HOW TO MAKE A MILLION DOLLARS BEFORE YOU'RE THIRTY

BLOG THE BOOK - TO THINE OWN SELF BE TRUE

Steve Jeffrey

HOW TO MAKE A MILLION DOLLARS BEFORE YOU'RE THIRTY

BLOG THE BOOK - TO THINE OWN SELF BE TRUE

Steve Jeffrey

blurb

$$E = MC^2$$

SUPERMAX AND MAX

Tue Aug 14 22:09:00 EDT 2007 |
HOW SUPERMAX MADE A MILLION BEFORE
HE WAS THIRTY.

WITHOUT GOING TO COLLEGE.

OR HOW TO GET RICH QUICK AFTER
COLLEGE.
There is a kind of man who will never fail.

And he is not Max.

Max only does the job that is right for him
anything even a little bit wrong he will not
attempt.

Supermax says everything is right for me
and then he attempts everything and finds
out what is right

for him.

Then he does everything that is right for
him.

And you can't keep a Supermax down.

He will always have ten reasons why he can
make a million dollars.

And the best reason why he can make a
million dollars is his brain.

he can always come up with

a new idea.   And Supermax is not max max
has ten crazy ways to make a million
dollars. But Supermax has ten sensible way
to make a million.

And the best way to see what is sensible is
to see what is crazy. And the craziest idea
of them all is to put 2 and 2 together.

Because max believes in simple math.

That he can design a new operating system
for windows just based on 2+2=4.

But of course they already know.

That two plus two equals four and so far a new operating system based on that fact has not

eventuated.

But it takes max to explain how.

And Max has a favorite saying knowledge is knowledge piled up but wisdom is knowledge simplified.

And Max tries to simplify all complication ideas.

His solution to the big bang will make him famous because it is simple as night and day.

they cannot occur in the same place at the same time.

This is what max says proves the big bang wrong.

And max is intelligent but he wants creation science to be right.

Supermax on the other hand has a job at college proving the big bang right.

Because Supermax doesn't value new ideas and he values tried and tested ideas.

That is what makes him a superman. Max will never live to see his theory finished.

And he will finish it.

Before he dies. And that isn't Max he will have an unfinished symphony.
But Supermax completes everything he begins by outsourcing.
And that is max that is why nothing gets done.

Supermax says if you want a thing done then do it yourself or hire someone smarter than you.

And Max always hires the lowest bidder.

Supermax hires the highest bidder.

And he always does hire the right man for the job.

Max might hire a friend his best friend.

Or a relative Supermax never makes that mistake. He never feels sorry for a friend or a relative without

a job.

He tells them to apply at head office like anybody else.

And Supermax is right.

But he is a little bit hard of heart.

And Max might hire his cousin and then fall in love.

And he might fall in love and then hire his cousin.
But there is no future in Max or Supermax.

Because I am not going to write another Supermax.

I am going to write a Max.

Because we are going to discuss Max quick rich schemes.

And there are many ways Max has tried to make a million dollars.

And he was someone else they would have

worked.

The first thing Max does to make a million dollars is to get married.

Because anyone that wants a million dollars has to be married.

But Max can never find the right girl.

And if he could settle down he would be rich.

That is what he tells himself.

But wives cost money money that is better spent at the TAB.

Because Max has a system to beat the horses.

His big experiment is proving probability wrong.

And proving there is order within disorder.

And Max has made progress world experts are puzzled by his computer program.

It seems to indicate that Max can win at the horses.

Even though he never does.

If man could win on a computer he could win in real life.

And he thinks if he could win in real life then he could beat the computer.

But the truth is Max can never win.

Because gambling is the way to get poor.

And trying to beat the house will only lead to bankruptcy.

But maybe Max knows he can't beat the house.

But he thinks he can win scientifically.

By proving everything is one thing.

And that is the same as being able to predict the future.

And max is right everything is one.

And the future is ours to see.

Que Sera Sera.

Because everything is not one it is two.

And the future is not ours to see.

And simply expressing everything as one equation of two.

Proves Max is a genius.

But it won't save his soul.

Because max thinks everything should be one and not two.

But how can light and darkness be the same thing at the same time.

They cannot be. But they can both be 12.

And Max tells himself that is Jesus equation. Because it is John 9:11.

Maybe everything came out of nothing and everything wasn't one singularity at the beginning.

YIN AND YANG prove that everything must have been two and not one.

And that is the law on non contradiction.

There had to have been two opposites at the beginning..

And there were.

Two principals working in opposite directions.

And Max will never get an academy award for acting like a physicist but he deserves one.

Because it has been a pretty good act.

And if Max keeps up the good work one day he may know senior math.

And that kind of goal would surprise Supermax because he has long since put away his books.

But Max finds his answers in those very books Supermax puts away.

Because he is not destined for greatness. But he feels that way.

But Jesus never thought of the things Max thinks about.

And maybe Jesus knew Max before he was born.

And knew that this scripture would set Max free of trying to make one equation for everything.

But two makes no sense otherwise there would have to be two big bangs.

When there was one big bang and everything was one with everything else.

And maybe that never was true.

That God means him to be great at what he does.

And he does do great work but it is juts not the kind of work that is rewarded.

And reward is what Supermax is all about.

It is about getting more bang for your buck.

About getting what you deserve for the work that you do.

And that is what this book is all about.

It is about trying to leave max behind and all his crazy schemes.

Because there is no future in any crazy scheme.

And there must be a scheme that will work.

And you have to be someone different.

To make a scheme work.

And you cannot be the kind of person that a scheme works for.

Because that person would lack integrity.

And Max has it.

But Supermax does not his integrity is not compromised.

That is what he would say at his trial.

Because people who are Supermax always end up on trial.

For doing something with somebody else's money.

And Max uses his pension cheque and not cheques that people write to him for services rendered.

And people are always writing cheques made out to Supermax.

And they are negotiable. Because they are blank he gets all the money he needs.

And of course no one gets everything they want.

But Supermax gets what he needs.

Because he does whatever it takes and it takes dishonestly.

But as he is doing it for Christ. The end justifies the means.

And we all know that max does not believe the end justifies the means.

And Max will use a bad number to get rich.

And Supermax will use the power of positive thinking.

Because both want money too bad.
But the power of positive thinking can be worse than a bad number.

And the best thing is to think positively about Max.

Because he is a dreamer and he is not the only one join us and one day the world will be one.

That is the kind of one Max is all about.

Making people one nation under God.

And Supermax makes them one under the flag of Greed.

And greed is good.
That is what Max says but Supermax says greed is bad for
other people but not for himself.

And he doesn't mind making you rich to accomplish his goals.

And that is not true he does mind he jealous of anyone who is rich.

And if you do your job well Supermax will not reward you.

Except with the sack for not doing everything. You might have done well but not as well
as he would have done.

Someone has to draw the line at efficiency.

Otherwise everything human will be sacrificed for the machine.

And Supermax sacrifices everything for the machine.
The machine of society.

So when he is president of the United States.

A job that he cannot have.
He sacrifices everything for the machine of the state and
the party.

The party is everything.

Max lost his job to Supermax because he refused to be promoted he was doing the job that was right

for him.

And saying no.

Costs everything.

But has great reward. Because character matters more than making a million dollars before your thirty.

So he is an awful judge.

And he is juts like Bob Schriber.

He is a type of Antichrist.

And anyone who knows Bob Schriber knows he is king of the railways.

And that he fired thousands of workers to make it more efficient.
He is an efficiency expert who took over the company. And that is the worst possible thing.

And efficiency expert should be in charge of the lot.

STRING THEORIES ARE RIDICULOUS-WAY AROUND RELATIVE SPACE/TIME

Tue Aug 14 22:27:00 EDT 2007 | www/http:BACKTOTHEFUTURE.

Hypothetical time travel process theory backward time travel force.

BIG TOE.

Firstly two spaceships are moving away from point X at the speed of light.

They are joined by a 2 LIGHT YEAR STRING.

It is unbreakable.

When the string is tight what is the force on the string.?

Is it 2 FC + 2 FC = 4 FC.

This is the force on the string when the spaceships are traveling at 2C.

And of course it is hypothetical.

That is why it is a good TOE.

Quantum Physics time can be unified with Einstein time.

By being added 2 + 2 = 4.
All four forces can be added 2 + 2 = 4.

And Doc Brown's Key is under the mat and it is this example.

Time can be expressed in terms of force.

And does time travel backwards when spaceships travel at 2C?

Like Einstein postulated that time would go in the opposite direction if you could travel faster than light.

So you add 2 MILLION + 2 MILLION = 4 MILLION equations. And you express those

as one equation of 2 + 2 = 4 for ALL FOUR FORCES.

And you interpret that equation with Doc browns key.

Which is 2 FORCE C + 2 FORCE C = 4 FORCE C.

Because we can't add C but we CAN ADD FORCE OF C.

And when force of C is added ALL FOUR FORCES ARE UNIFIED.
And this is baffling them with bull.

But they will like it because they are scientists and they want one answer.

STRING THEORIES ARE RIDICULOUS 2

Tue Aug 14 22:51:00 EDT 2007 |
THE ICING ON THE CAKE..............

STRING THEORY OF EVERYTHING.

The way to develop a string theory of everything is to use a thought experiment.

