

A Plain Proof of Beal's Conjecture¹

ABSTRACT. This paper offers a plain proof of Beal's conjecture using the cosine rule.

1 Introduction

Beal's conjecture states that no pairwise coprimes X, Y, Z satisfy $X^a + Y^b = Z^c$ for positive integers $a, b, c > 2$. This paper will offer a plain proof of Beal's conjecture using the cosine rule.

2 Proof

$$X^a + Y^b = Z^c; 2 < a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+; X, Y, Z : \text{pairwise coprime}; \mathbb{Z}^+ : \text{positive integer} \quad (1)$$

2.1 For the case at least one of a, b, c : odd prime

If there exist X, Y, Z satisfying (1), and let at least one of a, b, c be odd prime p , then X, Y, Z satisfy

$$(X^{a/p})^p + (Y^{b/p})^p = (Z^{c/p})^p. \quad (2)$$

Now then, let $X^{a/p} = x, Y^{b/p} = y, Z^{c/p} = z$, then (2) can be written as

$$x^p + y^p = z^p. \quad (3)$$

From (3) it follows that $(x+y)^p > z^p, x+y > z, z+x > y, z+y > x$. Accordingly, x, y, z always form a triangle. Thus, x, y, z satisfy

$$x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta = z^2; \angle \zeta : \text{opposite of } z. \quad (4)$$

From (3),(4) it follows that

$$(x^p + y^p)^2 = (x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta)^p. \quad (5)$$

Then, let z be a constant, the graphs of (3) and (4) must meet each other at least at one point (x, y) . Thus, there is no need for (5) to be an identity. However, if we treat as if x, y of the point (x, y) were integers, x, y must satisfy $x+y \mid x^p + y^p = z^p$, i.e. $(x+y)^2 \mid (x^p + y^p)^2$, hence x, y of the point (x, y) must satisfy

$$(x+y)^2 \mid (x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta)^p. \quad (6)$$

Then, $x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta = (x+y)^2 - 2xy(1 + \cos \zeta)$, and $(x+y)^2 > 2xy(1 + \cos \zeta)$ because $(x-y)^2 < (x+y)^2 - 2xy(1 + \cos \zeta) < (x+y)^2$. Hence, $(x+y)^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta$ is possible only when $1 + \cos \zeta = 0$, i.e. $p = 1^2$. Hence, (5) cannot be satisfied when $(x+y)^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta$.

Moreover, (6) cannot be satisfied, when $x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \cos \zeta$ is divisible not by $(x+y)^2$ but only by $x+y$. It is because $2 \nmid p$. This means that in this case (5) cannot be satisfied.

Accordingly, no pairwise coprimes X, Y, Z satisfy (1) when at least one of a, b, c : odd prime. This means that according to the laws of exponents no pairwise coprimes X, Y, Z satisfy (1), even when $p \mid a, b, c$.

Hence, no pairwise coprimes X, Y, Z satisfy (1) for $2 < a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, unless $a = 2^{m_1}, b = 2^{m_2}, c = 2^{m_3}$, where $2 \leq m_1, m_2, m_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

2.2 For the case $a = 2^{m_1}, b = 2^{m_2}, c = 2^{m_3}$

$$X^4 + Y^4 = Z^4 \quad (7)$$

That no positive integers X, Y, Z satisfy (7) was proven by Fermat.([1]) Hence, according to the laws of exponents no positive integers X, Y, Z satisfy (1) for $a = 2^{m_1}, b = 2^{m_2}, c = 2^{m_3}$.

3 Conclusion

No pairwise coprimes X, Y, Z satisfy $X^a + Y^b = Z^c$ for positive integers $a, b, c > 2$. QED.

References

[1] Freeman, L., Fermat's One Proof, <http://fermatslasttheorem.blogspot.kr/>, Retrieved 2015-04-18.

¹Yun, J., Daegu Univ., 712-714, South Korea; jmyun@daegu.ac.kr

²Hence, X, Y, Z satisfying $X^a + Y^b = Z^c$ can exist, e.g. when at least any one of a, b, c is 1. Then, for reference, the cases e.g. $3^3 + 6^3 = 3^5, 27^4 + 162^3 = 9^7, 3^{3n} + [2(3^n)]^3 = 3^{3n+2} (1 \leq n \in \mathbb{Z}^+), 7^3 + 7^4 = 14^3, 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1}$ cannot come under (5) because they can be written as $1 + 2^3 = 3^2, 1 + 2^3 = 3^2, 1 + 2^3 = 3^2, 1 + 7 = 2^3, 1 + 1 = 2$ respectively, if divided by their common integer factors.