Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Annals of Physics
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: 70126

Title: Circularly polarized light causes shear stress

Article Type: Research Paper

Section/Category: Other

Keywords: Electrodynamics spin; circular polarization; torque

Corresponding Author: Prof. Radi I. Khrapko,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Moscow Aviation Institute, 125993, Moscow, Russia

First Author: Radi I. Khrapko

Order of Authors: Radi I. Khrapko

Abstract: It is shown that, in defiance of the conventional point of view, circularly polarized light causes shear stress

Cover Letter

I have used your review of Spin Tensor of Electromagnetic Waves Aug 29, 2008 Sep 23, 2008

Circularly polarized light causes shear stress

Radi I. Khrapko[1]

Moscow Aviation Institute (State University of Aerospace Technologies),
Volokolamskoe shosse 4, 125993 Moscow, Russia

Abstract
It is shown that, in defiance of the conventional point of view, circularly polarized light causes shear stress

PACS numbers: 75.10.Hk; 42.25.Ja
Key words: Electrodynamics spin; circular polarization; torque

Circularly polarized light carries spin. So it exerts a torque on an absorbing surface. But, according to a conventional point of view [1-5], the light wave produces tangent forces on the surface only on the periphery of the wave, and there is no mechanical stress in the central illuminated zone of the surface (except light pressure). The standard reasoning is as follows. The absorption of spin angular momentum can be thought of as a couple acting on a small area of the absorbing surface from the light. If there are many such areas, adjacent to each other, the forces acting an adjacent area elements cancel where these areas touch, and the net force acting on the combined area elements is simply the force that acts along the periphery; thus a couple acts on the periphery, but no net couple acts within the interior area.

However, a mistake is here. Any area of the surface experiences a torque from the light. The concept of forces acting from light is wrong (except light pressure). But the equilibrium of the area requires tangential forces acting along the perimeter of the area. (By the way, if the area is a disk of radius r, and the flux density of spin is γ, then the linear density of the force, $f = \gamma/2$, is independent of r and can be found from $\gamma\pi r^2 = f 2\pi r r$). Light, which illuminates adjacent area elements, cannot provide such force density. This light even does not touch the area under consideration. So, a mechanical shear stress of the surface is the only possibility to provide perimeter of the area with the need force density. This is that must be proved here. See details in [6].

References

[1] Heitler W., The Quantum Theory of Radiation (Oxford: Clarendon, 1954) p. 401
[2] Jackson J. D., Classical Electrodynamics, (John Wiley, 1999), p. 350.
[3] Simmonds J. W., M. J. Guttmann, States, Waves and Photons (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970)
[4] Soper D. E., Classical Field Theory (N.Y.: John Wiley, 1976).
[5] Ohanian H. C., What is spin? *Amer. J. Phys*. 54, 500-505 (1986).
[6] Khrapko R. I., Mechanical stresses produced by a light beam. *J. Modern Optics*, 55, 1487-1500 (2008)

[1] E-mail: khrapko_ri@hotmail.com, site: http://khrapkori.wmsite.ru

Addition to “Circularly polarized light causes shear stress”

AOP 70126 decision
From: ees.aop.0.2bb3f8.d3a99b7c@eesmail.elsevier.com on behalf of Annals of Physics (aop@elsevier.com)
Sent: Thursday, September 04, 2014 12:09:13 PM
To: khrapko_ri@hotmail.com
Ms. AOP 70126 Title: Circularly polarized light causes shear stress

Dear Dr. Khrapko:

The editorial board finds your paper, referenced above, not appropriate for our journal. Their comments were "This submission does not qualify as an appropriate manuscript for this journal." Yours sincerely, Vijayakumar Venkataraman Journal Manager for the Editors, Annals of Physics

From: **Radi Khrapko** (khrapko_ri@hotmail.com)
Sent: Saturday, September 06, 2014 3:18:09 PM
To: Annals of Physics (aop@elsevier.com)

Dear Vijayakumar Venkataraman:

This simple submission [1], proves that a spin flux is present at a plane circularly polarized light wave, and that AOP review of “Spin Tensor of Electromagnetic Waves” (AOP 67061) [2] is not correct. Therefore the anonymous rejection of this paper without a review means that the Editorial Board intentionally conceals the reviewer mistake and the serious delusion of physicists.
And I wonder, how long can physicists hush up the spin tensor?
See also [3]

[1] “Circularly polarized light causes shear stress” (AOP 70126) http://viXra.org/abs/1408.0074
[2] “Spin Tensor of Electromagnetic Waves” (AOP 67061) vixra.org/abs/0810.0001
[3] “Crimes of the scientific community” viXra:1407.0118