

# The formula of number of prime pair in Goldbach's conjecture

Oh Jung Uk

## Abstract

If  $\pi_g(N)$  is the number of cases that even number  $N$  could be expressed as the sum of the two primes of  $6n \pm 1$  type then the formula of  $\pi_g(N)$  is below

$$\pi_g(6n + 0) = n - 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1}\right)}{m}$$

where,  $\beta_g(6k - 1) = \tau(6k - 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) + 1) - 2, \dots$

But, the formula of  $\pi_g(6n + 2), \pi_g(6n - 2)$  is omitted in abstract.

## 1. Introduction

As well known, Goldbach's conjecture is "All of even number could be expressed expressed as the sum of the two primes". We redefined  $\beta_g(N), \rho_g(N)$  using by  $\beta(N), \rho(N)$  defined in paper "The formula of  $\pi(N)$ " [1] of myself. By using this, we build the formula of  $\pi_g(N)$  as the number of cases that even number  $N$  could be expressed as the sum of the two primes of  $6n \pm 1$  type.

In addition, we express the formula of  $\pi_g(N)$  with  $\pi(6n + 1)$  by using apple box principle in the paper "theorem 6 in The number of twin prime" [4] of myself.

## 2. The formula of number of prime pair in Goldbach's conjecture

**Definition 1.** We apply same definition in paper “The formula of  $\pi(N)$ ” [1] of myself.

**Definition 2.** For an arbitrary even number  $N$  and  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1$ , when  $N = P + T$ , for arbitrary  $d$

Let us define  $\beta_g(P) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if all of } P, N - P \text{ is prime} \\ d, & \text{if one or more of } P, N - P \text{ is composite number} \end{cases}$

**Definition 3.** Let us define  $\rho_g(P) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if all of } P, N - P \text{ is prime} \\ 1, & \text{if one or more of } P, N - P \text{ is composite number} \end{cases}$

**Definition 4.** Let us define  $\pi_g(N)$  as the number of cases that all of  $P, N - P$  is prime. But, we exclude duplication. That is,  $\pi_g(N)$  means the number of cases except duplication that  $N$  could be expressed as the sum of the two primes of  $6n \pm 1$  type..

**Theorem 1.**  $\beta_g(P)$

If we define  $N(N > 2)$  as an even number and  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1, N = P + T$  then

$$\beta_g(P) = \beta(P) + \beta(N - P)$$

$$\beta_g(P) = \rho(P) + \rho(N - P)$$

**Proof 1.**

Let us define  $N(N > 2)$  as an even number and  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1, N = P + T$ .

According to “definition 4 in paper The formula of  $\pi(N)$ ” [1] of myself and  $T = N - P$ ,

let us define as if  $P$  is composite then  $\beta(P) = a$ ,

if  $T = N - P$  is composite then  $\beta(T) = \beta(N - P) = b$ .

If all of  $P, N - P$  is composite then  $\beta(P) + \beta(N - P) = a + b, \rho(P) + \rho(N - P) = 2$

If one of  $P, N - P$  is composite then  $\beta(P) + \beta(N - P) = a \text{ or } b, \rho(P) + \rho(N - P) = 1$

If all of  $P, N - P$  is prime then  $\beta(P) + \beta(N - P) = 0, \rho(P) + \rho(N - P) = 0$

Therefore,  $\beta_g(P) = \beta(P) + \beta(N - P), \beta_g(P) = \rho(P) + \rho(N - P)$

■

**Theorem 2.  $\rho_g(P)$** 

If we define  $N(N > 2)$  as an even number and  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1, N = P + T$  then

$$\rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\rho(P) + \rho(N - P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$$
$$\rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \right\rfloor, 0 < w < \frac{1}{2}, w \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}, w = \frac{1}{e}, \frac{1}{\pi}, \frac{1}{N} (N > 2), \dots$$

If one or more of  $P, N - P$  is composite then

$$\rho_g(P) = \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w}\right)}{k}$$

If all of  $P, N - P$  is prime then

$$\rho_g(P) = \left\{ \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w}\right)}{k} \right\} + \frac{1}{2}$$

Especially, if  $w = \frac{1}{\pi}$ ,

If one or more of  $P, N - P$  is composite then

$$\rho_g(P) = \frac{\pi\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi^2\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1}\right)}{k}$$

If all of  $P, N - P$  is prime then

$$\rho_g(P) = \left\{ \frac{\pi\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi^2\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1}\right)}{k} \right\} + \frac{1}{2}$$