And of course it is not he way to develop a theory.

But we will make an attempt to base our theory on one thought experiment.

or maybe two.

And the thought experiment I want to use is a very interesting one.

It is as simple as two spaceships with a velocity of C.

And we add the velocities and we can add the velocities because the spaceships are connected by a string.

And the string is unbroken that means it cannot be broken.

And the stress required to break the string is greater than the speed of light.

Force is just the stress on the string.

And it is the strain. Whichever it is stress or strain or both it is contradictory. And stress and strain do not contradict. They are both there when the string is two light years long.

Because the ship accelerates at C for two light years.

And it is a speed.

And it is also a distance.
And the string gets tight but does not break.

Because the velocity of both ships is rotational and it is C.

So to add rotational kinetic energy.

Of course that is not force and rotation can be a force.

But on the string is the force of 2C.

And 2C cannot be a speed and it can be when two ends of a string are rotating at 1C.

Then we simply add 1C + 1C = 2 C.

That means we can add Force 1C + Force 1C = Force of 2C.

But that doesn't mean we can add 2 + 2 = 4.

And we can add the force but not the rotational kinetic energy.

And why not add both the force and the rotational kinetic energy 2 + 2 = 4.

Then we have a Rosetta stone for quantum mechanics and Einstein's theory.

Large scale quantum fluctuations are the same as small scale quantum fluctuations.

And they are similar.

But that is contradictory.

And there is nothing contradictory about adding the force of the speed of light.

It is a totally new way of adding equations 2+2=4.

We can add all four forces when we add rotational kinetic energy and force 2+2=4.

And if we can it takes a little faith.

To figure out how to add all four forces when they are gravitational.

Because centrifugal force is equal to gravitational energy.

So we can add gravity for two time the speed of light.

So it is really a theory of everything.

But it is so simple.

And it an exception to every rule of physics.

This is just not the norm.

That is why it works to unify large scale and small scale phenomenon.

REPEATING VALUE OF Pi.

Tue Aug 14 23:23:00 EDT 2007 |
.9999999*4/3.99999*22/7/4*3.99999=3.
142857111.

The six figures in Pi repeat to 10,000 digits
or do they work out.?

the truth is they work out the digit of Pi do
not repeat forever.

And of course they must never work out.

Because repeating digits never do work out.

And 39/11=3.545454545.

And this is the mean median and mode of
Pi.

And it repeats forever.

So repeating digits can repeat forever.

But Pi does not repeat and it does when it
is multiplied by the square root of one.

That makes it one.

And when Pi is one it is a repeating digit
that does work out.

Blogs are great because you don't have to
write too much at once you can write a
little every day.

And that suits me fine.
Until I publish BLOG THE E-BOOK.

Because it will be a BLOG and it will be an
e-book.

So two things can be one equation.

And this is DOC BROWN'S EVIL keys to time
travel. And he leaves them under the mat.

And you never know what can be the key to
time travel.

It can be any or all of my equations.

But there is no key.
And there might be a hypothetical way of
making it work.

So that you can have a unified field theory.

And that might be just as bad as actually
going there.

So we won't go there. And that is the best
news that I have ever heard.

TO ABRIDGE ETERNITY

Tue Aug 14 23:48:00 EDT 2007 |
The finite value of Pi means you can run an experiment for Pi seconds.

And it is a finite number of seconds but it taking Pi to infinity.

That means Pi works out.

And so does your

experiment work out to a number of light seconds.

And it is absolute.

Because it is an absolute value for time.
Because we are taking all time and abridging it to a repetition of the value of Pi.

Now maybe it doesn't mean we can run our experiment forever and know what the result will be.

And maybe it does mean

that.

The question for radio telescopes is just as important as the answer.

And the question is how does Pi affect radio telescopes.

And the answer is that the size of the universe can be finite.
So we can focus the telescope on infinity.
And we can focus it on the absolute size of the universe. And this doesn't

make any difference to resolution.

And always if you focus on a point closest to an object you get the best picture.

And that is just a guess.

And science is all about educated guesses to answer questions.

Which are also educated questions.

Educated questions and answers.

That would be a good title for my blog.

If it didn't already have a good title.

STRING THEORIES ARE RIDICULOUS 3.

Thu Aug 16 02:32:00 EDT 2007 |
There is no way to travel faster than light.

And if we have a pair of indestructible scissors we can cut the indestructible string.

The string cannot break but it can be cut.

That makes for a quantum leap.

In relativity because the combined velocity of the two flying saucers.

Is 4C.

that is if one rotates at 2C and the other rotates at 2C.

Then we get 2+2=4.

And to have 2+2=4 we only need a little more energy and the force of cutting the string cannot go nowhere.

It has to accelerate the flying saucer.

So maybe it has to go backward it time that would be quantum theory.

Or forward in velocity.

That be some kind of Einstein theory that has not yet been invented.
but the explanation given my the supercomputers you can add force without adding the speed of light.

And maybe that isn't the explanation.

Because maybe a maximum velocity is one that cannot be exceeded.

But where does extra energy go?

That is the question this science thesis asks.

And it can be modelled perfectly.

And the forces of friction and pull

measured exactly.
Does the force of rotation and friction and pull change into momentum.

Forward momentum.

It would if we had two discs joined by a string on earth.

And they would not rotate like in outer space.

And they just might if you had power.

then we cut the string and see if the velocity increased.

And it would prove nothing.

Because light velocity is not the same as any other velocity.

But what about Pi times the speed of light it is a finite velocity.

And it is greater than C.

So is it possible to travel at PI times the speed of light velocity.

Not according to Einstein.

But Pi *99.999999% of the speed of light *PI.

99.99999999*4/3.99999*22/7/4*3.99999= 314.285714*186,000=58,457142.9

That is a speed that is mathematically impossible.

And it is a maximum velocity since it is infinite.

And it may be mathematically possible.

But you would not know unless you could prove that something travelled at that velocity.

Maybe a string but that too is matter but infinitely small.

And infinitely small matter may the potential to be infinitely large.

X-RAY

MULTI-WAVELENGTH

But not faster than light.

And yes even that.

Because local acceleration is faster than light.

I am going to quit with this this new string theory.

Strings can accelerate at PI *99.9999999% of light velocity.

And it is a finite velocity for something so small that it can accelerate for infinity.

So we need a string powered spaceship.

And no one has ever put the words together.

Because it would be a babies toy compared to what the aliens have and my book can be compared to what the aliens have.

People just want me to clarify what I have said they simply don't understand what my point is.

And that is what it is like if you have alien technology no one would understand the point.

To the technology.

or what it is supposed to do.

And even then it may not be very big.
And that is a good hypothesis since infinity can work out.

So the mass of an infinite string can be something believable.

And of course you can't believe it.

That strings can accelerate faster than light. Because no one has ever though of how a string may accelerate.

But if it is a basic building block then in the beginning they must have accelerated.

And they still are accelerating.

That was the theme from Carl Sagan's novel.
And it is a good theme because all the technology I have come up with is 24th century.

And it could be 22.

it could be far in advance of anything man has thought up so far.

So naturally he is just not ready for that kind of knowledge.

And don't replace the clock tower just yet.

Because the supercomputer may prove my book to be garbage.

And then it would be the bible theory of everything.

What does it prove it proves the bible right about everything.

And it does by proving theories of everything to be theories that contradict.

And if something contradicts it cannot be right.

And That just isn't true.
Anything can be right anything that contradicts.

And clearly the truth is between the two extremes.

EINSTEIN PROVED WRONG BY SUPER STRING THEORY.

Thu Aug 16 21:32:00 EDT 2007 |
Super symmetry is a great new theory.

And it is just needs to be made up. Then it will be a great new theory.

But for now we have super string theory and it is my blog.

And superstring is two spaceships joined by a string.
And it is simple as A + B = C.

Because the speed of light is A and B and C is two times the speed of light.

So it just 1 + 1 = 2.

And it is as simple as saying 1+1=2 is the best equation for Einstein.

Because clearly you cannot add light.

But if you add the equation is none the less valid.

It is valid even if light can be added.

And of course it is not that is just it if light can be added then E=MCsquared is a wrong equation.

That is why when we use 1/2.

$1/2E = MC^2$.
We can add light.

And that the whole problem if Einstein is right we should not be able to add light.

But light is not a constant a 1/2 the velocity.

And of course nothing slows light down and gravity does.

And electromagnetism. So we cold hypothesize that the four forces can slow

light down.

And the relationship between 1/2 C and the four forces gives a totally new theory of relativity.

But I don't want to blog anymore.

And I do want to blog but not about C.

Because C is too hard it really is a constant in outer space.

And of course I know different.

I know you can accelerate light from 0 and decelerate it to zero.

And then you can put that into a loop.

And the entropy will slow light in a vacuum.

And if light really can slow in a vacuum then Einstein is totally wrong.

Because 1/2 is the equation that proves him right.

And it is 1 that is the equation that proves him right.

2 + 2 = 4 can never prove Einstein right but maybe it can prove Schrodenger's equation.

And I have shown that to be wrong too.

Because the cat is either alive or dead depending on whether the equation is 1 + 1 = 2 or 2 + 2 = 4.

And that would be adding light If you cannot add it then the cat is both alive and dead.
But if can add light there is no contradiction that cat is either alive or dead.

