

# Single valued neutrosophic numbers and their applications to multicriteria decision making problem

Irfan Deli, Yusuf Şubaş  
Muallim Rifat Faculty of Education,  
7 Aralık University, 79000 Kilis, Turkey  
irfandeli@kilis.edu.tr, ysubas@kilis.edu.tr

October 31, 2014

## Abstract

In this paper, we firstly introduced single valued neutrosophic numbers which is a generalization of fuzzy numbers and intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. A single valued neutrosophic number is simply an ordinary number whose precise value is somewhat uncertain from a philosophical point of view. Then, we will discuss two special forms of single valued neutrosophic numbers such as single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers. Also, we give some operations on single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers. Finally, we give a single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic weighted aggregation operator(SVTNWAO) and applied to multicriteria decision making problem.

**Keyword 0.1** *Neutrosophic set, single valued neutrosophic numbers, trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers, triangular neutrosophic numbers, aggregation operators, decision making.*

## 1 Introduction

In 1965, fuzzy set theory has long been presented to handle vagueness, inexact and imprecise data by Zadeh [41]. After Zadeh, fuzzy sets, especially fuzzy numbers have been widely studied and applied to various fields, such as decision-making, pattern recognition, game theory and so on. In fuzzy sets, the degree of memberships of the elements in a universe is a single value but, those single values cannot provide any additional information because, in practice, information regarding elements corresponding to a fuzzy concept may be incomplete. The fuzzy set theory is not capable of dealing with the lack of knowledge with respect to degrees of memberships, Atanassov[3] proposed the theory of intuitionistic fuzzy sets the extension of Zadehs fuzzy sets by using a non-membership degree cope with the presence of vagueness and hesitancy originating from imprecise knowledge or information. Because of the restriction that " $0 \leq \text{membership degree} + \text{non-membership degree} \leq 1$ ", intuitionistic fuzzy sets were extended by Smarandache[23], in 1995, to neutrosophic sets which can only handle incomplete information not the indeterminate information and inconsistent information from philosophical point of view. This theory is very important in many applications since indeterminacy is quantified explicitly and truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership and falsity-membership are independent and also the theory generalizes the concept of the classical sets, fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets and so on. Recently, some fuzzy models with fuzzy sets have been researched by many authors (e.g. [8, 9]) and some neutrosophic models with neutrosophic sets have been researched by many authors (e.g. [1, 2, 21, 22, 24, 26]).

Yue [40] developed a multiple attribute group decision making model based on aggregating crisp values into intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Ye [34] modeled an extended technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution (TOPSIS) method for group decision making with interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy numbers to solve the partner selection problem under incomplete and uncertain information environment. Also he introduced a multicriteria decision-making method using aggregation operators for simplified neutrosophic sets. Chen et al. [5] developed a approach to tackle multiple criteria group decision-making problems in the context of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Ban [4] investigated some parameters for intuitionistic fuzzy numbers such as value, ambiguity, width and weighted expected value to use in construct a approximation operators. Zhang and Liu [42] presented a weighted arithmetic averaging operator and a weighted geometric average operator and used to the decision making area after defined the score function and the

1 accuracy function. Xu [25] proposed a method for the comparison between two intuitionistic fuzzy values  
2 based on score function and accuracy function and developed some aggregation operators.

3 Li [13], Nehi [18], Wei [31] and Jianqiang and Zhong [15] introduced the trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy  
4 numbers and gave some operations for them. Then, they proposed some averaging operators. Palanivelrajan  
5 and Kaliraju [19] investigated the algebraic properties of intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and developed a new  
6 concept called trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy number group. Yu [39] researched the aggregation methods  
7 of the intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy information. He introduced a Generalized Intuitionistic Trapezoidal  
8 Fuzzy Weighted Averaging operator and showed the evaluation of the teaching quality based on the proposed  
9 operator under intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy environment. Gani et al. [14] proposed the group decision  
10 making problems in which all the information provided by the decision makers is expressed as decision  
11 matrices where each of the elements are characterized by intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and the  
12 information about attribute weights are known.

13 Li [16] defined the concept of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and develop a new methodology for  
14 ranking triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Mahapatra and Roy [17] gave intuitionistic fuzzy number  
15 and its arithmetic operations based on extension principle of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Also they presented a  
16 system to automobile system by intuitionistic fuzzy system. Wang et al. [27] introduced some new arithmetic  
17 operations and logic operators for triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and applies them to fault analysis of  
18 printed circuit board assembly system and compared to the existing ones. Farhadinia and Ban [12] developed  
19 a novel method to extend a similarity measure of generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers to similarity measures  
20 of generalized trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Esmailzadeh and Esmailzadeh [11] constructed a new  
21 method to calculate the distance between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, in especial triangular intuitionistic fuzzy  
22 number, on the basis of  $\alpha$ -cuts. Das and Guha [10] presented a new method has been proposed for ranking  
23 of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number by determining centroid point of triangular intuitionistic fuzzys  
24 number and compare the presented method with the existing ranking results. Chen and Li [6] presented  
25 Dynamic Multi-Attribute Decision Making(DMADM) model on the basis of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy  
26 numbers, to solve the DMADM problem, where all the decision information takes the form of triangular  
27 intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Wan [29] and Wan et al. [30] studied on multi-attribute group decision making  
28 problems by developing a new decision method based on power average operators of triangular intuitionistic  
29 fuzzy numbers. Recently, some intuitionistic models with intuitionistic sets have been researched by many  
30 authors such as: [6, 20, 32, 33, 35, 37, 38].

31 Single valued neutrosophic numbers are a special case of single valued neutrosophic sets and are of  
32 importance for neutrosophic multiattribute decision making problems. As a generalization of intuitionistic  
33 numbers, a single valued neutrosophic number seems to suitably describe an ill-known quantity. The paper is  
34 organized as follows. In section 2, the concepts of fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, intuitionistic numbers  
35 and neutrosophic sets are introduced. In section 3, we propose the concept of single valued neutrosophic  
36 numbers, single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers  
37 with operations. In section 4, single valued neutrosophic trapezoidal weighted aggregation operator of single  
38 valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers is given. Then, score function and accuracy function of the single  
39 valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers are introduced. In section 5, a method for multi-criteria group  
40 decision making based on the proposed operator is presented. Also, we applied it to the evaluation of  
41 teaching quality. Finally, the paper is concluded in section 7.

