Because there is less mass. When matter is converted to energy. The speed of light may be infinite when mass is infinite. Because it is the same as saying matter is infinite. Because mass and matter are one for three.

That means you can take $1/3$ of matter. And add it to $2/3$. And get infinite matter and infinite energy. Because the amount lost is the difference between 1 And .99999. That is the matter that is converted to energy. And that just makes

good sense. To know that matter can be converted only a little To energy. Because if could all be converted to energy. You would have to split three atoms. Because you would have to split them all. And you make hypothesis based on the creation Equation. And they can be right. But there may be no way at present to do what you can See is done in the equation. It may be beyond our technology. But someday someone will work out the equation For infinite energy. Because we need a third equation for infinite energy. The first equation deals with matter and mass.

And infinite energy. So we need exactly that another equation that deals with? Both matter and mass and infinite energy. Because we can say you can add the three equations Together. We can know that matter and mass can be added together For three. But all three equations must be right for matter. Because then they will be right for mass. So they can be an equation for mass and then they Will be right for matter. But what we shouldn't have is two equations for matter. And one for mass. Because that just won't work The equations have to be right for both. And a third thing, which is the speed of light. So we have got a picture of the third equation. It can't just be the same as $E=MC^2$. It has to mean matter and mass and energy. And it also has to mean the speed of light. Maybe it could be an equation for light slowing down. But is the creation equation. Maybe it could an equation for light speeding up. Because there is an experiment in light going a little bit Faster.

And that makes perfect sense if one equation is for light When it is a constant. The other equation is for light when it is slowing down. The third equation could be light when it is speeding up. Or if it doesn't really speed up. Then the equation that shows that it doesn't would be The right one. If light has traveled faster in the past. Then there is an equation for the constant of Light slowing down. And it is Barry Setterfields. And this may be the third equation. This theory may end up proving a new theory of light. A theory of everything that is truly one about matter and mass. Since Setterfields equation must address matter and mass to be the third equation. There are only three fundamental equations. And that is just true. There may be nine fundamental equations. And there may be. But four would not be right. So it can't be Setterfields and the one about light Traveling faster.

Because only one is right. If you added them together you would get two. But if they were both three you could add one of the other two equations. The mysteries of the universe are to be found in common sense.

You can't have a fourfold explanation of everything. You can have ten equations that explain the universe. And that is common sense since 1 is 9. And 9 is 1. So people who set out to describe a finite universe should do so with ten equations. Ten equations for light. For energy and mass and matter. And to describe an infinite universe. You need .9999 equations. And how can you have .9999 of an equation. You can have because $1/3$ is equal to .9999. So we need nine equations that all $1/3$. That is that are all infinity. And they will describe a universe that is not rounded off. Because the reality is that it is not rounded off. It may make it easier to understand for our finite minds if we do round the universe off the ten. How could we possibly have ten equations for light. That is a good question. Since we can only think of four. Because we can add the four together. And get eight. Then we only need one more. That is my theory of everything. We can add equations in threes. So that we get one equation. Then we add three of them. So that we have three equations in one. And we add other three equations that are the same. And we add another three equations that are the same. Then we have three equations that are one. And we have our ten equations. So we can describe infinity. With just three equations. That is why the search for the third equation is so important.

Chapter 7.

The constant of distance

Light travels at a constant speed. And that just is true. It can only travel slower. Then it travels at a constant speed divided by how much energy is lost. It cannot travel any faster than 186,000 miles per second. Reflection slows it down it doesn't speed it up. But there is another constant. And it is the right one for another equation. And that the distance light travels in infinity of light years. Because when it travels an infinite distance it loses infinite energy. That means it loses almost all of its energy.

And is as close to zero as it can be. So much so that if you round it off to zero you have a googol of kilometres. You may have the largest number that is possible to be created. And it may have to be represented by a symbol. Because it is a constant. No distance can be greater than 1. Because that is what it means to round it off to zero. 1 is the constant for light. 1 is its speed. And 1 is its distance. Maybe with scientific notation that number for rounding off infinity can be put down. But it is a huge distance. And what has to be explained is how light has traveled such a huge distance in an infinite time. Because time also is infinite. That is time is $1/3$. And when it is $1/3$ it is infinity. That doesn't mean the moment goes on forever. And it might mean just that. That the distance light travels goes on forever.

And that it never reaches zero. So the idea of energy being zero. Is a totally right one. Energy should be represented as zero. Where zero equals infinity. Because we can see in the mirrors the concept of zero being infinite. An infinite zero is the infinite and the finite together. Maybe you could add them. Then you would just get infinity. Or you would get zero. What is two infinities. If you round them off they are both zero. So $0+0=0$. And that is right infinity really can be zero. Suppose we built a spaceship. It has a tritium drive. It works by splitting tritium. And it fuses tritium back together again. It is called a humpty dumpty drive. And it is hypothetical. But because it produces infinite energy. You can travel at the speed of light. When light is reflected to infinity. So that takes infinite time. But the time seems like only a moment. But only light has aged. So we travel to the boundary of the universe. And we reach the distance light can travel before losing all of its energy. But because we have a humpty

dumpty. We don't lose all of our energy. So we keep going until we reach ten. And then we see nothing behind us. But another universe in front of us.

It is then that we would know that infinity is more than one. To regress does the quantum telephone mean I can call myself in the past. I have proof that it does not. And that is a thought experiment. A scientist knowing he will call himself in five minutes. Answers the telephone. And eureka it is him on the telephone.

Asking for data. So he gives the data and it is written down in the future.

And he write down the data that was given to him from the future.

But the scientist gets an idea. He says why not do an experiment. Scientists love to do experiments. So he decides to not ring the past in five minutes time. Then when he wrote won't be written. And what was written in the future also won't be written. That means he can change the past and the future just by not making that call. Because everything depends on the call being made from the future. Because it is made to the past. And that means if he has already decided not to answer it.

Then he can change his mind. And that's what he did. He decided not to make the call at all. And when he did actually not make the call the past was changed. The scientist didn't remember ever talking to himself.

Because he didn't. Not in this future. But he did in the past. So the past has been changed. And that proves that you cannot make a telephone call from the future to The past. That if you could it would alter the universe. You would know ahead of time what the stock market would be. You would the results of an experiment before it was conducted. You could predict the future with 100% accuracy. And maybe predicting the future with 100% accuracy is just like Having a time telephone. It doesn't make sense. So If I had an equation that predicted the future with 100% accuracy it would also violate the rules of physics. That is Heisenberg's uncertainty principal and it never been put Just that way before. That explains why Einstein's equation cannot explain the behavior of particles. There is no equation that could. Perhaps not even the third equation. And if it can explain the behavior of particles it will never be found. Even though it uses infinity. You would think that an infinite number of throws would somehow Give you the number before you threw them. And there are any number of people on the net who will sell you a system to pick numbers. But my equation isn't a system to predict the future. And it might not be. Because that is for people who haven't got any money. Because if they had some. They wouldn't want to lose it. If light can travel faster than light. Then even if it is only something peculiar. Information can be sent faster than light. And if it can. It will go back in time. And there is a reason to believe that. Entropy for light travelling slower than light increases. So you would expect

entropy for light travelling faster than light to increase. And it would have to decrease. That means order increases. The order in the universe increases. And if that is true that time is going backwards. But I have already shown that it is a paradox for information to be sent faster than light. So perhaps no information can be sent. Maybe it is too distorted to be understood. Or maybe it is just not sent at all. Not faster than light. It would tend to prove the experiment wrong that light was traveling Faster than light. Unless you could receive the transmission before you sent it. The opposite should be true when light travels slower information should Be sent forward. Since the universe has more entropy in the future. And match entropies with some future time. But it may not work like that. The universal entropy may not be in tune with the entropy of light. If they were vibrating at the same pitch you would expect that you Could send a message. And we can try that too. Sending a message on light that is reflected to infinity. But probably it will be received only not in the future. It will be received in the present. And they may be reasons to send information this way. But not to send messages to the future. You can pretty well rule out time travel if the quantum telephone Doesn't work. Because it will still explain time. Because time might change when the speed of light does. And if it proves something about time.

