An Holomorphic Study Of Smarandache Automorphic and Cross Inverse Property Loops*†

Tèmítọ́pẹ́ Gbọ́láhàn Jaíyéọlá‡
Department of Mathematics,
Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile Ife, Nigeria.
jaiyeolatemitope@yahoo.com, tjayeola@oauife.edu.ng

Abstract

By studying the holomorphic structure of automorphic inverse property quasigroups and loops[AIPQ and (AIPL)] and cross inverse property quasigroups and loops[CIPQ and (CIPL)], it is established that the holomorph of a loop is a Smarandache; AIPL, CIPL, K-loop, Bruck-loop or Kikkawa-loop if and only if its Smarandache automorphism group is trivial and the loop is itself is a Smarandache; AIPL, CIPL, K-loop, Bruck-loop or Kikkawa-loop.

1 Introduction

1.1 Quasigroups And Loops

Let L be a non-empty set. Define a binary operation $(\cdot)$ on L : If $x \cdot y \in L$ for all $x, y \in L$, $(L, \cdot)$ is called a groupoid. If the system of equations ;

$$a \cdot x = b \qquad \text{and} \qquad y \cdot a = b$$

have unique solutions for x and y respectively, then $(L, \cdot)$ is called a quasigroup. For each $x \in L$, the elements $x^\rho = xJ_\rho, x^\lambda = xJ_\lambda \in L$ such that $xx^\rho = e^\rho$ and $x^\lambda x = e^\lambda$ are called the right, left inverses of x respectively. Now, if there exists a unique element $e \in L$ called the identity element such that for all $x \in L$, $x \cdot e = e \cdot x = x$, $(L, \cdot)$ is called a loop. To every loop $(L, \cdot)$ with automorphism group $AUM(L, \cdot)$, there corresponds another loop. Let the set $H = (L, \cdot) \times AUM(L, \cdot)$. If we define '$\circ$' on H such that $(\alpha, x) \circ (\beta, y) = (\alpha\beta, x\beta \cdot y)$ for all $(\alpha, x), (\beta, y) \in H$, then $H(L, \cdot) = (H, \circ)$ is a loop as shown in Bruck [7] and is called the Holomorph of $(L, \cdot)$.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20NO5 ; Secondary 08A05
†**Keywords and Phrases :** Smarandache loop, holomorph of loop, automorphic inverse property loop(AIPL), cross inverse property loop(CIPL), K-loop, Bruck-loop, Kikkawa-loop
‡All correspondence to be addressed to this author.

A loop(quasigroup) is a weak inverse property loop (quasigroup)[WIPL(WIPQ)] if and only if it obeys the identity

$$x(yx)^\rho = y^\rho \qquad \text{or} \qquad (xy)^\lambda x = y^\lambda.$$

A loop(quasigroup) is a cross inverse property loop(quasigroup)[CIPL(CIPQ)] if and only if it obeys the identity

$$xy \cdot x^\rho = y \qquad \text{or} \qquad x \cdot yx^\rho = y \qquad \text{or} \qquad x^\lambda \cdot (yx) = y \qquad \text{or} \qquad x^\lambda y \cdot x = y.$$

A loop(quasigroup) is an automorphic inverse property loop(quasigroup)[AIPL(AIPQ)] if and only if it obeys the identity

$$(xy)^\rho = x^\rho y^\rho \; or \; (xy)^\lambda = x^\lambda y^\lambda$$

Consider $(G,\cdot)$ and $(H,\circ)$ being two distinct groupoids(quasigroups, loops). Let A, B and C be three distinct non-equal bijective mappings, that maps G onto H. The triple $\alpha = (A, B, C)$ is called an isotopism of $(G,\cdot)$ onto $(H,\circ)$ if and only if

$$xA \circ yB = (x \cdot y)C \; \forall \; x, y \in G.$$

The set $SYM(G,\cdot) = SYM(G)$ of all bijections in a groupoid $(G,\cdot)$ forms a group called the permutation(symmetric) group of the groupoid $(G,\cdot)$. If $(G,\cdot) = (H,\circ)$, then the triple $\alpha = (A, B, C)$ of bijections on $(G,\cdot)$ is called an autotopism of the groupoid(quasigroup, loop) $(G,\cdot)$. Such triples form a group $AUT(G,\cdot)$ called the autotopism group of $(G,\cdot)$. Furthermore, if $A = B = C$, then A is called an automorphism of the groupoid(quasigroup, loop) $(G,\cdot)$. Such bijections form a group $AUM(G,\cdot)$ called the automorphism group of $(G,\cdot)$.