## 42 2 Preliminary

43 In this section, we recall some basic notions of fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy sets, intuitionistic fuzzy numbers  
44 and neutrosophic sets. For more details, the reader could refer to [3, 13, 22, 23, 24, 29, 30, 28, 41].

45 **Definition 2.1** [41] *Let  $E$  be a universe. Then a fuzzy set  $X$  over  $E$  is a function defined as follows:*

$$46 X = \{(\mu_X(x)/x) : x \in E\}$$

47 where  $\mu_X : E \rightarrow [0,1]$ .

48 Here,  $\mu_X$  called membership function of  $X$ , and the value  $\mu_X(x)$  is called the grade of membership of  
49  $x \in E$ . The value represents the degree of  $x$  belonging to the fuzzy set  $X$ .

50 **Definition 2.2** [3] *Let  $E$  be a universe. An intuitionistic fuzzy set  $K$  on  $E$  can be defined as follows:*

$$51 K = \{< x, \mu_K(x), \gamma_K(x) > : x \in E\}$$

where,  $\mu_K : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\gamma_K : E \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $0 \leq \mu_K(x) + \gamma_K(x) \leq 1$  for any  $x \in E$ .

Here,  $\mu_K(x)$  and  $\gamma_K(x)$  is the degree of membership and degree of non-membership of the element  $x$ , respectively.

**Definition 2.3** [23] Let  $E$  be a universe. A neutrosophic sets(NS)  $A$  in  $E$  is characterized by a truth-membership function  $T_A$ , a indeterminacy-membership function  $I_A$  and a falsity-membership function  $F_A$ .  $T_A(x)$ ;  $I_A(x)$  and  $F_A(x)$  are real standard elements of  $[0, 1]$ . It can be written as

$$A = \{ \langle x, (T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x)) \rangle : x \in E, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \in ]^{-}0, 1[^{+} \}.$$

There is no restriction on the sum of  $T_A(x)$ ;  $I_A(x)$  and  $F_A(x)$ , so  $0^{-} \leq T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \leq 3^{+}$ .

**Definition 2.4** [28] Let  $E$  be a universe. A single valued neutrosophic sets(SVNS)  $A$ , which can be used in real scientific and engineering applications, in  $E$  is characterized by a truth-membership function  $T_A$ , a indeterminacy-membership function  $I_A$  and a falsity-membership function  $F_A$ .  $T_A(x)$ ;  $I_A(x)$  and  $F_A(x)$  are real standard elements of  $[0, 1]$ . It can be written as

$$A = \{ \langle x, (T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x)) \rangle : x \in E, T_A(x), I_A(x), F_A(x) \in [0, 1] \}.$$

There is no restriction on the sum of  $T_A(x)$ ;  $I_A(x)$  and  $F_A(x)$ , so  $0 \leq T_A(x) + I_A(x) + F_A(x) \leq 3$ .

**Definition 2.5** [13, 29] An intuitionistic fuzzy number  $\tilde{a}$  denoted by  $\tilde{a} = \langle (\underline{a}_1, a_{1l}, a_{1r}, \bar{a}_1); w_{\tilde{a}} \rangle, (\underline{a}_2, a_{2l}, a_{2r}, \bar{a}_2; u_{\tilde{a}}) \rangle$ , whose membership function for  $\mu_{\tilde{a}} : R \rightarrow [0, w_{\tilde{a}}]$  and nonmembership function  $\nu_{\tilde{a}} : R \rightarrow [u_{\tilde{a}}, 1]$  are defined as follows:

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\mu l}(x) & (\underline{a}_1 \leq x < a_{1l}) \\ w_{\tilde{a}} & (a_{1l} \leq x < a_{1r}) \\ f_{\mu r}(x) & (a_{1r} \leq x < \bar{a}_1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\nu l}(x) & (\underline{a}_2 \leq x < a_{2l}) \\ u_{\tilde{a}} & (a_{2l} \leq x < a_{2r}) \\ f_{\nu r}(x) & (a_{2r} \leq x < \bar{a}_2) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

respectively, where  $\underline{a}_1, a_{1l}, a_{1r}, \bar{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, a_{2l}, a_{2r}, \bar{a}_2, w_{\tilde{a}}$  and  $u_{\tilde{a}}$  are real numbers. The values  $w_{\tilde{a}}$  and  $u_{\tilde{a}}$  represent the maximum degree of membership and the minimum degree of non-membership, respectively, such that  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{a}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq u_{\tilde{a}} \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{a}} + u_{\tilde{a}} \leq 1$ .

For some specific values of the parameters  $\underline{a}_1, a_{1l}, a_{1r}, \bar{a}_1, \underline{a}_2, a_{2l}, a_{2r}, \bar{a}_2, w_{\tilde{a}}$ , and  $u_{\tilde{a}}$ , we can further construct some particular forms of intuitionistic fuzzy numbers such as trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers as follows:

1. If  $(\underline{a}_1, a_{1l}, a_{1r}, \bar{a}_1) = (\underline{a}_2, a_{2l}, a_{2r}, \bar{a}_2)$ , then intuitionistic fuzzy number is reduced to trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy numbers  $\tilde{a} = \langle (\underline{a}, a_1, a_2, \bar{a}); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$ .
2. If  $a = a_1 = a_2$ , then the trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy number is reduced to the triangular intuitionistic fuzzy number  $\tilde{a} = \langle (\underline{a}, a, \bar{a}); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$ .

### 3 Single Valued Neutrosophic Numbers

In this section, we will propose the concept of single valued neutrosophic numbers, single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers with operations. In the following, some definitions and operations on intuitionistic sets defined in [13, 29, 39], we extend these definitions and operations to single valued neutrosophic sets [28].

