

A Concise Proof of Fermat's Last Theorem¹

ABSTRACT. This paper offers a concise proof of Fermat's Last Theorem using the Euclidean algorithm.

1 Introduction

Fermat's Last Theorem states that no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^n + y^n = z^n$ for any integer $n > 2$. (cf. [1]) This paper will offer a concise proof of this theorem using the Euclidean algorithm.

2 Proof

$$x^p + y^p = z^p; p: \text{ odd prime}; x, y, z: \text{ pairwise coprime}; x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (\text{positive integer}) \quad (1)$$

From (1) it follows that

$$x^p + y^p = (x + y)f(x, y) = z^p; f(x, y) = x^{p-1} + x^{p-2}(-y) + \dots + (-y)^{p-1}. \quad (2)$$

Then, according to the polynomial remainder theorem the division of $f(x, y)$ by $x + y$ provides a remainder $R = f(x, -x) = px^{p-1}$. Furthermore, according to the Euclidean algorithm $(x + y, f(x, y)) = (x + y, px^{p-1}) = p$ or 1 because $x + y \nmid x^{p-1}$. Similarly, $(f(z, -x), z - x), (f(z, -y), z - y) = p$ or 1, if we let $z^p - x^p = (z - x)f(z, -x) = y^p, z^p - y^p = (z - y)f(z, -y) = x^p$.

2.1 In the case $(x + y, f(x, y)) = p$

$(x + y, f(x, y)) = p$ means $p \mid z$, because $(x + y)f(x, y) = z^p$. Similarly, $(z - x, f(z, -x)) = p$ means $p \mid y$. $p \mid z$ and $p \mid y$ cannot be satisfied at once, because $(z, y) = 1$. Hence, when $(x + y, f(x, y)) = p$, at least it is required that $(z - x, f(z, -x)) \neq p$ (i.e. $(z - x, f(z, -x)) = 1$).² For the same reason, when $(x + y, f(x, y)) = p$, at least it is required that $(z - y, f(z, -y)) \neq p$ (i.e. $(z - y, f(z, -y)) = 1$).

Now, let $x = x_a x_b, y = y_a y_b$ (where $x_a, x_b, y_a, y_b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (x_a, x_b) = 1, (y_a, y_b) = 1, f(z, -x) = y_b^p, f(z, -y) = x_b^p$), then $z - x, z - y$ can be written as following (3), (4).

$$z - x = y_a^p \quad (3)$$

$$z - y = x_a^p \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) it follows that

$$x - y = x_a^p - y_a^p, \quad (5)$$

where $x - y = x_a x_b - y_a y_b$. Then, according to (2), (5) must be satisfied even if $(x_a, y_a) = k$ ($2 \leq k \in \mathbb{Z}$). Hence, $(kx_a)x_b - (ky_a)y_b = (kx_a)^p - (ky_a)^p$, and so $k = k^p, p = 1$. This means that p cannot exist.

2.2 In the case $(x + y, f(x, y)) = 1$

Let $z = z_a z_b$ (where $z_a, z_b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (z_a, z_b) = 1$), then when $(x + y, f(x, y)) = 1, x + y$ can be written as

$$x + y = z_a^p. \quad (6)$$

When $(x + y, f(x, y)) = 1$, at least it is required that both $(z - x, f(z, -x)) \neq p$ and $(z - y, f(z, -y)) \neq p$ at once. Hence, either (6) and (3), or (6) and (4) must be satisfied at once. Thus, similar to the case 2.1 above, $p = 1$. This means that p cannot exist.

3 Conclusion

Consequently, no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^l + y^l = z^l$ (where $l \in \mathbb{Z}^+$). Besides, that no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^4 + y^4 = z^4$ was proven by Fermat. ([2]) This means according to the laws of exponents that no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^{2m} + y^{2m} = z^{2m}$ (where $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$).

In conclusion, no positive integers x, y, z satisfy $x^n + y^n = z^n$ for any integer $n > 2$. QED.

References

- [1] Wiles, A., Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem, *Ann. Math.* **142**(1995), 443–551.
[2] Freeman, L., Fermat's One Proof, <http://fermatslasttheorem.blogspot.kr/>, Retrieved 2015-04-18.

¹Yun, J., Daegu Univ., 712-714, South Korea; jmyun@daegu.ac.kr

²For reference, even if e.g. $(z - x, f(z, -x)) = 1$, there still exists the possibility of $p \mid y$, but y, z must not have the common prime factor p like any other positive integers.