

# **Holographic two-step gravito-electromagnetic interaction, Matter-antimatter vibration, Non-Doppler quasar oscillation and Dark Matter**

## **Francis M. Sanchez, February 2015**

*Abstract. It is shown how misleading is the Einstein propagating photon, which prevented the discovery of the matter-antimatter vibration. The gravitation - electricity symmetry is patent in the holographic two-step interaction scheme, illustrating Cosmical Immurgence. This leads to a logical interpretation of the incredible non-Doppler oscillations, observed by Kotov in several quasars, which confirms Coherent Holographic Cosmology. The correct prediction of Eddington's Large Number for the number of atoms in the observable Universe ( $136 \times 2^{256}$ ) leads to a simple natural interpretation for dark matter : matter in quadrature oscillation. The Gabor holographic condition leads to the gravitational speed  $2.46 \times 10^{36} c$  and a graviton mass  $3.69 \times 10^{-67} \text{ kg}$ .*

The wave-particle dualism has never been correctly presented nor elucidated. The origin of this situation is Einstein's paper on a 'propagating' photon. A Nobel prize was given for this, and not for the relativity papers, because it was known that Einstein was not the real discoverer of either Special Relativity Theory (SRT) (attributed to Poincaré by Lorentz, and, later, by Whittaker) or even the General Relativity Theory (GRT). According to Leveugle [2], Hilbert published the correct GRT equations 5 days before Einstein, several months after having sent a letter to Einstein, containing the solution of the Grossman's formulation of the problem. But the crucial part of the printer's proof in Hilbert's paper was cut off [2], and Hilbert was accused of plagiarism!

The obvious reason why Hilbert did not strongly claim priority is that he was himself at the origin of the spoliation of the *French* physicist Poincaré for the profit of a *German* one, in the war atmosphere of the time. According to Leveugle [2], Hilbert asked Einstein (who was suspected by Planck to be a plagiarist of Gibbs' thermodynamics) to sign the famous 1905 SRT paper. Indeed, this text contains the word 'group structure', a term only specialized mathematician, as Hilbert, would know. Note that, with this obvious necessity of a 'group structure', the postulate of '*c*' speed invariance is useless [3]. Moreover, the famous  $E = mc^2$  paper of this artificial 'genius', was, in reality [4], a demonstration of  $0 = 0$ . Einstein himself recognized in a second paper on the subject (may 1906) that Poincaré has demonstrated  $E = mc^2$ , as soon as 1900, in its full generality. The strange inactivity of the French colleagues of Poincaré is explained by the rivalry between the Ecole Normale, dominant in the physics domain (Paul Langevin) and Ecole Polytechnique, more specialised in mathematics (Henri Poincaré).

The harm caused by this 'propagating' photon and the fallacious prestige of Einstein cannot be over-rated. This led to a general state of confusion. For instance, in the paper on the stimulated emission, Einstein used only thermodynamics argument, so did not precise that this is a *coherent* amplification, so he missed the laser discovery.

De Broglie extended the wave-particle symmetry from light to matter, proposing that particles could propagate by wave, and *this* was correct [1]. To resume: 'everything propagate by waves' but for matter, it was not recognized that this means a disintegration-reintegration process, including a matter-antimatter oscillation [1]. Such a misconception of a propagative photon led Louis de Broglie to the vain research of a 'double solution', and Einstein to propose that hidden *local* variables exist, which was, *of course*, refuted by experiment. Some consider this is a triumph for Bohr's viewpoint, but the assertion of the later : 'quantum physics is complete', is itself reductionism nonsense, because it does not include *the cosmos, the obvious source of hidden variables*, in an holistic approach [1]. Later, Feynman later insisted that one *cannot* understand quantum physics. As shown below, he only forgot to *place cosmology as a staring point*.

Arthur Haas has proposed the first correct formula for the atomic diameter, 3 years before Bohr, simply by adding the Planck formula  $E = hf$  to the virial theorem in the simplest atomic model [1], while the subject of Einstein thesis was precisely to determine atomic dimension!