The left nucleus of L denoted by $N_\lambda(L,\cdot) = \{a \in L : ax \cdot y = a \cdot xy \; \forall \; x, y \in L\}$. The right nucleus of L denoted by $N_\rho(L,\cdot) = \{a \in L : y \cdot xa = yx \cdot a \; \forall \; x, y \in L\}$. The middle nucleus of L denoted by $N_\mu(L,\cdot) = \{a \in L : ya \cdot x = y \cdot ax \; \forall \; x, y \in L\}$. The nucleus of L denoted by $N(L,\cdot) = N_\lambda(L,\cdot) \cap N_\rho(L,\cdot) \cap N_\mu(L,\cdot)$. The centrum of L denoted by $C(L,\cdot) = \{a \in L : ax = xa \, \forall \; x \in L\}$. The center of L denoted by $Z(L,\cdot) = N(L,\cdot) \cap C(L,\cdot)$.

As observed by Osborn [22], a loop is a WIPL and an AIPL if and only if it is a CIPL. The past efforts of Artzy [2, 3, 4, 5], Belousov and Tzurkan [6] and recent studies of Keedwell [17], Keedwell and Shcherbacov [18, 19, 20] are of great significance in the study of WIPLs, AIPLs, CIPQs and CIPLs, their generalizations(i.e m-inverse loops and quasigroups, (r,s,t)-inverse quasigroups) and applications to cryptography. For more on loops and their properties, readers should check [8],[10], [12], [13], [27] and [24].

Interestingly, Adeniran [1] and Robinson [25], Oyebo and Adeniran [23], Chiboka and Solarin [11], Bruck [7], Bruck and Paige [9], Robinson [26], Huthnance [14] and Adeniran [1] have respectively studied the holomorphs of Bol loops, central loops, conjugacy closed loops, inverse property loops, A-loops, extra loops, weak inverse property loops, Osborn loops and Bruck loops. Huthnance [14] showed that if $(L,\cdot)$ is a loop with holomorph $(H,\circ)$, $(L,\cdot)$ is a WIPL if and only if $(H,\circ)$ is a WIPL. The holomorphs of an AIPL and a CIPL are yet to be studied.

For the definitions of inverse property loop (IPL), Bol loop and A-loop readers can check earlier references on loop theory.

Here ; a K-loop is an A-loop with the AIP, a Bruck loop is a Bol loop with the AIP and a Kikkawa loop is an A-loop with the IP and AIP.

1.2 Smarandache Quasigroups And Loops

The study of Smarandache loops was initiated by W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy in 2002. In her book [27], she defined a Smarandache loop (S-loop) as a loop with at least a subloop which forms a subgroup under the binary operation of the loop. In [16], the present author defined a Smarandache quasigroup (S-quasigroup) to be a quasigroup with at least a non-trivial associative subquasigroup called a Smarandache subsemigroup (S-subsemigroup). Examples of Smarandache quasigroups are given in Muktibodh [21]. In her book, she introduced over 75 Smarandache concepts on loops. In her first paper [28], on the study of Smarandache notions in algebraic structures, she introduced Smarandache : left(right) alternative loops, Bol loops, Moufang loops, and Bruck loops. But in [15], the present author introduced Smarandache : inverse property loops (IPL), weak inverse property loops (WIPL), G-loops, conjugacy closed loops (CC-loop), central loops, extra loops, A-loops, K-loops, Bruck loops, Kikkawa loops, Burn loops and homogeneous loops.

A loop is called a Smarandache A-loop(SAL) if it has at least a non-trivial subloop that is a A-loop.

A loop is called a Smarandache K-loop(SKL) if it has at least a non-trivial subloop that is a K-loop.

