

# A Plain Proof of Fermat's Last Theorem<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** This paper offers a plain proof of Fermat's Last Theorem using the cosine rule.

## 1 Introduction

Fermat's Last Theorem states that no positive integers  $x, y, z$  satisfy  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  for any integer  $n > 2$ . (cf. [1]) This paper will offer a plain proof of Fermat's Last Theorem using the cosine rule.

## 2 Proof

$$x^n + y^n = z^n \quad (2 < n \in \mathbb{Z}^+; x, y, z: \text{pairwise coprime}; \mathbb{Z}^+: \text{positive integer}) \quad (1)$$

### 2.1 For the case $a, b, c$ : odd prime

Let  $a, b, c$ : odd prime  $p$ , then

$$x^p + y^p = z^p \quad (p: \text{odd prime}; x, y, z: \text{pairwise coprime}). \quad (2)$$

If there exist  $x, y, z$  satisfying (2), then from (2) it follows that  $(x+y)^p > z^p$ ,  $x+y > z$ ,  $z+x > y$ ,  $z+y > x$ . Accordingly,  $x, y, z$  always form a triangle. Thus,  $x, y, z$  satisfy

$$x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta = z^2; \quad \angle \zeta: \text{opposite of } z. \quad (3)$$

Moreover, from (2) and (3) it follows that

$$(x^p + y^p)^2 = (x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta)^p. \quad (4)$$

Then, let  $z$  be a constant, the graphs of (2) and (3) must meet each other at least at one point  $(x, y)$ . Thus, there is no need for (4) to be an identity. However,  $x, y$  of the point  $(x, y)$  must satisfy  $x+y \mid x^p + y^p = z^p$ , i.e.  $(x+y)^2 \mid (x^p + y^p)^2$ , hence  $x, y$  of the point  $(x, y)$  must satisfy

$$(x+y)^2 \mid (x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta)^p. \quad (5)$$

Then,  $x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta = (x+y)^2 - 2xy(1 + \cos \zeta)$ , and  $(x+y)^2 > 2xy(1 + \cos \zeta)$  because  $(x-y)^2 < (x+y)^2 - 2xy(1 + \cos \zeta) < (x+y)^2$ . Hence,  $(x+y)^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta$  is possible only when  $1 + \cos \zeta = 0$ , i.e.  $p = 1$ . Hence, (4) cannot be satisfied when  $(x+y)^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta$ .

Moreover, (5) cannot be satisfied, when  $x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta$  is divisible not by  $(x+y)^2$  but only by  $x+y$ . It is because  $2 \nmid p$ . This means that in this case (4) cannot be satisfied.

Accordingly, no pairwise coprimes  $x, y, z$  satisfy (1) when  $n$ : odd prime. This means that according to the laws of exponents no pairwise coprimes  $x, y, z$  satisfy (1), even when  $p \mid n$ .

Hence, no pairwise coprimes  $x, y, z$  satisfy (1) for  $2 < n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , unless  $n = 2^m$ , where  $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

### 2.2 For the case $n = 2^m$

$$x^4 + y^4 = z^4 \quad (6)$$

That no positive integers  $x, y, z$  satisfy (6) was proven by Fermat. ([2]) Hence, according to the laws of exponents no positive integers  $x, y, z$  satisfy (1) for  $a = 2^m$ .

## 3 Conclusion

In conclusion, no positive integers  $x, y, z$  satisfy  $x^n + y^n = z^n$ , when  $n$  is a multiple of an odd prime or a multiple of 4, i.e., for any positive integer  $n > 2$ . QED.

## References

- [1] Wiles, A., Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem, *Ann. Math.* **142**(1995), 443-551.
- [2] Freeman, L., Fermat's One Proof, <http://fermatlasttheorem.blogspot.kr/>, Retrieved 2015-04-18.

---

<sup>1</sup>Yun, J., Daegu Univ., 712-714, South Korea; jmyun@daegu.ac.kr