Another bad consequence of a 'propagating photon' is that, by confirming the false newtonian concept of a 'light ray', it retarded the discovery of a decisive property of coherent waves: holography (Gabor, 1948). Indeed, simple  $c$ -free holographic analysis leads to a Grandcosmos of radius  $R_{GC}$ , equal to  $C/c$  times the radius of the observable Universe, with the superspeed  $C = cP^3pH/a^6, \approx 6.945 \times 10^{60} c$ , with  $P, p, H$  the Planck, proton and Hydrogen masses by respect to the electron one and  $a = 137.036$ , the inverse of the fine-structure constant. An outstanding correlation involves the Grandcosmos volume, with unity the bare Bohr radius  $r_0$ :

$$(4\pi/3)(R_{GC}/r_0)^3 \approx a^3/\pi \quad (1)$$

proving  $a$  is a *calculation basis in a Computing Grandcosmos* [1]. This at last answers the basic interrogation : "Is the Cosmos finite or infinite ?". In fact, since physics is only concerned by finite quantities, the infinite concept must be a-priori ruled out of physics.

Replacing this 'propagating photon' by a *two-step interaction* [1],[5], this would involve tachyonic waves, including a gravitational speed  $C_G \gg c$  (according to Van Flandern [6], the stability of planet orbits implies  $C_G > 10^{10} c$ ) and the above superspeed  $C \gg C_G$ . The emitter-receptor symmetry implies that a *divergent* spheric wave from a source  $S$  must be transformed in a *convergent* wave towards a *specific* receptor  $R$ . The holographic formalism [1] (which is precisely a *two-step* one) applies directly: the bi-frequency source  $S$  is associated with two stationary unitary waves ( $ss^* = 1$ ) of form  $s + s^*$ , with  $s = \exp(f2\pi(t-r/v))$ , with  $f = F_G$  and  $f_{elm}$ , tied by the Gabor holographic condition  $C_G/c = F_G/f_{elm}$ , while the  $F_G - f_{elm}$  potential receptors correspond to  $\Sigma(r + r^*)$ . A gravitational  $F_G$ -hologram is firstly formed in the vacuum (which so must be *not empty*):  $(s + s^*)\Sigma(r + r^*)$ , which includes the *resonant* term  $\Sigma(sr^* + s^*r)$ . In a second step, an excess of the  $f_{elm}$  - frequency wave  $s + s^*$  produces the term  $(s + s^*)\Sigma(sr^* + s^*r)$  implying an excess of the waves  $\Sigma(r + r^*)$ , permitting a quantum *cosmic calculation, due to the large value of the above superspeed C*, to determine which precise receptor will get *all* the photon energy.

This scheme maintains the following information: *'all the excess energy is concentrated in an unique atom'*. This means atoms are in a state of optimal communication: it is why all Hydrogen atoms have strictly the same mass. *But the Doppler effect becomes a problem. Now, extending the above argument to gravitation, controled by the superspeed C, the normal Doppler effect would be wiped out by  $C_G$ -communication: such an 'incredible' non-Doppler phenomena (apart dephasages, due to the finite value of  $C_G$ ) has been observed in several quasars* [1]. But this phenomena has been discarded as 'impossible", in spite of  $10^{-6}$  precise direct correlation with the Fermi constant [1].

Now, consider a *galilean* steady-state cosmology, with the speed of galaxy recession *strictly* proportional to distance, in an Universe of invariant radius  $R$  and invariant mass  $M$ . Note that in the standard cosmology  $R$  is variable, so cannot be tied to any holographic conservation. By opposition, in the cosmology we consider, the classical gravitational potential energy is  $-(3/5)GM^2/R$ , and, by integrating the galactic kinetic energy  $(dm)v^2/2$  in the  $R$ -radius sphere, with the non-relativistic speed  $v = cr/R$  one obtains  $(3/10)Mc^2$ . By equalizing these energies, this corresponds to the 'critical condition'  $R/2 = cT/2 = GM/c^2$ , *without any appeal to the ad-hoc 'inflation'*, introduced by standard cosmology, which admits an Euclidean geometry, after involving GRT!