A loop is called a Smarandache Bruck-loop(SBRL) if it has at least a non-trivial subloop that is a Bruck-loop.

A loop is called a Smarandache Kikkawa-loop(SKWL) if it has at least a non-trivial subloop that is a Kikkawa-loop.

If L is a S-groupoid with a S-subsemigroup H, then the set $SSYM(L,\cdot) = SSYM(L)$ of all bijections A in L such that $A : H \to H$ forms a group called the Smarandache permutation(symmetric) group of the S-groupoid. In fact, $SSYM(L) \leq SYM(L)$.

The left Smarandache nucleus of L denoted by $SN_\lambda(L,\cdot) = N_\lambda(L,\cdot) \cap H$. The right Smarandache nucleus of L denoted by $SN_\rho(L,\cdot) = N_\rho(L,\cdot) \cap H$. The middle Smarandache nucleus of L denoted by $SN_\mu(L,\cdot) = N_\mu(L,\cdot) \cap H$. The Smarandache nucleus of L denoted by $SN(L,\cdot) = N(L,\cdot) \cap H$. The Smarandache centrum of L denoted by $SC(L,\cdot) = C(L,\cdot) \cap H$. The Smarandache center of L denoted by $SZ(L,\cdot) = Z(L,\cdot) \cap H$.

Definition 1.1 *Let $(L,\cdot)$ and $(G,\circ)$ be two distinct groupoids that are isotopic under a triple (U,V,W). Now, if $(L,\cdot)$ and $(G,\circ)$ are S-groupoids with S-subsemigroups L' and G' respectively such that $A : L' \to G'$, where $A \in \{U,V,W\}$, then the isotopism $(U,V,W) : (L,\cdot) \to (G,\circ)$ is called a Smarandache isotopism(S-isotopism).*

Thus, if $U = V = W$, then U is called a Smarandache isomorphism, hence we write $(L,\cdot) \succsim (G,\circ)$.

But if $(L,\cdot) = (G,\circ)$, then the autotopism (U,V,W) is called a Smarandache autotopism (S-autotopism) and they form a group $SAUT(L,\cdot)$ which will be called the Smarandache *autotopism group of* $(L,\cdot)$*. Observe that* $SAUT(L,\cdot) \le AUT(L,\cdot)$*. Furthermore, if* $U = V = W$*, then* U *is called a Smarandache automorphism of* $(L,\cdot)$*. Such Smarandache permutations form a group* $SAUM(L,\cdot)$ *called the Smarandache automorphism group(SAG) of* $(L,\cdot)$*.*

Let L be a S-quasigroup with a S-subgroup G. Now, set $H_S = (G,\cdot) \times SAUM(L,\cdot)$. If we define '$\circ$' on H_S such that $(\alpha, x) \circ (\beta, y) = (\alpha\beta, x\beta \cdot y)$ for all $(\alpha, x), (\beta, y) \in H_S$, then $H_S(L,\cdot) = (H_S, \circ)$ is a quasigroup.

If in L, $s^\lambda \cdot s\alpha \in SN(L)$ or $s\alpha \cdot s^\rho \in SN(L)$ $\forall s \in G$ and $\alpha \in SAUM(L,\cdot)$, $(H_S, \circ)$ is called a Smarandache Nuclear-holomorph of L, if $s^\lambda \cdot s\alpha \in SC(L)$ or $s\alpha \cdot s^\rho \in SC(L)$ $\forall\ s \in G$ and $\alpha \in SAUM(L,\cdot)$, $(H_S, \circ)$ is called a Smarandache Centrum-holomorph of L hence a Smarandache Central-holomorph if $s^\lambda \cdot s\alpha \in SZ(L)$ or $s\alpha \cdot s^\rho \in SZ(L)$ $\forall\ s \in G$ and $\alpha \in SAUM(L,\cdot)$.

The aim of the present study is to investigate the holomorphic structure of Smarandache AIPLs and CIPLs(SCIPLs and SAIPLs) and use the results to draw conclusions for Smarandache K-loops(SKLs), Smarandache Bruck-loops(SBRLs) and Smarandache Kikkawa-loops (SKWLs). This is done as follows.