Time travel may still be possible. But it is unlikely that time travel is possible from the future to the past. The order of things is that you should be able to send a message from the past to the future. So a Christian TV station could send programs to the 3 1/2 year tribulation. And the antichrist would try his best to find out who was sending the programs. But because it was in the past there would be nothing he could do. And that is assuming he can't send someone back. And it always is that way. The past is always speaking to the future. And enraged political figures can't do anything to silence the past. Might I ask the question why 3 1/2? There is a reason. Three is not right for two. And 365 is not the right number of days. It is totally right. For the number of days. It means 3 and two are one. Because 3 1/2 is a half of seven. And that what the number really is.

Section A.

Finally we get to talk about the subject. It is not about the quantum telephone. But it is about distance. And the quantum telephone helps us measure distance. And that is just true. And it is. If light travels from infinity to zero. It has traveled a certain number of miles. And depends on how much time has elapsed. And it doesn't have to. Because it depends on how much energy has been Lost. But these two figures should agree. And they will. Time will have

past sufficient for infinity of kilometres. And there is no time that is right for that. Because if you round off the kilometres you also round off the time. If light reaches zero. Then it takes a certain time to travel the complete distance. That should tell us a lot about time and it's relationship with distance. Of course we know already. And that is unscientific. But if we don't rely upon measurement. We have to use our equation. That is $E=MC^2$.

Because the distance traveled with will be 186,000 miles/second.

And does it take one second for light to travel from infinity to zero.

That is reflected in between two mirrors. It really might. Since we are dividing C^2 which is a much larger number by $1/3$. So we could expect that the total would be C^2 . At least .9999 of C^2 . And that is a guess. But a sensible one based on the equation. Because reflection is really dividing the total of light. It is dividing all of its energy by $1/3$. The distance light travels depends on how long it takes to travel C^2 . When you square time and it is only one second.

You get one second. And that is interesting. If time were two. It would be two seconds The constant of C^2 . Is not less a constant than $1/3C^2$.

It gives us the value for light reflected to infinity. It tells us when light reaches zero. Because it really does. Light can't travel any further that $1/3C^2$. That it is the maximum distance light can travel. When we have a new constant it is both speed and distance. Because it is the absolute limit on how far light can travel. And to put that into a new equation. Is what we must do. To have the third equation. We must calculate what the distance is. Because the energy will be a figure approaching zero. So when energy is zero what is the distance. ? It is a finite distance like C^2 . A very large number but one That can be calculated. But when energy is an infinite figure distance is also an infinite Figure. And that is given by this equation $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ That means energy is an infinite figure. It approaches zero. And if we make it zero. Then $0=E$ So we put $0=0M+0C+0C$ And at first that doesn't make any sense. But what if we put $0=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ Then we have to round off infinity. And the answer is right. Our equation for nothing turns out to be our equation for everything.

Chapter 8.

The bigger bomb

Infinity is $3+3+3$. And that is true. It is matter. Because is hydrogen $3+$ hydrogen $3+$ hydrogen 3 . So it can be tritium. Or it can be Helium 3 . And Helium 3 is what hydrogen really is. It is two and has one oxygen. But instead of an oxygen. There is a neutron. And what would a famous scientist say about the equation for infinite energy. He would say it has to be a third. And he might. That's why the equation is really $1/3$. $1/3 M+1/3M+1/3M+E=3/3M+E$ The equation appears to describe a fusion of three. And it does. If we use Helium 3 in the equation.

Then $1/3$ Helium $3+1/3$ Helium $3+1/3$ Helium $3= 3/3$ Helium $3+E$ And that means there is energy on both sides. If we annihilate the neutron with an anti-matter one. The protons will give up their energy. And they will. And there will be enough energy to make the equation work. The two hydrogen's from helium 3 will combine to form 6 Hydrogens. And there will be three neutrons. And that will make one atom of Helium 3 from three destroyed atoms. And this is humpty dumpty. That's what I call my equation You can't put it together again and you can. Because of the energy. You get infinite energy. Because you get the energy from matter being destroyed. And the energy from it being put back together. And this is a simple idea compared to the infinity weapon. We could try it in an accelerator. And maybe it could be tried. With antimatter neutrons. Then if infinite energy were released. We would understand what *infinite energy really is*. And how it can help us.

The infinity weapon works by adding two infinities together. That is $+9+-9=infinity$. And it is a kind of infinity. And it may not be. Because the equation is $+9+-9+-9$ And there are two. Because the other equation is $-9++9+9$ And that's not all. Because it isn't. We can have $+9++9+-9$ The order can be different. And that may not mean something. But we have a mixture of $2/3$ antimatter and $1/3$ matter. And that is the right one. Because there is also $2/3$ matter and $1/3$ antimatter. And that is the equation we are using for Helium 3 . Clearly the kind of explosion you get when you use $2/3$ Matter has more force and less energy. When you use a lot of antimatter you get a lot of energy and not so much blast. But the equation must be three nines. That is you have to combine three thirds. To form Helium 9 . And Helium 9 is what you need to have infinite

energy. It will not be entirely turned into energy. And that is not what I believe. You don't need to use two nines to produce infinite energy. Could this have been the mechanism for creation. ? Only if matter was produced from energy. Because matter is produced from energy. So it could be. Because you don't start with zero. But you get zero. That is zero matter. And infinite energy. So it is a process that will end the universe. And it may not. So where does it fit in. ? It could end the universe for all of us. If we were to use the weapon to do harm. If we want a nuclear reactor then the equation means we can have one. One we solve the technical problems of turning the equation into a reactor. Maybe infinite energy is nothing more than light. But light can be very very bright. Some stars are many times brighter than the sun. So infinite energy could be very dangerous. I suggest testing the infinity weapon by firing it at Jupiter. If it does the same thing as the comet. Then we will know just how powerful it is. And theoretically that would deter aggression. But only against the person who had the weapon. Because if it was held by a nation. Other nations would have no choice but to do what they were told. It is a sad glad story.

Mankind may never want for energy again. But someone may be in charge. And that person may be worse than Jothram No one wept when Jothram died. He ruled for eight years. And he killed all his rivals. That's the kind of man who wants to be in charge. And naturally wants an infinity weapon. Because I don't. I want a safe means of power. One that may not be safe. Because destroying atoms may produce a lot of radiation. And there may be an easier way to produce energy. Like the rapid production of oil. Because creation science knows how to produce oil rapidly. Rather than spend the money on building the ultimate weapon. Why not just spend enough money to produce oil. Mankind means well. And they do mean to do good. But they will spend the money on an infinity bomb. Without considering whether it could be done without a bomb.

Will the antichrist gain control of the world by an ultimate weapon. ? This possibility does really exist. Since we can build a bomb that is 666. We start by saying infinity is four. And that means two infinities are equal to one. That is eight. Eight is the other number for infinity. It behaves just like infinity. But it isn't really infinity. But that won't stop it from being a very awful weapon. Because $2+2=4$ is an equation for matter and antimatter. When you add two matter to two antimatter. You get twice as much power. And when you add two fours. You get four times as much power. I call this the 50/50 bomb. Because it is half matter and half antimatter.

It is infinity. It is $\frac{2}{3}M + \frac{2}{3}AM = \frac{4}{3}E$ And it produces just energy. There is nothing left over. And that makes it a worse weapon than the infinity weapon.

Because infinity should never be a weapon. That means even $3+3+3=9$ is a wrong equation when it used to build an atomic bomb. And it does mean that there is a reason why that bomb is not the worst one. It must have some redeeming characteristic. And that is just true we can ask questions about the creation equation. And get answers The equation for the 666 weapon. Is $2/3M+2/3AM+2/3AM+2/3M$. And that means we need two lots of three. Instead of destroying one neutron from helium 3 we would need to destroy a proton and a neutron. To make hydrogen that is two thirds. We have to add it $2+2=4$ But that is really the mistake that is made. To believe that water means hydrogen is matter. Because water is H_3O and it isn't. It is H_2O That means the oxygen is also matter. And fusion can work by adding water. And it really can. Because adding Helium 3 is like adding water. You are adding two H's. And if you separate the two H's from Helium 3. And add two H's together. Then you are adding $2/3$. So I won't continue about how to build a 666 bomb.