**Definition 3.1** Let  $w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \in [0, 1]$  be any real numbers. A single valued neutrosophic number  $\tilde{a} = \langle ([a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1]), w_{\tilde{a}} \rangle, ([a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2], u_{\tilde{a}}), ([a_3, b_3, c_3, d_3], y_{\tilde{a}}) \rangle$ , is a special single valued neutrosophic set on the set of real numbers  $R$ , whose truth-membership function  $\mu_{\tilde{a}} : R \rightarrow [0, w_{\tilde{a}}]$ , a indeterminacy-membership function  $\nu_{\tilde{a}} : R \rightarrow [u_{\tilde{a}}, 1]$  and a falsity-membership function  $\lambda_{\tilde{a}} : R \rightarrow [y_{\tilde{a}}, 1]$  as given by;

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\mu l}(x) & (a_1 \leq x < b_1) \\ w_{\tilde{a}} & (b_1 \leq x < c_1) \\ f_{\mu r}(x) & (c_1 \leq x \leq d_1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \nu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\nu l}(x) & (a_2 \leq x < b_2) \\ u_{\tilde{a}} & (b_2 \leq x < c_2) \\ f_{\nu r}(x) & (c_2 \leq x \leq d_2) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} f_{\lambda l}(x) & (a_3 \leq x < b_3) \\ y_{\tilde{a}} & (b_3 \leq x < c_3) \\ f_{\lambda r}(x) & (c_3 \leq x \leq d_3) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

respectively, where the functions  $f_{\mu l} : [a_1, b_1] \rightarrow [0, w_{\tilde{a}}]$ ,  $f_{\nu r} : [c_2, d_2] \rightarrow [u_{\tilde{a}}, 1]$ ,  $f_{\lambda r} : [c_3, d_3] \rightarrow [y_{\tilde{a}}, 1]$  are continuous and nondecreasing, and satisfy the conditions:  $f_{\mu l}(a_1) = 0$ ,  $f_{\mu l}(b_1) = w_{\tilde{a}}$ ,  $f_{\nu r}(c_2) = u_{\tilde{a}}$ ,  $f_{\nu r}(d_2) = 1$ ,  $f_{\lambda r}(c_3) = y_{\tilde{a}}$ , and  $f_{\lambda r}(d_3) = 1$ ; the functions  $f_{\mu r} : [c_1, d_1] \rightarrow [0, w_{\tilde{a}}]$ ,  $f_{\nu l} : [a_2, b_2] \rightarrow [u_{\tilde{a}}, 1]$  and  $f_{\lambda l} : [a_3, b_3] \rightarrow [y_{\tilde{a}}, 1]$  are continuous and nonincreasing, and satisfy the conditions:  $f_{\mu r}(c_1) = w_{\tilde{a}}$ ,  $f_{\mu r}(d_1) = 0$ ,  $f_{\nu l}(a_2) = 1$ ,  $f_{\nu l}(b_2) = u_{\tilde{a}}$ ,  $f_{\lambda l}(a_3) = 1$  and  $f_{\lambda l}(b_3) = y_{\tilde{a}}$ .  $[b_1, c_1]$ ,  $a_1$  and  $d_1$  are called the mean interval and the lower and upper limits of the general neutrosophic number  $\tilde{a}$  for the truth-membership function, respectively.  $[b_2, c_2]$ ,  $a_2$  and  $d_2$  are called the mean interval and the lower and upper limits of the general neutrosophic number  $\tilde{a}$  for the indeterminacy-membership function, respectively.  $[b_3, c_3]$ ,  $a_3$  and  $d_3$  are called the mean interval and the lower and upper limits of the general neutrosophic number  $\tilde{a}$  for the falsity-membership function, respectively.  $w_{\tilde{a}}$ ,  $u_{\tilde{a}}$  and  $y_{\tilde{a}}$  are called the maximum truth-membership degree, minimum indeterminacy-membership degree and minimum falsity-membership degree, respectively.

Clearly; the single valued neutrosophic numbers are a generalization of the intuitionistic fuzzy numbers [13]. Thus, the neutrosophic number may express more uncertainty information than the intuitionistic fuzzy number.

For some specific values of the parameters  $a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, a_3, b_3, c_3, d_3, w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}$  and  $y_{\tilde{a}}$  we can further construct some particular forms of single valued neutrosophic numbers such as; single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers.

**Definition 3.2** A single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  is a special neutrosophic set on the real number set  $R$ , whose truth-membership, indeterminacy-membership, and a falsity-membership are given as follows:

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} (x - a_1)w_{\tilde{a}}/(b_1 - a_1) & (a_1 \leq x < b_1) \\ w_{\tilde{a}} & (b_1 \leq x \leq c_1) \\ (d_1 - x)w_{\tilde{a}}/(d_1 - c_1) & (c_1 < x \leq d_1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\nu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} (b_1 - x + u_{\tilde{a}}(x - a_1))/(b_1 - a_1) & (a_1 \leq x < b_1) \\ u_{\tilde{a}} & (b_1 \leq x \leq c_1) \\ (x - c_1 + u_{\tilde{a}}(d_1 - x))/(d_1 - c_1) & (c_1 < x \leq d_1) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} (b_1 - x + y_{\tilde{a}}(x - a_1))/(b_1 - a_1) & (a_1 \leq x < b_1) \\ y_{\tilde{a}} & (b_1 \leq x \leq c_1) \\ (x - c_1 + y_{\tilde{a}}(d_1 - x))/(d_1 - c_1) & (c_1 < x \leq d_1) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

respectively.

If  $a_1 \geq 0$  and at least  $d_1 > 0$ , then  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  is called a positive single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number, denoted by  $\tilde{a} > 0$ . Likewise, if  $d_1 \leq 0$  and at least  $a_1 < 0$ , then  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  is called a negative single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number, denoted by  $\tilde{a} < 0$ . A single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  may represent an ill-known quantity of the range, which is approximately equal to the interval  $[b_1, c_1]$ .

The single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers are a generalization of the intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy numbers [13]. Thus, the neutrosophic number may express more uncertainty information than the intuitionistic fuzzy number.

**Example 3.3**  $\tilde{a} = \langle (1, 2, 5, 6); 0.8, 0.6, 0.4 \rangle$  is a single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number, whose membership and nonmembership functions are given as follows:

$$\mu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0.8(x - 1) & (1 \leq x < 2) \\ 0.8 & (2 \leq x \leq 5) \\ 0.8(6 - x) & (5 < x \leq 6) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \nu_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1.4 - 0.4x & (1 \leq x < 2) \\ 0.6 & (2 \leq x \leq 5) \\ 0.4x - 1.4 & (5 < x \leq 6) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\lambda_{\tilde{a}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1.6 - 0.6x & (1 \leq x < 2) \\ 0.4 & (2 \leq x \leq 5) \\ 0.6x - 2.6 & (5 < x \leq 6) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

respectively.

