

## On the Special Relativity

May 13, 2015.

José Francisco García Juliá

jfgj1@hotmail.es

Abstract

There are enough unknowns for not considering the special relativity as true.

*Key words:* special relativity.

### 1. Introduction.

This year is the 110 anniversary of the special relativity (SR), but this theory is still in controversy. We report several problems in that regard.

### 2. Mathematical problems.

Let it be

$$A = B \tag{1}$$

$$C = D \tag{2}$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$ ,  $C$  and  $D$  are algebraic expressions. Subtracting (2) from (1)

$$A - C = B - D$$

and moving  $B$  to the first member and  $C$  to the second one

$$A - B = C - D \tag{3}$$

Doing

$$\begin{aligned} A &= c^2(t_2 - t_1)^2, \\ B &= (x_2 - x_1)^2 + (y_2 - y_1)^2 + (z_2 - z_1)^2, \\ C &= c'^2(t'_2 - t'_1)^2, \\ D &= (x'_2 - x'_1)^2 + (y'_2 - y'_1)^2 + (z'_2 - z'_1)^2, \end{aligned}$$

(where  $(x_1, y_1, z_1)$ ,  $(x_2, y_2, z_2)$ ,  $(x'_1, y'_1, z'_1)$  and  $(x'_2, y'_2, z'_2)$  are the spatial coordinates of two points in the reference systems  $S$  at rest and  $S'$  that moves with respect to  $S$  with a speed  $V$ , respectively,  $t_1, t_2, t'_1$  and  $t'_2$  the times of two events in  $S$  and  $S'$ , and  $c$  and  $c'$  the celerity in  $S$  and  $S'$  of a perturbation or signal that propagates between both points during the two events: emission and arrival of the perturbation or signal) it would be

$$s^2 = A - B$$

$$s'^2 = C - D$$

where  $s$  and  $s'$  are the so-called intervals. Then, from (3),  $s^2 = s'^2$  and  $s = s'$ , which is a supposed invariance of the SR.

Note that with these simple relations we have obtained the invariance of the intervals, but without supposing that the relative speed  $V$  is constant and that  $c' = c$ , which is another supposed invariance of the SR. Note also that we have not yet specified the type of perturbation or signal (light or sound, for example) of speeds  $c$  and  $c'$ . In contrast, in [1] (pp. 5-7), it is affirmed that  $s' = s$  because  $c' = c$ , where  $c$  would be the speed of the light in the vacuum, and  $V$  is considered always constant. And also, in [1] (p. 13), it is affirmed that in the Galileo's transformation the intervals are not invariants. These same two affirmations are expressed in [2] (pp. 295-296). This is not true because (3) serves also for the Galileo's relativity.

Now, following [1] (pp. 13-15), we obtain the Lorentz's transformation. From a "rotation" of "angle"  $\psi$  in the plane  $xt$  ( $y' = y, z' = z$ ) and supposing that  $c' = c$ :

$$x = x' \cosh \psi + ct' \sinh \psi$$

$$ct = x' \sinh \psi + ct' \cosh \psi$$

For the origin  $O'$  of  $S'$  ( $x' = 0$ ):

$$x = ct' \sinh \psi$$

$$ct = ct' \cosh \psi$$

Then

$$V/c = x/ct = \tanh \psi$$

because  $V = x/t$ . And from  $\tanh \psi = \sinh \psi / \cosh \psi$  and  $\cosh^2 \psi - \sinh^2 \psi = 1$ , it would be:

$$\sinh \psi = (V/c) / (1 - V^2/c^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\cosh \psi = 1 / (1 - V^2/c^2)^{1/2}$$

Then

$$x = \frac{x' + Vt'}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{V^2}{c^2}}}, y = y', z = z', t = \frac{t' + \frac{V}{c^2}x'}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{V^2}{c^2}}} \quad (4)$$

$$x' = \frac{x - Vt}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{V^2}{c^2}}}, y' = y, z' = z, t' = \frac{t - \frac{V}{c^2}x}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{V^2}{c^2}}} \quad (5)$$

which are, respectively, the inverse and normal Lorentz's transformations.