**Proof 2.**

Because  $\beta_g(P) = \rho(P) + \rho(N - P)$  according to theorem [1],

if all of  $P, N - P$  is composite then

$$\beta_g(P) = \rho(P) + \rho(N - P) = 2 \rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = 1$$

if one of  $P, N - P$  is composite then

$$\beta_g(P) = \rho(P) + \rho(N - P) = 1 \rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = 1$$

if all of  $P, N - P$  is prime then

$$\beta_g(P) = \rho(P) + \rho(N - P) = 0 \rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{0 + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = 0$$

So,  $\left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$  is satisfied with the definition of  $\rho_g(P)$

$$\text{Therefore, } \rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{\rho(P) + \rho(N - P) + 1}{2} \right\rfloor$$

And, if we define  $\rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \right\rfloor$  like as “theorem 3 in paper The formula of  $\pi(N)$ ” [1] of

myself then for  $0 < w < \frac{1}{2}, w \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$

$$\text{when all of } P, N - P \text{ is composite, } \rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2}{2 - w} \right\rfloor = 1$$

$$\text{when one of } P, N - P \text{ is composite, } \rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{1 - w} \right\rfloor = 1$$

$$\text{when all of } P, N - P \text{ is prime, } \rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{0}{0 - w} \right\rfloor = 0$$

so,  $\rho_g(P) = \left\lfloor \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \right\rfloor$  and  $w = \frac{1}{e}, \frac{1}{\pi}, \frac{1}{N} (N > 2), \dots$  (detail proof is omitted)

And, when one of more of  $P, N - P$  is composite, because  $\beta_g(P) > 0, 0 < w < \frac{1}{2}, w \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$

$1 < \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} < 2$ , that is,  $\frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  and

for arbitrary  $x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  [3]  $x = x - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(2k\pi x)}{k}$

[3]

$$\rho_g(P) = \left[ \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} \right] = \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w}\right)}{k}$$

When all of  $P, N - P$  is prime, because  $\beta_g(P) = 0$

$$\frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w}\right)}{k} = \frac{0}{0 - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(2k\pi\frac{0}{0 - w}\right)}{k} = -\frac{1}{2}$$

And, because  $\rho_g(P) = 0$

$$\rho_g(P) = 0 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \left\{ \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - w}\right)}{k} \right\} + \frac{1}{2}$$

Especially, if  $= \frac{1}{\pi}$ ,

When one or more of  $P, N - P$  is composite,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_g(P) &= \frac{\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - \frac{1}{\pi}} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi\beta_g(P)}{\beta_g(P) - \frac{1}{\pi}}\right)}{k} \\ &= \frac{\pi\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi^2\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1}\right)}{k} \end{aligned}$$

When all of  $P, N - P$  is prime,

$$\rho_g(P) = 0 = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \left\{ \frac{\pi\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2k\pi^2\beta_g(P)}{\pi\beta_g(P) - 1}\right)}{k} \right\} + \frac{1}{2}$$

■

**Theorem 3.**  $\pi_g(N)$

If we define  $N(N > 2)$  as an even number and  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1, N = P + T$  then for  $n \geq 2$

1) When  $N = 6n - 2 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_g(6n - 2) &= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_g(6k - 1) \\
 &= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left( \frac{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2 \beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k - 1) + a(n) \right) \\
 &= \frac{n - 1 + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2 \beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1) - 1}\right)}{m}
 \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\beta_g(6k - 1) = \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6(n - k) - 1) = \tau(6k - 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) - 1) - 2$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{6k-1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6k-1}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{6k-2}{p} \right\rfloor \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)-1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6(n-k)-1}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{6(n-k)-2}{p} \right\rfloor \right) - 4$$

$$a(u) = \begin{cases} 1 - \rho_g(6\lfloor u/2 \rfloor - 1), & \text{if } u \text{ is even number} \\ 0, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd number} \end{cases}$$

2) When  $N = 6n + 2 = P + T = (6p + 1) + (6t + 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_g(6n + 2) &= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \rho_g(6k + 1) \\
&= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left( \frac{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2 \beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right)}{m} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left( n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k + 1) + b(n) \right) \\
&= \frac{n - 1 + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2 \beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right)}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

Where,

$$\beta_g(6k + 1) = \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6(n - k) + 1) = \tau(6k + 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) + 1) - 2$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{6k+1} \left( \left[ \frac{6k+1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6k}{p} \right] \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)+1} \left( \left[ \frac{6(n-k)+1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6(n-k)}{p} \right] \right) - 4$$

$$b(u) = \begin{cases} 1 - \rho_g(6\lfloor u/2 \rfloor + 1), & \text{if } u \text{ is even number} \\ 0, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd number} \end{cases}$$