**Definition 3.4** Let  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  and  $\tilde{b} = \langle (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2); w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$  be two single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and  $\gamma \neq 0$ , then

1.  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = \langle (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2, d_1 + d_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$
2.  $\tilde{a} - \tilde{b} = \langle (a_1 - d_2, b_1 - c_2, c_1 - b_2, d_1 - a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$
3.  $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \begin{cases} \langle (a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2, d_1 d_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 > 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (a_1 d_2, b_1 c_2, c_1 b_2, d_1 a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (d_1 d_2, c_1 c_2, b_1 b_2, a_1 a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 < 0) \end{cases}$
4.  $\tilde{a}/\tilde{b} = \begin{cases} \langle (a_1/d_2, b_1/c_2, c_1/b_2, d_1/a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 > 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (d_1/d_2, c_1/c_2, b_1/b_2, a_1/a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (d_1/a_2, c_1/b_2, b_1/c_2, a_1/d_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \vee y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 < 0) \end{cases}$
5.  $\gamma\tilde{a} = \begin{cases} \langle (\gamma a_1, \gamma b_1, \gamma c_1, \gamma d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle & (\gamma > 0) \\ \langle (\gamma d_1, \gamma c_1, \gamma b_1, \gamma a_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle & (\gamma < 0) \end{cases}$
6.  $\tilde{a}^{-1} = \langle (1/d_1, 1/c_1, 1/b_1, 1/a_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  ( $\tilde{a} \neq 0$ ).

**Example 3.5** Let  $\tilde{a} = \langle (2, 4, 5, 8); 0.2, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle$  and  $\tilde{b} = \langle (1, 3, 6, 7); 0.4, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle$  be two single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers, then

1.  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = \langle (3, 7, 11, 15); 0.2, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle$
2.  $\tilde{a} - \tilde{b} = \langle (-5, -2, 2, 7); 0.2, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle$
3.  $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \langle (2, 12, 30, 56); 0.2, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle$
4.  $\tilde{a}/\tilde{b} = \langle (\frac{2}{7}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 8); 0.2, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle$
5.  $\tilde{a}^{-1} = \langle (\frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}); 0.2, 0.5, 0.6 \rangle$
6.  $2\tilde{a} = \langle (4, 8, 10, 16); 0.2, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle$

**Note 3.6** It is easily shown that the results obtained by multiplication and division are not always single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers. But, for the sake of convenience, we still use single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers to express these computational results approximately.

**Remark 3.7** If  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{a}} + u_{\tilde{a}} + y_{\tilde{a}} \leq 1$ ,  $y_{\tilde{a}} = 0$  and  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{b}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{b}} + u_{\tilde{b}} + y_{\tilde{b}} \leq 1$ ,  $y_{\tilde{b}} = 0$ , then the single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  and  $\tilde{b} = \langle (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2); w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$  degenerate to the intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy numbers [13]  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, 0 \rangle$  and  $\tilde{b} = \langle (a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2); w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{b}}, 0 \rangle$ , respectively. As a result, Definition 3.4 is reduced to

1.  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = \langle (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2, d_1 + d_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$
2.  $\tilde{a} - \tilde{b} = \langle (a_1 - d_2, b_1 - c_2, c_1 - b_2, d_1 - a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$
3.  $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \begin{cases} \langle (a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2, d_1 d_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 > 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (a_1 d_2, b_1 c_2, c_1 b_2, d_1 a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (d_1 d_2, c_1 c_2, b_1 b_2, a_1 a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 < 0) \end{cases}$
4.  $\tilde{a}/\tilde{b} = \begin{cases} \langle (a_1/d_2, b_1/c_2, c_1/b_2, d_1/a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 > 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (d_1/d_2, c_1/c_2, b_1/b_2, a_1/a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 > 0) \\ \langle (d_1/a_2, c_1/b_2, b_1/c_2, a_1/d_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (d_1 < 0, d_2 < 0) \end{cases}$
5.  $\gamma\tilde{a} = \begin{cases} \langle (\gamma a_1, \gamma b_1, \gamma c_1, \gamma d_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \rangle & (\gamma > 0) \\ \langle (\gamma d_1, \gamma c_1, \gamma b_1, \gamma a_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \rangle & (\gamma < 0) \end{cases}$



1.  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = \langle (3, 6, 9); 0.3, 0.8, 0.9 \rangle$
2.  $\tilde{a} - \tilde{b} = \langle (-2, 2, 4); 0.3, 0.8, 0.9 \rangle$
3.  $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \langle (2, 8, 20); 0.3, 0.8, 0.9 \rangle$
4.  $\tilde{a}/\tilde{b} = \langle (\frac{1}{2}, 2, 5); 0.3, 0.8, 0.9 \rangle$
5.  $5\tilde{a} = \langle (10, 20, 25); 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 \rangle$
6.  $2\tilde{b} = \langle (2, 4, 8); 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 \rangle$
7.  $\tilde{a}^{-1} = \langle (\frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}); 0.3, 0.4, 0.5 \rangle$
8.  $\tilde{b}^{-1} = \langle (\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}, 1); 0.7, 0.8, 0.9 \rangle$

**Remark 3.11** If  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{a}} + u_{\tilde{a}} + y_{\tilde{a}} \leq 1$ ,  $y_{\tilde{a}} = 0$  and  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{b}} \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq w_{\tilde{b}} + u_{\tilde{b}} + y_{\tilde{b}} \leq 1$ ,  $y_{\tilde{b}} = 0$ , then the single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  and  $\tilde{b} = \langle (a_2, b_2, c_2); w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{b}}, y_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$  degenerate to the intuitionistic triangular fuzzy numbers [13]  $\tilde{a} = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, 0 \rangle$  and  $\tilde{b} = \langle (a_2, b_2, c_2); w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{b}}, 0 \rangle$ , respectively. As a result, Definition 3.9 is reduced to

1.  $\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = \langle (a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2, c_1 + c_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$
2.  $\tilde{a} - \tilde{b} = \langle (a_1 - c_2, b_1 - b_2, c_1 - a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle$
3.  $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \begin{cases} \langle (a_1 a_2, b_1 b_2, c_1 c_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0) \\ \langle (a_1 c_2, b_1 b_2, c_1 a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (c_1 < 0, c_2 > 0) \\ \langle (c_1 c_2, b_1 b_2, a_1 a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (c_1 < 0, c_2 < 0) \end{cases}$
4.  $\tilde{a}/\tilde{b} = \begin{cases} \langle (a_1/c_2, b_1/b_2, c_1/a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (c_1 > 0, c_2 > 0) \\ \langle (c_1/c_2, b_1/b_2, a_1/a_2); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (c_1 < 0, c_2 > 0) \\ \langle (c_1/a_2, b_1/b_2, a_1/c_3); w_{\tilde{a}} \wedge w_{\tilde{b}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \vee u_{\tilde{b}} \rangle & (c_1 < 0, c_2 < 0) \end{cases}$
5.  $\gamma\tilde{a} = \begin{cases} \langle (\gamma a_1, \gamma b_1, \gamma c_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \rangle & (\gamma > 0) \\ \langle (\gamma c_1, \gamma b_1, \gamma a_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \rangle & (\gamma < 0) \end{cases}$
6.  $\tilde{a}^{-1} = \langle (1/c_1, 1/b_1, 1/a_1); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}} \rangle$  ( $\tilde{a} \neq 0$ ).