And we can on and on and on an on.

And never reach a conclusion.

Because 1/2 C is the kind of theory that has no end.

It is a theory of all time.

That smart men find a way around.

Because they say light can't really slow down except in a medium.

But I have shown that it can slow down in a vacuum.

And other scientists have proven that light can slow in a vacuum.

So what does that say about time.

It is absolute and that might be the case.

Because all time can be expressed as the energy of light between 0 and C.

and that has to mean it is absolute.

But don't throw out the clock tower just yet.

Time cannot be absolute unless light can accelerate at faster than 1 C.

And it can.

So throw out the clock tower.

It is not an absolute time for salvation.

Because the clock tower is replaced.

By a clock that never makes a mistake.

And that is perfection.

And it just might take forever for it to be accepted as a theory.

But it is too simple.

And it is simple enough to be explained to my grandmother.

Because she isn't stupid and she knows light can added if it is 1/2.

So every smart man will find a way around slowing light down to zero.

Because any fraction is possible.

And it opens up a can of worms.

And there is no perfect time.

And there is always a perfect time for everything.

A time to live and a time to die a time to embrace and a time to refrain from embracing.

I have found the time. That is what Josh will say when he has done Dumbahdoo.

You cannot do a thing and not do it at the same time.

And Josh has been trying to not do a thing and do it at the same time.

There are contradictions.

And maybe there really are none.

That is what drives science to try to find a theory of everything with no contradictions.

But contradictions simply mean the theory is not complete.

And perfect is another word for complete.

And knowledge never will be perfect.

If knowledge were perfect there would be no need for love.

And of course we would still need love.

But if love were perfect we would not need knowledge.

And that is really true.

But for now we need to have an opinion.

Because people will always contradict one another.

And we can formulate ten laws that constitute our opinion.

And then decide that we are not right.

And that would not be sensible to not stick to our ten laws.
Because the laws are all about making up

29

And I think it cannot be sacrificed.

Even to prove me right.

And that is what it means to be a Christian.

Juts like grace cannot be sacrificed to prove me right.

Neither can truth.

And I can make up ten laws for grace.

But not without sacrificing truth.

Because it is grace and truth that should be the title of this blog.

To know you are right and believe you are right are two different things.

And to know there is a God is different to just believing.

The cat is not dead then it must be alive and no it can be dead when it is not dead and alive when it not alive and that would a total contradiction.

And that is what Schrodenger is saying that a thing can be not dead and dead at the same time.

And clearly this is just not possible.

The cat is what quantum mechanics is all

your mind.

So I have ten laws on non contradiction.

Ten reasons why I AM WRONG.

And they should be ten reasons why I AM RIGHT.

But if I wrote ten reasons against the law of non contradiction.

I would be going against the basis of all truth.

And if they have it right the law of non contradiction can't be sacrificed without sacrificing all truth.

about an equation for a cat.

But the equation goes against the ten laws of non contradiction.

And it is wrong.

We need a Schrodenger equation where the cat is neither dead or alive.

Because that would make sense he is something in between.

And there are no in-betweens but they may be for quantum physics.

My suggestion that that is $2 + 2 = 4 = 1 + 2 = 2$ then Schrodenger is right.

Even can be odd and odd even. And clearly they cannot be the same.

They are two opposites. And the two equations that make the most sense are YIN $1 + 1 = 2$ And YANG $2 + 2 = 4$.

Put them together with $E = MC^2$ or Schrodenger and you have two equations for everything.

The process of fission is opposite to the process for fusion.

And that is all you need to know to work out one equation for everything.

And you need to know how they can be equivalent.

And they never can be.
That is why two equations for everything are what the theory really is.

Schrodenger is dead and he is alive and he is saying I was wrong Jeffrey's program Schrodenger's cat is more than just a children's toy but a process model for space/time.

And Schrodenger of cause cannot still be alive.

So this is nonsense.

And anything that says a dead cat is still alive is nonsense.

You can certain the theory that is built on Schrodenger's cat is both dead and alive at the same time.

Which means it adds up to zero.

And it is not even wrong.

EINSTEIN'S POLTERGEIST.

Fri Aug 17 00:04:00 EDT 2007 |
The news is in the paper that you can travel faster than light all you need is two prisms and quantum tunneling.

And my schoolteacher is the one I call Einstein and it his theories that I can recall. He is my inspiration like 9/11 is an inspiration to terrorists.

And of course inspiration doesn't have to be bad.

And you will have to make up your own mind whether my inspiration comes from heaven or hell.

The thing is it is about heaven and hell anyway about heaven and hell in the final conflict.

And of course you can just dismiss me as crazy. Einstein is not haunting the halls of Princeton to prove his theory wrong.

And of course you can find evidence that he is.

You can find evidence that God exists if you look for it.

A plane crashed on Friday the 13th in the

Andes and 13 people were killed.
Coincidence or a curse.?.......... You can
read about why light can travel faster than
C. Why all forces can be added to get one
equation for everything.
And also why they can't be. And why light
can't travel faster.

Because that's what a good teacher teaches
his students right or wrong.

And the teacher always knows what is
right.
And what is wrong.

But his students don't always know.

And he is trying to help them make up their
own mind.

DOC BROWN'S KEY IS UNDER THE MAT

Fri Aug 17 00:14:00 EDT 2007 |
2+2=4 is Doc Browns key my theory of adding the force of the speed of light 2+2=4.

There are two ways we can add light two lots of rotational kinetic energy for C.
And two time the force of the the speed of light on a string.

This gives us Doc Browns keys to Time the Universe and Everything.
Don't believe just perceive.

Where you are right and where I am wrong.

DOC BROWN'S EXPERIMENT TO CHANGE HISTORY

Fri Aug 17 00:20:00 EDT 2007 |
The baseball player that crashed into the New York apartment building crashed on the 13th.

But the news of the crash was released on the wire service on the 12th.

If this is true and you can believe the net.

Then somebody phoned the information through to the news service or faxed it prior to the accident.

This is what proof of time travel really is proof that information can go from the future to the past.

And from the past to the future.
Which is clearly possible but reversing it requires a particularly evil mind.

And Doc doesn't seem bad but in the sequel Back to The Future 6# there is an evil Doc Brown that is his evil twin.

And he wants to use the time machine to profit by it.

THE REPEATING VALUE OF PI

Fri Aug 17 02:17:00 EDT 2007 |
THE MAN WHO WORKED OUT PI-THE BIBLE
VALUE. STEPHEN A JEFFREY.

22/7*4/3.99999=3.142865. Pi to six digits.

22/7*4=12.57142857.

360 days/7=51.42857143.

51.42857143/12.57142857=4.090909091.
/4=1.022727273 weeks*51=52.15909092.

*7=365.1136364.days.

SQUARE ROOT OF PI/SQUARE ROOT OF
3.142865.=1.772453851/1.772810481=.
999798833.

PHI=PI+1 PI-1

2.141793821.

4.141391487.

The earths orbit is not a circle but an
ellipse. Use matlab and my formula to
convert a circular orbit to an ellipse and
backwards.

The difference should give you the six digit
value for pi.

An irrational value for pi doesn't work.

365.2422-52.15909092=.01283143*24*60=18.
4772592/3=5.543183303
5.543183301+5.543183303+5.
5433183303=18.4772592

Infinite 666. 1/3 18.4772592+ 1/3
18.4772592+ 1/3 18.4772592=3/3=1

360/7=51.42857143/12.57146=4.
0908988864/4=1.022724716*51=52.
15896051*7=365.1127236*24=8,762.705366
*60*60=31,545,739.32=5.867507513 *10 to
the 12. km in a light year* 45,000000000000
=2.640378381*10 ^26 Km/Light year Size of
the universe. Radius..30795432 of day

difference gives you 18.

But I have made a mistake the value of 18 minutes difference is wrong. But it is the value that gives you infinite 6666.

365.2425(Gregorian calendar)-365.1127236 =.01297764*24*60=18.687016=6.2292672+6. 2292672+6.2292672.

Adds up to 36+36+36=108=18*6. But this is the value for 666. The correct value is 1297764.

So it is 10* 18 Minutes./3=666.

Dear Karen,
The credit for working out Pi belongs to my

fx-100-AU CASIO.

C*1.42865*C*3.142865.

186,000m/s*3.142865*186000*3.142865=3. 417254637 * 10^11.

Of course this value for Pi is different because it works out.

/PI=1.087745935*10 to the 11th. So PI works out to 10 to the 11th places exactly.

Stephen Author of Genesis 2#- How to Fail at Telling a creation myth.

Steve

Dear Andrew Lamb

PI*186000m/second*PI*186,000m/second=3. 414488339*10^11. 3.142865*186,000*3.142865*186,000=3. 417254637*10^11

22/7*186000* 22/7*186,000=3. 417237551*10^11.

Divide the two values for PI *10^11 and you should get 1.

It is as close to 1 as you can possibly imagine.

And the difference gives you the difference between finite PI(works out) and infinite PI which doesn't work out.

With a Casio fx AU 100 Supercalculator.

Answer with windows calculator 3.142857139714285714286714 2857143.

Take it to 10,000 digits and look at repeating Pi.

39/11=3.54545454545.