It should never be built. When you are free to build an infinity bomb. And that may just the same as a 666 bomb. $2+2=4$ means something about antimatter. That the original concentration of antimatter to matter was 50/50. But the creation equation means there is either $2/3$ antimatter and $1/3$ matter. Or $2/3$ matter and $1/3$ antimatter. This is important to understanding the nature of creation. There are two possibilities. And our universe may be have been born of one of them. If there are other universes then there is an opposite to our universe. Maybe the new heavens and the new earth will be that opposite. The third equation is $2/3$ matter and antimatter. Because that is not the third equation. The third equation is $2/3$ matter. And one third antimatter. Because that will lead to a matter universe. Where as two thirds antimatter may lead to an antimatter universe. So that is sufficient to prove the creation equation leads to questions. I never asked about antimatter but now I am. So there are three equations. $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ $1/3M+1/3M+1/3M+E=3/3M+E$ AND THE THIRD EQUATION. Is $3M+3AM+3AM+E=9AM/ M=E$ Because that is an equation for $3+3+3$. It means that nine is infinity. And that it is the right equation. Because the other equation is $9+9$ And that isn't right. Because three nines mean there is no limit. To the size of the bomb. Because it can be compounded forever. And that is the subject of the next chapter This bomb will mean antimatter is produced more than matter. And that means anything that in the path of the bomb will be annihilated. And it may be impossible to build. hats what Drew Haldane had to say about the telescope. But I told him that the problems could be overcome by a lot of research. And that it was not impossible to build the telescope.

But sadly it would be better if it were. Since to build an infinity bomb would not guarantee anybody's safety.

Chapter 9.

The ever increasing telescope

Smith Wigglesworth wrote a book “ever increasing faith.” And that what I have for the worlds largest telescope. This book was originally called After Mt Stromlo What then. ? The answer is the worlds largest telescope. Because it is not easy to build. You need to build it in hexagons. And hex is six. And that is not infinity. That is why there is much better telescope. The biggest ever. Because we begin with a mirror and it is 33.333333 inches. And the more threes we can get after the decimal point the more accurate it will be. That means it must be exactly a third of a 100. Then we can build three mirrors. And we need to find a way to view all three. That means at the same time. And computers can create a binocular telescope using hexagonal mirrors. And that is in the future. But it will be soon that two images can be put together. So why not put $1/3+1/3+1/3=3/3$ Because if it is energy then it is $1/3E+1/3E+1/3E=3/3 E$ That means it cannot be any greater. The only way for it to be greater is for it to be ten. And that is the answer. Build a telescope that is ten. That is five twos. Because that is the other answer. And it not good enough. Because a finite universe means a finite telescope. And it really does not. A finite universe means an infinite telescope. That is why both have their place. We can measure the size of the universe by using both telescopes. We can compare nine to ten. And we will get the exact size of the universe. And perhaps even it’s age. But as I have explained earlier the energy of the light can be divided to infinity. And this is our measure of the age of the earth. And we just have to round it off to ten. Then we have the approximate age of the universe. The difference between nine and ten is only .1 Because it is far far more complex than that. It is a multiple of 1. That goes on forever. And that is the answer to getting a clear picture of the universe. Simply put the two images together. Then you will have one that is infinity. And you have one that is finite. And you can’t put three images together. Because you would need one more image. And that is the third equation. You need an antimatter image of the universe. You need two images of antimatter and one of matter. And you also need one image of matter and one of antimatter. But how do we produce pictures of antimatter. Because antimatter is something we understand. And we may not. But if we could look at three

images. Two would have to be matter and one antimatter. That would give us the best picture of this universe. And that is new. Because I was going to talk about ever increasing faith. The telescope should be called ever increasing faith.....And if it is not then I am still the inventor and I have named it. The telescope can be three nines. Because nine is a third. $3=3+3+3$.

And that is true when all are a third. And they are for the telescope. That means nine is a third. That means you can build a telescope with three nines. And then with six nines. And then with nine nines. You can build a telescope that is $9 \times 9 \times 9$ And you can build a telescope that is $9 \times 9 \times 9 \times 9 \times 9 \times 9 \times 9 \times 9 \times 9$. That means any size. And we should not get lazy and make it six. Because six is not infinity.

And it is not right for finite either. Ten is right for a finite universe. It will mean we can measure the distance light travels before it loses all of its energy. So we can build a telescope on the far side of the moon.

Only there would it be big enough and dark enough for it to see everything.

Of course you will say there are optical limits. But three nines are really one.

And that is just what it is all about. Three nines really are one. And nine cubed really is three nines. And it really is multiplication. Because to add is to add three nines. Until you get nine nines. And then to add a third. Because that is cubed. And if the optics are right. Then a computer can put all the images together to form one image. And it will be infinite in its depth. And that is only true if we use three colors. And we can use three colors to produce an image that is three dimensional. Of course that only works with two. And the answer is having three images. That are all different. Because that will give you a better picture.

Then two images that are really the same. There may be limits to ever increasing faith. But let us not start with the limitations. Let us begin by making a three hundred inch telescope. Bigger than Palomar. And let us then solve the problems of putting three images together. And when they are solved. Let us build the biggest telescope that can be built. Maybe it will not be necessary to place it in outer space. But if we build one that is bigger than Hubble. We can do that. So let me consider the possibility that I am wrong. Nine isn't equal to three. And it might be equal to three. If it is really a third. And it is. That means the creation equation says that the telescope can be any multiple of nine. Any multiple of nine and three. It can be built so it is as big as the earth. But there are no mathematical limits. Depending on the optics and the computers you could build it as large as the solar system. And that is what I think of when I think I am wrong. It takes faith to believe $1/3$ is the answer. But if it really does mean one big mirror. That is not just put together when it doesn't fit. But is totally perfect. Then I cannot see why it cannot be done. The problems will be

solved. Maybe by my friend who doesn't believe it is possible. Because he understands too much about actually doing it. So I will end my book. With a question. What is out there. ?

Chapter 10.

Building the starship enterprise

Ten is the number that rounds off infinity. It is gives you the number of miles traveled by light when it is reflected to infinity. It also gives you the speed of that light. Because time may be affected. We all know how it seems light has traveled an infinite distance in a instant. But it did take time. And that time determines how fast we can really go. If light can travel at infinity. Then it is an addition of C. That is C+C+C until it reaches 9C. If we could travel at 9C then we could go to just about any planet. And we would still be limited by time. Because when we arrived home the earth would be much older. And that of course is just speculation. But it is more than that because if light really is infinity. Then it must be 9. It must be 9.9999999. And that is what I have said that light is infinity at 1/3 of it's speed. So maybe the answer to travelling at infinity is to travel at 1/3 the speed of light. But it adds up $1/3+1/3+1/3=3/3$ And that means light has one speed. And it is infinity. Because you can go on adding $1/3$ $1/3+1/3+1/3+1/3+1/3+1/3+1/3+1/3+1/3$ Which is $9/3$. Just like the ever increasing telescope. When we reflect light in two directions it is just like that. It isn't just $1/3$ it is multiple of $1/3$. So that you get a speed that is only tiny. Because if it is true that you take $2/3$ C and $1/3$ M. Then you are not only taking $1/3$ of energy but you are taking $2/3$ C. And that is $2+2=4$. Because if we add $2/3C+2/3C+2/3M=4/3C +2/3M$ That is $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ And that is $+2/3C$. So if we add two of those we get $2/3M$. And E is $2/3E$. So $2/3E=4/3C+2/3M$ And that is really bad equation. It is two of the creation equation. And means $2/3=6/3C+6/3 M$ And that is totally right Because it is equal to 3. And $2/3 .6666$ is equal to $3C+M$ Which is $6C+M$. And that is right. That is proof that an infinite six is six. And why I am I trying to prove 666. Only because I recognize that light is $2/3$. So I said $2+2=4$. When I should have said $5*2=10$ Because that will give us finite infinity. $5*2/3= 10/3$ Ten divided by three is an infinite ten. So try it out on your calculator. Hats all you need to go to the stars. A good brain and a calculator. So when we round off the universe to ten. We should divide the result by two. Because that would be finite. And if we want infinity then we should divide ten by three. $Ten/three=Nine/three$. And that is true they are not equal. But the difference is a correction. That