3) When  $N = 6n + 0 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t + 1)$  or  $(6p + 1) + (6t - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_g(6n + 0) &= n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k - 1) \\
&= n - 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2 \beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right)}{m} \\
&= n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k + 1) \\
&= n - 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2 \beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi \beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right)}{m}
\end{aligned}$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_g(6k - 1) &= \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6(n - k) + 1) = \tau(6k - 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) + 1) - 2 \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{6k-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6k - 1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6k - 2}{p} \right] \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)+1} \left( \left[ \frac{6(n - k) + 1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6(n - k)}{p} \right] \right) - 4
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta_g(6k + 1) &= \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6(n - k) - 1) = \tau(6k + 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) - 1) - 2 \\
&= \sum_{p=1}^{6k+1} \left( \left[ \frac{6k + 1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6k}{p} \right] \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6(n - k) - 1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6(n - k) - 2}{p} \right] \right) - 4
\end{aligned}$$



**Proof 3.**

Let us define  $N(N > 2)$  as an even number and  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1, N = P + T$ .

If we list up all cases of  $N = P + T$  by using  $P_k$  then the following equation is satisfied.

But, we exclude the duplicated cases of  $P_k = T_m$  and  $P_m = T_k$ .

$$N = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_1 + T_1 = P_1 + (N - P_1) \\ P_2 + T_2 = P_2 + (N - P_2) \\ \dots \\ P_r + T_r = P_r + (N - P_r) \end{array} \right\} \text{----- (3.1)}$$

If all of  $P_k, N - P_k$  is prime then  $1 - \rho_g(P_k) = 1 - 0 = 1$ ,

If one or more of  $P_k, N - P_k$  is composite then  $1 - \rho_g(P_k) = 1 - 1 = 0$ . So,

$$\pi_g(N) = \sum_{k=1}^r \{1 - \rho_g(P_k)\} = \sum_{k=1}^r 1 - \sum_{k=1}^r \rho_g(P_k) = r - \sum_{k=1}^r \rho_g(P_k) \text{----- (3.2)}$$

And, let us define  $\mathcal{P}$  as a set which all of  $P_k, N - P_k$  is prime,

and let us define  $\mathcal{C}$  as a set which one or more of  $P_k, N - P_k$  is composite

and let us define  $A = \frac{\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w}\right)}{m}$

According to theorem [2](#), if one or more of  $P_k, N - P_k$  is composite then  $\rho_g(P_k) = A$ ,

if all of  $P_k, N - P_k$  is prime then  $\rho_g(P_k) = A + \frac{1}{2}$ , and

let us express  $\sum_{\mathbb{Z}}^n u(k)$  with the sum of  $u(k)$ , only if  $u(k) \in \mathbb{Z}$  in  $1 \leq k \leq n$  for a certain  $u(k), \mathbb{Z}$

because  $\mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{C} = \emptyset$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \rho_g(P_k) = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \rho_g(P_k) + \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \rho_g(P_k) \text{----- (3.3)}$$

So, if we apply [\(3.3\)](#) to [\(3.2\)](#) then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(N) &= r - \left( \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \rho_g(P_k) + \sum_{\mathcal{C}} \rho_g(P_k) \right) = r - \left( \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left( A + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{\mathcal{C}} A \right) \\ &= r - \left( \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{\mathcal{P}} A + \sum_{\mathcal{C}} A \right) \text{----- (3.4)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum_P^r \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_P^r 1 = \frac{\pi_g(N)}{2}, \sum_P^r A + \sum_C^r A = \sum_{k=1}^r A$$

So,if we apply this to (3.4) then

$$\pi_g(N) = r - \left( \frac{\pi_g(N)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^r A \right) = r - \frac{\pi_g(N)}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^r A \text{----- (3.5)}$$

If we substitute  $A$  to (3.5) and arrange then

$$\pi_g(N) + \frac{\pi_g(N)}{2} = r - \sum_{k=1}^r A \rightarrow \frac{3\pi_g(N)}{2} = r - \sum_{k=1}^r A \rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(N) &= \frac{2}{3} \left\{ r - \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \frac{\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w}\right)}{m} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \left\{ r - \sum_{k=1}^r \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right) - \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \frac{\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w}\right)}{m} \right\} \\ &= \frac{2}{3} \left\{ \frac{3r}{2} - \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \frac{\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w} \right) - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w}\right)}{m} \right\} \\ &= r - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \frac{\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - w}\right)}{m} \text{----- (3.6)} \end{aligned}$$