Time, The Fourth Dimension

We live in a world of three dimensions. Well, we only perceive three dimensions. We can hypothesize many more dimensions. But, they are difficult to imagine.

Because of Einstein, we often call time the fourth dimension. Special relativity shows that time behaves surprisingly like the three spatial dimensions. The Lorenz equations show this. Length contracts as speed increases. Time expands as speed increases.

Scientists have been graphing time, as if it were a length, for hundreds of years. To the left is a typical graph, showing two things in motion at the same speed, one to the left and one to the right. Time never behaves exactly like a spatial dimension. You cannot go backward in time. And you normally cannot go forward at different rates. But, there are surprising parallels. For some purposes, it is

But both finite and infinite pi work out when multiplied by C squared.

This is an amazing fact and a miracle that God has built into the universe to show design.

Put this value into a supercomputer and use the finite value of Pi to the 10^11 instead of infinity.

As infinite m/second. Put this into the WASP supercomputer in Western Australia.

STEVE A JEFFREY

(.9999999/4*3.99999)*(22/7*4/3.99999)= 3.142857111.

handy to call time a fourth dimension. For other purposes

Pretend, for a moment, that there are more than three spatial dimensions. What is a four or five-dimensional cube like? It is hard to visualize. But, we can make a few deductions about such an object. What if a 5-dimensional cube is 2 centimeters on a side, what is its 5-dimensional volume? Well, we can easily generalize from the first three dimensions. A 2x2 square is 4 (2x2) square centimeters in area. A 2x2x2 cube is 8 (2x2x2) cubic centimeters in volume. A 2x2x2x2x2 5-dimensional cube is 32 centimeters-to-the-5th-power in 5-dimensional volume. None of that can be visualized. But, it makes sense. What is the distance between two points in 5-space? You can easily deduce a 5-dimensional Pythagorean Theorem.

History of Pi

A little known verse of the Bible reads

And he made a molten sea, ten cubits from the one brim to the other: it was round all about, and his height was five cubits: and a line of thirty cubits did compass it about.

The same verse can be found in II Chronicles 4, 2. It occurs in a list of specifications for the great temple of Solomon, built around 950 BC and its interest here is that it gives π = 3. Not a very accurate value of course and not even

very accurate in its day, for the Egyptian and Mesopotamian values of 25/8 = 3.125 and √10 = 3.162 have been traced to much earlier dates: though in defence of Solomon's craftsmen it should be noted that the item being described seems to have been a very large brass casting, where a high degree of geometrical precision is neither possible nor necessary. There are some interpretations of this which lead to a much better value.

The fact that the ratio of the circumference to the diameter of a circle is constant has been known for so long that it is quite untraceable. The earliest values of π including the 'Biblical' value of 3, were almost certainly found by measurement. In the Egyptian Rhind Papyrus, which is dated

about 1650 BC, there is good evidence for 4 $(8/9)2 = 3.16$ as a value for π.

 The first theoretical calculation seems to have been carried out by Archimedes of Syracuse (287-212 BC). He obtained the approximation

223/71 π 22/7.

 Before giving an indication of his proof, notice that very considerable sophistication involved in the use of inequalities here. Archimedes knew, what so many people to this day do not, that π does not equal 22/7, and made no claim to have discovered the exact value. If we take his best estimate as the average of his two

bounds we obtain 3.1418, an error of about 0.0002.

Here is Archimedes' argument.

Consider a circle of radius 1, in which we inscribe a regular polygon of 3 2n-1 sides, with semiperimeter bn, and superscribe a regular polygon of 3 2n-1 sides, with semiperimeter an.

The diagram for the case n = 2 is on the right.

The effect of this procedure is to define an increasing sequence

b1 , b2 , b3 , ...

and a decreasing sequence

a1 , a2 , a3 , ...

such that both sequences have limit π. Using trigonometrical notation, we see that the two semiperimeters are given by

an = K tan(π/K), bn = K sin(π/K),

where K = 3 2n-1. Equally, we have

an+1 = 2K tan(π/2K), bn+1 = 2K sin(π/2K),

and it is not a difficult exercise in trigonometry to show that

(1/an + 1/bn) = 2/an+1 . . . (1)

$a_{n+1}b_n = (b_{n+1})^2$ . . . (2)

Archimedes, starting from $a_1 = 3 \tan(\pi/3) = 3\surd 3$ and $b_1 = 3 \sin(\pi/3) = 3\surd 3/2$, calculated a_2 using (1), then b_2 using (2), then a_3 using (1), then b_3 using (2), and so on until he had calculated a_6 and b_6. His conclusion was that

b_6 π a_6 .

It is important to realize that the use of trigonometry here is unhistorical: Archimedes did not have the advantage of an algebraic and trigonometrical notation and had to derive (1) and (2) by purely geometrical means. Moreover he did not even have the advantage of our decimal notation for numbers, so that the calculation of a_6 and b_6 from (1) and (2) was by no means a trivial task. So it was a pretty stupendous feat both of imagination and of calculation and the wonder is not that he stopped with polygons of 96 sides, but that he went so far.

For of course there is no reason in principle why one should not go on. Various people did, including:

Ptolemy

(c. 150 AD)

3.1416

Zu Chongzhi
(430-501 AD)355/113

al-Khwarizmi

(c. 800)

3.1416

al-Kashi

(c. 1430)

14 places

Viète

(1540-1603)
9 places

Roomen

(1561-1615)

17 places

Van Ceulen

(c. 1600)

35 places

Except for Zu Chongzhi, about whom next to nothing is known and who is very unlikely to have known about Archimedes' work, there was no theoretical progress involved in these improvements, only greater stamina in
calculation. Notice how the lead, in this as in all scientific matters, passed from Europe to the East for the millennium 400 to 1400 AD.

Al-Khwarizmi lived in Baghdad, and incidentally gave his name to 'algorithm', while the words al jabr in the title of one of his books gave us the word 'algebra'. Al-Kashi lived still further east, in Samarkand, while Zu Chongzhi, one need hardly add, lived in China.

The European Renaissance brought about in due course a whole new mathematical world. Among the first effects of this reawakening was the emergence of mathematical formulae for π. One of the earliest was that of Wallis (1616-

1703)$2/\pi = (1.3.3.5.5.7. \ldots)/(2.2.4.4.6.6. \ldots)$

and one of the best-known is

$\pi/4 = 1 - 1/3 + 1/5 - 1/7 + \ldots$

This formula is sometimes attributed to Leibniz (1646-1716) but is seems to have been first discovered by James Gregory (1638- 1675).

These are both dramatic and astonishing formulae, for the expressions on the right are completely arithmetical in character, while π arises in the first instance from geometry. They show the surprising results that infinite processes can achieve and point the way to the wonderful richness of modern mathematics.

From the point of view of the calculation of π, however, neither is of any use at all. In Gregory's series, for example, to get 4 decimal places correct we

require the error to be less than 0.00005 = 1/20000, and so we need about 10000 terms of the series. However, Gregory also showed the more general result

$\tan^{-1} x = x - x3/3 + x5/5 - \ldots (-1 \, x \, 1) \ldots (3)$

from which the first series results if we put x = 1. So using the fact that

$\tan^{-1}(1/\sqrt{3}) = \pi/6$ we get
$\pi/6 = (1/\sqrt{3})(1 - 1/(3.3) + 1/(5.3.3) - 1/(7.3.3.3) + \ldots$

which converges much more quickly. The 10th term is 1/(19 39√3), which is less than 0.00005, and so we have at least 4 places correct after just 9 terms.

An even better idea is to take the formula

$$\pi/4 = \tan^{-1}(1/2) + \tan^{-1}(1/3) \ldots (4)$$

and then calculate the two series obtained by putting first 1/2 and the 1/3 into (3).

Clearly we shall get very rapid convergence indeed if we can find a formula something like

$$\pi/4 = \tan^{-1}(1/a) + \tan^{-1}(1/b)$$

with a and b large. In 1706 Machin found such a formula:

$$\pi/4 = 4 \tan^{-1}(1/5) - \tan^{-1}(1/239) \ldots (5)$$

Actually this is not at all hard to prove, if you know how to prove (4) then there is no real extra difficulty about (5), except that the arithmetic is worse. Thinking it up in the first place is, of course, quite another matter.

With a formula like this available the only difficulty in computing π is the sheer boredom of continuing the calculation. Needless to say, a few people were silly enough to devote vast amounts of time and effort to this tedious and wholly useless pursuit. One of

them, an Englishman named Shanks, used Machin's formula to calculate π to 707 places, publishing the results of many years of labor in 1873. Shanks has achieved immortality for a very curious reason which we shall explain in a moment. Here is a summary of how the improvement went:

1699:

Sharp used Gregory's result to get 71 correct digits

1701:

Machin used an improvement to get 100 digits and the following used his methods:

1719:

de Lagny found 112 correct digits

1789:

Vega got 126 places and in 1794 got 136

1841:

Rutherford calculated 152 digits and in 1853 got 440

1873:

Shanks calculated 707 places of which 527 were correct

A more detailed Chronology is available.