1. The holomorphic structure of AIPQs(AIPLs) and CIPQs(CIPLs) are investigated. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the holomorph of a quasigroup(loop) to be an AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL) are established. It is shown that if the holomorph of a quasigroup(loop) is a AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL), then the holomorph is isomorphic to the quasigroup(loop). Hence, the holomorph of a quasigroup(loop) is an AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL) if and only if its automorphism group is trivial and the quasigroup(loop) is a AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL). Furthermore, it is discovered that if the holomorph of a quasigroup(loop) is a CIPQ(CIPL), then the quasigroup(loop) is a flexible unipotent CIPQ(flexible CIPL of exponent 2).

2. The holomorph of a loop is shown to be a SAIPL, SCIPL, SKL, SBRL or SKWL respectively if and only its SAG is trivial and the loop is a SAIPL, SCIPL, SKL, SBRL, SKWL respectively.

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 *Let* $(L,\cdot)$ *be a quasigroup(loop) with holomorph* $H(L)$*.* $H(L)$ *is an AIPQ(AIPL) if and only if*

1. $AUM(L)$ *is an abelian group,*
2. $(\beta^{-1}, \alpha, I) \in AUT(L)$ $\forall\ \alpha, \beta \in AUM(L)$ *and*
3. L *is a AIPQ(AIPL).*

Proof
A quasigroup(loop) is an automorphic inverse property loop(AIPL) if and only if it obeys the identity

Using either of the definitions of an AIPQ(AIPL), it can be shown that $H(L)$ is a AIPQ(AIPL) if and only if $AUM(L)$ is an abelian group and $(\beta^{-1}J_\rho, \alpha J_\rho, J_\rho) \in AUT(L)\ \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in AUM(L)$. L is isomorphic to a subquasigroup(subloop) of $H(L)$, so L is a AIPQ(AIPL) which implies $(J_\rho, J_\rho, J_\rho) \in AUT(L)$. So, $(\beta^{-1}, \alpha, I) \in AUT(L)\ \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in AUM(L)$.

Corollary 2.1 *Let $(L, \cdot)$ be a quasigroup(loop) with holomorph $H(L)$. $H(L)$ is a CIPQ(CIPL) if and only if*

1. *$AUM(L)$ is an abelian group,*
2. *$(\beta^{-1}, \alpha, I) \in AUT(L)\ \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in AUM(L)$ and*
3. *L is a CIPQ(CIPL).*

Proof

A quasigroup(loop) is a CIPQ(CIPL) if and only if it is a WIPQ(WIPL) and an AIPQ(AIPL). L is a WIPQ(WIPL) if and only if $H(L)$ is a WIPQ(WIPL).

If $H(L)$ is a CIPQ(CIPL), then $H(L)$ is both a WIPQ(WIPL) and a AIPQ(AIPL) which implies 1., 2., and 3. of Theorem 2.1. Hence, L is a CIPQ(CIPL). The converse follows by just doing the reverse.

Corollary 2.2 *Let $(L, \cdot)$ be a quasigroup(loop) with holomorph $H(L)$. If $H(L)$ is an AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL), then $H(L) \cong L$.*

Proof

By 2. of Theorem 2.1, $(\beta^{-1}, \alpha, I) \in AUT(L)\ \forall\ \alpha, \beta \in AUM(L)$ implies $x\beta^{-1} \cdot y\alpha = x \cdot y$ which means $\alpha = \beta = I$ by substituting $x = e$ and $y = e$. Thus, $AUM(L) = \{I\}$ and so $H(L) \cong L$.

Theorem 2.2 *The holomorph of a quasigroup(loop) L is a AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL) if and only if $AUM(L) = \{I\}$ and L is a AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL).*

Proof

This is established using Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 2.2.

Theorem 2.3 *Let $(L, \cdot)$ be a quasigroups(loop) with holomorph $H(L)$. $H(L)$ is a CIPQ(CIPL) if and only if $AUM(L)$ is an abelian group and any of the following is true for all $x, y \in L$ and $\alpha, \beta \in AUM(L)$:*

1. $(x\beta \cdot y)x^\rho = y\alpha$.
2. $x\beta \cdot yx^\rho = y\alpha$.
3. $(x^\lambda\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha \cdot y\alpha) \cdot x = y$.
4. $x^\lambda\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha \cdot (y\alpha \cdot x) = y$.