makes them equal. That makes a finite number equal to an infinite one. So it is clear if we want 666 we can always get it by using two of the creation equation. But ten is right for two. That means $2+2=4*5=20$ And twenty is the right answer for $2+2=4$. Only we should divide by three. Then $20/3$ is the right answer for infinity. If it is two. That doesn't mean it is right. It just means that you can make two right. And that you really can. That twenty isn't right. But ten is. So there is no excuse for being confused about infinity. It is $10/3-9/3=X$ $9/3+X=10/3$ And that is the equation that makes infinity finite. You can always add that to any infinite figure. And get a finite one. Now back to the subject. How do we build the starship enterprise. We have multiple $1/3$ s. And they result is almost zero. Because the answer is to use X. To make the answer zero. Then we will have a number of kilometers. And the speed that light may be travelling may be minute. But the number of kilometers that is traveled may not be. So we get the answer whether it is possible to travel more kilometres than 186,000 miles/second. Maybe I am wrong about infinity. Maybe you can't travel any more kilometers than 186,000 miles/second. Maybe a second cannot last for an infinite number of kilometers. But If I am right the finite number will be large. And that is the limit to which a spaceship can travel. But first we need an engine. And we already have one. That is our $1/3$ engine. Because we can eliminate one neutron from helium 3. And that will mean infinite energy. And it really will. Mean to add them is to get infinity. So if we could put the hydrogen's back together. We would truly have infinity energy. And we would have H9. And that is what we need. Unless we think H10 will do. Because H9 And H10 are not two different things. And they might not be. $1/3$ MATTER+ $1/3$ MATTER+ $1/3$ MATTER= $3/3$ MATTER. That means 9.9999 Almost ten but not quite. That is what we need to make our starship go.

We need to make positive and negative infinity. That is positive matter that is infinite and negative matter that is infinite. So the equation for antimatter is $-1/3M+-1/3M+-1/3M+E=-3/3M+E$ And we produce $1/3$ antimatter by bombarding anti Helium 3 with a matter neutron. And of course this can't be tried. And I am not ahead of them. Because I am. They don't know how to put three together. But I might. Because to make antimatter means to have two reactions. One that is $1/3M$ and one that is $1/3$ AM. Because you need to put matter and antimatter together. And the way to do it. Is one neutron at a time. Because when you use three neutrons. One matter one antimatter and one antimatter. You destroy three separate atoms of Helium 3.

And you put those together. And that is the difficult part. Putting two together. Because that would be impossible. To have one atom matter and one atom antimatter. Because if that is not impossible. Then it is not impossible to make Antimatter helium 3. Both are two separate bombs. And they are in fact three

bombs in one. When they are all exploded. They produce antimatter. Because that is what we started with. If we want matter we start with matter. And because every action produces a reaction. There is inertia produced from the annihilation. And it is sufficient to produce infinite inertia. Because perhaps the spacecraft can fly as fast as it can. That means as fast as light can travel in that mirror. And it is possible. That light needs to be reflected. That it needs to travel slower. And that may be what infinite energy is. We don't of course know what happens when one infinite matter is annihilated by another. But if we knew we would understand the idea of multiples. Of multiples of $1/3$ E. If the equation is right for $E=MC$ Squared. Then you can produce infinite energy. And mass can travel at the speed of light. If it is $1/3$. And that is plain. It can travel at $2/3$ the speed of light if it is $1/3$. And that must be what the equation means. And it really isn't. Because you can have any multiple of $1/3$. And they are all the speed of light. Only travelling slower than C. The opposite may not occur when you put three thirds together. When you put three thirds matter together with $3/3$ antimatter.

You may get the opposite. You may get faster than light travel. And that is just a delusion. Since real infinity is a combination of $1/3$. Because nine can be a $1/3$. Multiples of nine can go on forever. And that is a mystery. Because if light can be multiples of $1/3$ going to infinity. Then light travels more slowly. When it is reflected. That means it's maximum speed is all of the multiples together.

And it may be 186,000 miles/second. But slowing light may be the answer.

To going further. And it possible that because there is greater mass at the speed of light. It is better to go slower. So we have tried with our 21st century understanding to do something. That we can only do in the 23rd century. Because right now we can do the experiments. The quantum telephone to see if information is slowed down when it travels to infinity. To see if it speeds up. Because that would mean the opposite We can measure the energy of light as it approaches zero. That means as it is reflected to infinity. Then we have a constant that can be rounded off. To ten. That is to zero. We can measure the distance light travels before it reaches zero. And that is our guesstimate of the size of the universe We can measure electrons and positrons as they are reflected to infinity.

We can compare that to radio waves and other forms of light. We can use lasers to reflect to infinity. We can confirm that $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ If this equation proves right whenever light is reflected. It may also be right as far as matter goes.

So we can try using an accelerator to smash Helium 3 with an antimatter neutron. We can try putting three together. At the best possible attempt. And we

learn all about infinite energy. And about infinite matter. And maybe then when all experiments have been done. We can begin building the enterprise.

Chapter 11.

What is the equation for finite energy.?

Ten is our number for finite energy. 9.9999 is infinity. The correction of the difference between infinity and finite is an infinite one. That means infinity isn't really one. Infinity is really nine. Infinity + an infinite one is ten. We have two equations one is $2+2=4$ That is it is $2/3+2/3=4/3$ And one is $3+3+3=9$ That is $1/3+1/3+1/3=3/3$ What happens when we add them together. We get $2+3=5$ And that is totally true. Our equation is one for two and one for three. So we add them we get an equation for five. $2/3+2/3+1/3+1/3+1/3=7/3$ That looks like an equation for creation. It is really an intermediate equation. Since $5*2=10$ So we multiply the equation by two.

$4/3+4/3+2/3+2/3+2/3=14/3$ And that is two sevens. That is two of Gods number. And that cannot be right. Is what I would suspect is not true. Since $14/3$ is exactly ten. Firstly we have to balance Einstein's equation for two. That means it is $4E=2M*2Csquared$. That means it is $4/3E=2/3M*2/3Csquared$. Then we use the creation equation $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ Add them together. $5/3E=3/3M+3/3C+1/3C$ And that means $5E=7MC$ And that is totally right. It confirms that five and seven are both correct. Then we multiply that equation by two. Or we simply add two of that equation. $5/3E+5/3E=3/3M+3/3C+3/3M+3/3C+1/3C = 10E=6/3M+6/3C+1/3C$ $10E=12/3MC+1/3C$ $10E=13MC$ And that is not the answer we expect. For while ten is the number of the ten commandments. 13 is the number of betrayal. And it simply means that someone will betray Christ. And the infinite energy that would be used to help mankind would be used to destroy it. We you add the two equations together you are adding $2/3+1/3$ That means $1E$. That means this ten equation is $E=MCsquared$. Let look at the number 13. It is $3+1$. So it really four. And it doesn't mean $3+1$. It means $3*1$ Because $3*3=9$. And $3/3$ are 9.9999 That means Einstein's equation can be finite. And perhaps this is the third equation. One for finite energy. Or perhaps there are three equations for infinite energy. And three equations for finite energy. The question I must ask. Is it me. ? Have I betrayed by believing in ten commandments. Because I haven't. The person who uses this theory will believe in nine. And they might not they will believe in ten. They won't believe in infinity. They will believe everything is finite. But

now we have two equations one for infinity and one for finite energy. $10E = 12/3MC + 1/3C$ $10E = 13/3MC$ squared $13/3$. I don't have a calculator. So I can't have finite energy. Because an infinite $13/3$. Is totally right for finite energy. Because it isn't perfect. And it can never be infinite. Whether I can say that $12/3M + C + 1/3C$ Is $13/3 MC$ Squared is quite difficult. Firstly $6/3M + 6/3C + 1/3C = 6/3M = 7/3C$ And that is what matter is all about. It is six and light is seven. So it is putting the finite together with the infinite. The question is multiplication the same for three. And it is. And it is also the same for two. But we have three terms. So we should multiply. Then we get $10/3E = 36/3MC$ squared. Nine fours. Which is really an infinite four. So this equation is just not a good one. Unless we can put it together.