If we substitute  $w = \frac{1}{\pi}$  to (3.6) especially then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(N) &= r - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \frac{\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - \frac{1}{\pi}} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(P_k)}{\beta_g(P_k) - \frac{1}{\pi}}\right)}{m} \\ &= r - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^r \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(P_k)}{\pi\beta_g(P_k) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(P_k)}{\pi\beta_g(P_k) - 1}\right)}{m} \text{----- (3.7)} \end{aligned}$$

$N$  is one of  $N = 6n - 2, N = 6n + 0, N = 6n + 2$  and the cases that we could express  $N = P + T$  type is following equation.

$$\left. \begin{cases} N = 6n - 2 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t - 1) \\ N = 6n + 0 = P + T = (6p + 1) + (6t - 1) \text{ or } (6p - 1) + (6t + 1) \\ N = 6n + 2 = P + T = (6p + 1) + (6t + 1) \end{cases} \right\} \text{----- (3.8)}$$

1) When  $N = 6n - 2 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t - 1)$

If we define  $p_1 = 1, p_2 = 2, \dots$ , that is,  $p_k = k$  then  $P_k = 6p_k - 1 = 6k - 1$  and if we express (3.1) by using this then the following equation is satisfied.

$$N = 6n - 2$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_1 + T_1 = (6p_1 - 1) + (6t_1 - 1) = (6 \times 1 - 1) + (6(n - 1) - 1) \\ P_2 + T_2 = (6p_2 - 1) + (6t_2 - 1) = (6 \times 2 - 1) + (6(n - 2) - 1) \\ \dots \\ P_r + T_r = (6p_r - 1) + (6t_r - 1) = (6r - 1) + (6(n - r) - 1) \\ \dots \\ P_{n-2} + T_{n-2} = (6p_{n-2} - 1) + (6t_{n-2} - 1) = (6(n - 2) - 1) + (6 \times 2 - 1) \\ P_{n-1} + T_{n-1} = (6p_{n-1} - 1) + (6t_{n-1} - 1) = (6(n - 1) - 1) + (6 \times 1 - 1) \end{array} \right\} \text{----- (3.1.1)}$$

All of the cases that we could express  $N = (6p - 1) + (6t - 1)$  is until  $N = (6p_{n-1} - 1) + (6t_{n-1} - 1)$ , but, this duplication that  $6k - 1 + 6(n - k) - 1 = (6p_k - 1) + (6t_k - 1)$  is same as  $6(n - k) - 1 + 6k - 1 = (6p_{n-k} - 1) + (6t_{n-k} - 1)$  is occurred because  $t_k = n - p_k = n - k$ . Because this duplication occurs from  $k = n - k$ , if we define  $p_r = t_r$  then  $r = n - r \rightarrow r = n/2$ , and  $r = [n/2]$  because  $r$  has to be an natural number

When  $n$  is an odd number,  $r = [n/2] \neq n/2$ , so,  $p_r = t_r$  is not exist.

But, when  $n$  is an even number  $r = [n/2] = n/2$ , so,  $p_r = t_r$  is occurred. But,  $r = n/2$  term is allowed because "one prime is allowed to use twice" in Goldbach's conjecture.

That is,  $1 \leq p_k \leq [n/2]$  term and  $[n/2] + 1 \leq p_k \leq n - 1$  term is duplicated.

And, it should be  $p_k \geq 1, t_k \geq 1$  because  $= p_k + t_k$ , so,  $n \geq 2$ .

So, if we apply the above contents to (3.2), (3.6), (3.7) then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n - 2) &= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \rho_g(6k - 1) \\ &= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \left( \frac{\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\beta_g(6k - 1) - w} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\beta_g(6k - 1) - w}\right)}{m} \\ &= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \end{aligned}$$

If we define  $A$  as the number of pair of that  $P, T$  are all prime which includes duplication,

if we define  $a(u) = \begin{cases} 1 - \rho_g(6[u/2] - 1), & \text{if } u \text{ is even number} \\ 0, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd number} \end{cases}$  then

$$A = n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k - 1)$$

When  $a(u) = 1$ ,  $[n/2]$  term of  $N = (6[n/2] - 1) + (6(n - [n/2]) - 1)$  is not duplicated but the one is only exist ,so,

$$\pi_g(6n - 2) = \frac{1}{2}(A + a(n))$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n - 2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k - 1) + a(n) \right) \\ &= \frac{n - 1 + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\beta_g(6k - 1) - w} \right) - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\beta_g(6k - 1) - w} \right)}{m} \\ &= \frac{n - 1 + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right)}{m} \end{aligned}$$