Hence, the algebraic operations over the single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers are a generalization of those over the intuitionistic triangular fuzzy numbers. Thus, the neutrosophic number may express more uncertainty information than the intuitionistic fuzzy number.

## 4 Aggregation operators of the single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number and its score function and accuracy function

In this section, a neutrosophic trapezoidal weighted aggregation operator of neutrosophic trapezoidal numbers is given. Then, score function and accuracy function of the single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers are introduced. Some of it is quoted from application in [39].

**Definition 4.1** Let  $\tilde{a}_j = ((a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j); w_{\tilde{a}_j}, u_{\tilde{a}_j}, y_{\tilde{a}_j})$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be a collection of single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers. Then neutrosophic trapezoidal weighted aggregation operator (SVTNWAO) is defined as;

$$SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) = (w_1 \tilde{a}_1^\gamma + w_2 \tilde{a}_2^\gamma + \dots + w_n \tilde{a}_n^\gamma)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

where  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$  is a weight vector associated with the SVTNWAO operator, with  $w_j \geq 0$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$ .

**Definition 4.2** We defined a method to compare any two single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers which is based on the score function and the accuracy function. Let  $\tilde{a}_1 = \langle (a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1); w_1, u_1, y_1 \rangle$  be a single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number, then

$$S(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{16}[a + b + c + d] \times (2 + \mu_{\tilde{a}} - \nu_{\tilde{a}} - \gamma_{\tilde{a}})$$

and

$$A(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{16}[a + b + c + d] \times (2 + \mu_{\tilde{a}} - \nu_{\tilde{a}} + \gamma_{\tilde{a}})$$

is called the score and accuracy degrees of  $\tilde{a}_1$ , respectively,

**Example 4.3** Let  $\tilde{a} = \langle (1, 4, 5, 6); 0.9, 0.5, 0.1 \rangle$  be a single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic number then,

$$S(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{16}[1 + 4 + 5 + 6] \times (2 + 0.9 - 0.5 - 0.1) = 2.3$$

$$A(\tilde{a}) = \frac{1}{16}[1 + 4 + 5 + 6] \times (2 + 0.9 - 0.5 + 0.1) = 2.5$$

**Definition 4.4** Let  $\tilde{a}_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_2$  be two single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers;

1. If  $S(\tilde{a}_1) < S(\tilde{a}_2)$ , then  $\tilde{a}_1$  is smaller than  $\tilde{a}_2$ , denoted by  $\tilde{a}_1 < \tilde{a}_2$

2. If  $S(\tilde{a}_1) = S(\tilde{a}_2)$ ;

(a) If  $A(\tilde{a}_1) < A(\tilde{a}_2)$ , then  $\tilde{a}_1$  is smaller than  $\tilde{a}_2$ , denoted by  $\tilde{a}_1 < \tilde{a}_2$

(b) If  $A(\tilde{a}_1) = A(\tilde{a}_2)$ , then  $\tilde{a}_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_2$  are the same, denoted by  $\tilde{a}_1 = \tilde{a}_2$

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $\tilde{a}_j = ((a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j); w_{\tilde{a}_j}, u_{\tilde{a}_j}, y_{\tilde{a}_j})$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be a collection of single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers,  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$  be a weight vector of  $\tilde{a}_j$  with  $w_j \in [0, 1]$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$ . Then their aggregated value by using SVTNWAO operator is also a neutrosophic trapezoidal number and

$$SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) = \left( \left[ \sum_{j=1}^n w_j a_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^n w_j b_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^n w_j c_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^n w_j d_j^\gamma \right]; \bigwedge_{j=1}^n w_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^n u_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^n y_{\tilde{a}_j} \right)$$

**Proof:** The proof can be made by using mathematical induction on  $n$  as;

Assume that,

$$\tilde{a}_1 = \langle [a_1^\gamma, b_1^\gamma, c_1^\gamma, d_1^\gamma]; w_{\tilde{a}_1}^\gamma, u_{\tilde{a}_1}^\gamma, y_{\tilde{a}_1}^\gamma \rangle$$

and

$$\tilde{a}_2 = \langle [a_2^\gamma, b_2^\gamma, c_2^\gamma, d_2^\gamma]; w_{\tilde{a}_2}^\gamma, u_{\tilde{a}_2}^\gamma, y_{\tilde{a}_2}^\gamma \rangle$$

be two single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers then,

for  $n = 2$ , we have

$$w_1 \tilde{a}_1^\gamma + w_2 \tilde{a}_2^\gamma = \left\langle \left( \sum_{j=1}^2 w_j a_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^2 w_j b_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^2 w_j c_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^2 w_j d_j^\gamma \right); \bigwedge_{j=1}^2 w_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^2 u_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^2 y_{\tilde{a}_j} \right\rangle$$

If holds for  $n = k$ , that is

$$w_1 \tilde{a}_1^\gamma + w_2 \tilde{a}_2^\gamma + \dots + w_k \tilde{a}_k^\gamma = \left\langle \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_j a_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^k w_j b_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^k w_j c_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^k w_j d_j^\gamma \right); \bigwedge_{j=1}^k w_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^k u_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^k y_{\tilde{a}_j} \right\rangle$$

then, when  $n = k + 1$ , by the operational laws in Definition3.9, I have

$$w_1 \tilde{a}_1^\gamma + w_2 \tilde{a}_2^\gamma + \dots + w_{k+1} \tilde{a}_{k+1}^\gamma = \left\langle \left( \sum_{j=1}^k w_j a_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^k w_j b_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^k w_j c_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^k w_j d_j^\gamma \right); \bigwedge_{j=1}^k w_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^k u_{\tilde{a}_j}, \bigvee_{j=1}^k y_{\tilde{a}_j} \right\rangle$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left\langle \left( w_{k+1} a_{k+1}^\gamma, w_{k+1} b_{k+1}^\gamma, w_{k+1} c_{k+1}^\gamma, w_{k+1} d_{k+1}^\gamma \right); w_{\tilde{a}_{k+1}}, u_{\tilde{a}_{k+1}}, y_{\tilde{a}_{k+1}} \right\rangle \\
& = \left\langle \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} w_j a_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} w_j b_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} w_j c_j^\gamma, \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} w_j d_j^\gamma \right); \wedge_{j=1}^{k+1} w_{\tilde{a}_j}, \vee_{j=1}^{k+1} u_{\tilde{a}_j}, \vee_{j=1}^{k+1} y_{\tilde{a}_j} \right\rangle
\end{aligned}$$

therefore proof is valid.