Shanks knew that π was irrational since this had been proved in 1761 by Lambert. Shortly after Shanks' calculation it was shown by Lindemann that π is transcendental, that is, π is not the solution of any polynomial equation with integer coefficients. In fact this result of Lindemann showed that 'squaring the circle' is impossible. The transcendentality of π implies that there is no ruler and compass construction to construct a square equal in area to a given circle.

Very soon after Shanks' calculation a curious statistical freak was noticed by De

circumference. David Gregory (1697) used π/r for the ratio of the circumference of a circle to its radius. The first to use π with its present meaning was an Welsh mathematician William Jones in 1706 when he states "3.14159 and c. = π". Euler adopted the symbol in 1737 and it quickly became a standard notation.

We conclude with one further statistical curiosity about the calculation of π, namely Buffon's needle experiment. If we have a uniform grid of parallel lines, unit distance apart and if we drop a needle of length k 1 on the grid, the probability that the needle falls across a line is 2k/π. Various people have tried to calculate π by throwing needles. The most remarkable result was that of Lazzerini (1901), who made 34080 tosses and got

$$\pi = 355/113 = 3.1415929$$

which, incidentally, is the value found by Zu Chongzhi. This outcome is suspiciously good, and the game is given away by the strange number 34080 of tosses. Kendall and Moran comment that a good value can be obtained by stopping the experiment at an optimal moment. If you set in advance how many throws there are to be then this is a very inaccurate way of computing π. Kendall and Moran comment that you would do better to cut out a large circle of wood and use a tape measure to find its circumference and diameter.

Morgan, who found that in the last of 707 digits there was a suspicious shortage of 7's. He mentions this in his Budget of Paradoxes of 1872 and a curiosity it remained until 1945 when Ferguson discovered that Shanks had made an error in the 528th place, after which all his digits were wrong. In 1949 a computer was used to calculate π to 2000 places. In this and all subsequent computer expansions the number of 7's does not differ significantly from its expectation, and indeed the sequence of digits has so far passed all statistical tests for randomness. You can see 2000 places of π. We should say a little of how the notation π arose. Oughtred in 1647 used the symbol d/π for the ratio of the diameter of a circle to its

Still on the theme of phoney experiments, Gridgeman, in a paper which pours scorn on Lazzerini and others, created some amusement by using a needle of carefully chosen length k = 0.7857, throwing it twice, and hitting a line once. His estimate for π was thus given by

2 0.7857 / π = 1/2

from which he got the highly creditable value of π = 3.1428. He was not being serious!

It is almost unbelievable that a definition of π was used, at least as an excuse, for a racial attack on the eminent mathematician Landaus in 1934. Landaus had defined π in this textbook published in Göttingen in that year by the, now fairly usual, method of saying that π/2 is the value of x between 1 and 2 for which cos x vanishes. This unleashed an academic dispute which was to end in dismissal Landau's from his chair at Göttingen. Bieberbachan eminent number theorist who disgraced himself by his racist views, explains the reasons for Landau's dismissal:-

Thus the valiant rejection by the Göttingen student body which a great mathematician, , has experienced is due in the final analysis to the fact that the un-German style of this man in his research and teaching is unbearable to German feelings. A people who have perceived how members of another race are working to impose ideas foreign to its own must refuse teachers of an alien culture.

G H Hardy replied immediately to Bieberbachch in a published note about the consequences of this un-German definition of π

There are many of us, many Englishmen and many Germans, who said things during the War which we scarcely meant and are sorry to remember now. Anxiety for one's own position, dread of falling behind the rising torrent of folly, determination at all cost not to be outdone, may be natural if not particularly heroic excuses. Professor Bieberbach's reputation excludes such explanations of his utterances, and I find

myself driven to the more uncharitable conclusion that he really believes them true.

Not only in Germany did π present problems. In the USA the value of π gave rise to heated political debate. In the State of Indiana in 1897 the House of Representatives unanimously passed a Bill introducing a new mathematical truth.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Indiana: It has been found that a circular area is to the square on a line equal to the quadrant of the circumference, as the area of an equilateral rectangle is to the square of one side.

The Senate of Indiana showed a little more sense and postponed indefinitely the adoption of the Act!

Intensity of radio echoes reflected on surface of a meteoric plasma column

Shimizu Minoru

1. Introduction: When plasma frequency in the meteoric trail is higher than frequency of incoming VHF radio waves,the plasma can reflect radio waves like a mirror. And this reflected radio waves is observed as meteoric overdense echo. As radius of the meteoric trail is about tens meter,the same order of wave length of the VHF radio wave,I think the reflection is thought to be

scattering of waves. Thereby, I made simulation about radio wave reflection on the meteoric plasma on the basis of wave theory. 2. Basis of the simulation: As well-known, Maxwell's equation describes electromagnetic waves in the space. In this simulation radio echo is supposed to be overdense echo, thereby no electric field is being in the meteoric plasma and electric field composed of incoming and reflected radio wave is vertical to the surface of the plasma. This is also the boundary condition for differential equation in this simulation.(1)Topological form of the meteoric plasma and used coordination for this simulation .In this simulation,meteoric plasma has cylindrical form having radius r0, its axis is parallel to z axis of cylindrical

coordination. Here note that in cylindrical coordination r means and means arctan (y/x).(2)Radio waveLetting k denoting k wave number projected on x-y plane and kz denoting z component of the wave number. The radio wave has frequency and linearly polarized to have electric fields E on x-z plane. The Maxwell's equation in these condition ishere,c means light speed in the vacuum and E means z component of electric field E. Now, considering plane radio wave Ei travelling to x direction. Where , and wave length This plane radio wave satisfies the Maxwell's equation, and this wave represents incoming wave to the meteoric plasma column. The following radio wave Er represents reflected radio wave by the meteoric column because asymptotic form of the wave represents outwardly spreading wave. And this wave satisfies Lapace equation as shown below in cylindrical coordination,i.e. one of the solutions of the Maxwell's equation. Where (3)Boundary condition: Since electric field E polarized in x-z plane,the component of the electric field parallel to the surface of the plasma column is should be zero on the surface of the column because the plasma is supposed to be conductive material. Therefore, following condition should be satisfied on the surface of the meteoric column.(4)Reflected wave form: This boundary condition demands following equation for a0 and an. By introducing these a0 and an into formula of Er, reflected wave is completely described.

The amplitude of reflected radio wave with angle by the column, and having radius of r0, is. Following figure shows calculated Intensity of the echo depending r0 of the column and reflected angle .

3. Consideration: Intensity of the echo doesn't proportion to the radius of the meteoric plasma column because of refraction around the column for incoming radio waves .And the echo also has asymmetric reflection intensity distribution on reflection angle .I guess these evidences give hints about time dependent intensity variation for overdense echoes. The radius of the plasma column spreads because of diffusion in upper atmosphere,and it sometimes make slow fading on the intensity of the echoes,especially radius of the column is the values of zero points of Bessel functions divided by k.

Mean Median and the Mode of PI.

39/11=3.545454545.

10 LAWS OF NON CONTRADICTION-AGREED VALUE

Fri Aug 17 02:33:00 EDT 2007 |
The big bang theory of everything is proved wrong by the law of non contradiction.

1/3 APPLE+ 1/3 ORANGE+ 1/3 ORANGE= 1 APPLE/ORANGE is an equation for making equations one with everything.

But it is false because Two opposite particles X and Y YIN AND YANG cannot be in the same state at the same time.

Here are ten laws of non contradiction.

1/ Contradictions entail everything.

2/Contradictions can't be true.

3/ Contradictions can't be believed rationality.

4/If contradictions were acceptable people could never be rationally criticized.

5/If contradictions were acceptable no one could deny anything. Like Peter denied.

6/ All units have to have an equal value for a theory of everything equation and a 1-1 correspondence.

Or an agreed value between pesos and dollars.

7/ Two opposite particles X and Y cannot be in the same state at the same time.
8/You can add apples to apples and oranges to oranges And that is not contradiction. But you can't add apples to oranges.

9/What are apples what are not contradictory equations.

10 . You can't add 2+2=4. And of course you can add 2+2=4 and that is not a contradiction.

THE TRUTH ABOVE CAM. THE TRUTH ABOUT HAMLET WITHOUT THE PRINCE THE BIG BANG WITHOUT JESUS.

TEN LAWS OF NON CONTRADICTION.

THEY WERE MEANT TO BE CAM PRINT THEM AS A TRACT................

Francis Shaffer said the law of non contradiction is the basis for all truth and all rationality.

Steve.

My creativity equation must not contradict the Laws of non contradiction can you use your supercomputer to test why it does obey the laws of on contradiction. Clue there are no large or small units in infinity.

And a horse by any other unit is still a horse.

STRING THEORIES ARE RIDICULOUS 4#

Fri Aug 17 21:38:00 EDT 2007 |
This is what Einstein wrote on his last blackboard.

Well it could have been.

No one knows for sure.

I know Einstein's blog it is my blog about Einstein.

And Pi is 4.

And it is 39/11. So is 3.545.

And that is a solution that Einstein would be very happy with because it is the mean of Pi.

I can get it to work out but is that what it is all about.

If the mean doesn't work out then an answer that works out may not be right. And it is one solution. And of course

there are multiple solutions of Pi there is more than one possible solution.

And there is one solution which is correct.