And, according to theorem [1](#)  $\beta_g(P_k) = \beta(P_k) + \beta(N - P_k)$ ,so,

$$\beta_g(6k - 1) = \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6n - 2 - (6k - 1)) = \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6(n - k) - 1)$$

In the above formula, if we express  $\beta_g(6k - 1)$  by using only  $\beta(N) = \tau(N) - 2$  which is the most simple function in “theorem 2 in paper The formula of  $\pi(N)$ ” [\[1\]](#) of myself then

$$\beta_g(6k - 1) = \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6(n - k) - 1) = \tau(6k - 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) - 1) - 2$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{p=1}^{6k-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6k-1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6k-2}{p} \right] \right) - 2 + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6(n-k)-1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6(n-k)-2}{p} \right] \right) - 2 \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{6k-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6k-1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6k-2}{p} \right] \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6(n-k)-1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6(n-k)-2}{p} \right] \right) - 4 \end{aligned}$$

2) When  $N = 6n + 2 = P + T = (6p + 1) + (6t + 1)$

If we define  $p_1 = 1, p_2 = 2, \dots$ , that is,  $p_k = k$  then  $P_k = 6p_k + 1 = 6k + 1$  and if we express (3.1) by using this then the following equation is satisfied.

$$N = 6n + 2$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_1 + T_1 = (6p_1 + 1) + (6t_1 + 1) = (6 \times 1 + 1) + (6(n - 1) + 1) \\ P_2 + T_2 = (6p_2 + 1) + (6t_2 + 1) = (6 \times 2 + 1) + (6(n - 2) + 1) \\ \dots \\ P_r + T_r = (6p_r + 1) + (6t_r + 1) = (6r + 1) + (6(n - r) + 1) \\ \dots \\ P_{n-2} + T_{n-2} = (6p_{n-2} + 1) + (6t_{n-2} + 1) = (6(n - 2) + 1) + (6 \times 2 + 1) \\ P_{n-1} + T_{n-1} = (6p_{n-1} + 1) + (6t_{n-1} + 1) = (6(n - 1) + 1) + (6 \times 1 + 1) \end{array} \right\} \dots\dots\dots (3.2.1)$$

As the same with the above 1),  $1 \leq p_k \leq [n/2]$  term and  $[n/2] + 1 \leq p_k \leq n - 1$  term is all duplicated. And, it should be  $p_k \geq 1, t_k \geq 1$  because  $n = p_k + t_k$ , so,  $n \geq 2$ .

If we apply the above contents to (3.2), (3.6), (3.7) then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n + 2) &= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \rho_g(6k + 1) \\ &= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \left( \frac{\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\beta_g(6k + 1) - w} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\beta_g(6k + 1) - w} \right)}{m} \\ &= \left[ \frac{n}{2} \right] - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{[n/2]} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right)}{m} \end{aligned}$$

If we define  $b(u) = \begin{cases} 1 - \rho_g(6[u/2] + 1), & \text{if } u \text{ is even number} \\ 0, & \text{if } u \text{ is odd number} \end{cases}$

and arrange by using same method with 1) then (detail proof is omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n - 2) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k + 1) + b(n) \right) \\ &= \frac{n - 1 + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\beta_g(6k + 1) - w} \right) - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\beta_g(6k + 1) - w} \right)}{m} \\ &= \frac{n - 1 + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right)}{m} \end{aligned}$$

And, according to theorem [1](#)  $\beta_g(P_k) = \beta(P_k) + \beta(N - P_k)$ ,so,

$$\beta_g(6k + 1) = \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6n + 2 - (6k + 1)) = \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6(n - k) + 1)$$

Therefore, according to “theorem 2 in paper The formula of  $\pi(N)$ ” [\[1\]](#) of myself

$$\beta_g(6k + 1) = \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6(n - k) + 1) = \tau(6k + 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) + 1) - 2$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{6k+1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6k+1}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{6k}{p} \right\rfloor \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)+1} \left( \left\lfloor \frac{6(n-k)+1}{p} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{6(n-k)}{p} \right\rfloor \right) - 4$$

3) When  $N = 6n + 0 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t + 1)$  or  $(6p + 1) + (6t - 1)$

If we change  $p, t$  in  $(6p + 1) + (6t - 1)$  then it is same as  $(6p - 1) + (6t + 1)$ .

So,we study only  $(6p - 1) + (6t + 1)$ .