(5) can also be obtained from the relations [3] (pp. 22-24):

$$x' = k(x - Vt), x = k(x' + Vt'), x' = c't' = ct' \text{ and } x = ct, \text{ giving } k = (1 - V^2/c^2)^{-1/2}.$$

From (5), we see that if  $V = c$ ,  $x'$  and  $t'$  go to infinite. And if  $V > c$ ,  $x'$  and  $t'$  are imaginary. This might be logical for the light but not for the sound. Note that for example the Doppler's effect is valid for the light and the sound too.

### 3. Physical problems.

The inertial systems are the systems that move at constant speed. In the SR, it is affirmed that the speed of the light in the vacuum  $c$  is the same, an invariant, in all the inertial systems.

We suppose now that a particle moves at a constant speed  $V$ . Then, it might be considered as a possible inertial reference system  $S'$ . And in  $S'$  the speed of the light in the vacuum is  $c' = c$ .

But what happens if this particle were a neutrino. The speed of the neutrino is  $c$  (see the appendix). Now we suppose that at  $t = 0 = t'$ , the origins of  $S$  and  $S'$  coincide ( $O = O'$ ), and in that moment a photon and a neutrino are emitted from the common origin. Then, which would be the speed of the photon in  $S'$ ,  $c$  or zero?. And would be  $x'$  and  $t'$  infinite?.

Also, from (5) [1] (pp. 16-17),  $\Delta x = \Delta x'/(1 - V^2/c^2)^{1/2}$ , where  $\Delta x = x_2 - x_1$  and  $\Delta x' = x'_2 - x'_1$ , and  $\Delta t = \Delta t'/(1 - V^2/c^2)^{1/2}$ , where  $\Delta t = t_2 - t_1$  and  $\Delta t' = t'_2 - t'_1$ , which are the so-called length contraction and time dilation (or time dilatation), respectively. But, have the length contraction and the time dilation been really observed?. Can a relative speed  $V$  contract the length and dilate the time?.

On the other hand, the momentum and the energy of a particle are [1] (pp. 35-36):  $p = mv/(1 - v^2/c^2)^{1/2}$  and  $E = mc^2/(1 - v^2/c^2)^{1/2}$ , respectively, where  $m$  and  $v$  are the rest mass and the speed (not necessarily constant) of the particle. And the moving mass would be:  $m_v = m/(1 - v^2/c^2)^{1/2}$ . Then, for  $v = c$ ;  $p$ ,  $E$  and  $m_v$  go to infinite unless  $m = 0$ . And the same thing happens in the SR with the wave equation for the light in the vacuum [4]. Then, is the rest mass of the neutrino zero?. Can a relative speed  $v$  increase the mass?.

Finally, are the length contraction, the time dilation and the mass increasing real or virtual?.

### 4. Conclusion.

We conclude that there are enough unknowns for not considering the SR as true.

## Appendix

The speed of the neutrino would be  $c$ , because it has a left handed spin (antiparallel with its momentum) and the spin of the antineutrino is right handed (parallel with its momentum), then if the speed of the neutrino were  $V < c$ , a photon (with speed  $c$ ) in the same direction of the neutrino “would see” the back of a neutrino, but when it passes to the neutrino, it “would see” the front of an antineutrino, which is not logical, then  $V = c$ .

## References

- [1] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Teoría Clásica de los Campos, Reverté, Barcelona, 1973. Original edition by Nauka, Moscow, 1967.
- [2] Wolfgang K. H. Panofsky and Melba Phillips, Classical Electricity and Magnetism, second edition, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1962.
- [3] Arthur Beiser, Conceptos de Física Moderna, Ediciones del Castillo, Madrid, 1965. Original edition, Concepts of Modern Physics, McGraw-Hill, 1963.
- [4] José Francisco García Juliá, The Light Wave Equation and the Special Relativity, viXra: 1411.0553 [Relativity and Cosmology].  
<http://vixra.org/abs/1411.0553>