Proof
This is achieved by simply using the four equivalent identities that define a CIPQ(CIPL):

Corollary 2.3 *Let $(L,\cdot)$ be a quasigroups(loop) with holomorph $H(L)$. If $H(L)$ is a CIPQ(CIPL) then, the following are equivalent to each other*

1. $(\beta^{-1}J_\rho, \alpha J_\rho, J_\rho) \in AUT(L)\ \forall\ \alpha,\beta \in AUM(L)$.
2. $(\beta^{-1}J_\lambda, \alpha J_\lambda, J_\lambda) \in AUT(L)\ \forall\ \alpha,\beta \in AUM(L)$.
3. $(x\beta \cdot y)x^\rho = y\alpha$.
4. $x\beta \cdot yx^\rho = y\alpha$.
5. $(x^\lambda\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha \cdot y\alpha)\cdot x = y$.
6. $x^\lambda\alpha^{-1}\beta\alpha \cdot (y\alpha \cdot x) = y$.

Hence,

$$(\beta,\alpha,I),(\alpha,\beta,I),(\beta,I,\alpha),(I,\alpha,\beta) \in AUT(L)\ \forall\ \alpha,\beta \in AUM(L).$$

Proof
The equivalence of the six conditions follows from Theorem 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.1. The last part is simple.

Corollary 2.4 *Let $(L,\cdot)$ be a quasigroup(loop) with holomorph $H(L)$. If $H(L)$ is a CIPQ(CIPL) then, L is a flexible unipotent CIPQ(flexible CIPL of exponent 2).*

Proof
It is observed that $J_\rho = J_\lambda = I$. Hence, the conclusion follows.

Remark 2.1 *The holomorphic structure of loops such as extra loop, Bol-loop, C-loop, CC-loop and A-loop have been found to be characterized by some special types of automorphisms such as*

1. *Nuclear automorphism(in the case of Bol-,CC- and extra loops),*
2. *central automorphism(in the case of central and A-loops).*

By Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.1, the holomorphic structure of AIPLs and CIPLs is characterized by commutative automorphisms.

Theorem 2.4 *The holomorph $H(L)$ of a quasigroup(loop) L is a Smarandache AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL) if and only if $SAUM(L) = \{I\}$ and L is a Smarandache AIPQ(AIPL) or CIPQ(CIPL).*

Proof
Let L be a quasigroup with holomorph $H(L)$. If $H(L)$ is a SAIPQ(SCIPQ), then there exists a S-subquasigroup $H_S(L) \subset H(L)$ such that $H_S(L)$ is a AIPQ(CIPQ). Let $H_S(L) = G \times SAUM(L)$ where G is the S-subquasigroup of L. From Theorem 2.2, it can be seen that $H_S(L)$ is a AIPQ(CIPQ) if and only if $SAUM(L) = \{I\}$ and G is a AIPQ(CIPQ). So the conclusion follows.

Corollary 2.5 *The holomorph $H(L)$ of a loop L is a SKL or SBRL or SKWL if and only if $SAUM(L) = \{I\}$ and L is a SKL or SBRL or SKWL.*

Proof
Let L be a loop with holomorph $H(L)$. Consider the subloop $H_S(L)$ of $H(L)$ such that $H_S(L) = G \times SAUM(L)$ where G is the subloop of L.

1. Recall that by [Theorem 5.3, [9]], $H_S(L)$ is an A-loop if and only if it is a Smarandache Central-holomorph of L and G is an A-loop. Combing this fact with Theorem 2.4, it can be concluded that: the holomorph $H(L)$ of a loop L is a SKL if and only if $SAUM(L) = \{I\}$ and L is a SKL.

2. Recall that by [25] and [1], $H_S(L)$ is a Bol loop if and only if it is a Smarandache Nuclear-holomorph of L and G is a Bol-loop. Combing this fact with Theorem 2.4, it can be concluded that: the holomorph $H(L)$ of a loop L is a SBRL if and only if $SAUM(L) = \{I\}$ and L is a SBRL.