If we define  $p_1 = 1, p_2 = 2, \dots$ , that is,  $p_k = k$  then  $P_k = 6p_k - 1 = 6k - 1$  and if we express [\(3.1\)](#) by using this then the following equation is satisfied.

$$N = 6n + 0$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_1 + T_1 = (6p_1 - 1) + (6t_1 + 1) = (6 \times 1 - 1) + (6(n - 1) + 1) \\ P_2 + T_2 = (6p_2 - 1) + (6t_2 + 1) = (6 \times 2 - 1) + (6(n - 2) + 1) \\ \dots \\ P_{n-2} + T_{n-2} = (6p_{n-2} - 1) + (6t_{n-2} + 1) = (6(n - 2) - 1) + (6 \times 2 + 1) \\ P_{n-1} + T_{n-1} = (6p_{n-1} - 1) + (6t_{n-1} + 1) = (6(n - 1) - 1) + (6 \times 1 + 1) \end{array} \right\} \text{----- (3.3.1)}$$

As not same with the above 1) and 2), the duplicated term of  $P_k = T_m$  and  $P_m = T_k$  is not exist because  $P_k \equiv -1 \pmod{6}, T_k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ .

And, it should be  $p_k \geq 1, t_k \geq 1$  because  $= p_k + t_k$ , so,  $n \geq 2$ .

If we apply the above contents to [\(3.2\)](#),[\(3.6\)](#),[\(3.7\)](#) then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n + 0) &= n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k - 1) \\ &= n - 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\beta_g(6k - 1) - w} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\beta_g(6k - 1) - w} \right)}{m} \\ &= n - 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k - 1) - 1} \right)}{m} \end{aligned}$$

And, if we apply (3.3.1) to the case of  $P_k \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, T_k \equiv -1 \pmod{6}$  then the following equation is satisfied.

$$N = 6n + 0$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_1 + T_1 = (6p_1 + 1) + (6t_1 - 1) = (6 \times 1 + 1) + (6(n-1) - 1) \\ P_2 + T_2 = (6p_2 + 1) + (6t_2 - 1) = (6 \times 2 + 1) + (6(n-2) - 1) \\ \dots \\ P_{n-2} + T_{n-2} = (6p_{n-2} + 1) + (6t_{n-2} - 1) = (6(n-2) + 1) + (6 \times 2 - 1) \\ P_{n-1} + T_{n-1} = (6p_{n-1} + 1) + (6t_{n-1} - 1) = (6(n-1) + 1) + (6 \times 1 - 1) \end{array} \right\} \dots (3.3.2)$$

Because the above contents of (3.3.2) is same as the contents of (3.3.1) (detail proof is omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n + 0) &= n - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k + 1) \\ &= n - 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\beta_g(6k + 1) - w} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\beta_g(6k + 1) - w}\right)}{m} \\ &= n - 1 - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{2}{3\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta_g(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta_g(6k + 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \end{aligned}$$

And, according to theorem [1],  $\beta_g(P_k) = \beta(P_k) + \beta(N - P_k)$ , so,

$$\beta_g(6k - 1) = \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6n + 0 - (6k - 1)) = \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6(n - k) + 1)$$

Therefore, according to "theorem 2 in paper The formula of  $\pi(N)$ " [1] of myself

$$\beta_g(6k - 1) = \beta(6k - 1) + \beta(6(n - k) + 1) = \tau(6k - 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) + 1) - 2$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{6k-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6k-1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6k-2}{p} \right] \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)+1} \left( \left[ \frac{6(n-k)+1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6(n-k)}{p} \right] \right) - 4$$

And,  $\beta_g(6k + 1) = \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6n + 0 - (6k + 1)) = \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6(n - k) - 1)$

$$\beta_g(6k + 1) = \beta(6k + 1) + \beta(6(n - k) - 1) = \tau(6k + 1) - 2 + \tau(6(n - k) - 1) - 2$$

$$= \sum_{p=1}^{6k+1} \left( \left[ \frac{6k+1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6k}{p} \right] \right) + \sum_{p=1}^{6(n-k)-1} \left( \left[ \frac{6(n-k)-1}{p} \right] - \left[ \frac{6(n-k)-2}{p} \right] \right) - 4$$

■

**Theorem 4.  $\pi_g(N)$  expression by using  $\pi(6n + 1)$**

Let us define  $N(N > 2)$  as an even number  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1, N = P + T, n \geq 2$ .

Let us define  $\pi_-(M)$  as the number of  $6m - 1$  type prime number of  $M$  or less,

$\pi_+(M)$  as the number of  $6m + 1$  type prime number of  $M$  or less.