**Example 4.6** Let

$$\tilde{a}_1 = ([0.214, 0.321, 0.545, 0.781]; 0.5, 0.4, 0.9),$$

$$\tilde{a}_2 = ([0.241, 0.462, 0.656, 0.963]; 0.8, 0.2, 0.4),$$

$$\tilde{a}_3 = ([0.311, 0.414, 0.531, 0.722]; 0.6, 0.3, 0.7),$$

$$\tilde{a}_4 = ([0.268, 0.321, 0.581, 0.745]; 0.7, 0.2, 0.5),$$

be four single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers, and  $w = (0.4, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3)^T$  be the weight vector of  $\tilde{a}_j (j = 1, 2, 3, 4)$ . When the parameter  $\gamma$  takes different values, different aggregated values of the single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers can be obtained.

When  $\gamma = 1$ ,

$$SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) = ([0.2523, 0.3537, 0.5641, 0.7766]; 0.5, 0.4, 0.9)$$

and their score is 0.1460.

When  $\gamma = 3$ ,

$$SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) = ([0.0171, 0.0472, 0.1818, 0.4792]; 0.5, 0.4, 0.9)$$

and their score is 0.0543.

When  $\gamma = 5$ ,

$$SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) = ([0.0013, 0.0069, 0.0597, 0.3071]; 0.5, 0.4, 0.9)$$

and their score is 0.0281.

The SVTNWAO operator has be following desirable properties.

**Property 4.7** Let (for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ )  $\tilde{a}_j = ((a_j, b_j, c_j, d_j); w_{\tilde{a}_j}, u_{\tilde{a}_j}, y_{\tilde{a}_j})$  and  $\tilde{b}_j = ((e_j, f_j, g_j, h_j); w_{\tilde{b}_j}, u_{\tilde{b}_j}, y_{\tilde{b}_j})$  be two collection of single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers,  $\tilde{a} = ((a, b, c, d); w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}}, y_{\tilde{a}})$  be a single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and  $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n)^T$  be the weight vector related to the SVTNWAO operator, such that  $w_j \geq 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^n w_j = 1$ , and  $\gamma > 0$  then, for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  we have

1. If  $\forall j, a_j = a, b_j = b, c_j = c, d_j = d, w_{\tilde{a}_j} = w_{\tilde{a}}, u_{\tilde{a}_j} = u_{\tilde{a}}$  and  $y_{\tilde{a}_j} = y_{\tilde{a}}$  then

$$SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) = \tilde{a}$$

2. If

$$a_j^- = \left( (\min\{a_j\}, \min\{b_j\}, \min\{c_j\}, \min\{d_j\}); \min\{w_{\tilde{a}_j}\}, \max\{u_{\tilde{a}_j}\}, \max\{y_{\tilde{a}_j}\} \right)$$

$$a_j^+ = \left( (\max\{a_j\}, \max\{b_j\}, \max\{c_j\}, \max\{d_j\}); \max\{w_{\tilde{a}_j}\}, \min\{u_{\tilde{a}_j}\}, \min\{y_{\tilde{a}_j}\} \right)$$

then,

$$a^- \leq SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) \leq a^+$$

3. if  $a_j \leq e_j, b_j \leq f_j, c_j \leq g_j, d_j \leq h_j, w_{\tilde{a}_j} \leq w_{\tilde{b}_j}, u_{\tilde{a}_j} \geq u_{\tilde{b}_j}$ , and  $y_{\tilde{a}_j} \geq y_{\tilde{b}_j}$ , for all  $j$  then,

$$SVTNWAO(\tilde{a}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_n) \leq SVTNWAO(\tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_2, \dots, \tilde{b}_n)$$

# 5 Multicriteria decision making based on single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers

In this section, we will apply the SVTNWAO to multicriteria decision making problem and illustrate the effectiveness of the aggregation operators by the example of evaluation of the teaching quality. Some of it is quoted from application in [39].

Assume that there is a multi-criteria decision making problem under neutrosophic trapezoidal environment. Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$  be the set of alternatives and  $U = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$  the set of criteria. The decision makers evaluate the objects (the criterion  $u_j$  for the alternative  $x_i$ ) and expressed by single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers  $\tilde{a}_{ij}$ . Based on the SVTNWAO, we construct a decision making method by the following algorithm;

**Algorithm:**

*Step 1.* The experts evaluate the objects (the criterion  $u_j$  for the alternative  $x_i$ ) and express by single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers  $\tilde{a}_{ij}$  as a Table.

*Step 2.* Find single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers by the SVTNWAO operator when the parameter  $\gamma$  takes different values,

*Step 3.* Calculate score values found by the SVTNWAO operator and the ranking of alternatives

**Example 5.1** Assume that a government wants to fill a position. There are five candidates ( $x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ )) and they will be evaluated by experts panel of the Management Science and Engineering Institute from the following three aspects, their teaching attitude ( $u_1$ ), ability ( $u_2$ ) and content ( $u_3$ ). These 5 teacher who have good teaching attitude could tend to generate a multiplier effect while teaching ability will be evaluated by their professional knowledge and social practice. The last factor is the teachers teaching content, to check whether the content is closely around teaching Guidance. The weight vector of the three criteria is supposed as  $(0.4, 0.3, 0.3)^T$ . Then,

*Step 1.* The experts evaluate the teachers and showed their evaluation results in Table 1.