And that is the theory of non contradiction. All the other solutions are wrong. And that is really good. It means we can draw the graph of the one solution that works and every other solution that does not work.
And that is positive it is

positivism. And is it is that was positivism is all about or is any solution acceptable in positivism.

And hawking is a positivist that means he tolerates contradiction.

Black holes can emit energy and swallow all energy at the same time.

And of course they can't.

So hawking should not get the Nobel prize for physics because there isn't one. And if there is one he should probably get it because it is impossible for there to be one when there isn't.

But things could change and the rules regarding black holes do not change.

And they have changed and of course they cannot.

THE JESUS ANTHOLOGY-SUPERMAX

Sun Aug 19 03:50:00 EDT 2007 |

TEN LAWS OF CREATION SCIENCE

Sun Aug 19 07:33:00 EDT 2007 |
The Little red schoolbook: How to take a holiday(break) from college evolutionary teaching

Four spiritual laws of creation science

1/There are a million and one reasons to believe evolution.

2/There are a million reasons to believe creation.

3/You have to choose the million reasons to believe creation.

4/ You have to WANT TO BELIEVE CREATION.

Five laws of the scientific method…………

1/ Creation is a science not just a religion.

2/ Evolution is a religion not just a science.

3/ There is scientific evidence that supports creation as well as scientific evidence that supports evolution.

4/ Intelligent design is an empirical science not a religion.

(The bible is left at home.)

5/People ought to know what they believe and the reasons why and what they do not believe and the reasons why not.

10/ EVERYONE KNOWS THE CLAIM THERE IS NO SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT CREATION IS FALSE.
THE HOLY SPIRIT AND THE BLUE TONGUE SKINK.

The Holy Spirit is like a blue tongue skink that lives in a spiders burrow.

The blue tongue skink eats the spider in its palace and sits on its throne to become king of the palace. The palace is the

human soul.

And the Holy Spirit is the King of the Human Soul.

You need to get the wrong man out (Spiderman) and the right man in (Jesus).

A thief pleaded not guilty to stealing even though he admitted that the video showing him take the watch out of the handbag looked bad.

We are all like that thief we plead not guilty to breaking the ten commandments but the evidence is against us. We need to plead guilty and then Christ the just judge will exonerate us.

CREATE AN INTEREST IN THE GOSPEL CATEGORY......EVIDENCE THAT DEMANDS A VERDICT................

Appendix to the ten laws some of the scientific evidence for and against evolution.

Four reasons to believe theistic evolution.

1/ Proper science proves the world began with a big bang 3.5 million years ago.

2/The speed of light being a constant and the distance of the stars prove there was time enough for evolution.

3/Chance is a process controlled by laws of chaos theory.

Chaos theory means there is order in randomness created by God.

4/Evolution is the mechanism God used to create.
Four reasons to believe creation science.

1/The lack of intermediate forms in the fossil record. Darwin said this proves him wrong.

2/The earth is too young for evolution.

One example is the rotation of the earth is being slowed by the moon it has not slowed enough for the earth to be old.

3. Monkeys have 99% of human genes but so does a banana so similarity doesn't prove anything.

4/Geology does not admit into evidence a worldwide flood.

Since the flood is an historical event recorded by all people groups uniformatarian geology must be wrong if it excludes it.

Four reasons to believe in

ID.

1/Irreducible complexity example the flagella like a mousetrap it cannot work without all of its parts.
2/A watch found in a field can be assumed to have a designer.

3/The Greek Philosophy of ID is opposite to the philosophy of the atomists which led to atomic theory.

Both theories are scientific and empirical and do not depend on interpreting the

bible or other religious books.

Note:Tradition isn't all bad.

Because of our traditions every one knows who he is and what God expects him to be

PROGRAM FOR SUPERCOMPUTER

Mon Aug 20 01:22:00 EDT 2007 |
I want an expert on the tarot deck and programming to make the physics tarot. Assemble 52 equations for Time/Space from all physics theories and add them together 2+2=4. A good teacher explains right from wrong. And a BIG TOE TIME ONE EQUATION. Would mean time has one direction at both quantum levels and large scale effects. We would make quantum and relativity have one direction for time times arrow. I want you to add a pack of 52 cards (Graphically represented on computer). With time equations from all kinds of theories on the back. And then I want you to add time equations. 1/3 APPLE+1/3 ORANGE+ 1/3 PEAR= 1 APPLE/ORANGE/PEAR. And get one equation for time. By selecting three cards at a time from the pack and adding them together. And then dividing by 52 to get one equation for time. Steve Close Session.

Adding equations in lots of 52 is the very easiest way to add 2+2=4.

Because you can have two packs of cards and select two cards from each pack.

And that is totally without precedent the best way to teach physics.

Because adding any equation 2+2=4 produces one equation for two things.

And of course dividing by four.

Produces one equation for four things.

And it doesn't make sense to divide an equation that has been added 2+2=4.

And whether it makes sense or not that is how to get one equation.

And of course one equation is three thirds added together.

Because that is one.
And translating 2+2=4 into the green salad

equation.

Is what contradiction is all about.

It is very contradictory for 2+2=4 to equal 1+1=2.

But it is what you need to prove the theory of everything.

So it will work and because it is something you can do that means you should do it.

And that is the law of science.

But contradiction will never solve the problems of physics.

That is why when the equation is two things it is not contradictory.

And that two things can be any two things.

And any two things including the speed of light can be added.

But the answer is hypothetical.

And not when it is the force of the speed of light then the answer is more than just hypothetical.

And of course is just as impossible to add force.

And force of course can always be added.

But the force of the speed of light is very large force.

But it were a very small force it could still be added.

The answer to Pi is to round it off to 3.9999999.

And it might be the answer we are looking for.

And it really is not because Pi repeats but it does not go forever.

And it is .999 and it might be and yet it does not repeat forever
If it repeated forever it might reach four if

quantum level and if time went backwards with large scale phenomenon you could unify the large and the small.

1/3 GOOGELPEX+ 1/3 GOOGLEPLEX+ 1/3 GOOGLEPLEX= 1 GOOGLEPLEX.

And divide by a Googleplex to get Green Salad.

It is is totally sensible to add 1/3 52+ 1/3 52+ 1/3 52= 1 52.

Then you can divide a googleplex into packs of cards.

And you simply can't generate that many equations and you can by 2+2=4.

You can generate an exponential number of equations.

That will keep your supercomputer forever adding equations.

And If I am wrong that units can't be added.

1/3 APPLE A DAY+ 1/3 ORANGE A DAY+ 1/3 ORANGE A DAY= 1 APPLE/ORANGE A DAY.

And of course they are time units and if time units are the same we can add any equation.

And get an answer in time.

That is in a few million years.

it increased with each repetition.

But it does not increase exponentially.

And there is no way to make it so except by pretending that it does work out.

And this answer that is pretend is very useful for the hypothetical addition of four forces.

Because if Pi did work out to four then we could add four forces and get one.

And maybe that is faith. Faith in the abstraction of twice the speed of light.

But clearly time goes backwards at

Because it will take that long to add and apple and orange a day.

Because you need to add one million times 365 days.

And then you have a million days.

And you 365 million days.

And that is total mess.

Because it totally sensible to divide by 365 million and get an apple/orange a day.

And what we are really doing is adding 365 million apples/oranges.

And they can all be different equations.

And if you draw the line at adding contradictory equations then the theory of everything just won't work.

But if you don't draw the line it will not work anyway.

Because nothing can make units 1-1 and time can make units 1-1.

So all of the units should be expressed in days.

Then we have to make one day=two.

And we can have a rule that says all days are equal.

And of course that is the contradiction because all days are equal they are all 24 hour days.

And if If all units are expressed in time they will all have different times.

It is just a matter of expressing them in one day.

And apple a day theory keeps Dr Physics away.

And it works perfectly.

But it does not prove the big bang.
And that is the whole question whether

Simply because something out of something involves another contradiction.

And if our supercomputer whirrs and make a noise and said cannot computer.

I would not be at all surprised if it came up with an absolute answer.

that the big bang is impossible.

If it were programmed with the ten laws of non contradiction.

And if they are garbage then you will get garbage out.

But clearly Aristotle's laws are not garbage.

They fit the universe as it is based on all truth.

So we can expect the truth if we prove contradiction false and non contradiction true.

And if we call everything that is contradiction false.

We are left with no explanation for creation.

And common sense says contradiction could have existed at the beginning when the laws of entropy were reversed.
So we can expect contradiction to reverse

contradiction can exist at the beginning of the universe without being created.

And if it can exist what time can contradiction exist for.

\if it is an extremely short time.

Then the instability of YIN AND YANG together means there must be an explosion.

That is unless YIN AND YANG CANNOT EXIST TOGETHER IN THE SAME STATE AT THE SAME TIME EVEN BRIEFLY.

Then we must consider creation exnihilo because the contradiction is something out of nothing.

the laws of entropy.

And that is exactly what contradiction does.

It reverses the direction of light of the decay of light in terms of energy.

So the mirror chamber goes along with the laws of contradiction to come up with a creation equation.

One which must have applied to the big bang.

If that is the way creation happened.

But contradiction could also produce something from nothing.

And that too is contradiction.

So we can get something from something or something from nothing.

And the computer will tell us what the right explanation is.

And it can only tell us that is non contradictory.

Because that is likely if we put God into the equation.