Let us define  $\mathcal{M}$  be a set of that only one of  $P, T$  is prime .

$a(n), b(n)$  is same with function defined in theorem [3](#).

1) When  $N = 6n - 2 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n - 2) &= \frac{\pi_-(6(n - 1) - 1) + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1} (\rho(6k - 1) + \rho(6(n - k) - 1)) \\ &= \frac{\pi_-(6(n - 1) - 1) + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^n \left( \frac{\pi\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1} + \frac{\pi\beta(6(n - k) - 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) - 1) - 1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6\pi} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6(n - k) - 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) - 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2) When  $N = 6n + 2 = P + T = (6p + 1) + (6t + 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n + 2) &= \frac{\pi_+(6(n - 1) + 1) + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1} (\rho(6k + 1) + \rho(6(n - k) + 1)) \\ &= \frac{\pi_+(6(n - 1) + 1) + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^n \left( \frac{\pi\beta(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta(6k + 1) - 1} + \frac{\pi\beta(6(n - k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) + 1) - 1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6\pi} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta(6k + 1) - 1}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6(n - k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) + 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

3) When  $N = 6n + 0 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t + 1)$  or  $(6p + 1) + (6t - 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n + 0) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \pi(6(n - 1) + 1) - 2 - \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^{n-1} (\rho(6k - 1) + \rho(6(n - k) + 1)) \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi(6(n - 1) + 1) - 2}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^n \left( \frac{\pi\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1} + \frac{\pi\beta(6(n - k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) + 1) - 1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{\mathcal{M}}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6(n - k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) + 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$



**Proof 4.**

Let us define  $N(N > 2)$  as an even number and  $P = 6p \pm 1, T = 6t \pm 1, N = P + T, n \geq 2$ .

Let us define  $\pi_-(M)$  as the number of  $6m - 1$  type prime number of  $M$  or less,

$\pi_+(M)$  as the number of  $6m + 1$  type prime number of  $M$  or less. Let us  $\mathbb{M}$  be a set of that only one of  $P, T$  is prime.  $a(n), b(n)$  is same with function defined in theorem 3.

1) When  $N = 6n - 2 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t - 1)$

Let us define  $A$  as the number of pair of that  $P, T$  be all prime included duplication and

if we regard prime as red apple and regard composite as green apple in “theorem 6 in The number of twin prime” [4] then

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \right) \text{-----} (4.1.1)$$

If we exclude duplication in (4.1.1) according to theorem 3 then

$$\pi_g(6n - 2) = \frac{1}{2} (A + a(n)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \right) + a(n) \right) \rightarrow$$

$$\pi_g(6n - 2) = \frac{\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \rightarrow$$

$$\pi_g(6n - 2) = \frac{\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k - 1) \text{-----} (4.1.2)$$

When  $6k - 1, 6(n - k) - 1 \in \mathbb{M}$ ,

$\rho_g(6k - 1) = 1 = 1 + 0$  or  $0 + 1 = \rho(6k - 1) + \rho(6(n - k) - 1)$ , so, If we apply to (4.1.2) then

$$\pi_g(6n - 2) = \frac{\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) + a(n)}{2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} (\rho(6k - 1) + \rho(6(n - k) - 1)) \text{-----} (4.1.3)$$

If we arrange (4.1.3) then (detail proof is omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n - 2) = & \frac{\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) + a(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \frac{\pi\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1} + \frac{\pi\beta(6(n - k) - 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) - 1) - 1} \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{6\pi} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1} \right) + \sin \left( \frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6(n - k) - 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n - k) - 1) - 1} \right)}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2) When  $N = 6n + 2 = P + T = (6p + 1) + (6t + 1)$

Let us define  $A$  as the number of pair of that  $P, T$  be all prime included duplication and if we regard prime as red apple and regard composite as green apple in “theorem 6 in The number of twin prime” [4] then

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\pi_+(6(n-1) + 1) - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \right) \text{----- (4.2.1)}$$

If we exclude duplication in (4.2.1) according to theorem 3 then

$$\pi_g(6n + 2) = \frac{1}{2}(A + b(n)) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left( 2\pi_+(6(n-1) + 1) - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \right) + b(n) \right) \rightarrow$$

$$\pi_g(6n + 2) = \frac{\pi_+(6(n-1) + 1) + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \rightarrow$$

$$\pi_g(6n + 2) = \frac{\pi_+(6(n-1) + 1) + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k + 1) \text{----- (4.2.2)}$$