	$u_1$	$u_2$	$u_3$
$x_1$	$((0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.7); 0.5, 0.4, 0.3)$	$((0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6); 0.5, 0.3, 0.7)$	$((0.1, 0.2, 0.7, 0.8); 0.9, 0.1, 0.5)$
$x_2$	$((0.2, 0.5, 0.6, 0.9); 0.8, 0.2, 0.4)$	$((0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8); 0.1, 0.2, 0.3)$	$((0.2, 0.3, 0.6, 0.7); 0.5, 0.3, 0.8)$
$x_3$	$((0.3, 0.4, 0.7, 0.8); 0.6, 0.3, 0.2)$	$((0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 0.9); 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$	$((0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.6); 0.8, 0.2, 0.6)$
$x_4$	$((0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 0.8); 0.7, 0.2, 0.5)$	$((0.2, 0.3, 0.7, 0.8); 0.9, 0.8, 0.7)$	$((0.4, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8); 0.5, 0.4, 0.2)$
$x_5$	$((0.4, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8); 0.3, 0.5, 0.6)$	$((0.1, 0.3, 0.5, 0.7); 0.9, 0.7, 0.5)$	$((0.4, 0.5, 0.6, 0.7); 0.9, 0.3, 0.6)$

Table 1: single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic decision table

*Step 2.* For  $\gamma = 1, 2, 4$  and  $6$ , the aggregated single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers found by the SVTNWAO was shown in Table 2.

	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$
$x_1$	$((0.2100, 0.3100, 0.5600, 0.7000); 0.5, 0.4, 0.7)$	$((0.0510, 0.1300, 0.3220, 0.4960); 0.5, 0.4, 0.7)$
$x_2$	$((0.2000, 0.4100, 0.6000, 0.8100); 0.1, 0.3, 0.8)$	$((0.0400, 0.1750, 0.3600, 0.6630); 0.1, 0.3, 0.8)$
$x_3$	$((0.3000, 0.4300, 0.6700, 0.7700); 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$	$((0.0900, 0.1870, 0.4630, 0.6070); 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$
$x_4$	$((0.3000, 0.4700, 0.7400, 0.8400); 0.5, 0.8, 0.7)$	$((0.0960, 0.2350, 0.5500, 0.7080); 0.5, 0.8, 0.7)$
$x_5$	$((0.3100, 0.4800, 0.6100, 0.7400); 0.3, 0.7, 0.6)$	$((0.1150, 0.2460, 0.3790, 0.5500); 0.3, 0.7, 0.6)$
	$\gamma = 4$	$\gamma = 6$
$x_1$	$((0.0038, 0.0132, 0.1158, 0.2578); 0.5, 0.4, 0.7)$	$((0.0003, 0.0019, 0.0462, 0.1397); 0.5, 0.4, 0.7)$
$x_2$	$((0.0016, 0.0351, 0.1296, 0.4574); 0.1, 0.3, 0.8)$	$((0.0001, 0.0077, 0.0467, 0.3265); 0.1, 0.3, 0.8)$
$x_3$	$((0.0081, 0.0367, 0.2377, 0.3996); 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$	$((0.0007, 0.0076, 0.1304, 0.2783); 0.2, 0.5, 0.8)$
$x_4$	$((0.0114, 0.0663, 0.3079, 0.5082); 0.5, 0.8, 0.7)$	$((0.0015, 0.0205, 0.1754, 0.3699); 0.5, 0.8, 0.7)$
$x_5$	$((0.0180, 0.0730, 0.1357, 0.3079); 0.3, 0.7, 0.6)$	$((0.0029, 0.0236, 0.0657, 0.1754); 0.3, 0.7, 0.6)$

Table 2: The single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers obtained by the SVTNWAO

*Step 3.* The corresponding score values and the ranking of alternatives was shown in Table 3.

	$Y_1$	$Y_2$	$Y_3$	$Y_4$	$Y_5$	ranking
$\gamma = 1$	0.1558	0.1263	0.1221	0.1469	0.1338	$x_1 > x_4 > x_5 > x_2 > x_3$
$\gamma = 2$	0.0851	0.0774	0.0758	0.0993	0.0806	$x_4 > x_1 > x_5 > x_2 > x_3$
$\gamma = 4$	0.0342	0.0390	0.0384	0.0559	0.0345	$x_4 > x_2 > x_3 > x_5 > x_1$
$\gamma = 6$	0.0165	0.0238	0.0235	0.0355	0.0167	$x_4 > x_2 > x_3 > x_5 > x_1$

## 6 Conclusion

In this work, we have defined single valued neutrosophic numbers which is a generalization of fuzzy numbers and intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and we have presented two special forms of single valued neutrosophic numbers such as single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic numbers and single valued triangular neutrosophic numbers with operations. Finally, we have developed a single valued trapezoidal neutrosophic weighted aggregation operator(SVTNWAO) and applied to multicriteria decision making problem. In future work, we will applied this concept to game theory, algebraic structure, optimization and so on.

## References

- [1] Ansaria,A.Q., R. Biswasb and S. Aggarwalc. 2013. “Neutrosophic classifier: An extension of fuzzy classifier.” *Applied Soft Computing* 13: 563–573.
- [2] Ashbacher, C. 2002. Introduction to Neutrosophic Logic, American Research Press Rehoboth.
- [3] Atanassov,K.T. 1999. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Pysica-Verlag A Springer-Verlag Company. New York.
- [4] Ban, A. 2008. “Trapezoidal approximations of intuitionistic fuzzy numbers expressed by value, ambiguity, width and weighted expected value.” *Twelfth Int. Conf. on IFSs, Sofia, NIFS Vol. 14* (1): 38–47.
- [5] Chen,T.Y., H.P. Wang, Y.Y. Lu. 2011. “A multicriteria group decision-making approach based on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets: a comparative perspective.” *Exp. Syst. Appl.* 38 (6): 7647-7658.
- [6] Chen, Y. and B. Li. 2011. “Dynamic multi-attribute decision making model based on triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers.” *Scientia Iranica B* 18 (2): 268-274.
- [7] Chen, T. 2012. “Multiple criteria group decision-making with generalized interval-valued fuzzy numbers based on signed distances and incomplete weights.” *Appl. Math. Model.* 36 (7): 3029-3052.
- [8] Çağman, N. and Deli, I. 2012. “Means of FP-Soft Sets and its Applications.” *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 41(5): 615–625.
- [9] Çağman, N. and Deli, I. 2012. “Product of FP-Soft Sets and its Applications.” *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* 41(3): 365 -374.
- [10] Das, S. and D. Guha. 2013. “Ranking of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number by Centroid Point.” *Journal of Industrial and Intelligent Information* 1(2): 107–110.
- [11] Esmailzadeh, M. and M. Esmailzadeh. 2013. “New distance between triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers.” *Advances in Computational Mathematics and its Applications* 2(3): 310–314.
- [12] Farhadinia, B. A. I. Ban. 2013. “Developing new similarity measures of generalized intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and generalized interval-valued fuzzy numbers from similarity measures of generalized fuzzy numbers.” *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 57: 812-825.
- [13] Li, D. F. 2014. “Decision and Game Theory in Management With Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets.” *Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing* Volume 308, springer.
- [14] Gani,A. N., N. Sritharan and C. Arun Kumar. 2011. “Weighted Average Rating (WAR) Method for Solving Group Decision Making Problem Using an Intuitionistic Trapezoidal Fuzzy Hybrid Aggregation (ITFHA) Operator.” *International Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences and Technology Int. J. Pure Appl. Sci. Technol.*, 6(1): 54–61.
- [15] Jianqiang,W., and Z. 2009. “Zhong Aggregation operators on intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy number and its application to multi-criteria decision making problems.” *Journal of Systems Engineering and Electronics*, 20(2): 321-326.