3. Following the first reason in 1., and using Theorem 2.4, it can be concluded that: the holomorph $H(L)$ of a loop L is a SKWL if and only if $SAUM(L) = \{I\}$ and L is a SKWL.

References

[1] J. O. Adeniran (2005), *On holomorphic theory of a class of left Bol loops*, Al.I.Cuza 51, 1, 23–28.

[2] R. Artzy (1955), *On loops with special property*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6, 448–453.

[3] R. Artzy (1959), *Crossed inverse and related loops*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 91, 3, 480–492.

[4] R. Artzy (1959), *On Automorphic-Inverse Properties in Loops*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 10,4, 588–591.

[5] R. Artzy (1978), *Inverse-Cycles in Weak-Inverse Loops*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 68, 2, 132–134.

[6] V. D. Belousov (1969), *Crossed inverse quasigroups(CI-quasigroups)*, Izv. Vyss. Ucebn; Zaved. Matematika 82, 21–27.

[7] R. H. Bruck (1944), *Contributions to the theory of loops*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 55, 245–354.

[8] R. H. Bruck (1966), *A survey of binary systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 185pp.

[9] R. H. Bruck and L. J. Paige (1956), *Loops whose inner mappings are automorphisms*, The annuals of Mathematics, 63, 2, 308–323.

[10] O. Chein, H. O. Pflugfelder and J. D. H. Smith (1990), *Quasigroups and Loops : Theory and Applications*, Heldermann Verlag, 568pp.

[11] V. O. Chiboka and A. R. T. Solarin (1991), *Holomorphs of conjugacy closed loops*, Scientific Annals of Al.I.Cuza. Univ. 37, 3, 277–284.

[12] J. Dene and A. D. Keedwell (1974), *Latin squares and their applications*, the English University press Lts, 549pp.

[13] E. G. Goodaire, E. Jespers and C. P. Milies (1996), *Alternative Loop Rings*, NHMS(184), Elsevier, 387pp.

[14] E. D. Huthnance Jr.(1968), *A theory of generalised Moufang loops*, Ph.D. thesis, Georgia Institute of Technology.

[15] T. G. Jaíyéọlá (2006), *An holomorphic study of the Smarandache concept in loops*, Scientia Magna Journal, 2, 1, 1–8.

[16] T. G. Jaíyéọlá (2006), *Parastrophic invariance of Smarandache quasigroups*, Scientia Magna Journal, 2, 3, 48–53.

[17] A. D. Keedwell (1999), *Crossed-inverse quasigroups with long inverse cycles and applications to cryptography*, Australas. J. Combin. 20, 241-250.

[18] A. D. Keedwell and V. A. Shcherbacov (2002), *On m-inverse loops and quasigroups with a long inverse cycle*, Australas. J. Combin. 26, 99-119.

[19] A. D. Keedwell and V. A. Shcherbacov (2003), *Construction and properties of* (r, s, t)*-inverse quasigroups I*, Discrete Math. 266, 275-291.

[20] A. D. Keedwell and V. A. Shcherbacov, *Construction and properties of* (r, s, t)*-inverse quasigroups II*, Discrete Math. 288 (2004), 61-71.

[21] A. S. Muktibodh (2006), *Smarandache Quasigroups*, Scientia Magna Journal, 2, 1, 13–19.

[22] J. M. Osborn (1961), *Loops with the weak inverse property*, Pac. J. Math. 10, 295–304.

[23] Y. T. Oyebo and O. J. Adeniran, *On the holomorph of central loops*, Pre-print.

[24] H. O. Pflugfelder (1990), *Quasigroups and Loops : Introduction*, Sigma series in Pure Math. 7, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 147pp.

[25] D. A. Robinson (1964), *Bol loops*, Ph. D thesis, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin.

[26] D. A. Robinson (1971), *Holomorphic theory of extra loops*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 18, 59–64.

[27] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy (2002), *Smarandache Loops*, Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, India, 128pp.

[28] W. B. Vasantha Kandasamy (2002), *Smarandache Loops*, Smarandache notions journal, 13, 252–258.