The separation of the total Universe energy  $Mc^2$  between its 3/10 and 7/10 parts is so clearly demonstrated, but is an unsolvable enigma for current cosmology based on GRT, a *local* theory applied to cosmology, a reductionist method Poincaré has excluded in advance, arguing that in a unique Univers, differential equations would imply free parameters [1]. So, the 'space dilatation' of GRT is a pure myth. Indeed, it is far more direct to suppose that gravitation becomes repulsive, proportionally to sufficiently large distances. This explains directly an *accelerated* acceleration (exponential law) of galactic recession and permits to define a characteristic length for a galaxy group ( $10^6$  lyr) [1]. When Lemaître made his first estimation of the redshift constant, he used numerous galaxies belonging to the Local Group, not participating to recession, heavy consequences, see below. So the 'black energy' problem is a false one. By opposition, the dark matter is a real problem, see below.

It is recalled that the Eddington's prediction [7] for the number of equivalent Hydrogen mass in the  $R$ -radius Universe is  $136 \times 2^{256}$ , a prediction which was largely mocked, but which is consistent with the official concordance value  $T = 13.80(5)$  Gyr, taking account of the above 3/10 relative density for matter:

$$M_{mat}/m_H = (3/10)Tc^3/2Gm_H \approx 2^{256} \times 136.2(5) \quad (2)$$

probably the most remarkable scientific prediction in History. *So, the dark matter would be in fact ordinary matter, but as these two kinds of matter are not photon-interacting, this would mean they are vibrating in quadrature. Indeed the product of electric charges varying according to  $\cos(f2\pi t)$  and  $\sin(f2\pi t)$  forms have a null mean result. Thus the solutions of the dark matter and antimatter problems are directly connected.*

The length  $R/2 = GM/c^2$  is given by the dimensional analysis excluding  $\hbar$ . But, since tachyonic speeds are necessary to connect a so vast Universe, one must look for a value of  $R/2$  independant of  $c$ . Such a  $c$ -free distance is given by the formula  $\hbar^2/Gm^3$ , so the simplest choice is:

$$R/2 = \hbar^2/Gm_p m_n m_e \quad (3)$$

respecting the symmetry between electron, proton and neutron, the three main particles of Atomic Physics, and compatible with  $c$  times the so-called 'Universe age' 13.80(4) Gyr. This formula was found in 3 minutes of cosmology reapraisal (september 1997), and associated with holographic conservation and gravitation-electricity symmetry, but was censored by the French Academy, under the fallacious pretext that 'Primordial Big Bang is proved'.

With  $m_p \approx m_n \approx m_H$ , the above term  $Gm_H^2$  appears in the gravitational force between two Hydrogen atoms, by far the more numerous atom in the Universe. By comparing with the elementary electric force  $e^2/r^2$ , that means a symmetry  $m_H^2 \leftrightarrow e^2$ , which corresponds, neglecting the 2 factor, to the formula  $\hbar^2/e^2 m_e = r_0$ , the bare Bohr radius [1], which is independent of  $c$ . *This shows how the holistic approach is far much efficient than the reductionist one, a basic example of Cosmical Immergence.* Recall that the classical reductionnist 'Emergence' concept is strictly uncomprehensible.

Moreover, the cosmic critical condition has the same form that the Black Hole horizon formula, so it is a direct *holographic* application of the Bekeinstein-Hawking Black Hole entropy formula applied to the  $R$ -sphere, with the Planck length  $l_P = (G\hbar/c^3)^{1/2}$ , and the 'topon' length  $l_M = \hbar/Mc$ :

$$\pi (R/l_P)^2 = 2\pi R/l_M \quad (4)$$

This holographic conservation has been extended to every particle, through the sweeping concept, able to justify at last a non-conservation of parity [1]. Of primary importance is the fact that the third order holographic term  $(2\pi/3) (R/r)^3$  defines a length  $r$  which is close (0.6 %) to the electron classical radius, itself close to the nucleus radius, which was the unit length considered by Dirac in his 'Large Number' Correlation. The fact that the precison is better than 1% excludes the rough, but official, explanation from the Anthropic Principle. The above 'open' factor  $(2\pi/3)$ , relative to a half sphere, is justified through the introduction of the Kotov length,  $c$  times the period (9600.61 s) of the Non-Doppler oscillations, which is tied to closed holographic relations [1].