With the creation equation. Multiplied the creation equation is $1/3E = 1/3M * 1/3C$ squared. Add that to another $1/3E = 1/3M * 1/3C$ squared. $2/3E = 2/3M * 2/3C$ squared. Then we add $10/3E = 36/3MC$ squared $12/3E = 36/9MC$ squared $1/3 * 1/3 = 1/9$. $36/9$ is infinite. $12/3 = 4E$ $4E = 36/9 MC$ squared. An infinite thirty six is three sixes. And it is two. Because that means that four is not 666.

And that is the equation for infinite finite energy. It really is the equation because we added two lots of the creation equation. But if we added two lots of ten. $20/3 E = 72/3 MC$ squared. $1/3E = 1/3M * 1/3C$ squared Now I have been mixing multiplication and addition. I should not have been. So we multiply the three equations together. We get $10 * 10 = 100$ That is ten squared. $100/3 E = 36/9M * 36/9C$ squared Thirty six squared. Now you know my mathematics sometimes fails. But I am close to the correct answer. Correct my math if I am wrong. But 36 squared is totally right. It is $36/9$ squared. So it is an infinite number. And it means 10 E squared. So I am writing late and I have had a few drinks so I will come back to this in the morning. But it is clear that to square to equation for 10E is the right thing. That means you get $100/9$. And that is totally right. That is an equation for energy. Because you multiply $1/3E * 100/3$ And that isn't right. That is how complicated this can be. $10E/3 = \dots\dots\dots$ What's the answer. ? If I square it. It is $100E/9$.

And if I multiply $1/3 100E/3$ And that is right. Because the two are interchangeable and I have shown that. But the problem may be putting two and three together. And it is the rules don't apply. You can't add in twos. And get multiplication. And you can add in threes and get addition. Should we repent of thinking that energy is finite. I think we shouldn't. Until we have all the facts. Energy can be finite. And that is obvious but what. is the equation for infinite energy. And the is the question you would think we would ask. But having the equation for infinite energy we are then interested in making the infinite finite. And it is possible to make the infinite finite. If we start with $1/3E$

and end the equation with 10. Then we have $1/3E=10MC$ And that is a totally right equation. Because infinite is equal to a finite number. That means there is a certain amount of energy produced by a $1/3$. And that is 10. It does not go on forever. And it is exhaustible. But it remains to be seen what kind of energy infinite is. Is it potential. And it might be kinetic. Because it might not be potential. And it were potential it could be turned into kinetic. And that is what I am thinking when I think of turning infinite energy into finite energy. There would be a limitless supply of finite usable energy. If a way could be found to translate infinity into finite. The equation above where I got $5E=7$. Is an equation that balances Einstein's equation. And that means it is $4E=2M^2C^2$ squared. If we add that to the creation equation $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ we get $4/3E=2/3M+2/3C$ squared. $+ 1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$ $5/3E=3/3M+3/3C+1/3C$ That is our equation for Einstein's. It means $3/3$. or one. It means $E=MC$ squared. But only if we have to make it balance. Then we double it. $10/3E=6/3M+6/3C+1/3C$ $10/3E=12/3M+C+C$ And that is right. Because to make it multiply we have to understand the rule. And the rule is $1+1=2$ Because that means $1*1=1$ Because $6/3M=2M$ That means $10/3E=2M+2C+1/3C$ We just need to use $2+2=4$ And then add the $1/3$. $10/3E=4MC+1/3$ So that's our equation. And it is only right. If Einstein isn't. Because we can use the right equation which is two times the creation equation. $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ That would be right except that is $2+2=4$ That is our other equation. So it is $2/3E=2/3M+2/3C$. And that doesn't seem right since C is squared. It has to be $2+2=4$. So it is right. $.666=.666M+.666C$ And that isn't right because there are three sixes in $2/3$.

Because you can add $.666+.666+.666=2$ That means $2E=2/3M+2/3C+2/3C$ And that is the wrong equation. Because six isn't three sixes. And it is. That is what six is. But to be consistent we have to use four. We have to say $2E=2/3M^2/3C$ squared. And that is right.

$$2/3E=2/3M^2/3C \text{ Squared} +$$

$$1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$$

$$3/3E=3/3M^2/3C \text{ Squared.}$$

And that $E=MC$ squared. because we balanced the equation. And we really did. It is $2=4$ That what it is for two. Einstein's equation didn't need to be balanced. So then we make it five. $2/3E=2/3M^2/3C$ squared $+ 3/3E=3/3M^2/3C$ squared $5/3E=5/3M^2/3C$ squared. That is $25MC/3$. Then we multiply by two. We get $10/3E=10/3M^2/3C$ squared. $10/3E=100MC/3$ That means 3.333 is equal to 33.3333 And this equation is Einstein's. And it truly is finite. Where it is normally infinite. It is truly finite. Then is a tenfold increase in MC . When energy is $1/3$ of 10.

So I don't know what this means. I might prefer to believe seven is the answer. But I am happy with idea that $10=10*10$ Because that is the equation for nuclear energy. And it might be ten neutrons. Are equal to 100. We have two equations. For 10 E. And they are the right equations of $E=MC$ Squared. It makes sense to know what the answer is when it balances. And it also makes sense to know that it does not balance. And commonsense tells it has to balance for ten. Since ten is one.

And that is really what we are saying ten is one. We have got an equation for finite energy. And it is $E=MC$ squared. But that equation is just as much an equation for infinite energy as it is finite. When we make them equal then we are doing the right thing. $10/3E=10/3M*10/3C$ Squared = $1/3E=1/3M*1/3Csquared$.99999MC= 100MC And what does that mean. It means when you take a third of ten. You are making ten into 100. And it is equivalent to 1/3 of .999999 And that is exactly right. Because $3=9$. Becomes $3=10$ And that is all that needs to be said. Because the equation for finite energy is one we already know. But the fact that it is equal to infinite energy. Is not something we understand.

Chapter 12.

Geometry of the universe

What is the universe. It is clear that a definition is in order. The definition I have for the universe is the radius of a circle. Because the universe is a sphere. It is a circle that is infinite. Because the radius of the circle is the distance light travels before it reaches zero. And it actually doesn't reach zero. That means the radius of the circle is infinity. Pi squared. When the radius is infinity. The universe is infinity squared. And it is not the universe is infinity to the fourth. And that is the circle which can be drawn. At least with numbers. That describes the boundary of the universe. Light can only travel so far. And it can travel for ever. If we want to round it off we can a circle that is a real distance. And we draw a sphere of the distance light travels in all directions. And that sphere will be within the other sphere. Because there is a sphere that is finite and a sphere that is infinite. And maybe the sphere that is finite is contained within the sphere that is infinite. And that makes sense. Except when you think about ten. Infinity is contained within ten. And ten is contained within infinity. Because that is the nature of infinity. 9.999' is contained within ten. But it is a larger circle than ten. And it might be. So when we use it as a radius it produces a bigger circle. One that is too large to be drawn. At least that is what we would think infinity to the fourth would be. But what if the universe is a square. Then it is a cube. That means you can take the area of a cube. And use the distance as the sides of the cube. But that is $2/3$ not $1/3$. $1/3$ is a triangle an equilateral triangle. $2/3$ is a square. And a cube is also $2/3$. So the universe can't be a triangle. And if was it would have ten dimensions.