Then something from nothing makes perfect sense.

But something from something that just obeys the laws of physics does not seem to be very likely.

And you will not put contradiction into a computer even if creation ins contradictory. And you

must put it in as false.

If we want a truthful answer.

That means all truth lies in non contraction.

And that is a good hypothesis otherwise science cannot solve the problem of creation and get a non contradictory answer.

So the answer is opposite particles X and Y cannot exist in the same state and the same time.

And the other answer which must be true or false is they can exist briefly in the state and be unstable and explode.

The computer will never decide one is non contradictory.

And it might go for solution 1 since this is the law of non contradiction.

Solution 2 means the DALI LAMA EQUATION IS RIGHT.
GOD CAN BE ONE WITH EVERYTHING.

And the alternative is GOD IS WHO HE IS AND CREATION IS NOT LIKE HIM IN IT:S NATURE.

And that is common sense that infinity does not apply to finite creation.

THE MYTHMAKERS AND THE RISKTAKERS.

Wed Aug 22 01:32:00 EDT 2007 |
The myth is Einstein's and he said it that there is no inductive method of generating the equations of physics.

And there is one it is John 11:9.

12 LIGHT+ 12 DARKNESS= 24 DARKNESS+LIGHT.

And this would be one the greatest equations that was ever written.

And it written 2 thousand years ago by an itinerant preacher.

Who was not familiar with Greek Philosophy.

But he had gone to school and it would take another century before people understood this equation.

Light and Darkness are two and not one.

And when make them one it is an equation for E=MCsquared.

Because our Jesus equation program proves more about the same thing.

1 ODD+ 1 EVEN= 2 ODD. And they are light

and darkness 1 LIGHT+ 1 DARKNESS= 2 LIGHT.
And of course they are relative that means Light and darkness can be odd or even.

This is what I mean when I say inductive.

We can assume that 2+2=4 produces and answer of darkness.

And 1+1=2 equals and answer of light.

Based on our presuppositions.

But 2+2=4 is 2 DARKNESS+ 2 LIGHT= 4 LIGHT.
and it can be exactly what relativity is all about.

Time is relative for this very reason.

And it is also determined because depending on which way odd and even are arranged we get a determined answer.

We can rule that is always 2+2=4=LIGHT.

And then we will get the answer we expect.

And we might get the exact answer that is correct.

But if that is the wrong way to do it then we will always get the wrong answer.

But if there are always two answers to a thing and they are both right. That is what the equation really says.

That it is up to you to decide what light and darkness really is.

And if you make a mistake then how great is that darkness.

In our string theory of everything (STAR WARS) two rotating discs are joined by a string.

2 ODD FORCE C+ 2 EVEN FORCE C= 4 EVEN FORCE C.

So we can get the force of the one of the discs that is if we use odd and even numbers as algebraic symbols.

But is this right using odd and even as opposites.

It really is right they have been used a opposites for centuries.

And every opposite is like odd and even.

And that is the theory so we get an equation of non contradiction. We get 1 APPLE+ 1 ORANGE= 2 APPLES.

And 2 APPLE+ 2 ORANGE= 4 ORANGE.

And that means 2 LIGHT+ 2 DARKNESS= 4 DARKNESS.
Only light and darkness are both even numbers in the Jesus equation.

However we can make them odd.

And we really can change them into an odd number.

Thus an inductive method for E=MCsquared.

Which produces an equation for fission or for fusion.

And not both Because fission is 1+1=2 and fusion is 2+2=4.

And that is induction we know the right way around.

And there is no way to generate all

equations with 1+1=2 and 2+2=4.

Because we can add all equations using this method.

And get one equation for everything.

So Einstein is proved wrong about the law of non contradiction.

It is an inductive method of generating all physics equations.

SEVEN DEADLY SINS

Wed Aug 22 05:08:00 EDT 2007 |
The professor on rescue from Gilligan's
island said : we have had experiences of
the same seven deadly sins that have
always plagued mankind(since we have
been back in civilization.

Dion's name means Dionysus God of wine
women and song.
And his number is 7/7/07 and his birthday
is 21.

Is this good or bad no one can live up to
Gods number.

So Dion is just like the rest of us he has a
mans number.

And is number is 666 not 777.

But if Dion's life is to be destroyed by being
leader of the world.

And remember Hitler was only a corporal.

His life will be destroyed by the same
seven deadly sins that have always plagued
mankind.

The right attitude for someone with 777 in
his name is to say that he is just as flawed
as everyone else like Kevin Rudd says.
Civilization is about seven deadly sins
unless you are going to live on your own

island.

And sin can destroy a life. God wants us to have an abundant life so he warns us about sin.

And he doesn't warn us about going to catholic church but if it is a substitute for a more real fellowship of believers then it too could be a sin.

Whatever fits your left foot.

Catholics are called left footers.

Going to catholic church on Sunday isn't of value if you drink like a slippery fish.

Because a slippery fish gets off the hook.

I want to believe the best of Dion I can say for sure like me he is meant to be.

I was meant to be conceived at Carnavon George and that place probably adds up to 777 too.

But knowing how flawed

I am 777 is not a guarantee of a good man.

Henry Kissinger's name adds up to 666 and he is not really a bad man is probably true.

THE BEGRIMING- NON CONTRADICTION

Thu Aug 23 06:44:00 EDT 2007 |
If the beginning is a result of the law of non contradiction.

Then truth is the mechanism for creation.

That means that in the first few seconds of creation the law of physics do not break down.

They can be understood as creation from nothing.

But the seductive nature of contradiction is that at the beginning the laws of physics break down.

And this law the law of contradiction applies 1/3 APPLE+ 1/3 ORANGE+ 1/3 ORANGE= 1 APPLE/ORANGE.

And of course contradiction is not stable.

So the infinity small superparticle is really all opposites together both yin and yang.

So of course this is unstable.

So it explodes.

So it reasonable to add equation in a porphyry of contradictory theories.

To get the one state of the particle at the beginning which was A AND B as opposites in the same state space and time.

And of course truth says it never happened. And the myth is that it did

GB1508+5714

Jet

THE BIG BANG- PINOCCHIO A WOODEN BOY.

Thu Aug 23 20:59:00 EDT 2007 |
The big bang doesn't have any wires. And it does it is a puppet for people who don't want to believe.

And it is not a real boy and not even God can put life into a wooden boy.

Don't believe me as Guastapio.

Because he will tell you that he carved Pinocchio fro wood.

So there is no future.

And there is a future without Pinocchio.

How we even imagine a future without a little wooden boy.

And we have lost nothing because he isn't a real boy.

There is no business like show business.

And that is what Pinocchio is all about.

He is show business.

And show business is pretty convincing.
But it is just for show.

And it doesn't really convince me.
I see contradiction at the beginning.

When there were no contradictions.

We everything made sense at the beginning.

And really everything does make sense.

At the end.

Because it not really about success.

And it is not really about failure.
It is really about doing what you can.

And not quitting.

Because it is too easy to quit.

When things don't go well.

And often things can go horrendously.

And when they go that bad it is wise to quit.

And it of course never is wise to quit.

And sometimes quitting is the right thing to do.

MECHANISM FOR THE BIG BANG

Thu Aug 23 23:26:00 EDT 2007 |
Dear comrades in arms. I think I have a mechanism for the big bang explosion. And of course there is no big bang or explosion. And that is the mechanism. But in your weakness you would not accept that the rules of physics always apply even to the initial singularity. And maybe it is my weakness because I kind of like the big bang. And it is from a Christian perspective that I like it. Because it is the next best thing to ex-nihilo. And the initial blast must have been caused by instability. Because X and Y opposites particles cannot exist in the the same state /space and at the same time. If they do they are unstable. And they would explode. But just how long can such an arrangement remain stable. That is a question we can answer knowing that it is opposites that caused the explosion Yin and Yang 1+1=2=2+2=4. And opposites can equal. And then they no longer equal. And of course this is an excellent bomb. And you have chided me for working on the atomic bomb in the past. But this is the supernatural bomb. It would destroy the world or at least part of the galaxy. At least a localized part. That's a relief. Anyway we should be able to simulate the big bang on computer now. And if it doesn't work. Will you believe ex-nihilo?

EINSTEIN ON TRIAL

Fri Aug 24 04:40:00 EDT 2007 |
Einstein's trial is if relativity is right then
you can't add C 2+2=4 isn't that a
contradiction Einstein.?

If Newton says you can add velocities why
do you say you cannot add the speed of
light.

Of course you must be able to add it even
if it is a hypothetical speed like Pi * speed
of light which is also finite.

But does adding it prove Einstein wrong.

What about adding the force of the speed
of light and rotational kinetic energy
2+2=4.

But these things make no sense to you.

You are not a scientist you are just a
retard.

But any retard knows you can add force.

So you should know that as well.

Why can't we add the four forces 2+2=4 and
make Einstein's dream a reality if he is
right.

We should be able to.

But for some reason we don't.

We think it is contradictory but if Einstein
was right all the laws of physics were a
contradiction in the beginning prior to the
big bang.
The resulting explosion produced non
contradiction.