When  $6k + 1, 6(n-k) + 1 \in \mathbb{M}$

$\rho_g(6k + 1) = 1 = 1 + 0$  or  $0 + 1 = \rho(6k + 1) + \rho(6(n-k) + 1)$ , so, if we apply to (4.2.2) then

$$\pi_g(6n + 2) = \frac{\pi_+(6(n-1) + 1) + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} (\rho(6k + 1) + \rho(6(n-k) + 1)) \text{----- (4.2.3)}$$

If we arrange (4.2.3) then (detail proof is omitted)

$$\pi_g(6n + 2) = \frac{\pi_+(6(n-1) + 1) + b(n)}{2} - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \frac{\pi\beta(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta(6k + 1) - 1} + \frac{\pi\beta(6(n-k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n-k) + 1) - 1} \right) - \frac{1}{6\pi} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta(6k + 1) - 1}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6(n-k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n-k) + 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \right)$$

3) When  $N = 6n + 0 = P + T = (6p - 1) + (6t + 1)$  or  $(6p + 1) + (6t - 1)$

Duplication is not exist unlike 1),2) and if we regard prime as red apple and regard composite as green apple in “theorem 6 in The number of twin prime” [4] then

$$\pi_g(6n + 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( (\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) + \pi_+(6(n-1) + 1)) - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \right) \text{----- (4.3.1)}$$

$(\pi_-(6(n-1) - 1) + \pi_+(6(n-1) + 1)) = \pi(6(n-1) + 1) - 2$ ,so,if we arrange (4.3.1) then

$$\pi_g(6n + 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \pi(6(n-1) + 1) - 2 - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} 1 \right) \rightarrow$$

$$\pi_g(6n + 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \pi(6(n-1) + 1) - 2 - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} \rho_g(6k - 1) \right) \text{----- (4.3.2)}$$

When  $6k - 1, 6(n-k) + 1 \in \mathbb{M}$

$\rho_g(6k - 1) = 1 = 1 + 0$  or  $0 + 1 = \rho(6k - 1) + \rho(6(n-k) + 1)$ ,so,if we apply to (4.3.2) then

$$\pi_g(6n + 0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \pi(6(n-1) + 1) - 2 - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} (\rho(6k - 1) + \rho(6(n-k) + 1)) \right) \text{----- (4.3.3)}$$

If we arrange (4.3.3) then (detail proof is omitted)

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n + 0) &= \frac{\pi(6(n-1) + 1) - 2}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \frac{\pi\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1} + \frac{\pi\beta(6(n-k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n-k) + 1) - 1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6k - 1)}{\pi\beta(6k - 1) - 1}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6(n-k) + 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n-k) + 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$N = (6p + 1) + (6t - 1)$  is also same with the above contents,so,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_g(6n + 0) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \pi(6(n-1) + 1) - 2 - \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^{n-1} (\rho(6k + 1) + \rho(6(n-k) - 1)) \right) \\ &= \frac{\pi(6(n-1) + 1) - 2}{2} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \frac{\pi\beta(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta(6k + 1) - 1} + \frac{\pi\beta(6(n-k) - 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n-k) - 1) - 1} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{3\pi} \sum_{\mathbb{M}}^n \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6k + 1)}{\pi\beta(6k + 1) - 1}\right) + \sin\left(\frac{2m\pi^2\beta(6(n-k) - 1)}{\pi\beta(6(n-k) - 1) - 1}\right)}{m} \right) \end{aligned}$$

■

## References

[1] Oh Jung Uk, “The formula of  $\pi(N)$ ”, <http://vixra.org/pdf/1408.0041v1.pdf>

[2] wikipedia, *Goldbach's conjecture*,

[http://ko.wikipedia.org/wiki/%EA%B3%A8%EB%93%9C%EB%B0%94%ED%9D%90%EC%9D%98\\_%EC%B6%94%EC%B8%A1](http://ko.wikipedia.org/wiki/%EA%B3%A8%EB%93%9C%EB%B0%94%ED%9D%90%EC%9D%98_%EC%B6%94%EC%B8%A1)

[3] wikipedia, *floor functions*,

[http://ko.wikipedia.org/wiki/%EB%B0%94%EB%8B%A5\\_%ED%95%A8%EC%88%98](http://ko.wikipedia.org/wiki/%EB%B0%94%EB%8B%A5_%ED%95%A8%EC%88%98)

[4] Oh Jung Uk, “The formula of number of twin prime”, <http://vixra.org/pdf/1408.0044v1.pdf>

Oh Jung Uk, South Korea ( I am not in any institutions of mathematics )

*E-mail address:* [ojumath@gmail.com](mailto:ojumath@gmail.com)