That's the kind of triangle it would be. But if it is a cube then 666 is the measure of the universe. That is why it must be a sphere. The area of a cube will give us the wrong measure for the area of the universe. The area of both spheres will give us the right answer. Because we subtract infinity to the fourth from a radius of ten.

And we get an answer. That is the difference between a infinite universe and a finite one. The difference can tell us a lot. Because we could draw a circle that was in between. Then it would be a real number. And it wouldn't be finite or infinite. So we have draw the obscure diagram mentioned in How To Fail At

College. And it is a sphere with a sphere. And that gives us the universe is it is finite and infinite. And the other obscure diagram is 666. It is a cube within a cube. The area of the cube is worked out using the distance light travel when it reaches zero. That is the finite cube. The distance light travels when it's energy never reaches zero.

Is the other cube. Is the finite cube within the infinite. ? Because I will make my diagram the opposite. The finite cube is not within the infinite. The infinite cube is within the finite. And that is a difference. Because that means this equation is right. $3E=3M+3C+3C$.

Appendix. For colleges of advanced education.

“How to conduct an experiment in measuring time.”

The Genesis experiment is not the Time Tunnel.
And it is what the Time Tunnel would be if it were a real project.
To make it more like the time tunnel I need to add a third mirror.
And form a triangle of light.
Because Ronalds Malletts experiment has shown that circulating light affects time.
But my experiment is not in circulating light.
It is in reflecting light between two or more mirrors to infinity.
Light has two directions.
And that may not be true. Light may be divided into three.
So three mirrors might work better than two. And it will mean the light is circulating in a triangle.

Lets start with our equation $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$
Does this mean light loses 1/3 of its energy every time it is reflected.
Or is it only a multiple or a fraction of 1/3 that is lost
My theory is that when light loses 1/3 of its energy it loses a third of its speed.
And that may be right that it is 2/3.
If I add the equation I get $2/3C$.
And that may be how much time has been dilated.
Because time is slowing with the speed of light.
If the speed of light slows when light is in a medium then time also slows for the light.

But the principal on which my time travel experiment works.
Is that time slows for information sent on the light.

Light can be used to send information. And so can radio waves and electrons.
These can both be reflected to infinity like light.
This is principal of what I call the quantum telephone.

It is an ordinary telephone that measures the time delay in the transmission of information.

That is we have know exactly when the information was sent and how it took to arrive at the reciever.

And we also measure the entropy of the information that is how clear it is.
Or how much it has been distorted.

This is related to the loss of energy.

And we can draw a graph of our results. It will show infomration because more distorted as E approaches zero.

We have to do experiments at every energy level.

But particularly when E approaches zero.

Then we draw the graph so that E reaches zero.

And we see what happens to time.

Because it may stop.

And thats just a possibility.

Or it may be infinity. In one second.

An electron may in fact reach zero. Light does not. But if we can calculate how far the electron has travelled.

We can multiply by 1/3 to get the speed of light.

Then we know the finite distance of the universe.

And we know that a particle that is mass can travel the distance of the universe.

Because the elctron never reaches infinity.

We will know mass cannot travel as far as light.

We can measure the mass of the electron as it approaches infinity and zero energy.

The mass should decrease as the electron slows.

When it reaches zero it's mass is too large for it to travel.

That is a theory. It must be tested.

The final stage of the experiment is to compare radio waves to electrons.

They should also loose energy.

But their mass should not increase.

Just like light.

Because what about my equation it says that mass decreases.

So the mass of light grows less and it's speed and energy grow less.

So the same might be true of electrons their mass may be zero when their speed reaches zero.

The final stage of the experiment is *The time tunnel. Part B.*

If we want to build a tunnel to alter time. We must use something that makes matter part of light. And the solution is the X rays.

X rays will pass through matter. They will pass through a watch or a camera or a radio receiver.

And the reason we have those is to measure time.

Because we don't know if we can receive sound from the past.

Or radio waves. Or light waves.

So we build a tunnel we reflect X-rays to infinity between three mirrors.

If we can figure out how to place the mirrors so that the light is reflected to infinity.

If it possible to reflect to infinity two ways it should be possible to reflect three ways.

We should use three mirrors cut to 1/3.

Because that will make the reflection infinite.

And it may not.

You may need flat mirrors rather than curved.

But what kind of mirror reflects X rays. Your college will have to work that out.

But first we put in a clock. A stainless steel wristwatch.

And it may have to be plastic.

But it will be accurate.

And it will be placed within the beam. That means at various energy levels.

A certain distance between the two mirrors.

To see if light is changing time.

Or is time just changing for light.

A watch, a microphone, a radio antenna, and a camera can all be placed in the beam.

And we will see if anything passes through.

Because it could be a vortex like Ronald Mallett's.

Something could pass through.

We can try transmitting radio waves through the antenna placed within the X-ray beam.

As the beam approaches zero.

I know this is difficult.

But someone will figure out a way

We measure the effect of X rays reflected to infinity on matter.
On a chemistry experiment for example.
If it took less time or longer than normal it would be a good measure of time.
And we can place a test tube within the vortex.

But most of all we can measure the X rays to see if the time they take to travel to infinity is the same as light and radio and electrons.
We can compare this time for the X rays to the time measured on our watch within the beam.
It is a control experiment.
Because if time has changed for the X rays it should also change for the watch.
If it does not then we know that time does not change for matter.
Then any ideas we might have about sending a man forward through time.
Will be history.
The X ray experiment is a good one.
We would expect the light to affect matter. If light goes slower its time changes.
And so for anything in the beam.
But that just may not be so.
Only experiment can answer those questions.
Ten points about How To Do the Genesis experiment.

- 1/ electrons approach zero in their energy when they are reflected to infinity.
And the time of the electron approaches zero too.
So we measure time for the electron when it reaches zero.
And then calculate the distance travelled by the electron.*
- 2/ Light approaches zero and never reaches it how does its energy compare with the energy of an electron that has been reflected the same number of times*
- 3/ Time can be measured by information. By measuring the time delay between transmission and reception. And measuring the distortion of the information due to entropy.*
- 4/ A telephone has to be built that uses a laser. And the laser reflected to infinity.
Then time and entropy for the information has to be measured.
A telephone has to be built that uses electrons.
Reflected to infinity.
And that is not too hard.
But to build a phone that uses radio waves should be easy.
Radio waves that are reflected to infinity.
To determine what happens when the radio waves approach zero*

5/ Graphs are an important part of the whole thing.

You need to graph the equation $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$

*$1/3E=1/3M*1/3Csquared.$*

And graph energy against entropy of the information.

And graph both of these against time dilation and the speed of light.

Graph the distance light travels when reflected to infinity.

When you assume light never reaches zero.

And when you assume an electron does reach zero.

How far did it travel and what was its average speed. ?

Equation for time/space.

Can I come up with an equation for time travel. One that proves it can happen in only one direction that is past to future.

Because it is my belief that information cannot travel from the future to the past.

But the bible may contradict my hypothesis. But my hypothesis would that only God can send information from the future to the past.

That man cannot do it.

What is our equation for Time/Space.

Space is a measurement of the size of the universe. It is 10. To use shorthand.

Because it is $1/3+1/3+1/3=.9999'$

And if it is ten it $3.333'+3.333'+3.333'=9.999'$

And that is our equation for space.

Because $E=MCsquared$ is both time and space.

It is 186,000 miles/second.

That means $10/186,000$ miles/second.

Is the measurement for time space.

10 represent a finite universe. 10 followed by a certain number of zeros.

And the time it takes for light to travel to infinity.

Is the time it takes for light to reach zero energy.

So 0 is the whole basis of our equation.

$0=MCsquared$ is the right equation. When energy is zero the distance travelled by light is 10.

So the equation is $0=M* 10* 186,000 \text{ miles/ second } *186,000 \text{ miles/second.}$

And that is our equation for time.

Because it is totally perfect.

Because the distance light travels when energy reaches zero is ten.

And time is 1 second squared.

And that is totally right.

Because $1 \times 1 = 1$

And if we add we get $1 + 1 = 2$

And that is the equation that we need.

