

Two formulas based on c-chameleonic numbers which conducts to c-primes and the notion of c-chameleonic number

Marius Coman
email: mariuscoman13@gmail.com

Abstract. In one of my previous papers I defined chameleonic numbers as the positive composite squarefree integers C not divisible by 2, 3 or 5 having the property that the absolute value of the number $P - d + 1$ is always a prime or a power of a prime, where d is one of the prime factors of C and P is the product of all prime factors of C but d . In this paper I revise this definition, I introduce the notions of c-chameleonic numbers and m-chameleonic numbers and I show few interesting connections between c-primes and c-chameleonic numbers (I defined the notions of a c-prime in my paper "Conjecture that states that any Carmichael number is a cm-composite").

Definition 1:

We name a chameleonic number a number which is either c-chameleonic or m-chameleonic.

Definition 2:

We name a c-chameleonic number a positive integer, not necessary squarefree, not divisible by 2 or 3, with three or more prime factors, having the property that the absolute value of all the numbers $P - d + 1$, where d is one of its prime factors and P the product of all the others, is prime.

Example: $1309 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ is a c-chameleonic number because $7 \cdot 11 - 17 + 1 = 61$, prime, $7 \cdot 17 - 11 + 1 = 109$, prime and $11 \cdot 17 - 7 + 1 = 181$, prime (in fact, 1309 is the smallest c-chameleonic squarefree number with three prime factors).

Definition 3:

We name a m-chameleonic number a positive integer, not necessary squarefree, not divisible by 2 or 3, with three or more prime factors, having the property that the absolute value of all the numbers $P + d - 1$, where d is one of its prime factors and P the product of all the others, is prime.

Example: The Carmichael number $29341 = 13 \cdot 37 \cdot 61$ is a m-chameleonic number because $13 \cdot 37 + 61 - 1 = 541$, prime, $13 \cdot 61 + 37 - 1 = 829$, prime and $37 \cdot 61 + 13 - 1 = 2269$, prime.

Observation 1:

Let $p \cdot q \cdot r$ be a c-chameleonic number with three prime factors; then the number $(p + 1) \cdot (q + 1) \cdot (r + 1) + 1$ seems to be often a c-prime.

Examples:

- : For $p = q = 5$ we have the following ordered sequence of c-chameleonic numbers:
 - : $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ because $5 \cdot 5 - 7 + 1 = 19$, prime and $5 \cdot 7 - 5 + 1 = 31$, prime;
Indeed, the number $6 \cdot 6 \cdot 8 + 1 = 289 = 17^2$ is a c-prime (is a square of prime);
 - : $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 13$ because $5 \cdot 5 - 13 + 1 = 13$, prime and $5 \cdot 13 - 5 + 1 = 61$, prime;
Indeed, the number $6 \cdot 6 \cdot 14 + 1 = 505 = 5 \cdot 101$ is a c-prime ($101 - 5 + 1 = 97$, prime);
 - : $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 31$ because $31 - 5 \cdot 5 + 1 = 7$, prime and $31 \cdot 5 - 5 + 1 = 151$, prime;
Indeed, the number $6 \cdot 6 \cdot 32 + 1 = 1153$ is prime, implicitly a c-prime;
 - : $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 37$ because $37 - 5 \cdot 5 + 1 = 13$, prime and $37 \cdot 5 - 5 + 1 = 181$, prime;
Indeed, the number $6 \cdot 6 \cdot 38 + 1 = 1369 = 37^2$ is a c-prime (is a square of prime);
 - : $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 43$ because $43 - 5 \cdot 5 + 1 = 19$, prime and $43 \cdot 5 - 5 + 1 = 211$, prime;
Indeed, the number $6 \cdot 6 \cdot 44 + 1 = 1585 = 5 \cdot 317$ is a c-prime ($317 - 5 + 1 = 313$, prime);
 - : $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 67$ because $67 - 5 \cdot 5 + 1 = 43$, prime and $67 \cdot 5 - 5 + 1 = 331$, prime;
Indeed, the number $6 \cdot 6 \cdot 68 + 1 = 2449 = 31 \cdot 79$ is a c-prime ($79 - 31 + 1 = 49 = 7^2$, a square of prime);
 - : $5 \cdot 5 \cdot 127$ because $127 - 5 \cdot 5 + 1 = 103$, prime and $127 \cdot 5 - 5 + 1 = 631$, prime;
Indeed, the number $6 \cdot 6 \cdot 128 + 1 = 4609 = 11 \cdot 419$ is a c-prime ($419 - 11 + 1 = 409$, prime);
(...)

Note:

A very interesting thing is that, through the formula above, is obtained from the c-chameleonic number $1309 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ the Hardy-Ramanujan number $1729 = 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$; indeed, $8 \cdot 12 \cdot 18 + 1 = 1729$.

Observation 2:

Let $C = p \cdot q \cdot r$ be a c -chameleonic number with three prime factors; then the numbers $C + 30 \cdot (p - 1)$, $C + 30 \cdot (q - 1)$ and $C + 30 \cdot (r - 1)$ seems to be often c -primes.

Examples:

- : For $C = 1309 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ we have:
 - : $1309 + 30 \cdot 6 = 1489$, prime, implicitly a c -prime;
 - : $1309 + 30 \cdot 10 = 1609$, prime, implicitly a c -prime;
 - : $1309 + 30 \cdot 16 = 1789$, prime, implicitly a c -prime.