- 1 [16] Li, D. F. 2010. "A ratio ranking method of triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and its application  
2 to MADM problems." *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* 60: 1557–1570
- 3
- 4 [17] Mahapatra, G.S. and T.K. Roy. 2013. "Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number and Its Arithmetic Operation with  
5 Application on System Failure." *Journal of Uncertain Systems* 7(2): 92–107.
- 6
- 7 [18] Nehi, H. M. 2010. "A New Ranking Method for Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers." *International Journal  
8 of Fuzzy Systems*, 12(1): 80–86.
- 9
- 10 [19] Palanivelrajan M. and K. Kaliraju. 2012. "A Study on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number Group." *Interna-  
11 tional Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems* 2(3):269–277.
- 12
- 13 [20] Roseline,S. S. and E. C. Henry Amirtharaj. 2013. "A New Method for Ranking of Intuitionistic Fuzzy  
14 Numbers." *Indian Journal of Applied Research*, 3(6).
- 15
- 16 [21] Salama, A. A. and S. A. Alblowi. 2012. "Neutrosophic Set and Neutrosophic Topological Spaces." *IOSR  
17 Journal of Mathematics*, 3(4): 31–35.
- 18
- 19 [22] Smarandache, F. 2002. Neutrosophy and Neutrosophic Logic , First International Conference on Neu-  
20 trosophy , Neutrosophic Logic , Set, Probability, and Statistics University of New Mexico, Gallup, NM  
21 87301, USA.
- 22
- 23 [23] Smarandache,F. 1998."A Unifying Field in Logics. Neutrosophy: Neutrosophic Probability, Set and  
24 Logic". Rehoboth: American Research Press.
- 25
- 26 [24] Smarandache,F. 2005. "Neutrosophic set, a generalisation of the intuitionistic fuzzy sets." *Int. J. Pure  
27 Appl. Math.* 24: 287-297.
- 28
- 29 [25] Xu,Z.S. 2007. "Intuitionistic fuzzy aggregation operators." *IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst.* 15 (6):1179-1187.
- 30
- 31 [26] Wang, H., F. Smarandache, Y.Q. Zhang and R. Sunderraman. 2005. Interval Neutrosophic Sets and  
32 Logic: Theory and Applications in Computing, Hexis, Phoenix, AZ.
- 33
- 34 [27] Wang, J., R. Nie, H. Zhang and X. Chen. 2013. "New operators on triangular intuitionistic fuzzy  
35 numbers and their applications in system fault analysis." *Information Sciences* 251: 79-95
- 36
- 37 [28] Wang, H., F. Y. Smarandache, Q. Zhang and R. Sunderraman. 2010. "Single valued neutrosophic sets."  
38 *Multispace and Multistructure* 4:410–413.
- 39
- 40 [29] Wan,S. P. 2013. "Power average operators of trapezoidal intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and application  
41 to multi-attribute group decision making." *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 37: 4112-4126.
- 42
- 43 [30] Wan,S. P., Q. Y. Wanga and J. Y. Dong. 2013. "The extended VIKOR method for multi-attribute group  
44 decision making with triangular intuitionistic fuzzy numbers." *Knowledge-Based Systems* 52: 65-77.
- 45
- 46 [31] Wei, G. 2010. "Some Arithmetic Aggregation Operators with Intuitionistic Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers  
47 and Their Application to Group Decision Making." *Journal of Computers*, 5(3): 345–351.
- 48
- 49 [32] Wu, J. and Q. Cao. 2013. "Same families of geometric aggregation operators with intuitionistic trape-  
50 zoidal fuzzy numbers." *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 37: 318-327.
- 51
- 52 [33] Wu, J. and Y. liu. 2013. "An approach for multiple attribute group decision making problems with  
53 interval-valued intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy numbers." *Computers and Industrial Engineering* 66:  
54 311-324
- 55
- 56 [34] Ye, F. 2010. "An extended TOPSIS method with interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy numbers for virtual  
57 enterprise partner selection." *Expert Systems with Applications*, 37: 7050-7055.
- 58
- 59 [35] Ye, J. 2011. "Expected value method for intuitionistic trapezoidal fuzzy multicriteria decision-making  
60 problems." *Expert Systems with Applications* 38: 1173011734.
- 61
- 62 [36] Ye, J. 2014. "A multicriteria decision-making method using aggregation operators for simplified neutro-  
63 sophic sets." *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems*, 26: 2459–2466.
- 64
- 65

- 1 [37] Ye, J. 2012. "The Dice similarity measure between generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers based on the  
2 expected interval and its multicriteria group decision-making method." *Journal of the Chinese Institute*  
3 *of Industrial Engineers*, 29(6): 375-382.  
4
- 5 [38] Ye, J. 2012. "Multicriteria decision-making method using the Dice similarity measure between expected  
6 intervals of trapezoidal fuzzy numbers." *Journal of Decision Systems*, 21(4): 307-317.  
7
- 8 [39] Yu, D. 2013. "Intuitionistic Trapezoidal Fuzzy Information Aggregation Methods and Their Applications  
9 to Teaching Quality Evaluation." *Journal of Information Computational Science* 10(6): 1861-1869.
- 10 [40] Yue, Z. 2014. "Aggregating crisp values into intuitionistic fuzzy number for group decision making."  
11 *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 38: 2969-2982.  
12
- 13 [41] Zadeh, L.A. 1965. Fuzzy Sets, *Information and Control*, 8, 338-353.  
14
- 15 [42] Zhang, X. and P. Liu. 2010. "Method For Aggregating Triangular Fuzzy Intuitionistic Fuzzy Information  
16 and Its Application to Decision Making." *Technological and economic development of economy Baltic*  
17 *Journal on Sustainability*, 16(2): 280-290.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
61  
62  
63  
64  
65