We need to add two lots of this equation. $0 = M \times 10C \times C$

And is that right or is $10C \times 10C$

The truth is it is right. C is squared and C is 10.

So it is 10×10 .

So it is $10C \times 10C$

$0 = M \times 10C \times 10C$

What the actual figure for ten is has to be worked out. I could assume it is just multiplied.

That is $10 \times 186,000 \text{ Miles/second} \times 10 \times 186,000 \text{ Miles/second}$.

And that's a very large figure but perhaps not large enough to be the size of the universe.

I propose we measure the speed of an electron reflected to infinity.

And we multiply that by $1/3$.

To get the speed of light. Then we will know how far the electron has travelled.

And if that works we will know exactly what ten is.

Ten is $3/3$.

And we know what $1/3$ is $1/3$ of $186,000 \text{ miles/second} \times 1/3 \text{ } 186,000 \text{ miles/second}$.

It equals a very large number.

Because is ten.

It is an estimate of what infinity is when it is finity.

So we want two.

We add $0 = M \times 10C \times 10C +$

$$0 = M \times 10C \times 10C$$

$$20 = 2M \times 20C \times 20C$$

So we get two zeros. And that is really two infinities. And we get two mass.

And twice as large a number for 10. We get 400 rather than 100.

We get 800 MCsquared.

And that is 400 Csquared.

That is our disatance.

Only time is never C. And it always is.

So 1 second added to 1 second is two seconds.

That means $400 \times 186,000 \text{ Miles second} \times 186,000 \text{ Miles/second}$

Is equal to two seconds.

And that's if it is OK to add two multiplications together.

And it is.

It should equal two seconds.
But when you square it is $20C = 3720000$
That is the distance light travels in 20 seconds.
And that gives us our answer.
The distance light travels in ten seconds is the size of the universe.
That is when it is reflected to infinity.
If we know the size of the universe. Then we have a figure for time.
But it is squared. So twenty seconds* twenty seconds. =400 seconds.
But $10*10=100$ seconds.
The distance light travels in 100 seconds. that is the accurate measurement of
the speed of light.
When it divided by $1/3$.
But if we take a half of 400 we get 200 seconds.
And that is what it is all about.
100 seconds is infinite. 200 seconds is finite.
And that really is the way to think about it. Because you can't have two twos.
And of course you can.
But if you have another two. It is half of four.
Because four is the second two.
Now we know that two isn't right.
So we need three.
So we add but this time we add the equations.
 $0=10M+10C*10C$
And that isn't right. Because ten is three. And it really is.
It is three lots of .333
So if it was it would work.
So we will make it three lots of .333
 $0=.999M+.999C*.999C$
And that is totally right.
When we add it we get three nines.
 $0=2.999997M+2.999997C*2.999997$
And it approaches 27.
That means we can round it off to 27.
That 27MCsquared..
But if we want the distance light has travelled we need to square 3.
 $186000*3*186000*3$
And again it is a huge figure.
And it is a number of seconds. It is not three. Because 3 is one.
And it is three.
It is three seconds. Light travels that distance in three seconds.

And that distance is the size of the universe.
So clearly light travels a greater distance that just 186,000 miles second.
And it does.
It travels ten times that fast.
And that might be the equation for time travel.
The equation for The Time tunnel.
 $1/3E=1/3M+1/3C+1/3C$
*Because that means $0=2.99997M+2.99997C*2.99997C$*
And it is right that it is multiplied.
Because when we add we get nine.
And we really don not we get infintiy.
So when we multiply we get infinity as well.
It doesn't reach 27.
And that is our figure for energy.
 $0=10$
So 9.999 is really three lots of three.
Because the way we have worked it out we made 10 .9999'.
So it is really 30.
*Thats what $9.9999*9.9999*9.9999=999.99997$*
*And that is 1000 $100*100$.*
*And that means that $9.9999*9.9999=100$*
100C Squared.
And that is the total of the size of the universe.
If we know it we can understand what it means that it is 100.
*1/10 of it *1/10 of it equals it's size.*
Since we want to know time we use the size of the universe as our known.
If we measure time then we can actually measure the size of the universe
 $0=9.999M*9.999C*9.999C$
Now if this is the equation for the TIME TUNNEL what does it mean. ?
Assuming the light rays are X rays and they are passing through a clock.
If the clock changes it's time.
What will it time be. ?
1/10 size of the universe.
That is a silly answer since it is 100.
But we need to know what 100 is.
And if the distance light travels is 1/10 the universe squared.
That that is our figure for time....
That how much the clock will change.
And it really means dividing by C. By the distance C travels in year.
Because that will give you the actual speed of light.

When it is reflected.
And there will be a difference.
And the clock may not change but we can predict how much it should change if
X rays meant that it was a part of the beam.
Now you have the equation for time.
 $T=E$
Because entropy is time.
And the change is entropy is the change in time.
So you can know build the time tunnel. Nothing is stopping you.
God is not trying to stop you.
Because He knows what you can and cannot do.
But nothing that you imagine will be impossible.
And that may not be true.
And it isn't.
Some of the things you imagine will be impossible.
But nothing is impossible for God.
 The size of the visible universe is 14 billion light years.
 $14 \text{ billion} * 186,000 * 365 * 24 * 60 * 60 * 60 = X$
 That is the number of seconds in a light year.
 So we have the size of the universe it is X.
 And we can say it is 10.
 But putting it in.
 $0 = XM * XC * XC$
 And that is 14 billion/10. because that give you the number of 10's in 14 billion.
 You can divide it by 9.999'
 Which gives you 1.4 billion. Only it is infinite 1.4000001
 To round it off it is just 1.4
 So we put 1.4 in.
 $0 = 1.4M * 1.4C * 1.4C$
 $= 1.4 * 186,000 * 1.4 * 186,000$
 $= 260400 * 260400 = Y$
 $= 260400 \text{ Billion} * 260400 \text{ Billion} = Y \text{ miles/second}$
 And that gives you the size of the universe.
 And it might give you the time.
 Because if we divide by 100 we should get the speed of light.
This is the Lords idea.
 That is what I say when I'm stuck for inspiration.

And then it is true. It really is the Lords idea. And it is a better one than the Time Tunnel.

Because no one knows how the time tunnel works.

It may have something to do with infinity.

Because the corridors of time are infinite.

And they measure time in infinities.

But to see and hear the past while someone is back in time.

Is out of the question.

And it may not be out of the question to see and hear the past.

The easiest way to hear the past is to tap in to old telephone conversations.

But to do that they would have to be transmitted by radio.

And telegraph not telephone was transmitted by radio.

And maybe some telephone was transmitted by radio too.

But otherwise telephone was transmitted in wires.

And to tap into those wires that no longer exist would be impossible. .

We would need new technology that could receive a signal from cables in the past.

That is why we use radio.

We receive a signal that is infinite.

And to receive one we need a transmitter.

And just for the sake of experiment to prove you can send information from the past to the future.

We could try to receive a signal sent from the present.

If we send a radio wave to infinity.

It reaches zero.

And it might not. But if it did reach zero it would be infinite.

And that's what we would need to send it forward in time.

If we could receive it in the future.

Then we could think about receiving.

Sound waves.

Because prior to radio the only words that were spoken were sound.

And you would need a very powerful microphone in just the right place to pick up people's conversations.

Of course they build such microphones.

But the microphone would have to share a different space and a different time.

Since the earth is always revolving. It is in the same position.

Because that is one way of looking at it.

That is always in a different position.

But because it is moving around the sun you have to get a window of opportunity.