NOT SCHRODENGER'S CAT

Fri Aug 24 13:41:00 EDT 2007 |
http://www.zshare.net/download/3295874305af65/

JAVA BIBLE CLOCK

Fri Aug 24 23:53:00 EDT 2007 |
http://www.zshare.net/download/3302920dea80b9/

HERE IS THE PREYANKER BIBLE CLOCK.

IF I KNEW YOU WERE COMING STEPHEN I'D HAVE BAKED A CAKE.

Fri Aug 31 23:28:00 EDT 2007 |
Karl knew I was coming to Sydney Uni for a lecture so he baked a cake.

And he used my recipe.

That is he used meta information and that is what he needed to bake the cake.

And I have a recipe for a pineapple upside down cake and it is Maryanne's Coconut cream pi.

And pineapple upside down cake. That means it is two things added together.

And ingredients we need MATH TYPE 6 WIKIPEDIA AND MATLAB.

And that is simple.

Because we use MATH TYPE 6 to cut and paste a thousand physics equations from Wikipedia.

And if possible two thousand equations.

And we past those into MATLAB.

And that is simple.

Now for the instructions on how to bake the cake.

GRADUATE ASSISTANT :PLEASE PASS THIS ONTO HAWKING.
MAKE THE PROGRAM FOR HIM SO HE CAN USE IT ON HIS COMPUTER.

Dear grad assistant,

You need to get a programmer to program this way of generating ideas for Stephen Hawking.

It is better than an artificial brain.

Which he doesn't need.

But maybe his own faculties need a helping hand.

And they do.

So what you need are the ingredients MATH TYPE 6 and MATLAB.

And WIKIPEDIA.

There are thousands of equations on wikipedia and hundreds of physics equations.

You can cut and paste them into MATLAB.

And when that is done with MATH TYPE 6.

You can then add the equations at random
2+2=4

2 APPLE+ 2 ORANGE= 4 APPLE/ORANGE.

And that isn't true.

Because 2 ODD+ 2 EVEN= 4 EVEN.

And that is the opposite to 1+1=2.

SO E=MCsquared can be 2+2=4.

When the answer is orange or evens.

But this equation is not the same 1/3 APPLE+ 1/3 ORANGE+ 1/3 ORANGE= 1 APPLE/ORANGE.

And 2/3 APPLE+ 2/3 ORANGE+ 2/3 ORANGE= 6/3 APPLE/ORANGE.=4

And if we add this 2+2=4 we get 4 APPLE/ORANGE.

So we can get an answer that is both or just one equation.

We can add 1000 equations from wikipedia.

1/3 1000+ 1/3 1000+ 1/3 1000= 1 1000.

And divide by 1000 to get one equation of a third. And of course we can do the same with two.
It is not necessary to say that we must add 2000+2000=4000 equations.

Because of course the answer is not the same multiplied.

But we won't get into that.

We we simply reduce by division.

THIS IS ABCASIG ARTIFICIAL BRAIN CREATION AS SCIENCE IDEAS GENERATOR.

This is ROBO HAWKING.

And ROBO HAWKING is to replace the real HAWKING.

And he never can.

But Hawking believes robots can replace real physicists.

So he will like ROBO HAWKING.

It can generate any number of new ideas about the theory of everything.

Any theory string quantum Schrodinger fenymann Eddington.

Can all be added on MATLAB.

And they will produce nothing.

And that is like nothing from everything.

Because if you use everything you will get something.

And you may get nothing.

But that is a risk you have to take.
Unless you want to be left

behind by other physicists.

Because they all are adding equations in one thirds.

Steve A Jeffrey

FRED FLINTSTONE CAR.......

RECIPE FOR THE CAKE.

1/INTERFACE.

2 MATH TYPE 6. CUT AND PASTE EQUATIONS

3. WIKIPEDIA A THOUSAND PHYSICS EQUATIONS.

4. MATLAB.

5. APPLE G6 DESKTOP SUPERCOMPUTER.

6. PROCESS BOX.

2 APPLE+ 2 ORANGE= 4 APPLE ORANGE.

1/3 APPLE+ 1/3 ORANGE+ 1/3 ORANGE= 1 APPLE/ORANGE.

Put it together and what have you got IBBIDITY BOBBETY BOO.

THE HANDSHAKE EQUATION FOR THE BIG BANG

Tue Sep 04 03:53:00 EDT 2007 |
Dear Josh,

At the same time and the same place there cannot be a deal and a no deal according to contract law.

But a handshake is a gentleman's agreement not a written contract.

The HANDSHAKE EQUATION FOR THE BIG BANG=

1/3 DEAL+ 1/3 NO DEAL+ 1/2 NO DEAL= 1 HANDSHAKE OR GENTLEMAN'S AGREEMENT.

If you are not a gentleman then there is no agreement.

So If you reject Heather because her voice is not good enough.

Then there is no agreement that means there's no deal.

Unless you use Heather's voice.

THE ICING ON A PINEAPPLE UPSIDE DOWN CAKE AND COCONUT CREAM PIE

Fri Sep 07 06:23:00 EDT 2007 |
What is the icing of the cake.

And there is none.

And of course there is there wonderful icing on the cake.

Because that is the only to describe the theory of non contradiction.

It is wonderful.

Because it describes a universe totally free of Gods.

And it really does not mean a mechanism that is independent.

Because it must be dependant up God or gods.

And that is not science.

But no matter how far back you go.

You cannot go back as far as God.

And that is what we have done we have

gone back to the initial state of the universe.

One of chaos and contradiction.
So that only the contradiction equation can make sense out of the laws of physics which applied prior to the big bang.

The initial state was X and Y and they were opposites.

And they were in the same space and state at the same time.

And this is impossible in the present universe.

But it was not impossible in the early

universe.

And if that were the case it would have been stable and would not have exploded.

But the law of non contradiction applied. And the creation of the contradiction was totally unstable it had to result in non contradiction.

That is my theory that a contradiction explosion results in a universe of non contradiction.

And of course this is not circular reasoning.

This is a mathematical model of the law of non contradiction.

And nothing is a better way to describe the initial state of the universe.

It was chaos and it became order.

But the normal way of thinking it that is a higher state of orderliness.

But it is actually disorder.

And of course it is a watertight theory and it all depends on whether it leaks.

Because the alternative is that the big bang is impossible because no contradiction equation can exist.

And if a contradiction equation can't exist.

The the big bang can't happen. But there is no

proof contradiction can't happen for a very short time.

And that is just it contradiction can never happen even for the shortest of times.

And that what I will argue because of creation ex-nihilo.

If it was not out of contradiction but out of nothing.

That the universe was created then the laws of physics have always applied.

And that means to be big bang itself.

There are no meaningless infinities.

They are all rational numbers which can be calculated 2+2=4.

Because if you can add irrational numbers the big bang makes sense.

And if you can't add irrational numbers then the big bang does not make sense.

This is the icing on the cake .

You can prove anything with the law of non contradiction.

And you can prove that both explanations when they are opposites are not right.

And it is clear that one of these explanations is the truth.

And the other a lie.

ASTROLOGY THE PROGRAM

Sun Sep 09 06:37:00 EDT 2007 |
Firstly astrology is juts as good at making predictions as the laws of science.

And astrology is a law of science.

The question of whether anything ever escapes a black hole can never be answered.

And it really can be answered.

Because for something to escape a black hole there has to be determinism.

And if there is determinism then something can escape.

2+2=4 is an equation. And it really is a special kind of paradox.

Because if one particle is in a black hole we can predict the other particle that is outside the black hole.

And that really is not the case.

Although it makes sense to believe half determinism.

Because we work out what the spin and velocity of the particle inside the black

hole.

By knowing what the spin is on the outside particle.

And it is clear that we can guess. And that is something which is not determined by randomness.
And of course it is.

We can get it right half the time.

Because it must be odd or even.

So if place a bet on 40 random numbers being odd and 40 random numbers being even.
We have to get 20 numbers right.

If we bet for as long as possible.

That is forever. Because if we have an infinite number of trials we will not get more than 20 right.

And that obviously right.

Because we don't need an infinite number of trials to get 99%.

But to get 99.999% we need infinity.

And of course we can use rational PI.

That is Pi taken to infinity.

And it doesn't prove that there is determinism.

And if we can determine the value of Pi to infinity we can determine any probability to an infinite number of trails.

And it may be only be the mean.

But it will be accurate.

So the clearness of 1+1=2 IS that odd+ even= odd.

And if we know the spin then we know odd and even.

And we can know 1+1=2 by knowing 2+2=4.

And that the key to determinism.

One determines the other.

If we use the same spin.

And if we use different spins then they are the opposite.

I will not try hard to prove determinism since astrology is a program that is definitely meant to prove determinism.

And if it doesn't work.

Then no amount of effort will prove that it does.
But the equation for fission is 1+1=2.

And the equation for fusion is 2+2=4.

So fusion is determined by fission and fission is determined by fusion.

So we just need to understand both properly.

Energy is converted to mass. And mass is converted to energy.

These are the same as two different spins.

And understanding that is understanding just about everything.

THE LOST IN SPACE ROBOT WISDOM FROM

Tue Sep 11 19:52:00 EDT 2007 |
The function of any computer is to draw conclusions from provided data.

If conclusions are contradictory provided data is at fault.

There is nothing wrong with my data there is a good deal wrong with your conclusions.

Identical data will provide identical conclusions.