Moreover the double Large Number Correlation writes in a form (including the 2 factor) corresponding to Eddington Theory, with  $l_H = \hbar/m_H c$ :

$$R/2l_H \approx \sqrt{(M/m_e)} \approx \hbar c/Gm_e m_p \quad (5)$$

which implies directly the gravitational force in the Hydrogen atom. The Eddington original form is  $R/2\sigma = \sqrt{N}$ , but Eddington was not able to deduces the above formula exhibiting the electron-proton symmetry, which was one of his essential hypothesis, because of the error of an order of magnitude

of the first estimation of Lemaître for the redshift constant (an erroneous value strangely confirmed by Hubble et Humason).

This electron-proton symmetry enters also a special holographic conservation, with  $l_e = \hbar/m_e c$ :

$$2\pi R/l_e \approx 4\pi (R/l_H)^2 \approx (4\pi/3)(l_{CMB}/l_H)^3. \quad (6)$$

implying, in the % range, the reduced wavelength of the Cosmic Microwave Background  $l_{CMB} = \hbar c/kT_{CMB}$  and the Hydrogen wavelength  $l_H = \hbar/2m_H c$ . Interestingly enough, the  $c$ -free dimensional analysis deducing a length from  $G$ ,  $\hbar$  and the CMB energy  $kT_{CMB}$  gives  $(G\hbar^4/(kT_{CMB})^3)^{1/5}$ , precisely the Hydrogen wavelength, apart the above geometric coefficient.

Applying the same electro-gravitation symmetry to the critical formula, one gets the length  $(R/2) \times (R/r_0)$ . Assuming a common frequency  $c/r_0 = C_G/R$  is at work, this implies :

$$C_G/c = R/r_0 \approx 2.47 \times 10^{36} \quad (7)$$

With the above holographic 'Gabor condition',  $C_G/c = F_G/f_{elm} = m_G C_G^2/m_e c^2$  this means  $C_G/c = m_e/m_G$ , so the graviton mass would be:

$$m_G = m_e r_0/R \approx 3.69 \times 10^{-67} \text{ kg} \quad (8)$$

By comparing with the Marchal photon mass [8]  $m_{ph} = \hbar/c^2 t_{cc} \approx 1.22 \times 10^{-55} \text{ kg}$ , tied to the non-Doppler Coherent period [1]  $t_{cc} \approx 9600.61 \text{ s}$ , one observes, to 1%, a *direct* connexion with the electroweak coefficient  $a_{ew} = \hbar^3/G_F c m_e^2$ , where  $G_F \approx 1.435851 \times 10^{-62} \text{ Joule m}^3$  is the Fermi constant :

$$m_G/m_{ph} \approx a_{ew} \quad (9)$$

So, the holographic two-step gravito-electromagnetism interaction and Coherent Cosmology are mutually consistent.

## References

- [1] Sanchez F.M., Kotov V. and Bizouard C. *Towards a synthesis of two cosmologies: the steady-state flickering Universe*, Journal of Cosmology, vol 17, 7225-7237, (2011). *Towards Coherent Cosmology*, Galilean Electrodynamics, special issue, winter 2013, pp 63-80.
- [2] Leveugle J., *La Relativité, Poincaré et Einstein*, Planck, Hilbert, Ed. L'Harmattan, Paris, 2004.
- [3] Levy Leblond, J-M. One more derivation of the Lorentz transformation, Am. J. of Phys. **44**, 271 (1976).
- [4] Ives H. E. Derivation of the Mass-Energy relation, American Journal of the Optical Society of America, avril 1953.
- [5] Whitney C. K., *Essays on Special Relativity Theory*, Hadronic J., vol 29, p. 52-53 (2006), reprinted from Galilean Electrodynamics Special Issue Winter (2005).
- [6] Van Flandern T., "The speed of gravity. What the experiments say", Phys. Lett. A250, p. 1, (1998).
- [7] Eddington A.S., *The Fundamental Theory* (Cambridge, 1946)
- [8] Marchal C. Physics with photons of non-zero rest mass, Proceedings of 28th Intern. Workshop, p. 152-166. Protvino, Russia (2005).