Where you calculate the earth is in the exact same position.
And that will occur many times in history.
If you could send your microphone back it would have to be in two places.
At one time.
So you might have to use $2+2=4$
Because space can be 1
And the equation for this is $\text{Space}+\text{Time}=\text{Space}/\text{time}$
That is $1+1=1$
And it is certainly $1*1=1$ Because that is Einsteins equation.
But when there are two speeds. Such as when light is reflected back upon itself.
It is $1+1=2$
Because C is slightly different to C.
And that is what we have to figure out.
How Einstein can be right and not right about light when it divided into two. ?
The answer is that 2 is not 1.
And 1 is not two.
Because when light is divided it is not two but three.
So that means there are three directions for light.
And that is an hpothesis. That light travels forwards and backwards.
And also in another direction.
Then the equation even if we have not observed this.
Is $1+1+1=3$
And we really have observed it.
It what we will say.
When we add 1 we are adding $3/3$.
And that is the equation $3/3=3/3+3/3+3/3$
 $E=M+C+C$
So we have three terms when they are added they are three.
But when they are muliplied they are one.
So what does this mean about our microphone in the X ray chamber where the
X rays are reflected to infinity.
It means the microphone is really in two places.
And it can't mean that.
Because the mirophone will in more than one place.
But more than two.
It will share time and space with three seperate times.
And it will be a multiple of three.
Because naturally the mirophone takes up space. No matter how tiny it is.
It interferes with the beam.

But as the beam is X rays they pass right through the microphone as if it is not there.

And they may not effect it.

But it has its effect on the beam.

And the beam has its effect on the microphone.

To simplify it were in the past and the present. At the same time.

Then that would explain why it doesn't disappear.

It may appear as a kind of ghost in the past.

Where it can hear all the conversations in the present and in the past.

But the idea of three.

Is different to that.

Or hypothetical microphone that is being affected by X rays reflected to infinity.

Is only imagination.

Until you build one.

And then you can study it.

But what it should do if it is a part of the beam will be different to what it does do.

Lets put a clock in.

The clock will measure time normally.

Because it is in the present.

But it will also measure the time in the past. Because they are the same.

And that is exactly right.

Time moves at the same rate.

And it does not. Time was moving faster in the past like a wound up watch.

So we put the two times together.

$T1+T2=T3$

$T3=$ Three times. Because it is the right equation. Time is greater in the past.

It is two and Time in the present is 1.

So when we add them together we get three.

But we have not considered the future.

If the microphone is in the past it must also be in the future.

And that may not be true.

It only needs to be in two places.

And if I believe the microphone has to be in three places in three separate directions.

Then a right angle represents what I am saying.

A cross. Because it is four and it isn't. It is three.

So you understand the shape a line with a line in the middle that doesn't pass through.

But is a separate direction.
We could make a terrible assumption that Time is Past Present and Future.
That the microphone would pick up three conversations.
One from the past, one from the present, and one from the future.
And that is based on the idea that infinity has three directions.
That light when it reflected goes three ways.
And of course when it is divided in three colors.
It may in fact have three directions.
And this is easy to test with a laser. You can have three separate beams.
And see how they are reflected.
But the reality is light has three directions.
And they are all $1/3$.
That means they are all equivalent.
And they are not they are $1/3+1/3+1/3$
And that three separate figures for the speed of light.
And that is three separate times for the speed of light.
And they add up to $9.999=10$.
To 10.
If we measure the distance travelled by $1/3$ the speed of light.
We have our figure.
For time.
Time is $1/3$ 186,000 miles/second * 186,000 miles/second.
And it is $1/3$ squared.
That is our time that can be added.
 $1/3$ squared + $1/3$ squared + $1/3$ squared = $3/3$ squared.
Or 10.
And when we use the size of the universe to equal 10.
Then we know $1/3$ the size of the universe in light years is our measurement
for time.
We may never place a camera within a beam of X rays reflected to infinity.
And get a photo of the past.
No one might ever try.
That is one possibility.
It may not work to place the camera in between three times.
Because you may not get a picture.
Because light and sound has to reach the camera from the past.
This is not impossible just improbable.
Nothing rules out information travelling from the past.
But normally it has to be recorded.
The microphone is connected to a recorder.

But using a time machine means time is slowed down for the light.
So that light years pass in the real world.
While information travels from the past to the future.
So it is direct there is no recorder.
Otherwise it is the same.
But it is not the same as travelling back.
If you could only hope to be in three places at once.
Then time travel would be something that couldn't effect history.
But perhaps could drive you out of your mind.
And seeing the past the present and the future all at once is being like God.
And it would drive you out of your mind.
Just like too much thinking about time travel can drive anyone crazy.
So think of it in terms of time measurement.
Of measuring the difference in time when the speed of light changes.
Not in terms of sending a man back and recovering him.
But in terms of recovering informaton.
That has been sent through time.
A much better form of time machine.
One that can be built and tested and proven accurate.

The laws of physics allow you to send a reciever into the past.
It can exist in the past and the present but not in the future.
A reciever cannot be sent to the future.
But a transmitter can you can transmit information from the present to the future.
And you send a reciever back to the past.
And gather information from the past.
That is one way flow of information means.
You cannot reverse the flow of entropy.
Light looses energy and looses speed.
If it gains energy and gains speed then you have reversed the flow of entropy.
But it can only gain what it has lost.
But say you slow light down to zero energy.
And then you reflect it out it's medium the mirror into space.
Light then accelerates from zero to infinity.
And time changes in the opposite direction to what it does when you reflect light in the mirror.
Remember the light can still carry information.
So what will be the effect on the information of the acceleration of light.
Light may accelarate at a greater velocity than C.

And that is something that Einstein did not anticipate.
When light accelerates does it have inertia.
Because mass may increase when light speeds up.
And it just may be infinite at $1/3$ the speed of light.
If light had inertia then we could build an engine that slowed light down.
To zero and then allowed it to accelerate to C .
And maybe it would not stop at C . Maybe it would continue to accelerate past C .
These are all interesting new ideas.
But information could not travel backward in time.
Because if it travels forward in time when light decelerates.
It should travel back in time when light accelerates. That is after it has left the medium.
But the rule against that is you cannot transmit information back through time.
You can only receive.
So I hypothesize that you can use the acceleration of light to receive information from the past.
And that is a pretty good hypothesis.

The actual time travels backward time tunnel.

To build The time tunnel. We need to slow light down.
Until its speed equals its energy and it is zero.
Then we need to allow light to speed up.
So that it accelerates from zero to infinity.
Only we don't use light we use X rays.
And X rays are slowed to zero and then accelerate through the man to infinity.
We use low power dental X rays.
That will not harm the man.
Then we ask him if he was in three times at once.
Whether he could perceive any difference in his time.
And we only have to look at his watch.
It should unbelievably travel backwards.
And that is the odd thing about light decelerating it causes time to travel faster.
And light accelerating causes time to travel slower.
So we have just about built the time tunnel.
If the man disappears into time.
Then we will know it works.
And we won't. We won't be sure.
The only way to know it works is to send information on accelerated light.

And measure it's time.
I don't know what this research will lead to.
But I do know the rule you cannot transmit information from the present to the past and you cannot receive information from the future.
Is a rule that can't be changed.
Whatever else is possible some things remain impossible.
Light does not accelerate in the way it decelerates.
It decelerates by $1/3$.
But how does it accelerate. Does it go directly from zero to infinity.
At faster than C .
Or does it accelerate at C .
It would have to accelerate faster than C to get it's speed back.
In one second.
It would have to travel 186,000 miles.
And perhaps it does. But it is accelerating. And it must go fast to reach 186,000 miles per second.
It must pass through $1/3$ the speed of light.
And $2/3$ the speed of light.
To reach $3/3$ the speed of light.
I want you to be fascinated by the possibilities.
I want you to wonder at the possibilities of the universe God has made.
If because the equation is one for the Holy Trinity means you can no longer enjoy science.
Then I suppose science isn't the right thing for you.
Because nothing can take away from the glory of the name IAM SALVATION
The glory of Gods name GOD IS SALVATION.
Neither things present nor things past nor things to come can separate us from the love of God which is in Christ Jesus.
No man can ever be a time Lord.
No man can ever be God and be in the present and the past as well.
And that may be true.
That he can taste of it.
Then he will never be the same. He will always be insane.
Because the universe keeps man safe.
From himself.
His own curiosity would destroy him.
Were there not rules about what he can and cannot do.

0-595-29901-6